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## Introduction

This thesis is concerned with the following class of elliptic equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left(a\|u\|_{\alpha, \mu}^{p}+b\right)\left(\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u}{|x|^{p \alpha}}\right)+\mu \frac{|u|^{p-2} u}{|x|^{p(\alpha+1)}}\right)=\frac{|u|^{p^{*}-2} u}{|x|^{p^{*} \beta}}+\lambda f(x) \text { in } \Omega \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 3)$ containing 0 in its interior, $1<p<N, a, b \geq 0, a+b>0$, $0 \leq \alpha<(N-p) / p, \alpha \leq \beta<\alpha+1,-\infty<\mu<\bar{\mu}:=[(N-(\alpha+1) p) / p]^{p}, \lambda$ is a parameter, $p^{*}=p N /[N-p(1+\alpha-\beta)]$ is the critical Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg exponent and $f \in W^{*} /\{0\}$. Here, $W_{\alpha, \mu}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ denotes the completion of $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm

$$
\|u\|_{\alpha, \mu}^{p}:=\int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{|\nabla u|^{p}}{|x|^{p \alpha}}-\mu \frac{|u|^{p}}{|x|^{p \alpha+p}}\right) d x
$$

and $W^{*}$ is the dual space of $W_{\alpha, \mu}^{1, p}(\Omega)$. For $(\alpha, \mu)=(0,0)$ we shall work with the space $W^{1, p}(\Omega)$ endowed with the norme

$$
\|u\|^{p}:=\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} d x
$$

This problem is related to the following well known Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality [17]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{\Omega}|x|^{-p^{*} \beta}|u|^{p^{*}} d x\right)^{1 / p^{*}} \leq C_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\int_{\Omega}|x|^{-p \alpha}|\nabla u|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p} \text { for all } u \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some positive constant $C_{\alpha, \beta}$. For sharp constants and extremal functions associated to (2), see $[19,35,49]$. If $\beta=\alpha+1$ in (2), then $p^{*}=p, C_{\alpha, \beta}=1 / \bar{\mu}$, and we have the following weighted Hardy inequality $[4,6,1]$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{p}}{|x|^{p \alpha+p}} d x \leq \frac{1}{\bar{\mu}} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^{p}}{|x|^{p \alpha}} d x, \text { for all } u \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\alpha=\beta=0$ in (2), then $p^{*}=p N /(N-p)$ we obtain the following Sobolev inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p^{*}} d x\right)^{1 / p^{*}} \leq C_{0,0}\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p} \text { for all } u \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some positive constant $C_{0,0}$.
If $a \neq 0$, the problem (1) is called nonlocal because of the presence of the nonlocal term $a\|u\|_{\alpha, \mu}^{p}$, which implies that problem (1) is no longer a pointwise identity. This phenomenon causes some mathematical difficulties, which make the study of problem (1) interesting. It is called also non degenerate if $b>0$ and $a \geq 0$, while it is named degenerate if $b=0$ and $a>0$.

Such class of nonlocal elliptic problem like (1) without singular weights ( $\alpha=\beta=\mu=0$ ) is related to the original Kirchhoff's equation, which arises in nonlinear vibrations, namely

$$
\begin{cases}u_{t t}-M\left(\int|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right) \Delta u=g(x, t) & \text { in } \Omega \times(0, T) \\ u=0 & \text { in } \partial \Omega \times(0, T) \\ u(0, x)=u_{0}, u_{t}(0, x)=u_{1}, & \end{cases}
$$

which was first introduced by Kirchhoff as an extension of the classical D'Alembert wave equation for free vibrations of elastic strings. Kirchhoff's model takes into account the changes in length of the strings produced by transverse vibrations.

Problems which involve nonlocal operator have been widely studied due to their numerous and relevant applications in various fields of sciences. In particular, Kirchhofftype problems proved to be valuable tools for modeling several physical and biological phenomena and many works have been made to ensure the existence of solutions for such problems; we quote in particular the article of Lions [42]. Since this famous paper, very fruitful development has given rise to many works on this advantageous axis and in most of them, the used approach relies on topological methods. However, just few improvements were held concerning the multiplicity of solutions. At this regard, variational approach was solicited instead of topological methods to solve this kind of problems and also to prove the existence of multiple solutions; we refer interested readers to the works [3], [11], [43] and [46].

In the last few years, great attention has been paid to the study of elliptic problems involving critical nonlinearities. This problems create many difficulties in applying variational methods. It is worth mentioning that the semilinear Laplace equation of elliptic type involving critical exponent of Sobolev was investigated in the crucial paper of Brézis and Nirenberg [16]. After that, many researchers dedicated to the study of several kinds of elliptic equations with critical exponent of Sobolev or Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg in bounded domain or in the whole space. For $p=2$ and $a=\alpha=\beta=\mu=0$, Tarantello [50] treated the problem (1) in a bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ and proved the existence of at least two solutions by using Nehari manifold methods. The first work on the Kirchhoff-type problem with critical Sobolev exponent is Alves, Corrêa and Figueiredo in [3]. Naimen in [46] showed a Brézis-Nirenberg type result for Kirchhoff problem in bounded domain. In [29], Figueiredo and al. consid-
ered Kirchhoff elliptic equations with critical exponent of Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg.
Recently, Benaissa and al. in [30] discussed the problem

$$
-\left(a \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{p} d x+b\right) \operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)=|u|^{p^{*}-2} u+\lambda f(x) \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}
$$

here $p^{*}=\frac{p N}{N-p}$ is the critical Sobolev exponent. For a particular dimension $N=$ $3 p / 2$, they proved the existence of two solutions.

Note that the problem (1) without Kirchhoff terms $(a=0)$ comes from the consideration of starting waves in anisotropic Schrödinger equations (see [1]). It was also introduced as models for several physical phenomena related to equilibrium of anisotropic media that possibly are some where perfect insulator or perfect conductors [1]. This class of equations has been investigated in a series of works see [9], [14], [49], [13], [12], [39] and the references therein.

This thesis is presented as follows.
Chapter 1 of preliminaries is devoted to the basic definitions, results and useful inequalities which we use frequently in the proof of our results in this thesis .

In Chapter 2, we firstly consider the case where $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ $(N \geq 3)$ containing 0 in its interior and $(a, b)=(0,1)$ in (1). So, we study the following nonhomogenous singular elliptic equation with the critical Caffarelli-KohnNiremberg exponent

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u}{|x|^{p \alpha}}\right)-\mu \frac{|u|^{p-2} u}{|x|^{p(\alpha+1)}}=\frac{|u|^{p^{*}-2} u}{|x|^{p^{*} \beta}}+f(x) \text { in } \Omega  \tag{5}\\
u=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

The purpose of this chapter is to investigate the existence of a ground state solution
for the problem (5) by a "smallness" condition on $f$. By using the Nehari manifold we proved our result. On the other hand, when $(\alpha, \mu)=(0,0)$ we proved to the existence of a second solution of problem (5).

Chapter 3, is devoted to the case where $\Omega=\mathbb{R}^{N}, a \neq 0$ and $(\alpha, \beta, \mu)=$ $(0,0,0)$ in $(1)$. So, we are concerned with the existence, multiplicity infinity and the non existence of solutions for the following Kirchhoff-type problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left(a \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{p} d x+b\right) \operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)=|u|^{p^{*}-2} u+\lambda f(x) \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p^{*}=p N /[N-p]$ is the critical Sobolev exponent, $f \in W^{*} \backslash\{0\}$. Here, $W^{*}$ is the dual space of $W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Note that if $a=\lambda=0, b=1$ and $1<p<N$, the equation (6) reduces to the following problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)=|u|^{p^{*}-2} u, \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sciunzi in [2] provided that if $u$ is a positive solution of (7) then $u(x)=v_{\varepsilon, x_{0}}(x)$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{\varepsilon, x_{0}}(x):=\left[\frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p-1}} N^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\frac{N-p}{p-1}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}}{\varepsilon^{\frac{p}{p-1}}+\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}}\right]^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \quad \varepsilon>0, x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{N} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, $u$ a minimizer for

$$
S:=\inf _{u \in W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\|u\|^{p}}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{p^{*}} d x\right)^{p / p^{*}}},
$$

and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|v_{\varepsilon, x_{0}}\right\|^{p}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|v_{\varepsilon, x_{0}}\right|^{p^{*}} d x=S^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}}, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We make the following assumptions to prove the following results:
$\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}\right) p^{*}>2 p, a \geq 0, b \geq 0$ and $a+b>0$.
$\left(\mathcal{H}_{1}\right) p^{*}=2 p, a \geq 0$ and $b>0$.
$\left(\mathcal{H}_{2}\right) p^{*}=2 p, 0 \leq a<S^{-2}$ and $b>0$
$\left(\mathcal{H}_{3}\right) p^{*}>2 p, a>0$ and $b>0$.
$\left(\mathcal{H}_{4}\right) p^{*}=2 p, a>S^{-2}$ and $b=0$.
$\left(\mathcal{H}_{5}\right) \quad p^{*}=2 p, a \geq S^{-2}$ and $b>0$.
$\left(\mathcal{H}_{6}\right) \quad p^{*}<2 p, a>0$ and $b>b^{*}$.
$\left(\mathcal{H}_{7}\right) \quad p^{*}=2 p, a>0$ and $b=0$.
$\left(\mathcal{H}_{8}\right) p^{*}<2 p, a>0$ and $b=b^{*}$ where

$$
b^{*}=\frac{2 p-p^{*}}{p}\left(\frac{p}{p^{*}-p} a\right)^{-\frac{p^{*}-p}{2 p-p^{*}}} S^{-\frac{p^{*}}{2 p-p^{*}}},
$$

and we define the energy functional

$$
I(u)=\frac{a}{2 p}\|u\|^{2 p}+\frac{b}{p}\|u\|^{p}-\frac{1}{p^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{p^{*}} d x-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x) u d x,
$$

then we obtain the following results.
Theorem 0.1 Suppose that $f \in W^{*} \backslash\{0\}$ and assume $\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}\right)$ or $\left(\mathcal{H}_{1}\right)$ holds. Then there exists a constants $\lambda_{-}>0$ such that for any $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{-}\right)$problem (6) has a solution $u_{-}$with negative energy.

Theorem 0.2 Suppose that $f \in W^{*} \backslash\{0\}$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x) v_{\varepsilon, x_{0}} d x \neq 0$. Assume $\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}\right)$ or $\left(\mathcal{H}_{2}\right)$ holds. Then there exists a constant $\lambda_{+} \in\left(0, \lambda_{-}\right]$such that for any $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{+}\right)$problem (6) has a second solution $u_{+}$with positive energy.

Theorem 0.3 Let $\lambda=0, a>0, b \geq 0,1<p<N$. For $v_{\varepsilon, x_{0}}$ given by (8) the following conclusions hold:
(1) If $p^{*}=2 p$, then under the hypothesis $\left(\mathcal{H}_{2}\right)$, the problem (6) has infinitely many nonnegative solutions and these solutions are

$$
\left(\frac{b}{1-S^{2} a}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{*}-p}} v_{\varepsilon, x_{0}} \quad \text { for all } \varepsilon>0
$$

and under the hypothesis $\left(\mathcal{H}_{7}\right)$, the problem (6) has infinitely many positive solutions $\delta^{\frac{1}{p}} v_{\varepsilon, x_{0}}($ for any $\delta>0)$ if and only if $a=S^{-2}$.
(2) If $p^{*} \neq 2 p, b=0$ and $a>0$, then problem (6) has infinitely many nonnegative solutions and these solutions

$$
\left(a S^{p^{p^{*}}-p}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2 p-p^{*}}} v_{\varepsilon, x_{0}} \quad \text { for all } \varepsilon>0
$$

(3) If $\left(\mathcal{H}_{3}\right)$ satisfied, then there exists $\delta_{2}>S^{-1}\left(\frac{a p}{p^{*}-p} S^{2}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}-p}{p^{*}-2 p}}$ such that $\delta_{2}^{\frac{1}{p^{*}-p}} v_{\varepsilon, x_{0}}$ are solutions of problem (6), for all $\varepsilon>0$.
(4) If $\left(\mathcal{H}_{8}\right)$ satisfied, then problem (6) has infinitely many nonnegative solutions and these solutions are

$$
S^{-\frac{1}{p^{*}-p}}\left(\frac{p^{*}-p}{p a} S^{-2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 p-p^{*}}} v_{\varepsilon, x_{0}} \quad \text { for all } \varepsilon>0
$$

(5) If $\left(\mathcal{H}_{6}\right)$ satisfied, then there exist $\delta_{3} \in\left(0, S^{-1}\left(\frac{p^{*}-p}{p a} S^{-2}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}-p}{2 p-p^{*}}}\right)$ and $\delta_{4} \in$ $\left(S^{-1}\left(\frac{p^{*}-p}{p a} S^{-2}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}-p}{2 p-p^{*}}},+\infty\right)$ such that $\delta_{3}^{\frac{1}{p^{*}-p}} v_{\varepsilon, x_{0}}$ and $\delta_{4}^{\frac{1}{p^{*}-p}} v_{\varepsilon, x_{0}}$ solutions of problem (6) for all $\varepsilon>0$.

Theorem 0.4 Assume that one of the hypotheses $\left(\mathcal{H}_{i}\right)$ holds for $4 \leq i \leq 6$. Then problem (6) has no non-trivial solution for $\lambda=0$.

In Chapter 4 we generalise some results of chapter 3 in the following p-Kirchhoff-
type systems

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\left(a_{1}+b_{1}\|u\|^{p}\right)\left[\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)\right]=\frac{2 q}{q+q^{\prime}}|u|^{q-2} u|v|^{q^{\prime}}+\lambda_{1} f(x),  \tag{10}\\
-\left(a_{2}+b_{2}\|v\|^{p}\right)\left[\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v\right)\right]=\frac{2 q^{\prime}}{q+q^{\prime}}|u|^{q}|v|^{q^{\prime}-2} v+\lambda_{2} g(x), \\
(u, v) \in W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \times W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $1<p<N, a_{1}, a_{2} \geq 0, b_{1}, b_{2}>0, q, q^{\prime}>1, q+q^{\prime}=p^{*}, p^{*}=p N /[N-p]$ $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2} \geq 0$ and $f, g \in W^{*} \backslash\{0\}, W^{*}$ is the dual space of $W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.

In this chapter we establish the existence of solutions with negative and positive energy, infinity results and non existence of solution for the Kirchhoff-type systems involving the critical Sobolev exponent.

Note that the problem (10) has infinitely many nonnegative solutions for $\lambda_{1}=$ $\lambda_{2}=b_{1}=b_{2}=0, a_{1}=a_{2}=1$ and $1<p<N$. These solutions are

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{\varepsilon}=\left(\frac{2}{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\left(p-p^{*}\right)}}(q)^{\frac{p-q^{\prime}}{p\left(p-p^{*}\right)}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{q^{\prime}}{p\left(p-p^{*}\right)}} v_{\varepsilon, x_{0}} \quad \text { for all } \varepsilon>0 .  \tag{11}\\
v_{\varepsilon}=\left(\frac{2}{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\left(p-p^{*}\right)}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{p-q}{p\left(p-p^{*}\right)}} q^{\frac{q}{p\left(p-p^{*}\right)}} v_{\varepsilon, x_{0}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let the constant

$$
S_{q, q^{\prime}}:=\inf _{\substack{(u, v) \in W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \times W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \\(u, v) \neq(0,0)}} \frac{\|u\|^{p}+\|v\|^{p}}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{q}|v|^{q^{\prime}} d x\right)^{p / p^{*}}}
$$

which is positive. Let $a=\max \left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right) \geq 0, b=\max \left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right) \geq 0$. The following assumptions are used in this chapter:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(H_{1}\right): p^{*} \geq 2 p, b \geq 0 \text { and } a>0 . \\
& \left(H_{2}\right): p^{*}>2 p, b>0 \text { and } a=0 . \\
& \left(H_{3}\right) p^{*}=2 p, a_{2}=a_{2}=0 \text { and } b_{1}, b_{2}>S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{-2} \\
& \left(H_{4}\right) p^{*}=2 p, b_{1}, b_{2} \geq S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{-2} \text { and } a_{1}, a_{2}>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

$\left(H_{5}\right) p^{*}>2 p, a>0$ and $b>\frac{p^{*}-p}{p}\left(2^{\left.\frac{2 p-p^{*}}{p a}\right)^{\frac{2 p-p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}} 2^{\frac{p}{p^{*}-p}}\left(S_{q, q^{\prime}}\right)^{-\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}} . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~}\right.$
$\left(H_{6}\right) p^{*} \geq 2 p, a_{1}=a_{2}=0, b_{1}, b_{2}>0$.
$\left(H_{7}\right) p^{*} \geq 2 p, a_{1}=0, a_{2} \neq 0, b_{1}, b_{2}>0$.
$\left(H_{8}\right) p^{*} \geq 2 p, a_{1} \neq 0, a_{2} \neq 0, b_{1}, b_{2}>0$
We define the energy functional

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(u, v)= & \frac{1}{2 p}\left(b_{1}\|u\|^{2 p}+b_{2}\|v\|^{2 p}\right)+\frac{1}{p}\left(a_{1}\|u\|^{p}+a_{2}\|v\|^{p}\right) \\
& -\frac{2}{p^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{q}|v|^{q^{\prime}} d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \lambda_{1} f(x) u+\lambda_{2} g(x) v d x
\end{aligned}
$$

and we present our results:
Case 1: $\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)=(0,0)$.
Result 1: If one of assumptions $\left(H_{3}\right),\left(H_{4}\right)$ or $\left(H_{5}\right)$ is satisfied then problem (10) has no non-trivial solution.

Result 2: If one of assumptions $\left(H_{6}\right),\left(H_{7}\right)$ or $\left(H_{8}\right)$ is satisfied and if $a_{1}=a_{2}=1$, $b_{1}=b_{2}=0$, then Problem (10) has infinitely many nonnegative solutions $\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}, v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right)=$ $\left(\theta_{1} u_{\varepsilon}, \theta_{2} v_{\varepsilon}\right)$ for any $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}>0$.

Case 2: $\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right) \neq(0,0)$.
Result 3: If $\left(H_{1}\right)$ or $\left(H_{2}\right)$ is satisfied then system (10) has a solution $\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)$ with negative energy for some conditions in $\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)$.

Result 4: If $a_{1}=a_{2}=1, b_{1}=b_{2}=0$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x) u_{\varepsilon} d x \neq 0$ or $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(x) v_{\varepsilon} d x \neq$ 0 . Then problem (10) has a second solution $\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right)$ with positive energy.

## Chapter 1

## Preliminaries

In this chapter, we briefly recall the basic definitions and some important results which we need in the proof of our results in the following chapters (see [30], [6], [14], [54], [27]).

### 1.1 Palais-Smale condition

Let $K$ a Banach space, $J \in C^{1}(K, \mathbb{R})\left(K^{*}\right.$ the dual of $\left.K\right)$.

Definition 1.1 A function $J$ is called Frechet differentiable at $u \in K$ if there exists a bounded linear application $J^{\prime}(u) \in K^{*}$ such that

$$
\left[\frac{\left|J(u+v)-J(u)-\left\langle J^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle\right|}{\|v\|_{K}}\right] \rightarrow 0, \text { when }\|v\|_{K} \rightarrow 0
$$

A function $J$ that is Frechet differentiable for any point of $K$ is said to be $C^{1}$ if the function $J^{\prime}$ is continuous.

Definition 1.2 We call that $u \in K$ is a critical point of $J$ if $J^{\prime}(u)=0$, otherwise $u$ is called a regular point.

Let $c \in \mathbb{R}$; we say that $c$ is a critical value of $J$ if there exists a critical point $u$ in $K$ such that $J(u)=c$, otherwise $c$ is called regular.

Definition 1.3 We call a sequence $\left(u_{n}\right) \in K$ is a Palais-Smale sequence on $K$ if $J\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c$ and $\left\|J^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{K^{*}} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$.

Definition 1.4 Let $c \in \mathbb{R}$, We say that $J$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition at level $c$ we also note $(P S)_{c}$ for short, if for any sequence $\left(u_{n}\right) \in K$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
J\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R} \\
J^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { in } K^{*}
\end{array}\right.
$$

contains a convergent subsequence in $K$.

Let us observe that if $J \in C^{1}(K, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition, any point of accumulation $\bar{u}$ of a Palais-Smale sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)$, is a critical point of $J$. We have implicitly $J^{\prime}(\bar{u})=0, J(\bar{u})=c$.

### 1.2 Mountain Pass Theorem and Ekeland's variational principle

A powerful tool for proving the existence of a critical point of a functional, is given by the following theorem.

Theorem 1.5 [38] Let $(K, d)$ be a complete metric space, and $J: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. a lower semicontinuous functional, not identically equal to $+\infty$, which is bounded from below
$\left(c=\inf _{K} J>-\infty\right)$, Then, for all $\varepsilon>0$; there exists $\gamma_{\varepsilon} \in K$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
c & <J\left(\gamma_{\varepsilon}\right)<c+\varepsilon \\
J(\gamma)-J\left(\gamma_{\varepsilon}\right)+\varepsilon d\left(\gamma, \gamma_{\varepsilon}\right) & >0 \forall \gamma \in K, \text { such that } \gamma \neq \gamma_{\varepsilon}
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 1.6 [38] If $K$ is a Banach space and $J \in C^{1}(K, \mathbb{R})$ is bounded from below, then there exists a minimizing sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)$ for $J$ in $K$ such that

$$
J\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow \inf _{K} J, J^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { in } K^{*} \text { as } n \rightarrow+\infty
$$

Theorem 1.7 [6] Let $J \in C^{1}(K, \mathbb{R})$ satisfying the Palais-Smale condition.

Assume that

1) $J(0)=0$,
2) There exists two numbers $\sigma$ and $\rho$ such that $J(u) \geq \sigma$ for every $u \in K$ with $\|u\|_{K}=\rho$.
3) There exists $v \in K$ such that $J(v)<\sigma$ and $\|v\|_{K} \geq \rho$.

Define

$$
\Gamma:=\{\gamma \in C(0,1), \gamma(0)=0, \gamma(1)=v\},
$$

then

$$
c:=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max _{u \in \gamma([0,1])} J(u) \geq \sigma
$$

is a critical value.

### 1.3 The Sobolev spaces

Definition 1.8 Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{N}$. We define the Sobolev space $W^{1, p}(\Omega)$ by

$$
\left\{u \in L^{p}(\Omega) / \exists f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots f_{N} \text { such that } \int_{\Omega} u \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_{i}}=-\int_{\Omega} f_{i} \varphi \forall \varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega) ; \forall i=1 \ldots N\right\}
$$

and $u \in W^{1, p}(\Omega)$ by

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}=f_{i} \quad, \quad \nabla u=\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{N}}\right) .
$$

Definition 1.9 Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{N}$. We define $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ by the completion of $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in $W^{1, p}(\Omega)$.

Remark 1.10 We have $W_{0}^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)=W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.

Theorem 1.11 [16] Let $u \in W^{1, p}(\Omega)$, then $u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ if and only if $u=0$ on $\partial \Omega$.

Definition 1.12 Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{N}$. We define for $p \geq 1$

$$
\|u\|_{W^{1, p}(\Omega)}^{p}:=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}^{p}
$$

when $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{p^{*}}(\Omega)$, there exists a constant $S>0$ such that

$$
S:=\inf _{u \in W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\|u\|^{p}}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{p^{*}} d x\right)^{p / p^{*}}}
$$

Theorem 1.13 [47] Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{N}$. If $u$ is a positive solution of

$$
-\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)=|u|^{p^{*}-2} u, \quad \text { in } \Omega
$$

then $u(x)=v_{\varepsilon, x_{0}}(x)$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{\varepsilon, x_{0}}(x):=\left[\frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p-1}} N^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\frac{N-p}{p-1}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}}{\varepsilon^{\frac{p}{p-1}}+\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}}\right]^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \quad \varepsilon>0, x_{0} \in \Omega \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, u a minimizer for

$$
S:=\inf _{u \in W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\|u\|^{p}}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{p^{*}} d x\right)^{p / p^{*}}}
$$

and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|v_{\varepsilon, x_{0}}\right\|^{p}=\int_{\Omega}\left|v_{\varepsilon, x_{0}}\right|^{p^{*}} d x=S^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}}, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1.14 Assume $q, q^{\prime}>1, q+q^{\prime} \leq p^{*}$, we define the constant

$$
S_{q, q^{\prime}}:=\inf _{\substack{(u, v) \in W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \times W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \\(u, v) \neq(0,0)}} \frac{\|u\|^{p}+\|v\|^{p}}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{q}|v|^{q^{\prime}} d x\right)^{p / p^{*}}}
$$

which is positive, then

$$
S_{q, q^{\prime}}=\left[\left(\frac{q}{q^{\prime}}\right)^{\frac{q^{\prime}}{q+q^{\prime}}}+\left(\frac{q^{\prime}}{q}\right)^{\frac{q}{q+q^{\prime}}}\right] S_{0}
$$

### 1.4 Needed inequalities and Sobolev's embedding

Theorem 1.15 (Sobolev-Gagliardo-Nirenberg)
Let $1 \leq p<N$ and $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{N}$, Sobolev embedding gives

$$
W^{1, p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{p^{*}}(\Omega)
$$

where $\frac{1}{p^{*}}=\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{N}$. Moreover there exists a constant $C=C(p, N)$ such that

$$
\|u\|_{L^{p^{*}}(\Omega)} \leq C\|\nabla u\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}, \quad \forall u \in W^{1, p}(\Omega) .
$$

Corollary 1.16 Let $1 \leq p<N$, then

$$
W^{1, p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{q}(\Omega), \quad \forall q \in\left[p, p^{*}\right]
$$

with continuous embedding.

Theorem 1.17 [30] Let $n \geq 1$ and $1 \leq p<\infty$. We have

$$
\text { if } \frac{1}{p}-\frac{n}{N}>0, \text { then } W^{n, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \hookrightarrow L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \text { where } \frac{1}{q}=\frac{1}{p}-\frac{n}{N} \text {, }
$$

Corollary 1.18 If $\frac{1}{p}-\frac{n}{N}=0$, then $W^{n, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \hookrightarrow L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \forall q \in[p,+\infty[$, if $\frac{1}{p}-\frac{n}{N}<0$, then $W^{n, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \hookrightarrow L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, with continuous embedding.

### 1.4.1 Some integral inequalities

We will give here some important integral inequalities. These inequalities play an important role in applied mathematics and also, they are very useful in our next chapters.

Theorem 1.19 [30] Let $q$ and $q^{\prime}$ such that $1<q, q^{\prime}<\infty$ and $\frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{q^{\prime}}=1$. If $f \in L^{q}$ and $g \in L^{q^{\prime}}$, then

$$
f g \in L^{1}(\Omega) \text { and } \int|f g| d x \leq\left(\int|f|^{q} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\left(\int|g|^{q^{\prime}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q^{\prime}}}
$$

Lemma 1.20 [30] Let $0 \leq m \leq 1$. Then

$$
\|u\|_{L^{r}(\Omega)} \leq\|u\|_{L^{t}(\Omega)}^{m}\|u\|_{L^{q}(\Omega)}^{1-m},
$$

valid for $u \in L^{q}(\Omega)$ with $1 \leq t \leq r \leq q, \frac{1}{r}=\frac{m}{t}+\frac{1-m}{q}$

Lemma 1.21 (Brézis-Lieb Lemma) [16]Let $\left(u_{n}\right)$ be a sequence in $W^{1, p}(\Omega)$, if $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is bounded in $W^{1, p}(\Omega)$ and $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ a. e. in $\Omega$, then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}-\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|^{p}\right)=\|u\|^{p}
$$

Theorem 1.22 [17] (Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality)

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { Let } \Omega & \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{N}, 1<p<N, 0 \leq \alpha<(N-p) / p, \alpha \leq \beta<\alpha+1 \\
\qquad & \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{p^{*}}}{|x|^{p^{* \beta}}} d x\right)^{1 / p^{*}} \leq C_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^{p}}{|x|^{p \alpha}} d x\right)^{1 / p} \text { for all } u \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega), \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{N}$, for some positive constant $C_{\alpha, \beta}$.
If $\beta=\alpha+1$ in (1.3), then $p^{*}=p, C_{\alpha, \beta}=\frac{1}{\bar{\mu}}=\left[\frac{p}{N-(\alpha+1) p}\right]^{p}$ and we have the following weighted Hardy inequality

$$
\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{p}}{|x|^{p(\alpha+1)}} d x \leq \frac{1}{\bar{\mu}} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^{p}}{|x|^{p \alpha}} d x, \text { for all } u \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)
$$

## Chapter 2

## Nonlinear elliptic equations with

## critical Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg

## exponent in bounded domain

### 2.1 Introduction

In this chapter we are interested to study the existence of solution to the nonhomogeneous problem

$$
\begin{cases}-\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{|\nabla u|^{p-2}}{|x|^{p \alpha}} \nabla u\right)-\mu \frac{|u|^{p-2}}{|x|^{p(\alpha+1)}} u=\frac{|u|^{p^{*}-2}}{|x|^{p^{* \beta}}} u+f(x) \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{2.1}\\ u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega,\end{cases}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a smooth bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 3)$ containing 0 in its interior,

$$
1<p<N, 0 \leq \alpha<(N-p) / p, \alpha \leq \beta<\alpha+1,-\infty<\mu<\bar{\mu}:=[(N-(\alpha+1) p) / p]^{p},
$$

$\lambda$ is a parameter, $p^{*}=p N /[N-p(1+\alpha-\beta)]$ is the critical Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg
exponent, $f \in W^{*} /\{0\}$. Here, $W_{\alpha, \mu}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ denotes the completion of $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\alpha, \mu}$ and $W^{*}$ is the dual space of $W_{\alpha, \mu}^{1, p}(\Omega)$.

To state our result, let set for $u \in W_{\alpha, \mu}^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $f \in W_{\alpha, \mu}^{*}\left(\right.$ the dual of $\left.W_{\alpha, \mu}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right)$

$$
\|u\|_{p^{*}}^{p^{*}}:=\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{p^{*}}}{|x|^{p^{* \beta}}} d x
$$

To start this section, we need to introduce the following notation:

$$
\begin{gathered}
I_{f}(u):=\int_{\Omega} f u d x \\
\gamma_{f}:=\inf _{\|u\|^{p^{*}}=1}\left\{\left(p^{*}-p\right)\left[\frac{1}{p^{*}-1}\|u\|_{\alpha, \mu}^{p}\right]^{\frac{p^{*}-1}{p^{*}-p}}-I_{f}(u)\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

We define for $0 \leq \mu<\bar{\mu}$

$$
S_{\mu}:=\inf _{u \in W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\|u\|^{p}}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{p^{*}} d x\right)^{p / p^{*}}}
$$

and

$$
S_{0}:=\inf _{u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\|u\|_{0}^{p}}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{p^{*}} d x\right)^{p / p^{*}}}
$$

where $W^{1, p}(\Omega)=W_{0,0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$
From [36], $S_{\mu}$ is independent of any $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ in the sense that $S_{\mu}(\Omega)=S_{\mu}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)=$ $S_{\mu}$. In addition, the constant $S_{\mu}$ is achieved by a family of functions

$$
V_{\varepsilon}(x):=\varepsilon^{(p-N) / p} \tilde{u}_{p, \mu}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right), \varepsilon>0
$$

where $\tilde{u}_{p, \mu}(x)=\tilde{u}_{p, \mu}(|x|)$ is the unique radial solution for the problem

$$
\begin{cases}-\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{|\nabla u|^{p-2}}{|x|^{p \alpha}} \nabla u\right)-\mu \frac{|u|^{p-1} u}{|x|^{p(\alpha+1)}}=\frac{|u|^{p^{*}-2}}{|x|^{p^{*} \beta}} u & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash\{0\} \\ u \longrightarrow 0 & \text { as }|x| \longrightarrow \infty\end{cases}
$$

In the other hand, from [30] $S_{0}$ is independent of any $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and it is achieved by a family of functions

$$
U_{\varepsilon}(x):=\left[\varepsilon(N)\left(\frac{N-p}{p-1}\right)^{p-1}\right]^{\frac{N-p}{p(p)}}\left(\varepsilon+|x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right)^{\frac{p-N}{p}}, \varepsilon>0
$$

Moreover the functions $U_{\varepsilon}$ solve the equation

$$
\begin{cases}-\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)=\frac{|u|^{p^{*}-2}}{|x|^{p^{*} \beta}} u & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash\{0\} \\ u \longrightarrow 0 & \text { as }|x| \longrightarrow \infty\end{cases}
$$

and define

$$
D:=\left\{g \in W^{*}, g \neq 0 ; \gamma_{g}>0\right\}
$$

Note that $D \neq \varnothing$ and if $f \in L^{p}(\Omega)$ then

$$
\int_{\Omega}|f|^{p} d x<\left(p^{*}-p\right)^{p}\left[\frac{1}{\left(p^{*}-1\right)}\right]^{\frac{p\left(p^{*}-1\right)}{p^{*}-p}} S_{\mu}^{p^{*} /\left(p^{*}-p\right)},
$$

which implies that $f \in D$.

Set $\delta>0$ small enough such that $B(0, \delta) \subset \Omega, \varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ such that for

$$
0 \leq \varphi(x) \leq 1, \varphi(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
0 & \text { if }|x| \geq 2 \delta \\
1 & \text { if }|x| \leq \delta
\end{array} ; \text { and }|\nabla \varphi(x)| \leq C\right.
$$

Put $u_{\varepsilon}=\varphi(x) U_{\varepsilon}(x)$.
By [30] we have the following estimates.

Lemma 2.1 Assume that $2 \leq p<N$ and $\varepsilon>0$ small enough. By taking

$$
v_{\varepsilon}=\frac{u_{\varepsilon}}{\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{p^{*}}}
$$

so that $\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{p^{*}}^{p^{*}}=1$, we have the following estimates:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (1) }\left\|v_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{0}^{p}=S_{0}+O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-p}{p}}\right) \\
& \text { (2) } \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{\alpha} d x=O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{\alpha(N-p)}{p^{2}}}\right) \text { for } \alpha=1 \ldots p-1, \\
& \text { (3) } \int_{\Omega} \frac{v_{\varepsilon}^{p^{*}-1}}{|x|^{p^{* \beta}}} d x=O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{(p-1)(N-p)}{p^{2}}}\right) \\
& \text { (4) } \int_{\Omega} \frac{v_{\varepsilon}}{|x|^{p^{* \beta}}} d x=O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-p}{p^{2}}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

### 2.2 Nehari manifold

First we give some preliminaries about the so called Nehari manifold.
Since $f \in W_{\alpha, \mu}^{*}(\Omega)$ then the Euler-Lagrange functional $I_{1}$ associated to the problem (2.1) is given by

$$
I_{1}(u)=\frac{1}{p}\|u\|_{\alpha, \mu}^{p}-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\|u\|_{p^{*}}^{p^{*}}-I_{f}(u) \quad \text { for all } u \in W_{\alpha, \mu}^{1, p}(\Omega),
$$

it's clear that $I_{1} \in C^{1}\left(W_{\alpha, \mu}^{1, p}(\Omega), \mathbb{R}\right)$ and satisfies

$$
\left\langle I_{1}^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^{p-2}}{|x|^{p \alpha}} \nabla u \nabla v-\mu \frac{|u|^{p-2}}{|x|^{p(\alpha+1)}} u v-\frac{|u|^{p^{*}-2}}{|x|^{p^{*} \beta}} u v-f v\right) d x
$$

for all $u, v \in W_{\alpha, \mu}^{1, p}(\Omega)$.
Hence, weak solution of (2.1) are critical points of the functional $I_{1}$.
We denote the Nehari manifold by

$$
\mathcal{N}=\left\{u \in W_{\alpha, \mu}^{1, p}(\Omega) /\{0\},\left\langle I_{1}^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle=0\right\} .
$$

It is easy to see that $u \in \mathcal{N}$ if and only if

$$
J(u)=\|u\|_{\alpha, \mu}^{p}-\|u\|_{p^{*}}^{p^{*}}-I_{f}(u)=0 .
$$

Lemma 2.2 The function $I_{1}$ is coercive and bounded from below in $\mathcal{N}$.

Proof. Let $u \in \mathcal{N}$, by Holder and Young inequalities we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1}(u) & =\frac{1}{p}\|u\|_{\alpha, \mu}^{p}-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\|u\|_{p^{*}}^{p^{*}}-I_{f}(u) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{p}\|u\|_{\alpha, \mu}^{p}-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\|u\|_{p^{*}}^{p^{*}}-\|u\|_{\alpha, \mu}^{p}+\|u\|_{p^{*}}^{p^{*}} \\
& \geq-\left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right)\|u\|_{\alpha, \mu}^{p}+\left(\frac{p^{*}-1}{p^{*}}\right) S_{\mu}^{p^{*} / p}\|u\|_{p^{*}}^{p^{*}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\rho=\|u\|_{\alpha, \mu}^{p}$ and

$$
h(\rho)=-\left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right) \rho^{p}+\left(\frac{p^{*}-1}{p^{*}}\right) S_{\mu}^{p^{*} / p} \rho^{p^{*}} .
$$

Direct calculations show that $h$ is convex and achieves its minimum at

$$
\rho_{0}=\left[\frac{p-1}{p^{*}-1} S_{\mu}^{p^{*} / p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p^{*}-p}}
$$

So

$$
I_{1}(u) \geq h\left(\rho_{0}\right)=-\frac{(p-1)\left(p^{*}-p\right)}{p p^{*}}\left[\frac{p-1}{p^{*}-1} S_{\mu}^{p^{*} / p}\right] \frac{p}{p^{*}-p}
$$

Then $I_{1}$ is coercive and bounded from below in $\mathcal{N}$.
The Nehari manifold $\mathcal{N}$ is closely linked to the behavior of the application

$$
\Phi_{u}(t): t \rightarrow I_{1}(t u),
$$

which for $t>0$ is defined by

$$
\Phi_{u}(t)=\frac{t^{p}}{p}\|u\|_{\alpha, \mu}^{p}-\frac{t^{p^{*}}}{p^{*}}\|u\|_{p^{*}}^{p^{*}}-t I_{f}(u) .
$$

Lemma 2.3 Let $u \in W_{\alpha, \mu}^{1, p}(\Omega)$, then $t u \in \mathcal{N}$ if and only if $\Phi_{u}^{\prime}(t)=0$.

Proof. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{u}^{\prime}(t) & =\left\langle I_{1}^{\prime}(t u), u\right\rangle \\
& =\frac{1}{t}\left\langle I_{1}^{\prime}(t u), t u\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the conclusion holds

The elements in $\mathcal{N}$ correspond to stationary points of the maps $\Phi_{u}$.
We note that

$$
\Phi_{u}^{\prime}(t)=t^{p-1}\|u\|_{\alpha, \mu}^{p}-t^{p^{*}-1}\|u\|_{p^{*}}^{p^{*}}-I_{f}(u) .
$$

and

$$
\Phi{ }_{u}(t)=(p-1) t^{p-2}\|u\|_{\alpha, \mu}^{p}-\left(p^{*}-1\right) t^{p^{*}-2}\|u\|_{p^{*}}^{p^{*}}
$$

By Lemma 2.3 we have $u \in \mathcal{N}$ if and only if $\Phi_{u}^{\prime}(1)=0$.Hence

$$
\Phi{ }_{u}(1)=(p-1)\|u\|_{\alpha, \mu}^{p}-\left(p^{*}-1\right)\|u\|_{p^{*}}^{p^{*}} .
$$

Then it is natural to split $\mathcal{N}$ into three subsets corresponding to local minima, local maxima, and point of inflexion, i.e,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{N}^{+}=\left\{u \in \mathcal{N}: \Phi{ }_{u}(1)>0\right\}, \\
& \mathcal{N}^{-}=\left\{u \in \mathcal{N}: \Phi{ }^{\prime}{ }_{u}(1)<0\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{N}^{0}=\left\{u \in \mathcal{N}: \Phi "_{u}(1)=0\right\} .
$$

First, we prove that $\Phi^{"}{ }_{u}(1) \neq 0$ for all $u \in \mathcal{N} /\{0\}$.

Lemma 2.4 Assume that $f \in D$. Then $\mathcal{N}^{0}=\varnothing$.

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{N}^{0} \neq \varnothing$. For $u \in \mathcal{N}^{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(p-1)\|u\|_{\alpha, \mu}^{p} & =\left(p^{*}-1\right)\|u\|_{p^{*}}^{p^{*}} \\
(p-1) I_{f}(u) & =\left(p^{*}-p\right)\|u\|_{p^{*}}^{p^{*}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\left(p^{*}-1\right) I_{f}(u)=\left(p^{*}-p\right)\|u\|_{\alpha, \mu}^{p} .
$$

Using the definition of $S_{\mu}$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|u\|_{p^{*}}^{p^{*}} & =(p-1)\|u\|_{\alpha, \mu}^{p} /\left(p^{*}-1\right) \\
& \geq\left[\left[\frac{(p-1)}{\left(p^{*}-1\right)} S_{\mu}\right]^{p^{*} /\left(p^{*}-p\right)}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\frac{\|u\|_{\alpha, \mu}^{p}}{\|u\|_{p^{*}}^{p^{*}}}=\frac{p^{*}-1}{p-1} .
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\frac{p^{*}-p}{p^{*}-1}\|u\|_{\alpha, \mu}^{p}-I_{f}(u) \\
& =\|u\|_{p^{*}}^{p^{*}}\left[\frac{p^{*}-p}{p^{*}-1} \frac{\|u\|_{\alpha, \mu}^{p}}{\|u\|_{p^{*}}^{p^{*}}}-\frac{I_{f}(u)}{\|u\|_{p^{*}}^{p^{*}}}\right] \\
& \geq\|u\|_{p^{*}}^{p^{*}}\left[\left(p^{*}-p\right)\left[\frac{\|u\|_{\alpha, \mu}^{p}}{\left(p^{*}-1\right)\|u\|_{p^{*}}^{p^{*}}}\right]^{\left(p^{*}-1\right) /\left(p^{*}-p\right)}-\frac{I_{f}(u)}{\|u\|_{p^{*}}^{p^{*}}}\right] \\
& >0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Which is impossible.
Define for all $u \in W_{\alpha, \mu}^{1, p}(\Omega) /\{0\}$

$$
t_{u}^{\max }:=\left[\|u\|_{\alpha, \mu}^{p}(p-1) /\left(p^{*}-1\right)\|u\|_{p^{*}}^{p^{*}}\right]^{\frac{1}{p^{*}-p}}
$$

Lemma 2.5 Assume that $f \in D$. Then for any $u \in W_{\alpha, \mu}^{1, p} /\{0\}$, there exists a unique positive value $t_{u}^{+}$such that

$$
t_{u}^{+}>t_{u}^{\max }, t_{u}^{+} u \in \mathcal{N}^{-} \text {and } I_{1}\left(t_{u}^{+} u\right)=\max _{t \geq t_{u}^{\max }} I_{1}(t u)
$$

Moreover, if $I_{f}(u)>0$, then there exists a unique positive value $t_{u}^{-}$such that

$$
0<t_{u}^{-}<t_{u}^{\max }, t_{u}^{-} u \in \mathcal{N}^{+} \text {and } I_{1}\left(t_{u}^{-} u\right)=\inf _{0 \leq t \leq t_{u}^{\max }} I_{1}(t u)
$$

Proof. Set

$$
\Psi_{u}(t)=t^{p-1}\|u\|_{\alpha, \mu}^{p}-t^{p^{*}-1}\|u\|_{p^{*}}^{p^{*}}
$$

for $u \in W_{\alpha, \mu}^{1, p} /\{0\}$, then

$$
\Phi_{u}^{\prime}(t)=\Psi_{u}(t)-I_{f}(u)
$$

Easy computations show that $\Psi_{u}$ is concave and achieves its maximum at $t_{u}^{\max }$, also

$$
\Psi_{u}\left(t_{u}^{\max }\right)=\left(p^{*}-p\right)\left(\frac{\|u\|_{\alpha, \mu}^{p}}{p^{*}-1}\right)^{\left(p^{*}-1\right) /\left(p^{*}-p\right)}\left(\frac{p-1}{\|u\|_{p^{*}}^{p^{*}}}\right)^{(p-1) /\left(p^{*}-p\right)}
$$

Then we can get easily the conclusion of our Lemma.
By the previous lemma we know that $\mathcal{N}^{+}$and $\mathcal{N}^{-}$are not empty, so we can define

$$
\theta^{+}:=\inf _{u \in \mathcal{N}^{+}} I_{1}(u) \text { and } \theta^{-}:=\inf _{u \in \mathcal{N}^{-}} I_{1}(u) .
$$

Lemma 2.6 Assume that $f \in D$. Then for any $u \in \mathcal{N}^{ \pm}$, there exist $\varepsilon>0$ and $a$ differentiable function $\zeta=\zeta(v), v \in W_{\alpha, \mu}^{1, p}(\Omega),\|v\|_{\alpha, \mu}<\varepsilon$, such that $\xi(0)=1$, $\zeta(v)(u-v) \in \mathcal{N}^{ \pm}$and

$$
\left(\zeta^{\prime}(0), v\right)=\frac{\int_{\Omega}\left[p\left(\frac{|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla v}{|x|^{p \alpha}}-\mu \frac{u^{p-2} u v}{|x|^{p(\alpha+1)}}\right)-p^{*} \frac{|u|^{p^{*}-2} u v}{\left.|x|\right|^{p^{*} \beta}}-f v\right] d x}{(p-1)\|u\|_{\alpha, \mu}^{p}-\left(p^{*}-1\right)\|u\|_{p^{*}}^{p^{*}}}
$$

Proof. Define $\varphi: \mathbb{R} \times W_{\alpha, \mu}^{1, p}(\Omega) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\varphi(\zeta, v)=\zeta^{p-1}\|u-v\|_{\alpha, \mu}^{p}-\zeta^{p^{*}-1}\|u-v\|_{p^{*}}^{p^{*}}-\int_{\Omega} f(u-v) d x
$$

As $u \in \mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{N}^{0}=\varnothing$, we have

$$
\varphi(1,0)=0, \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \zeta}(1,0)=(p-1)\|u\|_{\alpha, \mu}^{p}-\left(p^{*}-1\right)\|u\|_{p^{*}}^{p^{*}} \neq 0 .
$$

Then by the implicit function Theorem, we get our result.

Lemma 2.7 Let $f \in D$, then there exist $\theta_{0}^{+}<0$ and $\theta_{0}^{-}>0$ such that $\theta^{+} \leq \theta_{0}^{+}$and $\theta^{-} \geqslant \theta_{0}^{-}$.

Proof. Let $v \in W_{\alpha, \mu}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ be the unique solution of the following problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
-\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u}{|x|^{p \alpha}}\right)-\mu \frac{|u|^{p-2} u}{|x|^{p(\alpha+1)}}=f & \text { in } \Omega, \\
u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then, as $f \not \equiv 0$ we have $I_{f}(v)=\|v\|_{\alpha, \mu}^{p}>0$ and $\|v\|_{\alpha, \mu}^{p}=\|f\|_{-}^{p}$ where $\|\cdot\|_{-}=\|\cdot\|_{W_{\mu}^{*}}$. Moreover from Lemma 2.5, there exists $t_{v}^{-}>0$ such that $t_{v}^{-} v \in \mathcal{N}^{+}$. This implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta^{+} & \leq I_{1}\left(t_{v}^{-} v\right) \\
& =\frac{(1-p)\left(t_{v}^{-}\right)^{p}}{p}\|v\|_{\alpha, \mu}^{p}+\frac{1-p^{*}}{p^{*}}\left(t_{v}^{-}\right)^{p^{*}}\|v\|_{p^{*}}^{p^{*}} \\
& \leq \frac{(1-p)\left(t_{v}^{-}\right)^{p}}{p}\|v\|_{\alpha, \mu}^{p} \\
& \leq \frac{(1-p)}{p}\left(t_{v}^{-}\right)^{p}\|f\|_{-}^{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We deduce that $\theta^{+} \leq \theta_{0}<0$ where $\theta_{0}=\frac{(1-p)}{p}\left(t_{v}^{-}\right)^{p}\|f\|_{-}^{p}$.
On the other hand, there exists. $t_{v}^{+}>0$ such that $t_{v}^{+} v \in \mathcal{N}^{-}$which yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta^{-} & \geq I_{1}\left(t_{v}^{+} v\right) \\
& =\left(t_{v}^{+}\right)^{p}\|v\|_{\mu}^{p}-\frac{p^{*}-1}{p-1}\left(t_{v}^{+}\right)^{p^{*}}\|v\|_{p^{*}}^{p^{*}} \\
& \geq\left(t_{v}^{+}\right)^{p}\left[\frac{(p-1)}{\left(p^{*}-1\right)} S_{\mu}\right]^{\left(p^{*} / p^{*}-p\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $\theta^{-} \geq \theta_{0}^{-}>0$ where

$$
\theta_{0}^{-}=\left(t_{v}^{+}\right)^{p}\left[\frac{(p-1)}{\left(p^{*}-1\right)} S_{\mu}\right]^{\left(p^{*} / p^{*}-p\right)} .
$$

The proof is complete.

Lemma 2.8 Assume that $f \in D$. Then, there exists a minimizing sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)$ such that

$$
I_{1}\left(u_{n}\right) \longrightarrow \theta^{+} \text {and } I_{1}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { in } W^{*}(\Omega) .
$$

Proof. It is easy to prove that $I_{1}$ is bounded in $\mathcal{N}^{+}$, then by applying Ekeland's variational principle, there exists a minimizing sequence $\left(u_{n}\right) \subset \mathcal{N}^{+}$satisfying

$$
\theta^{+} \leq I_{1}\left(u_{n}\right) \leq \theta^{+}+\frac{1}{n} \text { and } I_{1}(u) \geq I_{1}\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{n}\left\|u-u_{n}\right\|_{\alpha, \mu} \text { for all } u \in \mathcal{N}^{+} .
$$

From the preceding lemma we have $\theta^{+} \leq \theta_{0}$. So that

$$
\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\right)\|u\|_{\alpha, \mu}^{p}<\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\right) \frac{(1-p)}{p}\left(t_{v}^{-}\right)^{p}\|f\|_{-}^{p}+\frac{p^{*}-1}{p^{*}}\|f\|_{-}^{p-1}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\alpha, \mu},
$$

and

$$
\frac{p^{*}(p-1)}{p}\left(t_{v}^{-}\right)^{p}\|f\|_{-}^{p} \leq I_{f}\left(u_{n}\right) \leq\|f\|_{-}^{p-1}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\alpha, \mu}
$$

for $n$ large, this implies that $C_{1} \leq\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\alpha, \mu} \leq C_{2}$ with

$$
C_{1}=\frac{p^{*}(p-1)}{p\left(p^{*}-1\right)}\left(t_{v}^{-}\right)^{p}\|f\|
$$

and

$$
C_{2}=\frac{p\left(p^{*}-1\right)}{(p-1)\left(p^{*}-p\right)}\|f\|_{-} .
$$

Now, we show that $I_{1}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ in $W_{\alpha, \mu}^{*}$, For that, fix $n$ such that $\left\|I_{1}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{-} \neq 0$.
Then by Lemma 2.6 there exist $\varepsilon>0$ and a function $\zeta_{n}: B_{\varepsilon} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
w_{n}=\zeta_{n}\left(v_{n}\right)\left(u_{n}-v_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{N}^{+}
$$

with

$$
v_{n}=\delta \frac{I_{1}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)}{\left\|I_{1}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{-}} \text {and } 0<\delta<\varepsilon
$$

Let $A_{n}=\left\|w_{n}-u_{n}\right\|_{\alpha, \mu}$, by the Taylor expansion of $I_{1}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\frac{1}{n} A_{n} \leq & I_{1}\left(w_{n}\right)-I_{1}\left(u_{n}\right) \\
\leq & \left\langle I_{1}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), w_{n}-u_{n}\right\rangle+\circ\left(A_{n}\right) \\
= & \left(\zeta_{n}\left(v_{n}\right)-1\right)\left\langle I_{1}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle-\delta \zeta_{n}\left(v_{n}\right)\left\langle I_{1}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), \frac{I_{1}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)}{\left\|I_{1}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{-}}\right\rangle+ \\
& \circ\left(A_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{n}\left(v_{n}\right)\left\|I_{1}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{-} \leq \frac{\zeta_{n}\left(v_{n}\right)-1}{\delta}\left\langle I_{1}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle+\frac{A_{n}}{n \delta}+\frac{\circ\left(A_{n}\right)}{\delta} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \zeta_{n}\left(v_{n}\right)=1, \lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\left|\zeta_{n}\left(v_{n}\right)-1\right|}{\delta}=\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\left|\zeta_{n}\left(v_{n}\right)-\zeta_{n}(0)\right|}{\delta} \leq\left\|\zeta_{n}^{\prime}(0)\right\|_{-},
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{A_{n}}{n \delta} & =\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{n \delta}\left\|\left(\zeta_{n}\left(v_{n}\right)-1\right) u_{n}-\zeta_{n}\left(v_{n}\right) v_{n}\right\|_{\mu} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{n}\left(\left\|\zeta_{n}^{\prime}(0)\right\|_{-}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\alpha, \mu}+1\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking $\delta \rightarrow 0$ in (2.2) and since $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is a bounded sequence we get

$$
\left\|I_{1}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{\alpha, \mu} \leq \frac{C_{3}}{n}\left(\left\|\zeta_{n}^{\prime}(0)\right\|_{-}+1\right)
$$

for a suitable constant $C_{3}>0$. Now, we must show that $\left\|\zeta_{n}^{\prime}(0)\right\|_{-}$is uniformly bounded in $n$.

From the boundedness of $\left(u_{n}\right)$ we have by Lemma 2.6

$$
\left\langle\zeta_{n}^{\prime}(0), v\right\rangle \leq \frac{C_{4}\|v\|_{\alpha, \mu}}{\left|(p-1)\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\alpha, \mu}^{p}-\left(p^{*}-1\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{p^{*}}^{p^{*}}\right|},
$$

for all $v \in W_{\alpha, \mu}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ and some constant $C_{4}>0$. We only need to show that for any sequence $\left(u_{n}\right) \subset \mathcal{N}^{+}$

$$
\left|(p-1)\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\alpha, \mu}^{p}-\left(p^{*}-1\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{p^{*}}^{p^{*}}\right|>C_{5},
$$

for some constant $C_{5}>0$.
Assume by contradiction that there exists $\left(u_{n}\right) \subset \mathcal{N}^{+}$such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[(p-1)\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\alpha, \mu}^{p}-\left(p^{*}-1\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{p^{*}}^{p^{*}}\right]=0
$$

Then as $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\mu} \geq C_{1}>0$, we get

$$
\frac{\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{p^{*}}^{p^{*}}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\alpha, \mu}^{p}}=\frac{(p-1)}{p^{*}-1}+o_{n}(1) \text { and }(p-1) I_{f}\left(u_{n}\right)=\left(p^{*}-p\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{p^{*}}^{p^{*}}+o_{n}(1),
$$

where $\circ_{n}(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. But this is impossible since, as in the proof of Lemma
2.4 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\circ_{n}(1) & =(p-1)\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\alpha, \mu}^{p}-\left(p^{*}-1\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{p^{*}}^{p^{*}} \\
& =\left(p^{*}-p\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{p^{*}}^{p^{*}}-(p-1) I_{f}\left(u_{n}\right) \\
& =\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{p^{*}}\left[\left(p^{*}-p\right)\left(\frac{\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\alpha, \mu}^{p}}{\left(p^{*}-1\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{p^{*}}^{p^{*}}}\right)^{\left(p^{*}-1\right) /\left(p^{*}-p\right)}-\frac{I_{f}\left(u_{n}\right)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{p^{*}}}\right] \\
& >0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

At this point we conclude that $I_{1}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ in $W_{\mu}^{*}(\Omega)$.

### 2.3 Existence of ground state solution

By previous results about Nehari manifold and precedent preliminary results we prove the existence of a ground state solution of problem (2.1).

Theorem 2.9 Let $-\infty<\alpha<(N-p) / p, \alpha \leq \beta<\alpha+1$ and $-\infty \leq \mu<\bar{\mu}$. Assume that $f \in D$, then problem (2.1) has a ground state solution $u$.

Proof. First, we prove that $I_{1}$ can achieve a local minimum on $\mathcal{N}^{+}$.

According to the proof of lemma 2.8, there exists a minimizing sequence
$\left(u_{n}\right) \subset \mathcal{N}^{+}$such that $C_{1} \leq\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\alpha, \mu} \leq C_{2}$. Up to a subsequence if necessary, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{n} \rightharpoonup u_{1} \text { in } W_{\alpha, \mu}^{1, p}(\Omega) \\
& u_{n} \rightharpoonup u_{1} \text { in } L^{p^{*}}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-p^{*} \beta}\right) \\
& u_{n} \rightarrow u_{1} \text { a.e in } \Omega .
\end{aligned}
$$

For some $u_{1} \in W_{\alpha, \mu}^{1, p}(\Omega)$. As $\theta^{+}<0$ then $u_{1} \not \equiv 0$. Suppose otherwise, so $\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{\alpha, \mu}<\underline{\lim }_{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\alpha, \mu}$, which implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta^{+} & \leq I_{1}\left(u_{1}\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\right)\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{\alpha, \mu}^{p}-\left(1-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\right) I_{f}\left(u_{1}\right) \\
& <\lim _{n \rightarrow \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{p^{*}-p}{p^{*} p}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\alpha, \mu}^{p}-\frac{p^{*}-1}{p^{*}} I_{f}\left(u_{n}\right)\right) \\
& =\theta^{+}
\end{aligned}
$$

This is a contradiction, which leads to conclude that $u_{n} \rightarrow u_{1}$ in $W_{\alpha, \mu}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ and $I_{1}\left(u_{1}\right)=\theta^{+}$.

Moreover, we have $u_{1} \in \mathcal{N}^{+}$. In fact, if $u_{1} \in \mathcal{N}^{-}$then by Lemma 2.5, $t_{u_{1}}^{+}=1$ and there exists unique $t_{u_{1}}^{-}>0$ such that $t_{u_{1}}^{-} u_{1} \in \mathcal{N}^{+}$.

Since

$$
\left.\frac{d I_{1}\left(t u_{1}\right)}{d t}\right|_{t=t_{u_{1}}^{-\bar{u}}}=0,\left.\quad \frac{d^{2} I_{1}\left(t u_{1}\right)}{d t}\right|_{t=t_{\bar{u}_{1}}^{-\bar{u}}}>0
$$

there exists $t_{u_{1}}^{-}<t_{u_{1}}^{0}<t_{u_{1}}^{+}$such that $I_{1}\left(t_{u_{1}}^{-} u_{1}\right)<I_{1}\left(t_{u_{1}}^{0} u_{1}\right) \leq I_{1}\left(t_{u_{1}}^{+} u_{1}\right)=I_{1}\left(u_{1}\right)$, which is a contradiction.

Hence $u_{1} \in \mathcal{N}^{+}$and

$$
\theta^{+}=\inf _{u \in \mathcal{N}^{+}} I_{1}(u)=\inf _{u \in \mathcal{N}} I_{1}(u)
$$

By the Lagrange multiplier rule, there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\Phi_{u_{1}}^{\prime}(1)=I_{1}^{\prime}\left(u_{1}\right)=\lambda \Phi^{\prime \prime}(1)
$$

with implies that

$$
0=\left\langle I_{1}^{\prime}\left(u_{1}\right), u_{1}\right\rangle=\lambda\left\langle J^{\prime}\left(u_{1}\right), u_{1}\right\rangle
$$

we have $\left\langle J^{\prime}\left(u_{1}\right), u_{1}\right\rangle \neq 0$, so $\lambda=0$ and $I{ }_{1}^{\prime}\left(u_{1}\right)=0$.
Thus $u_{1}$ is a ground state solution of problem (2.1).

### 2.4 Existence of the second solution

In the following, we prove that problem (2.1) has a second solution $u_{2}$.

Theorem 2.10 Suppose that $2 \leq p<N, \mu=0, \alpha=0, p^{*}=p N /(N-p \beta)$ and $f(x) \geq a_{0}>0$ in a small neighborhood of 0 and satisfies $\gamma_{f}>0$. Then, problem (2.1) has a second solution.

Lemma 2.11 Let $1<p<N, \mu=0, \alpha=0$ and $f \not \equiv 0$ satisfies $\gamma_{f}>0$. Then $I_{1}(u)$ verifies the Palais-Smale condition at level $c$ for all $c<\theta^{+}+\frac{1}{N}\left(S_{0}\right)^{\frac{N}{p}}$.

Proof. Assume that $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is a sequence in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ satisfying as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c<\frac{1}{N}\left(S_{0}\right)^{\frac{N}{p}} \text { and } I_{1}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { in } W_{0}^{*}(\Omega) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 2.8, we know that $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is bounded in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$. Then, there exist a subsequence (still denoted by $\left.\left(u_{n}\right)\right)$ and $u_{2}$ in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ such that $u_{2} \not \equiv 0$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{n} \rightharpoonup u_{2} \text { in } W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \\
& u_{n} \rightharpoonup u_{2} \text { in } L_{p^{*}}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-p^{*} \beta}\right), \\
& u_{n} \rightarrow u_{2} \text { a.e.in } \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

Denote $v_{n}=u_{n}-u_{2}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{n} \rightharpoonup 0 \text { in } W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \\
& v_{n} \rightharpoonup 0 \text { in } L_{p^{*}}\left(\Omega,|x|^{-p^{*} \beta}\right), \\
& v_{n} \rightarrow 0 \text { a.e.in } \Omega .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the Brézis - Lieb Lemma [16] we have

$$
\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{0}^{p}=\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{0}^{p}+\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{0}^{p}+o_{n}(1),
$$

and

$$
\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{p_{*}}^{p_{*}}=\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{p_{*}}^{p_{*}}+\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{p_{*}}^{p_{*}}+o_{n}(1) .
$$

Then, from (2.3) we deduce that

$$
c+o_{n}(1)=I_{1}\left(u_{2}\right)+\frac{1}{p}\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{0}^{p}-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{p^{*}}^{p^{*}}
$$

and

$$
\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{0}^{p}-\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{p^{*}}^{p^{*}}=o_{n}(1)
$$

Using the fact that $v_{n} \rightharpoonup 0$ in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$, we can assume that

$$
\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{0}^{p} \rightarrow l \text { and }\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{p^{*}}^{p^{*}} \rightarrow l \geq 0
$$

So, by the Sobolev-Hardy inequality, we get $l \geq S_{0} p^{p / p^{*}}$.
Now, assume that $l \neq 0$, then

$$
l \geq\left(S_{0}\right)^{p^{*} /\left(p^{*}-p\right)}
$$

and we obtain

$$
c=I_{1}\left(u_{2}\right)+\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\right) l \geq I_{1}\left(u_{2}\right)+\frac{1}{N}\left(S_{0}\right)^{\frac{N}{p}} .
$$

As $I_{1}\left(u_{2}\right) \geq \theta^{+}$, we get a contradiction. So again $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ strongly.
In order, to prove Theorem 2.10, we need the following key lemma.

Lemma 2.12 Suppose that $2 \leq p<N, \mu=0, \alpha=0, f(x) \geq a_{0}>0$ in a small neighborhood of 0 and satisfies $\gamma_{f}>0$. Then

$$
\theta^{-}<\theta^{+}+\frac{1}{N}\left(S_{0}\right)^{\frac{N}{p}}
$$

Proof. Set
$\mathcal{M}_{1}=\{0\} \cup\left\{u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega):\|u\|_{0}<t_{u\|u\|_{0}^{-1}}^{+}\right\}$and $\mathcal{M}_{2}=\left\{u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega):\|u\|_{0}>t_{u\|u\|_{0}^{-1}}^{+}\right\}$.

We have $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \backslash \mathcal{N}^{-}=\mathcal{M}_{1} \cup \mathcal{M}_{2}, \mathcal{N}^{+} \subset \mathcal{M}_{1}, u_{1} \in \mathcal{M}_{1}$ and $u_{1}+T v_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{M}_{2}$ for some real $T>0$. Let

$$
\Gamma=\left\{h:[0,1] \rightarrow W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \text { continuous, } h(0)=u_{1}, h(1)=u_{1}+T v_{\varepsilon}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\tilde{h}(t)=u_{1}+t T v_{\varepsilon} \text { with } t \in[0,1] .
$$

It is obvious that $\tilde{h}$ belongs to $\Gamma$ and the range of any $h \in \Gamma$ intersects $\mathcal{N}^{-}$. Then

$$
\theta^{-} \leq \inf _{h \in \Gamma} \max _{t \in[0,1]} I_{1}(h(t)) .
$$

Now, we show that

$$
\sup _{t \geq 0} I_{1}\left(u_{1}+t v_{\varepsilon}\right)<\theta^{+}+\frac{1}{(N)}\left(S_{0}\right)^{\frac{N}{p}} .
$$

To this purpose, we define $g(t):=I_{1}\left(u_{1}+t v_{\varepsilon}\right)$, then

$$
g(0)=I_{1}\left(u_{1}\right)<\theta^{+}+\frac{1}{N}\left(S_{0}\right)^{\frac{N}{p}}
$$

and by the continuity of $g$ there exists $t_{0}>0$ small enough such that

$$
g(t)<\theta^{+}+\frac{1}{N}\left(S_{0}\right)^{\frac{N}{p}}
$$

for all $t \in\left(0, t_{0}\right)$. On the other hand, it is easy to see that $g(t) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $t \rightarrow+\infty$, that is, there exists $t_{1}>0$ large enough such that

$$
g(t)<\theta^{+}+\frac{1}{N}\left(S_{0}\right)^{\frac{N}{p}}
$$

for all $t \geq t_{1}$. So we only need to show that

$$
\sup _{t_{0} \leq t \leq t_{1}} g(t)<\theta^{+}+\frac{1}{N}\left(S_{0}\right)^{\frac{N}{p}} .
$$

Let $\varepsilon$ be sufficiently small such that $f(x) \geq a_{0}>0$ in $B(0, \varepsilon)$. Then, we get from Lemma 2.1

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{t_{0} \leq t \leq t_{1}} I_{1}\left(t v_{\varepsilon}\right) & \leq \sup _{t \geq 0}\left(\frac{1}{p}\left\|t v_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{0}^{p}-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\left\|t v_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{p^{*}}^{p^{*}}\right)-t_{0} \int_{\Omega} f v_{\varepsilon} d x \\
& \leq \sup _{t \geq 0}\left(\frac{1}{p}\left\|t v_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{0}^{p}-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\left\|t v_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{p^{*}}^{p^{*}}\right)-t_{0} a_{0} \int_{\Omega} v_{\varepsilon} d x \\
& \leq \frac{1}{N}\left(S_{0}\right)^{\frac{N}{p}}+O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-p}{p}}\right)-O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-p}{p^{2}}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For the second one, we can assume that the first solution $u_{1}$ is smooth and $\nabla u_{1} \in$ $L_{\infty}(\Omega)$. Thus we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{t_{0} \leq t \leq t_{1}} g(t)= & \sup _{t_{0} \leq t \leq t_{1}} I_{1}\left(u_{1}+t v_{\varepsilon}\right) \\
\leq & I_{1}\left(u_{1}\right)+\sup _{t \geq 0} I_{1}\left(t v_{\varepsilon}\right)+C_{1} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\nabla u_{1}\right|^{p-1}\left|\nabla v_{\varepsilon}\right|+\left|\nabla v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p-1}\left|\nabla u_{1}\right|\right) d x+ \\
& \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|u_{1}\right|^{p^{*}-1} v_{\varepsilon}+\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p^{*}-1} u_{1}\right) d x \\
\leq & \theta^{+}+\frac{1}{N}\left(S_{0}\right)^{\frac{N}{p}}+O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-p}{p}}\right)-O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-p}{p^{2}}}\right)+O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-p}{p^{2}}}\right)+O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{(N-p)(p-1)}{p^{2}}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

From

$$
\frac{N-p}{p}>\frac{N-p}{p^{2}}>\frac{(N-p)(p-1)}{p^{2}}
$$

we have

$$
O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-p}{p}}\right)-O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-p}{p^{2}}}\right)+O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-p}{p^{2}}}\right)+O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{(N-p)(p-1)}{p^{2}}}\right)=O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{(N-p)(p-1)}{p^{2}}}\right)+O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-p}{p}}\right) .
$$

Since

$$
\frac{(N-p)(p-1)}{p^{2}}+\frac{N-p}{p}>0
$$

then

$$
\sup _{t_{0} \leq t \leq t_{1}} I_{1}\left(u_{1}+t v_{\varepsilon}\right)<\theta^{+}+\frac{1}{N}\left(S_{0}\right)^{\frac{N}{p}},
$$

for $\varepsilon$ small enough.
The proof is now complete.

## Chapter 3

## Elliptic p-Kirchhoff type equations

## with critical Sobolev exponent

## in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$

### 3.1 Introduction

In this chapter we are concerned with the following regular $p$-Kirchhoff type problem in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ with critical Sobolev exponent.

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left(a \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{p} d x+b\right) \operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)=|u|^{p^{*}-2} u+\lambda f(x) \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $1<p<N, a, b \geq 0, a+b>0, \lambda$ is a parameter, $p^{*}=p N /[N-p]$, $f \in W^{*} \backslash\{0\}$. Here, $W^{*}$ is the dual space of $W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ denotes the completion of $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with respect to the norm

$$
\|u\|=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p}
$$

Note that if $a=\lambda=0, b=1$ and $1<p<N$, (3.1) reduces to the following problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)=|u|^{p^{*}-2} u, \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sciunzi in [47] provided that if $u$ is a positive solution of (3.2) then $u(x)=v_{\varepsilon, x_{0}}(x)$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{\varepsilon, x_{0}}(x):=\left[\frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p-1}} N^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\frac{N-p}{p-1}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}}{\varepsilon^{\frac{p}{p-1}}+\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}}\right]^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \quad \varepsilon>0, x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, $u$ is a minimizer for

$$
S:=\inf _{u \in W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\|u\|^{p}}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{p^{*}} d x\right)^{p / p^{*}}}
$$

and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|v_{\varepsilon, x_{0}}\right\|^{p}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|v_{\varepsilon, x_{0}}\right|^{p^{*}} d x=S^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}}, \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 3.1 We say that $u \in W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \backslash\{0\}$ is a weak solution of equation (3.1)
if

$$
\left(a\|u\|^{p}+b\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|u|^{p^{*}-2} u+\lambda f(x)\right) v d x=0
$$

for any $v \in W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.

Next, we define the energy functional

$$
I_{2}(u)=\frac{a}{2 p}\|u\|^{2 p}+\frac{b}{p}\|u\|^{p}-\frac{1}{p^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{p^{*}} d x-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x) u d x,
$$

associated to problem (3.1), for all $u \in W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.

Notice that the functional $I_{2}$ is well defined in $W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, belongs to $C^{1}\left(W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \mathbb{R}\right)$ and a critical point of $I_{2}$ is a weak solution of problem (3.1).

First, we make the following assumptions:
$\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}\right) p^{*}>2 p, a \geq 0, b \geq 0$ and $a+b>0$,
$\left(\mathcal{H}_{1}\right) p^{*}=2 p, a>0$ and $b>0$,
$\left(\mathcal{H}_{2}\right) p^{*}=2 p, 0<a<S^{-2}$ and $b>0$.

When $\lambda>0$, we have the following results.

### 3.2 Palais Smale condition

Lemma 3.2 Suppose that $f \in W^{*} \backslash\{0\}$ and assume that $\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}\right)$ or $\left(\mathcal{H}_{1}\right)$ holds. Let $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\left(u_{n}\right) \subset W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ be a $(P S)_{c}$ sequence for $I_{2}$, then

$$
u_{n} \rightharpoonup u \text { in } W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
$$

for some $u \in W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with $I_{2}^{\prime}(u)=0$.

Proof. We have

$$
I_{2}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c \text { and } I_{2}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0,
$$

that is

$$
c+o_{n}(1)=I_{2}\left(u_{n}\right) \text { and } o_{n}(1)\|v\|=\left\langle I_{2}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), v\right\rangle,
$$

for any $v \in W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, where $o_{n}(1)$ denotes any quantity that tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Then as $n \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
c+o_{n}(1)-\frac{1}{p^{*}} o_{n}(1) & \| \\
& u_{n} \|=I_{2}\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\left\langle I_{2}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle \\
& =a \frac{p^{*}-2 p}{2 p p^{*}}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2 p}+b \frac{p^{*}-p}{p p^{*}}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}-\lambda \frac{p^{*}-1}{p^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x) u_{n} d x, \\
& \geq a \frac{p^{*}-2 p}{2 p p^{*}}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2 p}+b \frac{p^{*}-p}{p p^{*}}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}-\lambda \frac{p^{*}-1}{p^{*}}\|f\|_{W^{*}}\left\|u_{n}\right\|,
\end{aligned}
$$

that is, $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is bounded in $W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ if $\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}\right)$ or $\left(\mathcal{H}_{1}\right)$ holds. Up to a subsequence if necessary, there exists a function $u \in W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ such that

$$
u_{n} \rightharpoonup u \text { in } W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \text { and in } L^{p^{*}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N},|x|^{-p^{*}}\right), u_{n} \rightarrow u \text { a. e. in } \mathbb{R}^{N},
$$

and

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x) u_{n} d x \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x) u d x .
$$

Then

$$
\left\langle I_{2}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), v\right\rangle=0 \text { for all } v \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right),
$$

thus $I_{2}^{\prime}(u)=0$. This completes the proof.
Before giving the local Palais Smale condition, we need the following lemma which is a key step to obtain a solution with positive energy (Mountain Pass type solution).

Lemma 3.3 Let $a, b \geq 0, a+b>0$ and $\sigma \geq 1$. For $y \geq 0$ we consider the function $\Psi: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{*}$, given by

$$
\Psi(y)=S^{-1} y^{\sigma}-a S y-b .
$$

Then
(1) If $\sigma=1,0 \leq a<S^{-2}$ and $b>0$ then the equation $\Psi(y)=0$ has a unique positive solution

$$
y_{1}=\frac{b}{\left(S^{-2}-a\right) S}
$$

and $\Psi(y) \geq 0$ for all $y \geq y_{1}$.
(2) If $\sigma>1$ then the equation $\Psi(y)=0$ has a unique positive solution $y_{2}>$ $\left(\frac{a}{\sigma} S^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma-1}}$ and $\Psi(y) \geq 0$ for all $y \geq y_{2}$.
(3) If $\sigma<1$. Let $\tilde{y}=\left(\frac{\sigma}{a} S^{-2}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}}$, then we have:
i) $\Psi$ has no zero point for $\Psi(\tilde{y})<0$.
ii) $\Psi$ has unique zero point for $\Psi(\tilde{y})=0$, Consequently, for

$$
b=S^{-1}(1-\sigma)\left(\frac{\sigma}{a} S^{-2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{1-\sigma}}
$$

iii) $\Psi$ has two different zero points for $\Psi(\tilde{y})>0$, with

$$
0<y_{3}<\tilde{y}<y_{4} .
$$

Proof. (1) For $\sigma=1,0 \leq a<S^{-2}$ and $b>0$, we have

$$
\Psi(y)=S\left(S^{-2}-a\right) y-b
$$

that is, the equation $\Psi(y)=0$ has a unique positive solution

$$
y_{1}=\frac{b}{\left(S^{-2}-a\right) S}
$$

and $\Psi(y) \geq 0$ for all $y \geq y_{1}$.
(2) For $\sigma>1$ we have $\Psi^{\prime}(y)=\sigma S^{-1} y^{\sigma-1}-a S$ and

$$
\Psi^{\prime \prime}(y)=\sigma(\sigma-1) S^{-1} y^{\sigma-2}>0, \quad \forall y>0
$$

Then $\Psi^{\prime}\left(\left(\frac{a}{\sigma} S^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma-1}}\right)=0, \Psi^{\prime}(y)<0$ for $y<\left(\frac{a}{\sigma} S^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma-1}}$ and $\Psi^{\prime}(y)>0$ for $y>$ $\left(\frac{a}{\sigma} S^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma-1}}$. Hence $\Psi$ is a concave function and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{y \geq 0} \Psi(y)=\Psi\left(\left(\frac{a}{\sigma} S^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma-1}}\right)=-(\sigma-1) S^{-1}\left(\frac{a}{\sigma} S^{2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}}<0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, we have $\Psi\left(\left(\frac{a}{\sigma} S^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma-1}}\right)<0$ and $\lim _{y \rightarrow+\infty} \Psi(y)=+\infty$, thus from (3.5) and the concavity of $\Psi$ we can conclude that the equation $\Psi(y)=0$ has a unique positive solution $y_{2}>\left(\frac{a}{\sigma} S^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma-1}}$ and $\Psi(y) \geq 0$ for all $y \geq y_{2}$.
(3) For $\sigma<1$. Let $\Psi^{\prime}(y)=0$, one has

$$
\tilde{y}=\left(\frac{\sigma}{a} S^{-2}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}},
$$

and when $0<y<\tilde{y}, \Psi$ is increasing, while $y>\tilde{y}, \Psi$ is decreasing. Moreover, from $\Psi(0)=-b<0$, we obtain that
i) $\Psi$ has no zero point for $\Psi(\tilde{y})<0$.
ii) $\Psi$ has unique zero point for $\Psi(\tilde{y})=0$, Consequently, for

$$
b=S^{-1}(1-\sigma)\left(\frac{\sigma}{a} S^{-2}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{1-\sigma}}
$$

iii) $\Psi$ has two different zero points for $\Psi(\tilde{y})>0$.

Next, for $i \in\{1,2\}$ we put

$$
C_{i}=a\left(\frac{1}{2 p}-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\right)\left(S y_{i}\right)^{2}+b\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\right) S y_{i}
$$

and

$$
C^{*}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
C_{1} \text { if } p^{*}=2 p, 0 \leq a<S^{-2}, b>0  \tag{3.6}\\
C_{2} \text { if } p^{*}>2 p, a \geq 0, b \geq 0, a+b>0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Now, we prove an important lemma which ensures the local compactness of the Palais Smale sequence for $I_{2}$.

Lemma 3.4 Suppose that $f \in W^{*} \backslash\{0\}$ and $\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}\right)$ or $\left(\mathcal{H}_{2}\right)$ holds. Let $\left(u_{n}\right) \subset W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ be a Palais Smale sequence for $I_{2}$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$
\text { either } u_{n} \rightarrow u \text { or } c \geq I_{2}(u)+C^{*} .
$$

Proof. By the proof of Lemma 3.2 we have $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is a bounded sequence in $W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ in $W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ for some $u \in W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with $I_{2}^{\prime}(u)=0$. Furthermore, if we write $v_{n}=u_{n}-u$, we derive

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
v_{n} \rightharpoonup 0 \text { in } W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \text { and in } L^{p^{*}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N},|x|^{-p^{*}}\right)  \tag{3.7}\\
v_{n} \rightarrow 0 \text { a. e. in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \\
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x) v_{n} d x \rightarrow 0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

On the one hand, by using Brézis-Lieb's Lemma [16], one has

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\|u\|^{p}=\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{p}+\|u\|^{p}+o_{n}(1)  \tag{3.8}\\
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|u_{n}\right|^{p^{*}}}{|x|^{p^{*}}} d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|v_{n}\right|^{p^{*}}}{|x| p^{p^{*}}} d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{p^{*}} d x+o_{n}(1) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

As $\left\langle I_{2}^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle=0$ we obtain by (3.7) and (3.8) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
o_{n}(1)=\left\langle I_{2}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle=\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{p}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\left|v_{n}\right|^{p^{*}}}{|x| p^{p^{*}}} d x \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
c+o_{n}(1)= & I_{2}\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\left\langle I_{2}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle \\
= & a\left(\frac{1}{2 p}-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\right)\left(\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{p}+\|u\|^{p}\right)^{2}+b\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\right)\left(\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{p}+\|u\|^{p}\right) \\
& +\lambda\left(\frac{1}{p^{*}}-1\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x) v_{n} d x-\lambda\left(\frac{1}{p^{*}}-1\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x) u d x \\
\geq & a\left(\frac{1}{2 p}-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\right)\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{2 p}+b\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\right)\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{p}+I_{2}(u)-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\left\langle I_{2}^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c+o_{n}(1) \geq I_{2}(u)+\left(\frac{a}{2 p}-\frac{a}{p^{*}}\right)\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{2 p}+\left(\frac{b}{p}-\frac{b}{p^{*}}\right)\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{p} . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|v_{n}\right\|=l>0$, then by (3.9) and the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality we obtain

$$
l^{p} \geq S\left(b l^{p}+a l^{2 p}\right)^{\frac{p}{p^{*}}}
$$

this implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{-\frac{p^{*}}{p}} l^{p^{*}-p}-a l^{p}-b \geq 0 . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $y=S^{-1} l^{p}$ and $\sigma=\frac{p^{*}-p}{p}$, then by (??) we get

$$
S^{-1} y^{\sigma}-a S y-b \geq 0
$$

It is clear that $\sigma \geq 1$, thanks to $p^{*} \geq 2 p$. So, from the definition of $\Psi$ we get $\Psi(y) \geq 0$.
We will discuss two cases:
Case 1. $p^{*}=2 p, 0 \leq a<S^{-2}$ and $b>0$. According to Lemma 3.3, we have $\Psi(y) \geq 0$ if $y \geq y_{1}$ with

$$
y_{1}=\frac{b}{\left(S^{-2}-a\right) S},
$$

which implies that $l^{p} \geq S y_{1}$.
Case 2. $p^{*}>2 p, a \geq 0, b \geq 0$ and $a+b>0$. In this case, it follows from lemma 3.3 that $\Psi(y) \geq 0$ if $y \geq y_{2}$ with

$$
y_{2}>\left(\frac{a p}{p^{*}-p} S^{2}\right)^{\frac{p}{p^{*}-2 p}}
$$

which implies that $l^{p} \geq S y_{2}$. Then by (3.10), one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
c & \geq I_{2}(u)+\left(\frac{a}{2 p}-\frac{a}{p^{*}}\right) l^{2 p}+\left(\frac{b}{p}-\frac{b}{p^{*}}\right) l^{p} \\
& \geq I_{2}(u)+\left\{\begin{array}{l}
b \frac{p^{*}-p}{p p^{*}} S y_{1} \text { if } p^{*}=2 p, 0 \leq a<S^{-2} \text { and } b>0, \\
a \frac{p^{*}-2 p}{2 p p^{*}}\left(S y_{2}\right)^{2}+b \frac{p^{*}-p}{p p^{*}} S y_{2} \text { if } p^{*}>2 p, a, b \geq 0 \text { and } a+b>0 .
\end{array}\right. \\
& =I_{2}(u)+C^{*} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof of Lemma 3.4 is completed.

### 3.3 Existence of solution with negative energy

Theorem 3.5 Suppose that $f \in W^{*} \backslash\{0\}$ and assume that $\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}\right)$ or $\left(\mathcal{H}_{1}\right)$ holds. Then there exists a constants $\lambda_{-}>0$ such that for any $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{-}\right)$problem (3.1) has a solution $u_{-}$with negative energy.

Remark 3.6 If $p^{*}<2 p, a \geq 0, b \geq 0$ and $a+b>0$ or $p^{*}=2 p, a=S^{-2}$ and $b>0$ or $p^{*}=2 p, a>S^{-2}$ and $b \geq 0$, then for any $\lambda>0$, we can easily show the existence of one solution which is a ground state solution.

We give here the proof of our Theorem 3.5 by using Ekeland's variational principle.

Proof. Let $u \in W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \backslash\{0\}, b>0, a \geq 0$ and $p^{*} \geq 2 p$. By Hölder and Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2}(u) & =\frac{a}{2 p}\|u\|^{2 p}+\frac{b}{p}\|u\|^{p}-\frac{1}{p^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{p^{*}} d x-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x) u d x \\
& \geq \frac{b}{p}\|u\|^{p}+\frac{a}{2 p}\|u\|^{2 p}-\frac{S^{-p^{*} / p}}{p^{*}}\|u\|^{p^{*}}-\lambda\|f\|_{W^{*}}\|u\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we divide the proof in two cases.
Firstly, assume that $b>0$ and $a \geq 0$. If $\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}\right)$ or $\left(\mathcal{H}_{1}\right)$ holds, we get

$$
I_{2}(u) \geq \frac{b}{p}\|u\|^{p}-\frac{S^{-p^{*} / p}}{p^{*}}\|u\|^{\|^{*}}-\left(\frac{b}{2}\right)^{\frac{-1}{p}} \lambda\|f\|_{W^{*}}\left(\frac{b}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\|u\|,
$$

it follows from the inequality $X Y \leq \frac{X^{q}}{q}+\frac{Y^{q^{\prime}}}{q^{\prime}}$ for any $X, Y \geq 0$ and $q, q^{\prime}>0$ with $\frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{q^{\prime}}=1$, that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2}(u) & \geq \frac{b}{p}\|u\|^{p}-\frac{S^{-p^{*} / p}}{p^{*}}\|u\|^{p^{*}}-\frac{p-1}{p}\left(\left(\frac{b}{2}\right)^{\frac{-1}{p}} \lambda\|f\|_{W^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}-\frac{1}{p}\left(\left(\frac{b}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\|u\|\right)^{p} \\
& \geq \frac{b}{2 p}\|u\|^{p}-\frac{S^{-p^{*} / p}}{p^{*}}\|u\|^{p^{*}}-\frac{p-1}{p}\left(\left(\frac{b}{2}\right)^{\frac{-1}{p}} \lambda\|f\|_{W^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\rho \geq 0$ we consider the function $h_{1}: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{*}$, given by

$$
h_{1}(\rho)=\frac{b}{2 p} \rho^{p}-\frac{S^{-p^{*} / p}}{p^{*}} \rho^{p^{*}},
$$

direct calculation shows that

$$
\max _{\rho \geq 0} h_{1}(\rho)=h_{1}\left(\rho_{1}\right)=\frac{p^{*}-p}{p p^{*}} S^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}}\left(\frac{b}{2}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}} \text { with } \rho_{1}=\left[\frac{b}{2} S^{p^{*} / p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p^{*}-p}}
$$

and $h_{1}(\rho) \geq 0 \forall \rho \in B_{\rho_{1}}(0)$.
Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.I_{2}(u)\right|_{B \rho_{1}(0)} \geq-\frac{p-1}{p}\left(\left(\frac{b}{2}\right)^{\frac{-1}{p}} \lambda\|f\|_{W^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, for $\|u\|=\rho_{1}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2}(u) & \geq h_{1}\left(\rho_{1}\right)-\frac{p-1}{p}\left(\left(\frac{b}{2}\right)^{\frac{-1}{p}} \lambda\|f\|_{W^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{p} h_{1}\left(\rho_{1}\right)+\frac{p-1}{p} h_{1}\left(\rho_{1}\right)-\frac{p-1}{p}\left(\left(\frac{b}{2}\right)^{\frac{-1}{p}} \lambda\|f\|_{W^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{p} h_{1}\left(\rho_{1}\right) \\
& =: \delta_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{1}\right)$ with

$$
\lambda_{1}=\left(\frac{p^{*}-p}{p p^{*}} S^{p^{p^{*}}-p}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\|f\|_{W^{*}}^{-1}\left(\frac{b}{2}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}-1}{p^{*}-p}} .
$$

We turn to the case where $a>0$ and $b \geq 0$. If $\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}\right)$ holds we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2}(u) & \geq \frac{a}{2 p}\|u\|^{2 p}-\frac{S^{-p^{*} / p}}{p^{*}}\|u\|^{p^{*}}-\left(\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{\frac{-1}{2 p}} \lambda\|f\|_{W^{*}}\right)\left(\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 p}}\|u\|\right) \\
& \geq \frac{a}{2 p}\|u\|^{2 p}-\frac{S^{-p^{*} / p}}{p^{*}}\|u\|^{p^{*}}-\frac{2 p-1}{2 p}\left(\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{\frac{-1}{2 p}} \lambda\|f\|_{W^{*}}\right)^{\frac{2 p}{2 p-1}}-\frac{1}{2 p}\left(\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 p}}\|u\|\right)^{2 p} \\
& \geq \frac{a}{4 p}\|u\|^{2 p}-\frac{S^{-p^{*} / p}}{p^{*}} \rho^{p^{*}}-\frac{2 p-1}{2 p}\left(\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{\frac{-1}{2 p}} \lambda\|f\|_{W^{*}}\right)^{\frac{2 p}{2 p-1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we consider the function $h_{2}: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{*}$, given by

$$
h_{2}(\rho)=\frac{a}{4 p} \rho^{2 p}-\frac{S^{-p^{*} / p}}{p^{*}} \rho^{p^{*}}
$$

then

$$
\max _{\rho \geq 0} h_{2}(\rho)=h_{2}\left(\rho_{2}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{2 p}-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\right) S^{-p^{*} / p}\left[\frac{a}{2} S^{p^{*} / p}\right]^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-2 p}} \text { with } \rho_{2}=\left[\frac{a}{2} S^{p^{*} / p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p^{*}-2 p}}
$$

and $h_{2}(\rho) \geq 0 \forall \rho \in B_{\rho_{2}}(0)$.
Consequently,

$$
\left.I_{2}(u)\right|_{B_{\rho_{2}}(0)} \geq-\frac{2 p-1}{2 p}\left(\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{\frac{-1}{2 p}} \lambda\|f\|_{W^{*}}\right)^{\frac{2 p}{2 p-1}} .
$$

Moreover, for $\|u\|=\rho_{2}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2}(u) & \geq h_{2}\left(\rho_{2}\right)-\frac{2 p-1}{2 p}\left(\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{\frac{-1}{2 p}} \lambda\|f\|_{W^{*}}\right)^{\frac{2 p}{2 p-1}} \\
& \geq \frac{2 p-1}{2 p} h_{2}\left(\rho_{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2 p} h_{2}\left(\rho_{2}\right)-\frac{2 p-1}{2 p}\left(\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{\frac{-1}{2 p}} \lambda\|f\|_{W^{*}}\right)^{\frac{2 p}{2 p-1}} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2 p} h_{2}\left(\rho_{2}\right) \\
& =: \delta_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{2}\right)$ with

$$
\lambda_{2}=\left(\frac{p^{*}-2 p}{2 p p^{*}} S^{\frac{2 p^{*}}{p^{*}-2 p}}\right)^{\frac{2 p-1}{2 p}}\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}-1}{p^{*}-2 p}}\|f\|_{W^{*}}^{-1} .
$$

Choosing $\delta_{*}, \rho_{*}$ and $\lambda_{-}$such that

$$
\left(\delta_{*}, \rho_{*}, \lambda_{-}\right)= \begin{cases}\left(\delta_{1}, \rho_{1}, \lambda_{1}\right) & \text { if }\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}\right) \text { satisfies }  \tag{3.13}\\ \left(\delta_{2}, \rho_{2}, \lambda_{2}\right) & \text { if }\left(\mathcal{H}_{1}\right) \text { satisfies }\end{cases}
$$

Then, for all $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{-}\right)$we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.I_{2}(u)\right|_{\partial B_{\rho_{*}}(0)} \geq \delta_{*} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.I_{2}(u)\right|_{B_{\rho_{*}}(0)} \geq-C_{\lambda} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
C_{\lambda}:= \begin{cases}\frac{p-1}{p}\left(\left(\frac{b}{2}\right)^{\frac{-1}{p}} \lambda\|f\|_{W^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} & \text { if }\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}\right) \text { satisfies }  \tag{3.16}\\ \frac{2 p-1}{2 p}\left(\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{\frac{-1}{2 p}} \lambda\|f\|_{W^{*}}\right)^{\frac{2 p}{2 p-1}} & \text { if }\left(\mathcal{H}_{1}\right) \text { satisfies. }\end{cases}
$$

Now, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{-}=\inf \left\{I_{2}(u), u \in \bar{B}_{\rho_{*}}(0)\right\} . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $f \in W^{*} \backslash\{0\}$ we can choose $\varphi \in W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x) \varphi d x>0$. Then, for a fixed $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{-}\right)$, there exists $t_{0}>0$ such that $\left\|t_{0} \varphi\right\|<\rho_{*}$ and

$$
c_{-} \leq I_{2}\left(t_{0} \varphi\right)<0 \text { for } t \in\left(0, t_{0}\right)
$$

Hence, $c_{-}<I_{2}(0)=0$. Using Ekeland's variational principle, for the complete metric space $\bar{B}_{\rho_{*}}(0)$ with respect to the norm of $W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, we obtain the result that there exists a Palais Smale sequence $u_{n} \in \bar{B}_{\rho_{*}}(0)$ at level $c_{-}$. From Lemma 3.2 there exists $u_{-} \in \bar{B}_{\rho_{*}}(0)$ such that $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u_{-}$in $W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $I_{2}^{\prime}\left(u_{-}\right)=0$.

Now, we shall show that $u_{n} \rightarrow u_{-}$in $W^{1, p}$. Suppose otherwise, then $\left\|u_{-}\right\|<$ $\liminf _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|u_{n}\right\|$, which implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{-} & \leq I_{2}\left(u_{-}\right) \\
& =I_{2}\left(u_{-}\right)-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\left\langle I_{2}^{\prime}\left(u_{-}\right), u_{-}\right\rangle \\
& =a \frac{p^{*}-2 p}{2 p p^{*}}\left\|u_{-}\right\|^{2 p}+b \frac{p^{*}-p}{p p^{*}}\left\|u_{-}\right\|^{p}-\lambda \frac{p^{*}-1}{p^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x) u_{-} d x \\
& <\liminf _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left[a \frac{p^{*}-2 p}{2 p p^{*}}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2 p}+b \frac{p^{*}-p}{p p^{*}}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}-\lambda \frac{p^{*}-1}{p^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x) u_{n} d x\right] \\
& =\liminf _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left[I_{2}\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\left\langle I_{2}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle\right] \\
& =c_{-},
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a contradiction. We conclude that $u_{n} \rightarrow u_{-}$strongly in $W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Therefore, $I_{2}^{\prime}\left(u_{-}\right)=0$ and $I_{2}\left(u_{-}\right)=c_{-}<0=I_{2}(0)$. Hence $u_{-}$is a nonzero solution of (3.1) with negative energy.

### 3.4 Existence of solution with positive energy

Theorem 3.7 Suppose that $f \in W^{*} \backslash\{0\}$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x) v_{\varepsilon, x_{0}} d x \neq 0$. Assume that $\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}\right)$ or $\left(\mathcal{H}_{2}\right)$ holds. Then there exists a constant $\lambda_{+} \in\left(0, \lambda_{-}\right]$such that for any $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{+}\right)$problem (3.1) has a second solution $u_{+}$with positive energy.

Notice that assumption $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x) v_{\varepsilon, x_{0}} d x \neq 0$ certainly holds if $f \in W^{*} \backslash\{0\}$ does not change sign. Also we have $f \in L^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-1}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ since $f \in W^{*} \backslash\{0\}$ and $u_{-}, u_{+} \geq 0$ for $f \geq 0$. Furthermore, in Remark 3.6 [32], the authors mentioned that it difficult to
obtain the second solution in the case $p<N<p^{*}, a>0$ and $b>0$. For special dimension $N=3 p / 2$, this case is studied in [11].

Now, we prove the existence of a Mountain Pass type solution and we give the proof of Theorems 3.7 with the help of Theorem 3.5. Here we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.8 Assume that all conditions in Theorem 3.7 are fulfilled. Then there exists $z_{\varepsilon} \in W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $\lambda^{*}>0$ such that

$$
\sup _{t \geq 0} I_{2}\left(t z_{\varepsilon}\right)<c_{-}+C^{*} \quad \forall \lambda \in\left(0, \lambda^{*}\right)
$$

where $c_{-}, C^{*}$ are given in (3.17) and (3.6) respectively.

Proof. Since $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x) v_{\varepsilon, x_{0}}(x) d x \neq 0$ there exists $z_{\varepsilon}= \pm v_{\varepsilon, x_{0}}$ satisfies

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x) z_{\varepsilon}(x) d x>0 .
$$

Given any $\lambda>0$ and fixed $t>0$, then from (3.4) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2}\left(t z_{\varepsilon}\right) & =\frac{a}{2 p} t^{2 p}\left\|z_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2 p}+\frac{b}{p} t^{p}\left\|z_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{p}-\left.\frac{t^{p^{*}}}{p^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|z_{\varepsilon}\right|\right|^{p^{*}} d x-\lambda t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x) z_{\varepsilon} d x \\
& =\frac{a}{2 p} t^{2 p} S^{\frac{2 p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}}+\frac{b}{p} t^{p} S^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}}-\frac{t^{p^{*}}}{p^{*}} S S^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}}-\lambda t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x) z_{\varepsilon} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Define $g, h:] 0,+\infty\left[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}\right.$ by $g(t)=I_{2}\left(t z_{\varepsilon}\right)$ and

$$
h(t)=\frac{a}{2 p} t^{2 p} S^{\frac{2 p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}}+\frac{b}{p} t^{p} S^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}}-\frac{t^{p^{*}}}{p^{*}} S^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}} .
$$

Then

$$
h^{\prime}(t)=-t^{p-1} S^{p^{p^{*}}-p}\left(t^{p^{*}-p}-a S^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}} t^{p}-b\right),
$$

it follows from $h^{\prime}(t)=0$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a S^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}} t^{p}+b-t^{p^{*}-p}=0 \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

So

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{p^{*}}=a S^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}} t^{2 p}+b t^{p} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $y=S \frac{p}{p^{*}-p} t^{p}, \sigma=\frac{p^{*}-p}{p}$ and

$$
y_{*}:=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{1} \text { if }\left(\mathcal{H}_{2}\right) \text { holds } \\
y_{2} \text { if }\left(\mathcal{H}_{1}\right) \text { holds }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then by (3.18) and the definition of $\Psi$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(y)=S^{-1} y^{\sigma}-a S y-b=0, \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies from the proof of Lemma 3.2 that $\Psi\left(y_{*}\right)=0, \Psi(y)<0$ for all $y \in$ $] 0, y_{*}[$ and $\Psi(y)>0$ for all $y \in] y_{*}, \quad+\infty\left[\right.$. Therefore, $h^{\prime}\left(t_{*}\right)=0, h^{\prime}(t)>0$ for all $t \in] 0, t_{*}\left[\right.$ and $h^{\prime}(t)<0$ for all $\left.t \in\right] t_{*}, \quad+\infty[$ where

$$
t_{*}:= \begin{cases}S^{\frac{-1}{p^{*}-p}} y_{1}^{\frac{1}{p}} & \text { if }\left(\mathcal{H}_{2}\right) \text { holds } \\ S^{\frac{-1}{p^{*}-p}} y_{2}^{\frac{1}{p}} & \text { if }\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}\right) \text { holds }\end{cases}
$$

Moreover, since $h(0)=0$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} h(t)=-\infty$ if $\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}\right)$ or $\left(\mathcal{H}_{2}\right)$ holds, then $h$ attains its maximum at $t_{*}$.

So, from (3.19) we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\max _{t \geq 0} h(t) & =h\left(t_{*}\right) \\
& =\frac{a}{2 p} t_{*}^{2 p} S^{\frac{2 p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}}+\frac{b}{p} t_{*}^{p} S^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}}-\frac{t_{*}^{p^{*}}}{p^{*}} S^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}} \\
& =\frac{a}{2 p} t_{*}^{2 p} S^{\frac{2 p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}}+\frac{b}{p} t_{*}^{p} S^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}}-\left(\frac{a}{p^{*}} t_{*}^{2 p} S^{\frac{2 p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}}+\frac{b}{p^{*}} t_{*}^{p} S^{p^{*}-p}\right) \\
& =a\left(\frac{1}{2 p}-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\right) t_{\varepsilon}^{2 p} S^{\frac{2 p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}}+b\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\right) t_{\varepsilon}^{p} S^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}} \\
& =a\left(\frac{1}{2 p}-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\right) S^{2} y_{*}^{2}+b\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\right) S y_{*} \\
& =C^{*} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We know from the proof of Theorem 2.5 that $c_{-} \geq-C_{\lambda}$ for all $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{*}\right)$. So, we can choose $\lambda_{3} \leq \lambda_{-}$such that for any $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{3}\right)$ we have $C^{*}-c_{-} \geq C^{*}-C_{\lambda}>0$. Hence $C^{*}-c_{-}>0$ for all $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{3}\right)$.

Now, we consider the function $g(t):=I_{2}\left(t z_{\varepsilon}\right), t \geq 0$. Then

$$
g(t)=h(t)-\lambda t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x) z_{\varepsilon} d x .
$$

So, for all $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{3}\right)$ we have

$$
g(0)=0<C^{*}-C_{\lambda}
$$

Hence, by the continuity of $g(t)$, there exists $t_{1}>0$ small enough such that

$$
g(t)<C^{*}-C_{\lambda} \forall t \in\left(0, t_{1}\right) .
$$

We know also that $\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} g(t)=-\infty$ if $\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}\right)$ or $\left(\mathcal{H}_{2}\right)$ holds. Then for $t_{2}>0$ sufficiently large, one has

$$
g(t)<C^{*}-C_{\lambda} \forall t \in\left(t_{2}, \quad+\infty\right) .
$$

On the other hand, as $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x) z_{\varepsilon} d x>0$ we can deduce from the above estimate on $h(t)$ that for all $t \in\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]$

$$
g(t)<C^{*}-\lambda t_{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x) z_{\varepsilon} d x
$$

Set
$\lambda_{4}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}\left(\frac{p}{p-1} t_{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x) z_{\varepsilon} d x\right)^{p-1} \frac{b}{2}\|f\|_{W^{*}}^{-p} \quad \text { if }\left(\mathcal{H}_{2}\right) \text { or }\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}\right) \text { with } b>0 \text { holds } \\ \left(\frac{2 p}{2 p-1} t_{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x) z_{\varepsilon} d x\right)^{2 p-1} \frac{a}{2}\|f\|_{W^{*}}^{-2 p} \quad \text { if }\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}\right) \text { with } a>0 \text { holds }\end{array}\right.$
Then for any $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{4}\right)$ one has

$$
-\lambda t_{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x) z_{\varepsilon} d x<-C_{\lambda}
$$

Taking $\lambda_{+}=\min \left\{\lambda_{-}, \lambda_{3}, \lambda_{4}\right\}$ then $c_{-} \geq-C_{\lambda}$ and we deduce that

$$
\sup _{t \geq 0} I_{2}\left(t z_{\varepsilon}\right)<C^{*}+c_{-}, \text {for all } \lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{+}\right) .
$$

This concludes the proof of Lemma 3.8.
Now we can prove Theorem 3.7.
Proof. Note that $I_{2}(0)=0$ and from (3.14) we have $\left.I_{2}(u)\right|_{\partial B_{\rho_{*}}(0)} \geq \delta_{*}>0$ for all $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{-}\right)$where $\rho_{*}, \delta_{*}$ are defined in (3.13). We know also that $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} I_{2}\left(t z_{\varepsilon}\right)=$ $-\infty$ if $\left(\mathcal{H}_{0}\right)$ or $\left(\mathcal{H}_{2}\right)$ holds, then $I_{2}\left(T z_{\varepsilon}\right)<0$ for $T$ large enough, hence $I_{2}$ satisfies the geometry conditions of the Mountain Pass Theorem [6]. Then, there exists a Palais Smale sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)$ at level $c_{+}$, such that

$$
I_{2}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c_{+} \text {and } I_{2}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow+\infty
$$

with

$$
0<c_{+}=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max _{t \in[0,1]} I_{2}(\gamma(t)) \leq \sup _{t \geq 0} I_{2}\left(t T z_{\varepsilon}\right)<C^{*}+c_{-}, \text {for all } \lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{+}\right),
$$

where

$$
\Gamma=\left\{\gamma \in C\left([0,1], W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right), \gamma(0)=0, \gamma(1)=T z_{\varepsilon}\right\}
$$

Using Lemma 3.1 we have that $\left(u_{n}\right)$ has a subsequence, still denoted by $\left(u_{n}\right)$, such that $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u_{+}$in $W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$. Hence, from Lemma 3.4 if $u_{n} \nrightarrow u_{+}$in $W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$, it holds

$$
c_{+} \geq I_{2}\left(u_{+}\right)+C^{*} \geq c_{-}+C^{*}
$$

which is a contradiction with Lemma 3.4. Hence, $I_{2}^{\prime}\left(u_{+}\right)=0$ and

$$
I_{2}\left(u_{+}\right)=c_{+}>0 .
$$

So, as $c_{+}>0=I_{2}(0)$ we can conclude that $u_{+}$is a nonzero solution of (3.1) with positive energy. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.7.

### 3.5 Infinitely solutions

we use the following assumptions:
$\left(\mathcal{H}_{2}\right) \quad p^{*}=2 p, 0<a<S^{-2}$ and $b>0$,
$\left(\mathcal{H}_{3}\right) p^{*}>2 p, a>0$ and $b>0$,
$\left(\mathcal{H}_{6}\right) \quad p^{*}<2 p, a>0$ and $b>b^{*}$,
$\left(\mathcal{H}_{7}\right) p^{*}=2 p, a>0$ and $b=0$,
$\left(\mathcal{H}_{8}\right) \quad p^{*}<2 p, a>0$ and $b=b^{*}$ where

$$
b^{*}=\frac{2 p-p^{*}}{p}\left(\frac{p}{p^{*}-p} a\right)^{-\frac{p^{*}-p}{2 p-p^{*}}} S^{-\frac{p^{*}}{2 p-p^{*}}} .
$$

Theorem 3.9 Let $\lambda=0, a>0, b \geq 0,1<p<N$. For $v_{\varepsilon, x_{0}}$ given by (3.3) the following conclusions hold:
(1) If $p^{*}=2 p$, then under the hypothesis $\left(\mathcal{H}_{2}\right)$, the problem (3.1) has infinitely many nonnegative solutions and these solutions are

$$
\left(\frac{b}{1-S^{2} a}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{*}-p}} v_{\varepsilon, x_{0}} \quad \text { for all } \varepsilon>0
$$

(2) Under the hypothesis $\left(\mathcal{H}_{7}\right)$, the problem (3.1) has infinitely many positive solutions $\delta^{\frac{1}{p}} v_{\varepsilon, x_{0}}($ for any $\delta>0)$ if and only if $a=S^{-2}$.
(3) If $p^{*} \neq 2 p, b=0$ and $a>0$, then problem (3.1) has infinitely many nonnegative solutions and these solutions

$$
\left(a S^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2 p-p^{*}}} v_{\varepsilon, x_{0}} \quad \text { for all } \varepsilon>0
$$

(4) If $\left(\mathcal{H}_{3}\right)$ satisfied, then there exists $\delta_{2}>S^{-1}\left(\frac{a p}{p^{*}-p} S^{2}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}-p}{p^{*}-2 p}}$ such that $\delta_{2}^{\frac{1}{p^{*}-p}} v_{\varepsilon, x_{0}}$ are solutions of problem (3.1), for all $\varepsilon>0$.
(5) If $\left(\mathcal{H}_{8}\right)$ satisfied, then problem (3.1) has infinitely many nonnegative solutions and these solutions are

$$
S^{-\frac{1}{p^{*}-p}}\left(\frac{p^{*}-p}{p a} S^{-2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 p-p^{*}}} v_{\varepsilon, x_{0}} \quad \text { for all } \varepsilon>0
$$

(6) If $\left(\mathcal{H}_{6}\right)$ satisfied, then there exist $\delta_{3} \in\left(0, S^{-1}\left(\frac{p^{*}-p}{p a} S^{-2}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}-p}{2 p-p^{*}}}\right)$ and $\delta_{4} \in\left(S^{-1}\left(\frac{p^{*}-p}{p a} S^{-2}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}-p}{2 p-p^{*}}},+\infty\right)$ such that $\delta_{3}^{\frac{1}{p^{*}-p}} v_{\varepsilon, x_{0}}$ and $\delta_{4}^{\frac{1}{p^{*}-p}} v_{\varepsilon, x_{0}}$ solutions of problem (3.1) for all $\varepsilon>0$.

Proof. We give the proof of Theorem 3.9.

For any $\delta>0$ and $v_{\varepsilon, x_{0}}$ in (3.4) define $V_{\varepsilon, \delta}=\delta^{\frac{1}{p^{*}-p}} v_{\varepsilon, x_{0}}$. Using the solutions $v_{\varepsilon, x_{0}}$ of problem (3.1), then $V_{\varepsilon, \delta}$ weakly solves the following equation:

$$
-\delta \operatorname{div}\left(\left|\nabla V_{\varepsilon, \delta}\right|^{p-2} \nabla V_{\varepsilon, \delta}\right)=\left|V_{\varepsilon, \delta}\right|^{p^{*}-2} V_{\varepsilon, \delta}
$$

Moreover, according to (3.4), one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta=a\left\|V_{\varepsilon, \delta}\right\|^{p}+b \\
&=a \delta^{\frac{p}{p^{*}-p}}\left\|v_{\varepsilon, x_{0}}\right\|^{p}+b \\
&=a S^{p^{*}}{ }^{p^{*}-p} \\
& \frac{p}{p^{*}-p} \\
&
\end{aligned} . b .
$$

Therefore, the positive solution of problem (3.1) is corresponding to the solution of the following equation about $\delta>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta-a S^{p^{p^{*}}-p} \delta^{\frac{p}{p^{*}-p}}-b=0 \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

1) For $p^{*}=2 p$, equation (3.21) is equal to

$$
\delta\left(1-a S^{2}\right)-b=0
$$

i) If $b>0$ and $0 \leq a<S^{-2}$, we have that

$$
\delta_{0}=\frac{b}{1-S^{2} a}
$$

is a solution of equation (3.21). Hence, $V_{\varepsilon, \delta_{0}}=\delta_{0}^{\frac{1}{p^{*}-p}} v_{\varepsilon, x_{0}}$ satisfies the following equation in the weak sense:

$$
-\left(a\|u\|^{p}+b\right) \operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)=|u|^{p^{*}-2} u
$$

ii) If $b=0$ and $a>0$ equation (3.21) is equal to

$$
\delta\left(1-a S^{2}\right)=0
$$

Obviously, for $\delta>0$, this equality is true if and only if $1-a S^{2}=0$. Thus, when $p^{*}=2 p$, problem (3.1) has infinity many positive solutions $V_{\varepsilon, \delta}=\delta^{\frac{1}{p}} v_{\varepsilon, x_{0}}$ (for any $\delta>0$ ) if and only if $a=S^{-2}$.
2) For $p^{*} \neq 2 p$
i) If $b=0$ and $a>0$ it is easy to see that

$$
\delta_{1}=\left(a S^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}}\right)^{-\frac{p^{*}-p}{2 p-p^{*}}}
$$

is a solution of equation (3.21). Then, problem (3.1) has infinity many positive solutions $V_{\varepsilon, \delta_{1}}=\delta_{1}^{\frac{1}{p^{*}-p}} v_{\varepsilon, x_{0}}$.
3) Let $y=(S \delta)^{\frac{p}{p^{x}-p}}$, equation (3.21) is equal to

$$
S^{-1} y^{\frac{p^{*}-p}{p}}-a S y-b=0 .
$$

Now we consider the following equation:

$$
\Psi(y)=S^{-1} y^{\frac{p^{*}-p}{p}}-a S y-b=0 .
$$

i) For $p^{*}>2 p$, according to Lemma 2.3, we have that $\Psi(y)=0$ has a unique positive solution $y_{2}>\left(\frac{a p}{p^{*}-p} S^{2}\right)^{\frac{p}{p^{*}-2 p}}$. Thus, problem (3.1) has infinity many positive solutions $V_{\varepsilon, \delta_{2}}=\delta_{2}^{\frac{1}{p^{*}-p}} v_{\varepsilon, x_{0}}$, with $\delta_{2}=S^{-1} y_{2}^{\frac{p^{*}-p}{p}}>S^{-1}\left(\frac{a p}{p^{*}-p} S^{2}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}-p}{p^{*}-2 p}}$.
ii) For $2 p>p^{*}$, according to Lemma 2.3, we have:

For $b=\frac{2 p-p^{*}}{p}\left(\frac{p}{p^{*}-p} a\right)^{-\frac{p^{*}-p}{2 p-p^{*}}} S^{-\frac{p^{*}}{2 p-p^{*}}}$ then $\Psi(y)=0$ has a unique positive solution $\tilde{y}=\left(\frac{p^{*}-p}{p a} S^{-2}\right)^{\frac{p}{2 p-p^{*}}}$. Thus, problem (3.1) has infinity many positive solutions $V_{\varepsilon, \tilde{\delta}}=$ $\tilde{\delta}^{\frac{1}{p^{*}-p}} v_{\varepsilon, x_{0}}$, with $\tilde{\delta}=S^{-1} \tilde{y}^{\tilde{p}^{*}-p}{ }^{p}$, for $b<\frac{2 p-p^{*}}{p}\left(\frac{p}{p^{*}-p} a\right)^{-\frac{p^{*}-p}{2 p-p^{*}}} S^{-\frac{p^{*}}{2 p-p^{*}}}, \Psi$ has two different zero points $y_{3}$ and $y_{4}$ with $0<y_{3}<\tilde{y}<y_{4}$. Consequently, problem (3.1) has infinitely
many positive solutions $V_{\varepsilon, \delta_{3}}=\delta_{3}^{\frac{1}{p^{*}-p}} v_{\varepsilon, x_{0}}$ and $V_{\varepsilon, \delta_{4}}=\delta_{4}^{\frac{1}{p^{*}-p}} v_{\varepsilon, x_{0}}$ with $\delta_{3}=S^{-1} y_{3}^{\frac{p^{*}-p}{p}} \in$ $\left(0, S^{-1}\left(\frac{p^{*}-p}{p a} S^{-2}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}-p}{2 p-p^{*}}}\right)$ and $\delta_{4}=S^{-1} y_{4}^{\frac{p^{*}-p}{p}} \in\left(S^{-1}\left(\frac{p^{*}-p}{p a} S^{-2}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}-p}{2 p-p^{*}}},+\infty\right)$.

### 3.6 Non-existence Result

Now we make the following assumptions:
$\left(\mathcal{H}_{4}\right) p^{*}=2 p, a>S^{-2}$ and $b=0$,
$\left(\mathcal{H}_{5}\right) \quad p^{*}=2 p, a \geq S^{-2}$ and $b>0$,
$\left(\mathcal{H}_{6}\right) \quad p^{*}<2 p, a>0$ and $b>b^{*}$, where

$$
b^{*}=\frac{2 p-p^{*}}{p}\left(\frac{p}{p^{*}-p} a\right)^{-\frac{p^{*}-p}{2 p-p^{*}}} S^{-\frac{p^{*}}{2 p-p^{*}}} .
$$

Theorem 3.10 Assume that one of the hypotheses $\left(\mathcal{H}_{i}\right)$ holds for $4 \leq i \leq 6$. Then problem (3.1) has no non-trivial solution for $\lambda=0$.

Remark 3.11 The authors in [34] proved the non existence solution only in the case $p^{*}<2 p$, while the case $p^{*}=2 p$ is considered in the preceeding theorem.

From this point of view, Theorem 3.10 could be viewed as some extension and completeness of related results in [34].

Proof. Suppose that $\left(\mathcal{H}_{4}\right)$ is satisfied and that $u \in W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \backslash\{0\}$ is a solution of the problem (3.1). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
a\|u\|^{2 p}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{p^{*}} d x \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $a>S^{-2}$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{p^{*}} d x \leq S^{-2}\|u\|^{p^{*}}$, we have by (3.22)

$$
\begin{aligned}
S^{-2}\|u\|^{2 p} & <a\|u\|^{2 p} \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{p^{*}} d x \\
& \leq S^{-2}\|u\|^{2 p}
\end{aligned}
$$

which leads to a contradiction.
Suppose now that $\left(\mathcal{H}_{5}\right)$ is satisfied and that $u \in W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \backslash\{0\}$ is a solution of (3.1).
Then

$$
a\|u\|^{2 p}+b\|u\|^{p}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{p^{*}} d x .
$$

From this last equality and because $a \geq S^{-2}, b>0$ and the fact that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{p^{*}} d x \leq S^{-2}\|u\|^{p^{*}}
$$

we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
S^{-2}\|u\|^{2 p} & <a\|u\|^{2 p}+b\|u\|^{p} \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{p^{*}} d x \\
& \leq S^{-2}\|u\|^{2 p},
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a contradiction.
In the same way as above, we suppose that under the condition $\left(\mathcal{H}_{6}\right)$ we have the existence of a solution $u \in W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \backslash\{0\}$, that is,

$$
a\|u\|^{2 p}+b\|u\|^{p}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{p^{*}} d x
$$

and then we got

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{p^{*}} d x \leq & S^{-\frac{p^{*}}{p}}\|u\|^{p^{*}}=\|u\|^{p^{*}-\left(2 p-p^{*}\right)} S^{-\frac{p^{*}}{p}}\|u\|^{2 p-p^{*}} \\
= & \left(\frac{p}{p^{*}-p} a\right)^{\frac{p^{*}-p}{p}}\|u\|^{2\left(p^{*}-p\right)}\left(\frac{p}{p^{*}-p} a\right)^{-\frac{p^{*}-p}{p}} S^{-\frac{p^{*}}{p}}\|u\|^{2 p-p^{*}} \\
\leq & \frac{p^{*}-p}{p}\left(\left(\frac{p}{p^{*}-p} a\right)^{\frac{p^{*}-p}{p}}\|u\|^{2\left(p^{*}-p\right)}\right)^{\frac{p}{p^{*}-p}} \\
& +\frac{2 p-p^{*}}{p}\left(\left(\frac{p}{p^{*}-p} a\right)^{-\frac{p^{*}-p}{p}} S^{-\frac{p^{*}}{p}}\|u\|^{2 p-p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p}{2 p-p^{*}}} \\
\leq & a\|u\|^{2 p}+\frac{2 p-p^{*}}{p}\left(\left(\frac{p}{p^{*}-p} a\right)^{-\frac{p^{*}-p}{p}} S^{-\frac{p^{*}}{p}}\right)^{\frac{p}{2 p-p^{*}}}\|u\|^{p} \\
= & a\|u\|^{2 p}+\frac{2 p-p^{*}}{p}\left(\frac{p}{p^{*}-p} a\right)^{-\frac{p^{*}-p}{2 p-p^{*}}} S^{-\frac{p^{*}}{2 p-p^{*}}}\|u\|^{p} \\
< & a\|u\|^{2 p}+b\|u\|^{p} \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{p^{*}} d x,
\end{aligned}
$$

which lead to a contradiction.

## Chapter 4

## Elliptic p-Kirchhoff type systems

## with critical Sobolev exponent

## in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$

### 4.1 Introduction

In this chapter, we study the following Kirchhoff-type systems involving the critical Sobolev exponent

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\left(a_{1}+b_{1}\|u\|^{p}\right)\left[\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)\right]=\frac{2 q}{q+q^{\prime}}|u|^{q-2} u|v|^{q^{\prime}}+\lambda_{1} f(x),  \tag{4.1}\\
-\left(a_{2}+b_{2}\|v\|^{p}\right)\left[\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v\right)\right]=\frac{2 q^{\prime}}{q+q^{\prime}}|u|^{q}|v|^{q^{\prime}-2} v+\lambda_{2} g(x), \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \\
(u, v) \in W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \times W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $1<p<N, a_{1}, a_{2} \geq 0, b_{1}, b_{2}>0, q, q^{\prime}>1, q+q^{\prime}=p^{*}, p^{*}=p N /[N-p]$ is the critical Sobolev exponent, $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}>0$ are a parameters, $f, g \in W^{*} \backslash\{0\}$ and

$$
\|u, v\|^{p}:=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{p}+|\nabla v|^{p}\right) d x
$$

is the norm in $W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \times W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.
The problem (4.1) is related to the following well known Sobolev inequality [17]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{p^{*}} d x\right)^{1 / p^{*}} \leq C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p} \text { for all } u \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some positive constant $C$.

Sciunzi in [47] provided that if $V_{\varepsilon}$ is a positive solution of the critical problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left[\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)\right]=|u|^{p^{*}-2} u \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, for any $\varepsilon>0$ the extremal functions of (4.3) is $V_{\varepsilon}(x)=V_{\varepsilon, x_{0}}(x)$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\varepsilon, x_{0}}(x):=\left[\frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p-1}} N^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\frac{N-p}{p-1}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}}{\varepsilon^{\frac{p}{p-1}}+\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}}\right]^{\frac{N-p}{p}} \quad \varepsilon>0, x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a minimizer for

$$
S:=\inf _{u \in W^{1, p} \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\|u\|^{p}}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{p^{*}} d x\right)^{p / p^{*}}}
$$

and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|V_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{p}=\left\|V_{\varepsilon, x_{0}}\right\|^{p}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|V_{\varepsilon, x_{0}}\right|^{p^{*}} d x=S^{p^{p^{*}}} . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that if $a_{1}=a_{2}=1, \lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}=0$ and $b_{1}=b_{2}=0$, system (4.1) reduces to the following system:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\left[\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)\right]=\frac{2 q}{q+q^{\prime}}|u|^{q-2} u|v|^{q^{\prime}}, \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}  \tag{4.6}\\
-\left[\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v\right)\right]=\frac{2 q^{\prime}}{q+q^{\prime}}|u|^{q}|v|^{q^{\prime}-2} v, \\
(u, v) \in W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \times W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let the constant

$$
S_{q, q^{\prime}}:=\inf _{\substack{(u, v) \in W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \times W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \\(u, v) \neq(0,0)}} \frac{\|u\|^{p}+\|v\|^{p}}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{q}|v|^{q^{\prime}} d x\right)^{p / p^{*}}}
$$

which is positive.

Next we define the energy functional

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{3}(u, v)= & \frac{1}{2 p}\left(b_{1}\|u\|^{2 p}+b_{2}\|v\|^{2 p}\right)+\frac{1}{p}\left(a_{1}\|u\|^{p}+a_{2}\|v\|^{p}\right) \\
& -\frac{2}{p^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{q}|v|^{q^{\prime}} d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \lambda_{1} f(x) u+\lambda_{2} g(x) v d x,
\end{aligned}
$$

associated to problem (4.1), for all $(u, v) \in W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \times W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$
Notice that the functional $I_{3}$ is well defined in $W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and belongs to $C^{1}\left(W^{1, p}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ and that we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle I_{3}^{\prime}(u, v),(u, v)\right\rangle= & \left(b_{1}\|u\|^{2 p}+b_{2}\|v\|^{2 p}\right)+\left(a_{1}\|u\|^{p}+a_{2}\|v\|^{p}\right) \\
& -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{q}|v|^{q^{\prime}} d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \lambda_{1} f(x) u+\lambda_{2} g(x) v d x
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $(u, v) \in W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \times W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Hence a critical point of functional $I_{3}$ is a weak solution of problem (4.1).

### 4.2 Non-existence of solutions

First we introduced some assumptions which we need to prove non-existence of solution for problem (4.1)

$$
\left(H_{1}\right) p^{*}=2 p, a_{2}=a_{2}=0, b_{1}, b_{2}>S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{-2}
$$

$\left(H_{2}\right) p^{*}=2 p, b_{1}, b_{2} \geq S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{-2}, a_{1}, a_{2}>0$.
$\left(H_{3}\right) p^{*}>2 p, a>0, b>\frac{p^{*}-p}{p}\left(2 \frac{2 p-p^{*}}{p a}\right)^{\frac{2 p-p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}} 2^{\frac{p}{p^{*}-p}}\left(S_{q, q^{\prime}}\right)^{-\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}}$.

Theorem 4.1 Suppose that $\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)=0$ and assume that $\left(H_{1}\right)$ or $\left(H_{2}\right)$ or $\left(H_{3}\right)$.Then the problem (4.1) has no non-trivial solution.

Proof. Suppose that $\left(H_{1}\right)$ is satisfied and $(u, v) \in W^{1, p} \backslash\{0\} \times W^{1, p} \backslash\{0\}$ is a solution of the problem (4.1). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{1}\|u\|^{2 p}+b_{2}\|v\|^{2 p}=2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{q}|v|^{q^{\prime}} d x \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $b_{1}, b_{2}>S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{-2}, x^{2}+y^{2} \geq \frac{1}{2}(x+y)^{2}$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{q}|v|^{q^{\prime}} d x \leq S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{-2}\left(\|u\|^{p}+\|v\|^{p}\right)^{2}$, we have by (4.7)

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{-2}\|u\|^{2 p}+S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{-2}\|v\|^{2 p} & <b_{1}\|u\|^{2 p}+b_{2}\|v\|^{2 p} \\
& =2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{q}|v|^{q^{\prime}} d x \\
& \leq 2 S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{-2}\left(\|u\|^{p}+\|v\|^{p}\right)^{2} \\
& \leq S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{-2}\|u\|^{2 p}+S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{-2}\|v\|^{2 p}
\end{aligned}
$$

which leads to a contradiction.
Suppose now that $\left(H_{2}\right)$ is satisfied and that $(u, v) \in W^{1, p} \backslash\{0\} \times W^{1, p} \backslash\{0\}$ is a solution of (4.1). Then

$$
\left(b_{1}\|u\|^{2 p}+b_{2}\|v\|^{2 p}\right)+\left(a_{1}\|u\|^{p}+a_{2}\|v\|^{p}\right)=p \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{q}|v|^{q^{\prime}} d x .
$$

From this last equality and as $b_{1}, b_{2} \geq S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{-2}, a_{1}, a_{2}>0$ and the fact that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{q}|v|^{q^{\prime}} d x \leq S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{-2}\left(\|u\|^{p}+\|v\|^{p}\right)^{2}, \text { we get }
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{-2}\|u\|^{2 p}+S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{-2}\|v\|^{2 p} \leq\left(b_{1}\|u\|^{2 p}+b_{2}\|v\|^{2 p}\right) \\
& <\left(b_{1}\|u\|^{2 p}+b_{2}\|v\|^{2 p}\right)+\left(a_{1}\|u\|^{p}+a_{2}\|v\|^{p}\right) \\
& =2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{q}|v|^{q^{\prime}} d x \\
& \leq 2 S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{-2}\left(\|u\|^{p}+\|v\|^{p}\right)^{2} \leq S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{-2}\|u\|^{2 p}+S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{-2}\|v\|^{2 p}
\end{aligned}
$$

is a contradiction.

In the same way as above, we suppose that under the condition $\left(H_{3}\right)$ we have the existence of a solution $(u, v) \in W^{1, p} \backslash\{0\} \times W^{1, p} \backslash\{0\}$, that is,

$$
\left(b_{1}\|u\|^{2 p}+b_{2}\|v\|^{2 p}\right)+\left(a_{1}\|u\|^{p}+a_{2}\|v\|^{p}\right)=2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{q}|v|^{q^{\prime}} d x
$$

and then we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{q}|v|^{q^{\prime}} d x \leq S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{-\frac{p^{*}}{p}}\left(\|u\|^{p}+\|v\|^{p}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}}{p}} \\
& \leq\left(2 \frac{2 p-p^{*}}{p a}\right)^{\frac{2 p-p^{*}}{p}} S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{-\frac{p^{*}}{p}}\left(\|u\|^{p}+\|v\|^{p}\right)^{\frac{2 p^{*}-2 p}{p}}\left(\frac{p a}{2\left(2 p-p^{*}\right)}\right)^{\frac{2 p-p^{*}}{p}}\left(\|u\|^{p}+\|v\|^{p}\right)^{\frac{2 p-p^{*}}{p}} \\
& \leq \frac{p^{*}-p}{p}\left(\left(2 \frac{2 p-p^{*}}{p a}\right)^{\frac{2 p-p^{*}}{p}}\left(S_{q, q^{\prime}}\right)^{-\frac{p^{*}}{p}}\left(\|u\|^{p}+\|v\|^{p}\right)^{\frac{2 p^{*}-2 p}{p}}\right)^{\frac{p}{p^{*}-p}} \\
& +\frac{2 p-p^{*}}{p}\left(\left(\frac{p a}{2\left(2 p-p^{*}\right)}\right)^{\frac{2 p-p^{*}}{p}}\left(\|u\|^{p}+\|v\|^{p}\right)^{\frac{2 p-p^{*}}{p}}\right)^{\frac{p}{2 p-p^{*}}} \\
& \leq \frac{p^{*}-p}{p}\left[\left(2 \frac{2 p-p^{*}}{p a}\right)^{\frac{2 p-p^{*}}{p}} 2\left(S_{q, q^{\prime}}\right)^{-\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}}\left(\|u\|^{2 p}+\|v\|^{2 p}\right)^{\frac{2 p^{*}-2 p}{2 p}}\right]^{\frac{p}{p^{*}-p}} \\
& +\frac{2 p-p^{*}}{p}\left(\left(\frac{p a}{2\left(2 p-p^{*}\right)}\right)^{\frac{2 p-p^{*}}{p}}\left(\|u\|^{p}+\|v\|^{p}\right)^{\frac{2 p-p^{*}}{p}}\right)^{\frac{p}{2 p-p^{*}}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{p^{*}-p}{p}\left(2 \frac{2 p-p^{*}}{p a}\right)^{\frac{2 p-p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}} 2^{\frac{p}{p^{*}-p}}\left(S_{q, q^{\prime}}\right)^{-\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}}\left(\|u\|^{2 p}+\|v\|^{2 p}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{p^{*}-p}{p}\left(2 \frac{2 p-p^{*}}{p a}\right)^{\frac{2 p-p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}} 2^{\frac{p}{p^{*}-p}}\left(S_{q, q^{\prime}}\right)^{-\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}}\left(\|u\|^{2 p}+\|v\|^{2 p}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} a\left(\|u\|^{p}+\|v\|^{p}\right) \\
& <\frac{1}{2} b\left(\|u\|^{2 p}+\|v\|^{2 p}\right)+\frac{1}{2} a\left(\|u\|^{p}+\|v\|^{p}\right) \\
& <\frac{1}{2}\left(b_{1}\|u\|^{2 p}+b_{2}\|v\|^{2 p}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(a_{1}\|u\|^{p}+a_{2}\|v\|^{p}\right) \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{q}|v|^{q^{\prime}} d x,
\end{aligned}
$$

which lead to a contradiction.

### 4.3 Infinity solutions

Now, we prove that the problem (4.1) has infinitely many nonnegative solutions, we present the following results.

Lemma 4.2 Let $a_{1}=a_{2}=1, \lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}=0, b_{1}=b_{2}=0$, and $1<p<N$. For $V_{\varepsilon}$ given by (4.4) the following conclusions hold:

If $p^{*} \geq 2 p$ then the problem (4.1) has infinitely many nonnegative solutions and these solutions are $\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right)$, which give

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{\varepsilon}=\left(\frac{2}{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\left(p-p^{*}\right)}}(q)^{\frac{p-q^{\prime}}{p\left(p-p^{*}\right)}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{q^{\prime}}{p\left(p-p^{*}\right)}} V_{\varepsilon}  \tag{4.8}\\
v_{\varepsilon}=\left(\frac{2}{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\left(p-p^{*}\right)}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{p-q}{p\left(p-p^{*}\right)}} q^{\frac{q}{p\left(p-p^{*}\right)}} V_{\varepsilon} .
\end{array} \quad \text { for all } \varepsilon>0 .\right.
$$

Proof. Indeed by [6], we know that

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{\varepsilon}=k V_{\varepsilon} \text { and } v_{\varepsilon}=l V_{\varepsilon}  \tag{4.9}\\
& V_{\varepsilon}=\frac{1}{k} u_{\varepsilon} \text { and } V_{\varepsilon}=\frac{1}{l} v_{\varepsilon} \tag{4.10}
\end{align*}
$$

is a solution of he following problem

$$
-\left[\operatorname{div}\left(\left|\nabla V_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p-2} \nabla V_{\varepsilon}\right)\right]=\left|V_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p^{*}-2} V_{\varepsilon}
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\frac{1}{k^{p-1}}\left[\operatorname{div}\left(\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right)\right]=\frac{1}{k^{q-1} q^{\prime}}\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{q-2} u_{\varepsilon}\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{q^{\prime}} \\
-\frac{1}{l^{p-1}}\left[\operatorname{div}\left(\left|\nabla v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p-2} \nabla v_{\varepsilon}\right)\right]=\frac{1}{l^{q^{\prime}-1} k^{q}}\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right| q^{q^{\prime}-2} v_{\varepsilon}\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{q}
\end{array}\right. \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\frac{l^{q^{\prime}}}{k^{p-q}}\left[\operatorname{div}\left(\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right)\right]=\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{q-2} u_{\varepsilon}\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{q^{\prime}} \\
-\frac{k^{q}}{l^{p-q^{\prime}}}\left[\operatorname{div}\left(\left|\nabla v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p-2} \nabla v_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon}\right]=\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{q^{\prime}-2} v_{\varepsilon}\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{q}
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\frac{k^{p-q}}{l q^{\prime}} & =\frac{2 q}{p^{*}} \\
\frac{l^{p-q^{\prime}}}{k^{q}} & =\frac{2 q^{\prime}}{p^{*}}
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

wiche implies that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
k=\left(\frac{2}{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\left(p-p^{*}\right)}}(q)^{\frac{p-q^{\prime}}{p\left(p-p^{*}\right)}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{q^{\prime}}{p\left(p-p^{*}\right)}} \\
l=\left(\frac{2}{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\left(p-p^{*}\right)}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{p-q}{p\left(p-p^{*}\right)}} q^{\frac{q}{p\left(p-p^{*}\right)}} \\
k=\left[\frac{q}{q^{\prime}}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} l
\end{array}\right.
$$

then

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{\varepsilon}=\left(\frac{2}{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\left(p-p^{*}\right)}}(q)^{\frac{p-q^{\prime}}{p\left(p-p^{*}\right)}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{q^{\prime}}{p\left(p-p^{*}\right)}} V_{\varepsilon} \\
v_{\varepsilon}=\left(\frac{2}{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\left(p-p^{*}\right)}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{p-q}{p\left(p-p^{*}\right)}} q^{\frac{q}{p\left(p-p^{*}\right)}} V_{\varepsilon}
\end{array}\right.
$$

solution of (4.6).
Now, we introduce some assumptions :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(H_{6}\right) p^{*} \geq 2 p, a_{1}=a_{2}=0, b_{1}, b_{2}>0 \\
& \left(H_{7}\right) p^{*} \geq 2 p, a_{1}=0, a_{2} \neq 0, b_{1}, b_{2}>0 \\
& \left(H_{8}\right) p^{*} \geq 2 p, a_{1} \neq 0, a_{2} \neq 0, b_{1}, b_{2}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 4.3 Assume that $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}=0$. Suppose that $\left(H_{6}\right)$ or $\left(H_{7}\right)$ or $\left(H_{8}\right)$ and $\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right)$ is a positive solution of (4.6)
then we have that the problem (4.1) has infinitely many nonnegative solutions $\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}, v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right)$ for any $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}>0$, where

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}=\theta_{1} u_{\varepsilon} \\
v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}=\theta_{2} v_{\varepsilon}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. The proof of theorem is inspired by the idea in [43],
For any $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}>0$ we define $\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}, v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right)=\left(\theta_{1} u_{\varepsilon}, \theta_{2} v_{\varepsilon}\right)$ where $\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right)$ is given in (4.8).
Since $\left(u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right)$ is a solution of problem (4.6), then $\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}, v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right)$ solves the following system:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\left[\operatorname{div}\left(\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right)\right]=\frac{2 q}{q+q^{\prime}}\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{q-2} u_{\varepsilon}\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{q^{\prime}}, \\
-\left[\operatorname{div}\left(\left|\nabla v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p-2} \nabla v_{\varepsilon}\right)\right]=\frac{2 q^{\prime}}{q+q^{\prime}}\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{q}\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{q^{\prime}-2} v_{\varepsilon},
\end{array}\right. \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\left(\frac{1}{\theta_{1}}\right)^{p-q}\left(\frac{1}{\theta_{2}}\right)^{-q^{\prime}}\left[\operatorname{div}\left(\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} \nabla u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right)\right]=\left.\frac{2 q}{q+q^{\prime}}\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|\right|^{q-2} u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left|v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{q^{\prime}}, \\
-\left(\frac{1}{\theta_{1}}\right)^{-q}\left(\frac{1}{\theta_{2}}\right)^{p-q^{\prime}}\left[\operatorname{div}\left(\left|\nabla v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} \nabla v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right)\right]=\frac{2 q^{\prime}}{q+q^{\prime}}\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{q}\left|v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{q^{\prime}-2} v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime},
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, according to (4.5) and (4.8), one has

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\frac{1}{\theta_{1}}\right)^{p-q}\left(\frac{1}{\theta_{2}}\right)^{-q^{\prime}}=a_{1}+b_{1}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right\|^{p}=a_{1}+b_{1} \theta_{1}^{p}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{p}, \\
\left(\frac{1}{\theta_{1}}\right)^{-q}\left(\frac{1}{\theta_{2}}\right)^{p-q^{\prime}}=a_{2}+b_{2}\left\|v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right\|^{p}=a_{2}+b_{2} \theta_{2}^{p}\left\|v_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{p},
\end{array}\right. \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\frac{1}{\theta_{1}}\right)^{p-q}\left(\frac{1}{\theta_{2}}\right)^{-q^{\prime}}=a_{1}+b_{1} \theta_{1}^{p}\left(\frac{2}{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p}{\left(p-p^{*}\right)}}(q)^{\frac{p-q^{\prime}}{p-p^{*}}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{q^{\prime}}{p-p^{*}}}\left\|V_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{p}, \\
\left(\frac{1}{\theta_{1}}\right)^{-q}\left(\frac{1}{\theta_{2}}\right)^{p-q^{\prime}}=a_{2}+b_{2} \theta_{2}^{p}\left(\frac{2}{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p}{\left(p-p^{*}\right)}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{p-q}{p-p^{*}}} q^{\frac{q}{p-p^{*}}}\left\|V_{\varepsilon}\right\|^{p},
\end{array}\right. \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\frac{1}{\theta_{1}}\right)^{p-q}\left(\frac{1}{\theta_{2}}\right)^{-q^{\prime}}=a_{1}+b_{1} \theta_{1}^{p}\left(\frac{2}{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p}{\left(p-p^{*}\right)}}(q)^{\frac{p-q^{\prime}}{p-p^{*}}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{q^{\prime}}{p-p^{*}}} S^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}}, \\
\left(\frac{1}{\theta_{1}}\right)^{-q}\left(\frac{1}{\theta_{2}}\right)^{p-q^{\prime}}=a_{2}+b_{2} \theta_{2}^{p}\left(\frac{2}{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p}{\left(p-p^{*}\right)}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{p-q}{p-p^{*}}} q^{\frac{q}{p-p^{*}}} S^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}},
\end{array}\right.  \tag{1}\\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
b_{1} \theta_{1}^{p}\left(\frac{2}{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p}{\left(p-p^{*}\right)}}(q)^{\frac{p-q^{\prime}}{p-p^{*}}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{q^{\prime}}{p-p^{*}}} S^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}}-\left(\theta_{1}\right)^{q-p} \theta_{2}^{q^{\prime}}+a_{1}=0 \\
b_{2} \theta_{2}^{p}\left(\frac{2}{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p}{\left(p-p^{*}\right)}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{p-q}{p-p^{*}}} q^{\frac{q}{p-p^{*}}} S^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}}-\theta_{1}^{q} \theta_{2}^{q^{\prime}-p}+a_{2}=0
\end{array}\right. \tag{4.11}
\end{align*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{1}\left(\frac{2}{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p}{\left(p-p^{*}\right)}}(q)^{\frac{p-q^{\prime}}{p-p^{*}}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{q^{\prime}}{p-p^{*}}} S^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}} \theta_{1}^{2 p} \\
& \quad+a_{1} \theta_{1}^{p}-\left(a_{2} \theta_{2}^{p}+b_{2} \theta_{2}^{2 p}\left(\frac{2}{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p}{\left(p-p^{*}\right)}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{p-q}{p-p^{*}}} q^{\frac{q}{p-p^{*}}} S^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}}\right) \\
& =0,
\end{aligned}
$$

and we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta= & a_{1}^{2}+4 b_{1}\left(\frac{2}{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p}{\left(p-p^{*}\right)}}(q)^{\frac{p-q^{\prime}}{p-p^{*}}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{q^{\prime}}{p-p^{*}}} S^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}}\left[a_{2} \theta_{2}^{p}\right. \\
& +b_{2} \theta_{2}^{2 p}\left(\frac{2}{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p}{\left(p-p^{*}\right)}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{p-q}{p-p^{*}}} q^{\frac{q}{p-p^{*}}} S^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}}  \tag{4.12}\\
> & 0,
\end{align*}
$$

we deduce that

$$
\theta_{1}=\frac{\left(-a_{1}+\sqrt{\Delta}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}}{\left(2 b_{1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\frac{2}{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\left(p-p^{*}\right)}}(q)^{\frac{p-q^{\prime}}{\left(p-p^{*}\right) P}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{q^{\prime}}{\left(p-p^{*}\right) p}} S^{\frac{p^{*}}{\left(p^{*}-p\right) p}}}
$$

by (4.11) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& b_{2}\left(\frac{2}{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p}{\left(p-p^{*}\right)}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{p-q}{p-p^{*}}} q^{\frac{q}{p-p^{*}}} S^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}} \theta_{2}^{p}  \tag{4.13}\\
& -\frac{\left(-a_{1}+\sqrt{\Delta}\right)^{\frac{q}{p}}}{\left(2 b_{1}\right)^{\frac{q}{p}}\left(\frac{2}{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{q}{\left(p-p^{*}\right)}}(q)^{\frac{\left(p-q^{\prime}\right) q}{\left(p-p^{*}\right) P}}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{q q^{\prime}}{\left(p-p^{*}\right) p}} S^{\frac{q *^{*}}{\left(p^{*}-p\right) p}}
\end{align*} \theta_{2}^{q^{\prime}-p}+a_{2} \quad\left(\begin{array}{l} \tag{4.14}
\end{array}\right.
$$

so, $\theta_{2}$ is solution of (4.13).
i) If $a_{1}=a_{2}=0$, we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\theta_{1}=\left(\frac{b_{2} q^{\prime}}{b_{1} q}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 p}} \theta_{2} \\
\theta_{2}=\left(\left(b_{2}\right)^{\frac{2 p-q}{2 p}}\left(b_{1}\right)^{\frac{q}{2 p}}\left(\frac{2}{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p}{\left(p-p^{*}\right)}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{2 p(p-q)-q\left(p-p^{*}\right)}{2 p\left(p-p^{*}\right)}} q^{\frac{q\left(p-p^{*}\right)}{2 p\left(p-p^{*}\right)}} S^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{*}-2 p}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Hence we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}=\left(\frac{b_{2} q^{\prime}}{b_{1} q}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 p}} \theta_{2} u_{\varepsilon} \\
v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}=\theta_{2} v_{\varepsilon} \\
\theta_{2}=\left(\left(b_{2}\right)^{\frac{2 p-q}{2 p}}\left(b_{1}\right)^{\frac{q}{2 p}}\left(\frac{2}{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p}{\left(p-p^{*}\right)}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{2 p(p-q)-q\left(p-p^{*}\right)}{2 p\left(p-p^{*}\right)}} q^{\frac{q\left(p-p^{*}\right)}{2 p\left(p-p^{*}\right)}} S^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{*}-2 p}} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

ii) If $a_{1}=0$ and $a_{2} \neq 0$, we have by (4.11)

$$
B \theta_{2}^{p}-A\left(a_{2} \theta_{2}^{\frac{\left(p^{*}-2 p+q^{\prime}\right) p}{q}}+C \theta_{2}^{\frac{\left(p^{*}-p\right) 2 p}{q}}\right)^{\frac{q}{2 p}}+a_{2}=0
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\frac{\left(4 b_{1}\left(\frac{2}{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p}{\left(p-p^{*}\right)}}(q)^{\frac{p-q^{\prime}}{p-p^{*}}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{q^{\prime}}{p-p^{*}}} S^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}}\right)^{\frac{q}{2 p}}}{\left(2 b_{1}\right)^{\frac{q}{p}}\left(\frac{2}{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{q}{\left(p-p^{*}\right)}}(q)^{\frac{\left(p-q^{\prime}\right) q}{\left(p-p^{*}\right) p}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{q q^{\prime}}{\left(p-p^{*}\right) p}} S^{\frac{q p^{*}}{\left(p^{*}-p\right) p}}} \\
& B=b_{2}\left(\frac{2}{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p}{\left(p-p^{*}\right)}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{p-q}{p-p^{*}}} q^{\frac{q}{p-p^{*}}} S^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}} \\
& C=b_{2}\left(\frac{2}{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p}{\left(p-p^{*}\right)}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{p-q}{p-p^{*}}} q^{\frac{q}{p-p^{*}}} S^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We define

$$
f(x)=B x^{p}-A\left(a_{2} x^{\frac{\left(p^{*}-2 p+q^{\prime}\right) p}{q}}+C x^{\frac{\left(p^{*}-p\right) 2 p}{q}}\right)^{\frac{q}{2 p}}+a_{2}
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f^{\prime}(x)= p B x^{p-1} \\
&-\frac{q}{2 p} A\left(a_{2} \frac{\left(p^{*}-2 p+q^{\prime}\right) p}{q} x^{\frac{\left(p^{*}-2 p+q^{\prime}\right) p-q}{q}}+C \frac{\left(p^{*}-p\right) 2 p}{q} x^{\frac{\left(p^{*}-p\right) 2 p-q}{q}}\right) \\
& \times\left(a_{2} x^{\frac{\left(p^{*}-2 p+q^{\prime}\right) p}{q}}+C x^{\frac{\left(p^{*}-p\right) 2 p}{q}}\right)^{\frac{q}{2 p}-1} \\
&= x^{p-1}[p B \\
&\left.-\frac{q}{2 p} A\left(a_{2} \frac{\left(p^{*}-2 p+q^{\prime}\right) p}{q} x^{\frac{\left(q^{\prime}-p\right) 2 p}{q}}+C \frac{\left(p^{*}-p\right) 2 p}{q} x^{\frac{\left(q^{\prime}-p\right) 2 p+q p}{q}}\right)\left(a_{2} x^{\frac{\left(p^{*}-2 p+q^{\prime}\right) p}{q}}+C x^{\frac{\left(p^{*}-p\right) 2 p}{q}}\right)^{\frac{q}{2 p}-1}\right] \\
& \text { and } g(x)=p B \\
&-\frac{q}{2 p} A\left(a_{2} \frac{\left(p^{*}-2 p+q^{\prime}\right) p}{q} x^{\frac{\left(q^{\prime}-p\right) 2 p}{q}}+C \frac{\left(p^{*}-p\right) 2 p}{q} x^{\frac{\left(q^{\prime}-p\right) 2 p+q p}{q}}\right)\left(a_{2} x^{\frac{\left(p^{*}-2 p+q^{\prime}\right) p}{q}}+C x^{\frac{\left(p^{*}-p\right) 2 p}{q}}\right)^{\frac{q}{2 p}-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

iii) If $a_{1} \neq 0$ and $a_{2}=0$, we have by (4.12)

$$
\Delta=a_{1}^{2}+4 b_{1} b_{2}\left(\frac{2}{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{2 p}{\left(p-p^{*}\right)}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{p-q+q^{\prime}}{p-p^{*}}}(q)^{\frac{p-q^{\prime}+q}{p-p^{*}}} S^{\frac{2 p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}} \theta_{2}^{2 p}
$$

then (4.13) implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(\theta_{2}\right)=b_{2}\left(\frac{2}{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p}{\left(p-p^{*}\right)}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{p-q}{p-p^{*}}} q^{\frac{q}{p-p^{*}}} S^{p^{p^{*}-p}} \theta_{2}^{p} \\
& -\frac{\left(-a_{1}+\sqrt{\Delta}\right)^{\frac{q}{p}}}{\left(2 b_{1}\right)^{\frac{q}{p}}\left(\frac{2}{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{q}{\left(p-p^{*}\right)}}(q)^{\frac{\left(p-q^{\prime}\right) q}{\left(p-p^{*}\right) P}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{q q q^{\prime}}{\left(p-p^{*}\right) p}} S^{\frac{q p^{*}}{\left(p^{*}-p\right) p}}} \theta_{2}^{q^{\prime}-p} \\
& =0 \\
& f\left(\theta_{2}\right)=b_{2}\left(\frac{2}{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p}{\left(p-p^{*}\right)}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{p-q}{p-p^{*}}} q^{\frac{q}{p-p^{*}}} S^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}} \theta_{2}^{p} \\
& -\left(\frac{-a_{1}+\sqrt{a_{1}^{2}+4 b_{1} b_{2}\left(\frac{2}{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{2 p}{\left(p-p^{*}\right)}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{p-q+q^{\prime}}{p-p^{*}}}(q)^{\frac{p-q^{\prime}+q}{p-p^{*}}} S^{\frac{2 p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}} \theta_{2}^{2 p}}}{2 b_{1}\left(\frac{2}{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p}{\left(p-p^{*}\right)}}(q)^{\frac{p-q^{\prime}}{p-p^{*}}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{q^{\prime}}{p-p^{*}}} S^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}}}\right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \theta_{2}^{q^{\prime}-p} \\
& f\left(\theta_{2}\right)=b_{2}\left(\frac{2}{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p}{\left(p-p^{*}\right)}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{p-q}{p-p^{*}}} q^{\frac{q}{p-p^{*}}} S^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}} \theta_{2}^{p} \\
& -\left(\frac{-a_{1}}{2 b_{1}\left(\frac{2}{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p}{\left.p-p^{*}\right)}}(q)^{\frac{p-q^{\prime}}{p-p^{*}}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{q^{\prime}}{p-p^{*}}} S^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}}}\right. \\
& \begin{array}{l}
+\sqrt{\left.\frac{a_{1}^{2}+4 b_{1} b_{2}\left(\frac{2}{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{2 p}{\left(p-p^{*}\right)}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{p-q+q^{\prime}}{p-p^{*}}}(q)^{\frac{p-q^{\prime}+q}{p-p^{*}}} S^{\frac{2 p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}} \theta_{2}^{2 p}}{\left(2 b_{1}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{2}{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{2 p}{\left(p-p^{*}\right)}}(q)^{2 \frac{p-q^{\prime}}{p-p^{*}}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{2 q^{\prime}}{p-p^{*}}} S_{p^{\frac{2 p^{*}}{*}}}^{\frac{q}{p}}}\right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \theta_{2}^{q^{\prime}-p}}=1 \\
=0 .
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$
C_{1}=\frac{a_{1}}{2 b_{1}\left(\frac{2}{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p}{\left(p-p^{*}\right)}}(q)^{\frac{p-q^{\prime}}{p-p^{*}}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{q^{\prime}}{p-p^{*}}} S^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}}}
$$

then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(b_{2}\left(\frac{2}{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p}{\left(p-p^{*}\right)}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{p-q}{p-p^{*}}} q^{\frac{q}{p-p^{*}}} S^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}}\right) \theta_{2}^{p} \\
-\left(\theta_{2}^{\frac{\left(q^{\prime}-p\right) p+1}{q}} \sqrt{C_{1}^{2}+\frac{q^{\prime} b_{2}}{q b_{1}} \theta_{2}^{2 p}}-C_{1} \theta_{2}^{\frac{\left(q^{\prime}-p\right) p+1}{q}}\right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \theta_{2}^{p}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(b_{2}\left(\frac{2}{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p}{\left(p-p^{*}\right)}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{p-q}{p-p^{*}}} q^{\frac{q}{p-p^{*}}} S^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}}\right) \theta_{2}^{p} \\
-\left(\sqrt{\theta_{2}^{\frac{2\left(q^{\prime}-p\right) p+2}{q}} C_{1}^{2}+\frac{q^{\prime} b_{2}}{q b_{1}} \theta_{2}^{\frac{2\left(q^{\prime}-p\right) p+2+2 p q}{q}}}-C_{1} \theta_{2}^{\frac{\left(q^{\prime}-p\right) p+1}{q}}\right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \theta_{2}^{p}=0 \\
\left(b_{2}\left(\frac{2}{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p}{\left(p-p^{*}\right)}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{p-q}{p-p^{*}}} q^{\frac{q}{p-p^{*}}} S^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}}\right) \theta_{2}^{p} \\
-\left(\sqrt{\theta_{2}^{\frac{2\left(q^{\prime}-p\right) p+2}{q}}} C_{1}^{2}+\frac{q^{\prime} b_{2}}{q b_{1}} \theta_{2}^{\frac{2\left(p^{*}-p\right) p+2}{q}}\right. \\
\left(b_{2}\left(\frac{2}{p^{*}}\right)_{1} \theta_{2}^{\frac{\left(q^{\prime}-p\right) p+1}{q}}\right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \theta_{2}^{p}=0 \\
=\sqrt{\theta_{2}^{\frac{2\left(q^{\prime}-p\right) p+2}{q}} C_{1}^{2}+\frac{q^{\prime} b_{2}}{q b_{1}} \theta_{2}^{\frac{2\left(p^{*}-p\right) p+2}{q}}}
\end{gathered}
$$

then we have

$$
\left(C_{2}+C_{1} \theta_{2}^{\frac{\left(q^{\prime}-p\right) p+1}{q}}\right)^{2}=\theta_{2}^{\frac{2\left(q^{\prime}-p\right) p+2}{q}} C_{1}^{2}+\frac{q^{\prime} b_{2}}{q b_{1}} \theta_{2}^{\frac{2\left(p^{*}-p\right) p+2}{q}}
$$

where $C_{2}=\left(b_{2}\left(\frac{2}{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p}{\left(p-p^{*}\right)}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{p-q}{p-p^{*}}} q^{\frac{q}{p-p^{*}}} S^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}}\right)^{\frac{p}{q}}$
we obtain that

$$
C_{2}^{2}+C_{1}^{2} \theta_{2}^{\frac{2\left(q^{\prime}-p\right) p+2}{q}}+2 C_{2} C_{1} \theta_{2}^{\frac{\left(q^{\prime}-p\right) p+1}{q}}=\theta_{2}^{\frac{2\left(q^{\prime}-p\right) p+2}{q}} C_{1}^{2}+\frac{q^{\prime} b_{2}}{q b_{1}} \theta_{2}^{\frac{2\left(p^{*}-p\right) p+2}{q}} .
$$

Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
f\left(\theta_{2}\right)=\frac{q^{\prime} b_{2}}{q b_{1}} \theta_{2}^{\frac{2\left(p^{*}-p\right) p+2}{q}}-2 C_{2} C_{1} \theta_{2}^{\frac{\left(q^{\prime}-p\right) p+1}{q}}-C_{2}^{2}=0 \\
f^{\prime}\left(\theta_{2}\right)=\frac{2\left(p^{*}-p\right) p+2}{q} \frac{q^{\prime} b_{2}}{q b_{1}} \theta_{2}^{\frac{2\left(p^{*}-p\right) p+2}{q}-1}-2 \frac{\left(q^{\prime}-p\right) p+1}{q} C_{2} C_{1} \theta_{2}^{\frac{\left(q^{\prime}-p\right) p+1}{q}-1}
\end{gathered}
$$

if $q^{\prime}>p$, we have

$$
\text { if } f^{\prime}\left(\theta_{2}\right)=0 \text { we have } \theta_{2}^{0}=\left(2 \frac{\left(q^{\prime}-p\right) p+1}{2\left(p^{*}-p\right) p+2} \frac{q b_{1}}{q^{\prime} b_{2}} C_{2} C_{1}\right)^{\frac{q}{\left[2 p^{*}-p-q^{\prime}\right]_{p+1}}}
$$

such that $f\left(\theta_{2}^{0}\right)<0$, then there exist $\theta_{2}^{1}$ such that $f\left(\theta_{2}^{1}\right)=0$.
Hence we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}=\left(\frac{b_{2} q^{\prime}}{b_{1} q}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 p}} \theta_{2}^{1} u_{\varepsilon} \\
v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}=\theta_{2}^{1} v_{\varepsilon}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\theta_{2}^{1}$ is solution of $\frac{q^{\prime} b_{2}}{q b_{1}} \theta_{2}^{\frac{2\left(p^{*}-p\right) p+2}{q}}-2 C_{2} C_{1} \theta_{2}^{\frac{\left(q^{\prime}-p\right) p+1}{q}}-C_{2}^{2}=0$
and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{1}=\frac{a_{1}}{2 b_{1}\left(\frac{2}{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p}{\left(p-p^{*}\right)}}(q)^{\frac{p-q^{\prime}}{p-p^{*}}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{q^{\prime}}{p-p^{*}}} S^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}}} \\
& C_{2}=\left(b_{2}\left(\frac{2}{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p}{\left(p-p^{*}\right)}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{p-q}{p-p^{*}}} q^{\frac{q}{p-p^{*}}} S^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}}\right)^{\frac{p}{q}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

iv) If $a_{1}, a_{2} \neq 0$, we have

$$
B \theta_{2}^{p}-\frac{\left(-a_{1}+\sqrt{\Delta}\right)^{\frac{q}{p}}}{A^{\frac{q}{p}}} \theta_{2}^{q^{\prime}-p}+a_{2}=0
$$

and $\Delta=a_{1}^{2}+2 A\left(a_{2} \theta_{2}^{p}+B \theta_{2}^{2 p}\right)$, where

$$
\begin{gathered}
A=2 b_{1}\left(\frac{2}{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p}{\left(p-p^{*}\right)}}(q)^{\frac{p-q^{\prime}}{p-p^{*}}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{q^{\prime}}{p-p^{*}}} S^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}} \\
B=b_{2}\left(\frac{2}{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p}{\left(p-p^{*}\right)}}\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{p-q}{p-p^{*}}} q^{\frac{q}{p-p^{*}}} S^{p^{p^{*}-p}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

So

$$
\begin{gather*}
B A^{\frac{q}{p}} \theta_{2}^{p}+A^{\frac{q}{p}} a_{2}=\left(-a_{1}+\sqrt{a_{1}^{2}+2 A\left(a_{2} \theta_{2}^{p}+B \theta_{2}^{2 p}\right)}\right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \theta_{2}^{q^{\prime}-p} \\
\left(B A^{\frac{q}{p}} \theta_{2}^{2 p-q^{\prime}}+A^{\frac{q}{p}} \theta_{2}^{-\left(q^{\prime}-p\right)} a_{2}\right)^{\frac{p}{q}}+a_{1}=\sqrt{a_{1}^{2}+2 A\left(a_{2} \theta_{2}^{p}+B \theta_{2}^{2 p}\right)} \\
\left(B A^{\frac{q}{p}} \theta_{2}^{2 p-q^{\prime}}+A^{\frac{q}{p}} \theta_{2}^{-\left(q^{\prime}-p\right)} a_{2}\right)^{\frac{2 p}{q}}+2\left(B A^{\frac{q}{p}} \theta_{2}^{2 p-q^{\prime}}+A^{\frac{q}{p}} \theta_{2}^{-\left(q^{\prime}-p\right)} a_{2}\right)^{\frac{p}{q}} a_{1}=2 A\left(a_{2} \theta_{2}^{p}+B \theta_{2}^{2 p}\right) \\
A^{2}\left(\left[B \theta_{2}^{p}+a_{2}\right] \theta_{2}^{p-q^{\prime}}\right)^{\frac{2 p}{q}}+2\left(\left[B \theta_{2}^{p}+a_{2}\right] \theta_{2}^{p-q^{\prime}}\right)^{\frac{p}{q}} A a_{1}=2 A\left(a_{2}+B \theta_{2}^{p}\right) \theta_{2}^{p} \\
A\left(\left[B \theta_{2}^{p}+a_{2}\right] \theta_{2}^{p-q^{\prime}}\right)^{\frac{2 p}{q}}+2\left(\left[B \theta_{2}^{p}+a_{2}\right] \theta_{2}^{p-q^{\prime}}\right)^{\frac{p}{q}} a_{1}=2\left(a_{2}+B \theta_{2}^{p}\right) \theta_{2}^{p} . \tag{4.16}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then there exist $\theta_{2}>0$ such that $\theta_{2}$ is solution of (4.16).

### 4.4 Geometric conditions of the Mountain Pass

## Theorem

In first we verify that $I_{3}$ satisfies the geometric conditions of the Mountain Pass Theorem.

The following assumptions are used in this section :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(H_{4}\right) p^{*}=2 p, a_{2}=a_{2}=0, b_{1}, b_{2}>S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{-2} . \\
& \left(H_{5}\right) p^{*}=2 p, b_{1}, b_{2} \geq S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{-2}, a_{1}, a_{2}>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 4.4 Let $f \in W^{*} \backslash\{0\}, a=\max \left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right), b=\max \left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right) \geq 0$. Then there exist positive numbers $\delta_{1}, \rho_{1}$ and $\lambda_{1}^{*}, \lambda_{2}^{*}, \lambda_{3}^{*}>0$ such that

$$
I_{3}(u, v) \geq \delta_{1}>0, \quad \text { with }\|u, v\|=\rho_{1},
$$

and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\lambda_{1} \leq \lambda_{1}^{*} & \text { if } \lambda_{1} \neq 0 \text { and } \lambda_{2}=0  \tag{4.17}\\
\lambda_{2} \leq \lambda_{2}^{*} & \text { if } \lambda_{1}=0 \text { and } \lambda_{2} \neq 0 \\
\min \left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right) \leq \lambda_{3}^{*} & \text { if } \lambda_{1} \neq 0 \text { and } \lambda_{2} \neq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
I_{3}(u, v) \geq \begin{cases}-\frac{p-1}{p}\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{\frac{-1}{p-1}}\left(\left(\lambda_{1}\|f\|_{W^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}+\left(\lambda_{2}\|g\|_{W^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right) & \text { if }\left(H_{4}\right) \\ -\frac{2 p-1}{2 p}\left(\frac{b}{4}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2 p-1}}\left[\left(\lambda_{1}\|f\|_{W^{*}}\right)^{\frac{2 p}{2 p-1}}+\left(\lambda_{2}\|g\|_{W^{*}}\right)^{\frac{2 p}{2 p-1}}\right] & \text { if }\left(H_{5}\right)\end{cases}
$$

for all $(u, v) \in B_{\rho_{1}}(0,0)$.

Proof. Let $(u, v) \in W^{1, p} \backslash\{(0,0)\} \times W^{1, p} \backslash\{(0,0)\}, a=\max \left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$ and $b=$ $\max \left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right) \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{3}(u, v)= & \frac{1}{2 p}\left(b_{1}\|u\|^{2 p}+b_{2}\|v\|^{2 p}\right)+\frac{1}{p}\left(a_{1}\|u\|^{p}+a_{2}\|v\|^{p}\right) \\
& -\frac{2}{p^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{q}|v|^{q^{\prime}} d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \lambda_{1} f(x) u+\lambda_{2} g(x) v d x \\
\geq & \frac{b}{2 p}\left(\|u\|^{2 p}+\|v\|^{2 p}\right)+\frac{a}{p}\left(\|u\|^{p}+\|v\|^{p}\right) \\
& -\frac{2}{p^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{q}|v|^{q^{\prime}} d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \lambda_{1} f(x) u+\lambda_{2} g(x) v d x
\end{aligned}
$$

by the elementary inequality

$$
x^{2}+y^{2} \geq \frac{1}{2}(x+y)^{2}
$$

we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{3}(u, v) \geq & \frac{b}{4 p}\left(\|u\|^{p}+\|v\|^{p}\right)^{2}+\frac{a}{p}\left(\|u\|^{p}+\|v\|^{p}\right) \\
& -\frac{2}{p^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{q}|v|^{q^{\prime}} d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \lambda_{1} f(x) u+\lambda_{2} g(x) v d x \\
\geq & \frac{b}{4 p}\|u, v\|^{2 p}+\frac{a}{p}\|u, v\|^{p} \\
& -\frac{2}{p^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{q}|v|^{q^{\prime}} d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \lambda_{1} f(x) u+\lambda_{2} g(x) v d x
\end{aligned}
$$

by the definition of $S_{q, q^{\prime}}$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
I_{3}(u, v) \geq \frac{b}{4 p}\|u, v\|^{2 p}+\frac{a}{p}\|u, v\|^{p}-\frac{2}{p^{*}} S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{-p^{*} / p}\|u, v\|^{p^{*}} \\
-\lambda_{1}\|f\|_{W^{*}}\|u\|-\lambda_{2}\|g\|_{W^{*}}\|v\| .
\end{gathered}
$$

When $b \geq 0, a>0$ and $p^{*} \geq 2 p$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{3}(u, v) \geq & \frac{a}{p}\|u, v\|^{p}-\frac{2}{p^{*}} S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{-p^{*} / p}\|u, v\|^{p^{*}} \\
& -\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{\frac{-1}{p}} \lambda_{1}\|f\|_{W^{*}}\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\|u\|-\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{\frac{-1}{p}} \lambda_{2}\|g\|_{W^{*}}\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\|v\|
\end{aligned}
$$

by the elementary inequality

$$
x y<\frac{x^{p}}{p}+\frac{y^{q}}{q}, x>0, y>0 \quad \text { such that } \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1
$$

we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{3}(u, v) \geq & \frac{a}{p}\|(u, v)\|^{p}-\frac{p}{p^{*}} S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{-p^{*} / p}\|(u, v)\|^{p^{*}} \\
& -\frac{p-1}{p}\left(\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{\frac{-1}{p}} \lambda_{1}\|f\|_{W^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}-\frac{1}{p}\left(\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\|u\|\right)^{p} \\
& -\frac{p-1}{p}\left(\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{\frac{-1}{p}} \lambda_{2}\|g\|_{W^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}-\frac{1}{p}\left(\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\|v\|\right)^{p} \\
\geq & \frac{a}{p}\|u, v\|^{p}-\frac{2}{p^{*}} S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{-p^{*} / p}\|u, v\|^{p^{*}}-\frac{a}{2 p}\|u\|^{p} \\
& -\frac{a}{2 p}\|v\|^{p}-\frac{p-1}{p}\left(\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{\frac{-1}{p}} \lambda_{1}\|f\|_{W^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}-\frac{p-1}{p}\left(\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{\frac{-1}{p}} \lambda_{2}\|g\|_{W^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \\
\geq & \frac{a}{2 p}\|u, v\|^{p}-\frac{2}{p^{*}} S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{-p^{*} / p}\|u, v\|^{p^{*}} \\
& -\frac{p-1}{p}\left(\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{\frac{-1}{p}} \lambda_{1}\|f\|_{W^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}-\frac{p-1}{p}\left(\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{\frac{-1}{p}} \lambda_{2}\|g\|_{W^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\rho=\|u, v\|$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{3}(u, v) \geq & \frac{a}{2 p} \rho^{p}-\frac{2}{p^{*}} S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{-p^{*} / p} \rho^{p^{*}} \\
& -\frac{p-1}{p}\left(\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{\frac{-1}{p}} \lambda_{1}\|f\|_{W^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}-\frac{p-1}{p}\left(\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{\frac{-1}{p}} \lambda_{2}\|g\|_{W^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we consider the function $h: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{*}$, given by

$$
h(\rho)=\frac{a}{2 p} \rho^{p}-\frac{2}{p^{*}} S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{-p^{*} / p} \rho^{p^{*}}
$$

direct calculation shows that

$$
h(\rho) \geq 0 \text { for all } \rho \leq \rho_{1} \text { with } \rho_{1}=\left(\frac{a}{2 p} S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{p^{*} / p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{*}-p}}
$$

we immediately derive that

$$
\left.I_{3}(u, v)\right|_{B_{\rho_{1}}(0,0)} \geq-\frac{p-1}{p}\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{\frac{-1}{p-1}}\left[\left(\lambda_{1}\|f\|_{W^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}+\left(\lambda_{2}\|g\|_{W^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right] .
$$

So, for $\|u, v\|=\rho_{1}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{3}(u, v) & \geq h\left(\rho_{1}\right)-\frac{p-1}{p}\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{\frac{-1}{p-1}}\left[\left(\lambda_{1}\|f\|_{W^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}+\left(\lambda_{2}\|g\|_{W^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right] \\
& \geq \frac{1}{p} h\left(\rho_{1}\right)+\frac{p-1}{p} h\left(\rho_{1}\right)-\frac{p-1}{p}\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{\frac{-1}{p-1}}\left[\left(\lambda_{1}\|f\|_{W^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}+\left(\lambda_{2}\|g\|_{W^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right] \\
& \geq \frac{1}{p} h\left(\rho_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for

$$
\begin{aligned}
h\left(\rho_{1}\right) & \geq\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{\frac{-1}{p-1}}\left(\left(\lambda_{1}\|f\|_{W^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}+\left(\lambda_{2}\|g\|_{W^{*}}{ }^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right)\right. \\
& \geq\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{\frac{-1}{p-1}}\left(\lambda_{1}\|f\|_{W^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} & \text { if } \lambda_{1} \neq 0 \text { and } \lambda_{2}=0 \\
\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{\frac{-1}{p-1}}\left(\lambda_{2}\|g\|_{W^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} & \text { if } \lambda_{1}=0 \text { and } \lambda_{2} \neq 0 .
\end{array} .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, we obtain

$$
I_{3}(u, v) \geq \frac{1}{p} h\left(\rho_{1}\right)=\frac{p^{*}-p}{p p^{*}} S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{\frac{p}{p^{*}-p}}\left(\frac{a}{2 p}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}},
$$

for

$$
0<\lambda_{1} \leq\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}(p-1)}{p\left(p^{*}-p\right)}}\left(\frac{p^{*}-p}{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{\frac{p-1}{p^{*}-p}}\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}-1}{p^{*}-p}}\|f\|_{W^{*}}^{-1} \text { and } \lambda_{2}=0
$$

or

$$
0<\lambda_{2} \leq\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}(p-1)}{p\left(p^{*}-p\right)}}\left(\frac{p^{*}-p}{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{\frac{p-1}{p^{*}-p}}\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}-1}{p^{*}-p}}\|g\|_{W^{*}}^{-1} \text { and } \lambda_{1}=0
$$

and if $\lambda_{1} \neq 0, \lambda_{2} \neq 0$ we have

$$
\min \left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right) \leq\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}(p-1)}{p\left(p^{*}-p\right)}}\left(\frac{p^{*}-p}{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}-1}{p^{*}-p}} S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{\frac{p-1}{p^{*}-p}}\left(\|f\|_{W^{*}}^{-1}+\|g\|_{W^{*}}^{-1}\right)
$$

Then we can choose $\delta_{1}, \rho_{1}$ and $\lambda_{1}^{*}, \lambda_{2}^{*}, \lambda_{3}^{*}$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\delta_{1}=\frac{p^{*}-p}{p p^{*}} S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{\frac{p}{p^{*}-p}}\left(\frac{a}{2 p}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}}, \rho_{1}=\left(\frac{a}{2 p} S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{p^{*} / p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{*}-p}} \\
\lambda_{1}^{*}=\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}(p-1)}{p\left(p^{*}-p\right)}}\left(\frac{p^{*}-p}{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{\frac{p-1}{p^{*}-p}}\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}-1}{p^{*}-p}}\|f\|_{W^{*}}^{-1} \quad \text { if } \lambda_{1} \neq 0 \text { and } \lambda_{2}=0,
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\lambda_{2}^{*}=\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}(p-1)}{p\left(p^{*}-p\right)}}\left(\frac{p^{*}-p}{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{\frac{p-1}{p^{*}-p}}\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}-1}{p^{*}-p}}\|g\|_{W^{*}}^{-1} \text { if } \lambda_{1}=0 \text { and } \lambda_{2} \neq 0
$$

and

$$
\lambda_{3}^{*}=\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}(p-1)}{p\left(p^{*}-p\right)}}\left(\frac{p^{*}-p}{p^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}-1}{p^{*}-p}} S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{\frac{p-1}{p^{*}-p}}\left(\|f\|_{W^{*}}^{-1}+\|g\|_{W^{*}}^{-1}\right) \text { if } \lambda_{1} \neq 0 \text { and } \lambda_{2} \neq 0
$$

When $b>0, a=0$ and $p^{*}>2 p$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{3}(u, v) \geq \frac{b}{4 p}\|u, v\|^{2 p}-\frac{2}{p^{*}} S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{-p^{*} / p}\|u, v\|^{p^{*}}-\left(\lambda_{1}\|f\|_{W^{*}}\right)\|u\|-\left(\lambda_{2}\|g\|_{W^{*}}\right)\|v\| \\
& \geq \frac{b}{4 p}\|(u, v)\|^{2 p}-\frac{2}{p^{*}} S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{-p^{*} / p}\|(u, v)\|^{p^{*}}-\left(\lambda_{1}\left(\frac{b}{4}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2 p}}\|f\|_{W^{*}}\right)\left(\frac{b}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 p}}\|u\| \\
& -\left(\lambda_{2}\left(\frac{b}{4}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2 p}}\|g\|_{W^{*}}\right)\left(\frac{b}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 p}}\|v\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the elementary inequality: $x y<\frac{x^{p}}{p}+\frac{y^{q}}{q}, x>0, y>0$ such that $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{3}(u, v) \geq & \frac{b}{4 p}\|u, v\|^{2 p}-\frac{2}{p^{*}} S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{-p^{*} / p}\|u, v\|^{p^{*}}-\frac{2 p-1}{2 p}\left(\lambda_{1}\left(\frac{b}{4}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2 p}}\|f\|_{W^{*}}\right)^{\frac{2 p}{2 p-1}}-\frac{b}{8 p}\|u\|^{2 p} \\
& -\frac{2 p-1}{2 p}\left(\lambda_{2}\left(\frac{b}{4}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2 p}}\|g\|_{W^{*}}\right)^{\frac{2 p}{2 p-1}}-\frac{b}{8 p}\|v\|^{2 p} \\
\geq & \frac{b}{4 p}\|(u, v)\|^{2 p}-\frac{2}{p^{*}} S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{-p^{*} / p}\|(u, v)\|^{p^{*}}-\frac{2 p-1}{2 p}\left(\lambda_{1}\left(\frac{b}{4}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2 p}}\|f\|_{W^{*}}\right)^{\frac{2 p}{2 p-1}}-\frac{b}{8 p}\|u\|^{2 p} \\
& -\frac{2 p-1}{2 p}\left(\lambda_{2}\left(\frac{b}{4}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2 p}}\|g\|_{W^{*}}\right)^{\frac{2 p}{2 p-1}}-\frac{b}{8 p}\|v\|^{2 p} \\
= & \frac{b}{8 p}\left(\|u\|^{2 p}+\|v\|^{2 p}+4\|u\|^{p}\|v\|^{p}\right)-\frac{p}{p^{*}} S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{-p^{*} / p}\|(u, v)\|^{p^{*}} \\
& -\frac{2 p-1}{2 p}\left[\left(\lambda_{1}\left(\frac{b}{4}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2 p}}\|f\|_{W^{*}}\right)^{\frac{2 p}{2 p-1}}+\left(\lambda_{2}\left(\frac{b}{4}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2 p}}\|g\|_{W^{*}}\right)^{\frac{2 p}{2 p-1}}\right] \\
> & \frac{b}{8 p}\left(\|u\|^{2 p}+\|v\|^{2 p}+2\|u\|^{p}\|v\|^{p}\right)-\frac{2}{p^{*}} S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{-p^{*} / p}\|(u, v)\|^{p^{*}} \\
& -\frac{2 p-1}{2 p}\left[\left(\lambda_{1}\left(\frac{b}{4}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2 p}}\|f\|_{W^{*}}\right)^{\frac{2 p}{2 p-1}}+\left(\lambda_{2}\left(\frac{b}{4}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2 p}}\|g\|_{W^{*}}\right)^{\frac{2 p}{2 p-1}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \frac{b}{8 p}\|(u, v)\|^{2 p}-\frac{2}{p^{*}} S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{-p^{*} / p}\|(u, v)\|^{p^{*}} \\
& -\frac{2 p-1}{2 p}\left(\frac{b}{4}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2 p-1}}\left[\left(\lambda_{1}\|f\|_{W^{*}}\right)^{\frac{2 p}{2 p-1}}+\left(\lambda_{2}\|g\|_{W^{*}}\right)^{\frac{2 p}{2 p-1}}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\rho=\|(u, v)\|$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{3}(u, v) \geq & \frac{b}{8 p} \rho^{2 p}-\frac{2}{p^{*}} S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{-p^{*} / p} \rho^{p^{*}} \\
& -\frac{2 p-1}{2 p}\left(\frac{b}{4}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2 p-1}}\left[\left(\lambda_{1}\|f\|_{W^{*}} \frac{\frac{2 p}{2 p-1}}{2 p}+\left(\lambda_{2}\|g\|_{W^{*}} \frac{\frac{2 p}{2 p-1}}{} \quad\right.\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we consider the function $h: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{*}$, given by

$$
h(\rho)=-\frac{2}{p^{*}} S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{-p^{*} / p} \rho^{p^{*}}+\frac{b}{8 p} \rho^{2 p}
$$

and

$$
h^{\prime}(\rho)=\rho^{2 p-1}\left(-p S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{-p^{*} / p} \rho^{p^{*}-2 p}+\frac{b}{4}\right) .
$$

Thus, $h^{\prime}(\rho)=0$ has a unique positive solution $\rho_{1}=\left(\frac{b}{4 p} S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{p^{*} / p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{*}-2 p}}$. Thus, direct calculation shows that

$$
h(\rho) \geq 0 \text { for all } \rho \leq \rho_{1}
$$

we immediately derive that

$$
\left.I_{3}(u, v)\right|_{B_{\rho_{1}}(0,0)} \geq-\frac{2 p-1}{2 p}\left(\frac{b}{4}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2 p-1}}\left[\left(\lambda_{1}\|f\|_{W^{*}}\right)^{\frac{2 p}{2 p-1}}+\left(\lambda_{2}\|g\|_{W^{*}}\right)^{\frac{2 p}{2 p-1}}\right] .
$$

So, for $\|u, v\|=\rho_{1}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{3}(u, v) & \geq h\left(\rho_{1}\right)-\frac{2 p-1}{2 p}\left(\frac{b}{4}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2 p-1}}\left[\left(\lambda_{1}\|f\|_{W^{*}}\right)^{\frac{2 p}{2 p-1}}+\left(\lambda_{2}\|g\|_{W^{*}}{ }^{\frac{2 p}{2 p-1}}\right]\right. \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2 p} h\left(\rho_{1}\right)+\frac{2 p-1}{2 p} h\left(\rho_{1}\right)-\frac{2 p-1}{2 p}\left(\frac{b}{4}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2 p-1}}\left[\left(\lambda_{1}\|f\|_{W^{*}}\right)^{\frac{2 p}{2 p-1}}+\left(\lambda_{2}\|g\|_{W^{*}}\right)^{\frac{2 p}{2 p-1}}\right] \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2 p} h\left(\rho_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for

$$
\begin{aligned}
h\left(\rho_{1}\right) & \geq\left(\frac{b}{4}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2 p-1}}\left[\left(\lambda_{1}\|f\|_{W^{*}}\right)^{\frac{2 p}{2 p-1}}+\left(\lambda_{2}\|g\|_{W^{*}} \frac{\frac{2 p}{2 p-1}}{}{ }^{\frac{2 p}{}}\right.\right. \\
& \geq \begin{cases}\left(\frac{b}{4}\right)^{\frac{-1}{2 p-1}}\left(\lambda_{1}\|f\|_{W^{*}}\right)^{\frac{2 p}{2 p-1}} & \text { if } \lambda_{1} \neq 0 \text { and } \lambda_{2}=0 \\
\left(\frac{b}{4}\right)^{\frac{-1}{2 p-1}}\left(\lambda_{2}\|g\|_{W^{*}} \frac{\frac{2 p}{2 p-1}}{} \quad \text { if } \lambda_{1}=0 \text { and } \lambda_{2} \neq 0 .\right.\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, we obtain

$$
I_{3}(u, v) \geq \frac{p^{*}-2 p}{4 p p^{*}} S_{q, q^{q^{\prime}}}^{\frac{2 p^{*}}{p^{*}-2 p}}\left(\frac{b}{4 p}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-2 p}}
$$

for

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\lambda_{1} \leq\left(\frac{b}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 p}}\left[\frac{p^{*}-2 p}{2 p^{*}} S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{\frac{2 p^{*}}{p^{*}-2 p}}\left(\frac{b}{4 p}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-2 p}}\right]^{\frac{2 p}{2 p-1}}\|f\|_{W^{*}}^{-1} \quad \text { if } \lambda_{1} \neq 0 \text { and } \lambda_{2}=0 \\
\lambda_{2} \leq\left(\frac{b}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 p}}\left[\frac{p^{*}-2 p}{2 p^{*}} S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{\frac{2 p^{*}}{p^{*}-2 p}}\left(\frac{b}{4 p}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-2 p}}\right]^{\frac{2 p}{2 p-1}}\|g\|_{W^{*}}^{-1} \quad \text { if } \lambda_{1}=0 \text { and } \lambda_{2} \neq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

and if $\lambda_{1} \neq 0, \lambda_{2} \neq 0$, we have

$$
\min \left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right) \leq\left(\frac{b}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 p}}\left[\frac{p^{*}-2 p}{2 p^{*}} S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{\frac{2 *^{*}}{p^{*}-2 p}}\left(\frac{b}{4 p}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-2 p}}\right]^{\frac{2 p}{2 p-1}}\left(\|f\|_{W^{*}}^{-1}+\|g\|_{W^{*}}^{-1}\right)
$$

Then we can choose $\delta_{1}, \rho_{1}$ and $\lambda_{1}^{*}, \lambda_{2}^{*}, \lambda_{3}^{*}$ are positives such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{1}=\frac{p^{*}-2 p}{4 p p^{*}} S_{q, q^{2}}^{\frac{2 p^{*}}{p^{*}-2 p}}\left(\frac{b}{4 p}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-2 p}}, \\
& \rho_{1}=\left(\frac{b}{4 p} S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{p^{*} / p}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-2 p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\lambda_{1}^{*}=\left(\frac{b}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 p}}\left[\frac{p^{*}-2 p}{2 p^{*}} S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{\frac{2 p^{*}}{p^{*}}-2 p}\left(\frac{b}{4 p}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-2 p}}\right]^{\frac{2 p}{2 p-1}}\|f\|_{W^{*}}^{-1} \quad \text { if } \lambda_{1} \neq 0 \text { and } \lambda_{2}=0 \\
\lambda_{2}^{*}=\left(\frac{b}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 p}}\left[\frac{p^{*}-2 p}{2 p^{*}} S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{\frac{2 p^{*}}{p^{*}-2 p}}\left(\frac{b}{4 p}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-2 p}}\right]^{\frac{2 p}{2 p-1}}\|g\|_{W^{*}}^{-1} \quad \text { if } \lambda_{1}=0 \text { and } \lambda_{2} \neq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

and if $\lambda_{1} \neq 0$ and $\lambda_{2} \neq 0$

$$
\lambda_{3}^{*}=\left(\frac{b}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 p}}\left[\frac{p^{*}-2 p}{2 p^{*}} S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{\frac{2 p^{*}}{p^{*}-2 p}}\left(\frac{b}{4 p}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-2 p}}\right]^{\frac{2 p}{2 p-1}}\left(\|f\|_{W^{*}}^{-1}+\|g\|_{W^{*}}^{-1}\right)
$$

This completes the proof of Lemma.

### 4.5 Palais Smale condition

Lemma 4.5 Suppose that $f, g \in W^{*} \backslash\{0\}$ and assume that $\left(H_{4}\right)$ or $\left(H_{5}\right)$ holds. Let $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \subset W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \times W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ be a Palais Smale sequence for $I_{3}$, then

$$
\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \rightharpoonup(u, v) \text { in } W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \times W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
$$

for some $(u, v) \in W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \times W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with $I_{3}^{\prime}(u, v)=0$.

Proof. Let $\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \subset W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \times W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ be a Palais Smale sequence for $I_{3}$ such that

$$
I_{3}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \rightarrow c \in \mathbb{R}
$$

and

$$
I_{3}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 .
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
c+o_{n}(1) & =I_{3}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \\
o_{n}(1) & =\left\langle I_{3}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right),\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)\right\rangle,
\end{aligned}
$$

that is

$$
c+o\left(\left\|u_{n}, v_{n}\right\|\right)=I_{3}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\left\langle I_{3}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right),\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)\right\rangle
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
c+o\left(\left\|u_{n}, v_{n}\right\|\right)= & \frac{1}{2 p}\left(b_{1}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2 p}+b_{2}\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{2 p}\right)+\frac{1}{p}\left(a_{1}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}+a_{2}\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{p}\right) \\
& -\left.\frac{2}{p^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q}\left|v_{n}\right|\right|^{q^{\prime}} d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \lambda_{1} f(x) u_{n}+\lambda_{2} g(x) v_{n} d x \\
& -\frac{1}{p^{*}}\left(b_{1}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2 p}+b_{2}\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{2 p}\right)-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\left(a_{1}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}+a_{2}\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{p}\right) \\
& +\frac{2}{p^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q}\left|v_{n}\right|^{q^{\prime}} d x+\frac{1}{p^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \lambda_{1} f(x) u_{n}+\lambda_{2} g(x) v_{n} d x \\
= & \frac{p^{*}-2 p}{2 p p^{*}}\left(b_{1}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2 p}+b_{2}\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{2 p}\right)+\frac{p^{*}-p}{p p^{*}}\left(a_{1}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}+a_{2}\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{p}\right) \\
& -\frac{p^{*}-1}{p^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x) u_{n} \lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2} g(x) v_{n} d x,
\end{aligned}
$$

using $a=\max \left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$ and $b=\max \left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
c+o\left(\left\|u_{n}, v_{n}\right\|\right) \geq & \frac{p^{*}-2 p}{4 p p^{*}} b\left\|\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)\right\|^{2 p}+a \frac{p^{*}-p}{p p^{*}}\left\|\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)\right\|^{p} \\
& -\frac{p^{*}-1}{p^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \lambda_{1} f(x) u_{n}+\lambda_{2} g(x) v_{n} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)$ is bounded in $W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \times W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Up to a subsequence if necessary, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \rightharpoonup(u, v) \text { in } W_{\alpha, \mu}^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \times W_{\alpha, \mu}^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \\
& \left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \rightharpoonup(u, v) \text { in } L^{p^{*}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \\
& \left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \rightarrow(u, v) \text { a. e. in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x) u_{n} d x & \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x) u d x \\
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(x) v_{n} d x & \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(x) v d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\left\langle I_{3}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right),(\varphi, \psi)\right\rangle=0 \text { for all }(\varphi, \psi) \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
$$

thus $I_{3}^{\prime}(u, v)=0$. This completes the proof.

### 4.6 Existence of a critical point with negative en-

## ergy

In this section we prove the existence of critical point with negative energy.

Theorem 4.6 Suppose that $f, g \in W^{*} \backslash\{0\}$ and assume that $\left(H_{4}\right)$ or $\left(H_{5}\right)$ holds, then there exist constants $\lambda_{1}^{*}, \lambda_{2}^{*}, \lambda_{3}^{*}>0$ such that for any $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}$ verifying (4.17), system (4.1) has a solution $\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)$ with negative energy.

Proposition 4.7 Let $f, g \in W^{*} \backslash\{0\}$ and $p^{*} \geq 2 p$. For all $\lambda_{1}$, $\lambda_{2}$ verifying (4.17), there exists a nontrivial solution $\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)$ of (4.1) with negative energy.

Proof. First, by Lemma 4.4, we can define

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}=\inf \left\{I_{3}(u, v), \quad(u, v) \in \bar{B}_{\rho_{1}}(0,0)\right\} \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

.Now we claim that $-\infty<c_{1}<0$. As $f, g \in W^{*} \backslash\{0\}$ we can choose $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{1} \in$ $W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \times W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ such that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x) \varphi_{1} d x \text { or } \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(x) \varphi_{2} d x>0
$$

Then, for a fixed $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ in (4.17), there exists $t_{0}>0$ such that $t_{0}\left\|\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}\right\|<\rho_{1}$ and $I_{3}\left(t_{0} \varphi_{1}, t_{0} \varphi_{2}\right)<0$ for $\left.t \in\right] 0, t_{0}[$.

Hence,

$$
c_{1}<I_{3}(0,0)=0 .
$$

Using the Ekeland's variational principle, for the complete metric space $\bar{B}_{\rho_{1}}(0,0)$ with respect to the norm of $W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, we obtain the existence of a Palais-Smale sequence $\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \in \bar{B}_{\rho_{1}}(0,0)$ at level $c_{1}$, and from Lemma 4.4 we have $\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \rightharpoonup\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)$ in $W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \times W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ for some $\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)$ with $\left\|u_{1}, v_{1}\right\|<\rho_{1}$.

Now, we shall show that $\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \rightarrow\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)$ in $W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \times W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Suppose otherwise, then $\left\|u_{1}, v_{1}\right\|<\underline{\lim }_{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|u_{n}, v_{n}\right\|$, which implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{1} \leq & I_{3}\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right) \\
= & I_{3}\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\left\langle I_{3}^{\prime}\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right),\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)\right\rangle \\
= & \frac{p^{*}-2 p}{2 p p^{*}}\left(b_{1}\left\|u_{1}\right\|^{2 p}+b_{2}\left\|v_{1}\right\|^{2 p}\right)+\frac{p^{*}-p}{p p^{*}}\left(a_{1}\left\|u_{1}\right\|^{p}+a_{2}\left\|v_{1}\right\|^{p}\right) \\
& -\frac{p^{*}-1}{p^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x) u_{1} \lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2} g(x) v_{1} d x, \\
< & \underline{\lim }_{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left[\frac{p^{*}-2 p}{2 p p^{*}}\left(b_{1}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2 p}+b_{2}\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{2 p}\right)+\frac{p^{*}-p}{p p^{*}}\left(a_{1}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}+a_{2}\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{p}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{p^{*}-1}{p^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x) u_{n} \lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2} g(x) v_{n} d x\right], \\
= & \underline{\lim }_{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left[I_{3}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\left\langle I_{3}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right),\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)\right\rangle\right] \\
= & c_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This is a contradiction, we conclude that $\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \rightarrow\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)$ strongly in $W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \times$ $W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Therefore, $I_{3}^{\prime}\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)=0$ and $I_{3}\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)=c_{1}<0$.

Thus $\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)$ is a critical point of $I_{3}$ i.e. $\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)$ is a weak solution of (4.1). As $I_{3}(0,0)=0$ and $I_{3}\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)<0$ then, $\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right) \neq(0,0)$. Thus $\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)$ is a nontrivial solution of (4.1) with negative energy.

Now assume that $a_{1}=a_{2}=1$ and $b_{1}=b_{2}=0$.

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{*}=\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2} S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{\frac{p^{*}}{p}}\right)^{\frac{p}{p^{*}-p}} . \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we prove an important lemma which ensures the local compactness of the Palais Smale sequence for $I_{3}$.

Lemma 4.8 Suppose that $f, g \in W^{*} \backslash\{0\}$. Then if $\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \subset W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \times W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is a Palais Smale sequence for $I_{3}$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$
\text { either }\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \rightarrow(u, v) \text { or } c \geq I_{3}(u, v)+C^{*}
$$

Proof. By the proof of Lemma 4.5 we have $\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)$ is a bounded sequence in $W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \times W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \rightarrow(u, v)$ in $W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \times W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ for some $(u, v) \in W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \times W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with $I_{3}^{\prime}(u, v)=0$.

Furthermore, if we write $w_{n}=u_{n}-u$ and $t_{n}=v_{n}-v$, we derive

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \rightarrow(0,0) \text { in } W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \times W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \\
& \left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \rightarrow(0,0) \text { in } L^{p^{*}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \\
& \left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \rightarrow(u, v) \text { a. e. in } \mathbb{R}^{N}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x) w_{n} d x \rightarrow 0  \tag{4.20}\\
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(x) t_{n} d x \rightarrow 0
\end{align*}
$$

and by using Brézis-Lieb we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}=\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{p}+\|u\|^{p}+o_{n}(1) \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{p}=\left\|t_{n}\right\|^{p}+\|v\|^{p}+o_{n}(1),
$$

and by a similar argument of [32] and Lemma 4.4 we have

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q}\left|v_{n}\right|^{q^{\prime}} d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|w_{n}\right|^{q}\left|t_{n}\right|^{q^{\prime}} d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{q}|v|^{q^{\prime}} d x+o_{n}(1) .
$$

Using together (4.20), (4.21) and [32]

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{3}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow+\infty \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
I_{3}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \rightarrow c \text { as } n \rightarrow+\infty .
$$

Therefore,

$$
c+o_{n}(1)=I_{3}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\left\langle I_{3}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right),\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)\right\rangle,
$$

so

$$
\begin{aligned}
c+o_{n}(1)= & \frac{1}{p}\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}+\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{p}\right)-\frac{2}{p^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q}\left|v_{n}\right|^{q^{\prime}} \\
& -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \lambda_{1} f(x) u_{n}+\lambda_{2} g(x) v_{n} d x \\
& -\frac{1}{p^{*}}\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p}+\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{p}\right)+\frac{2}{p^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{q}\left|v_{n}\right|^{q^{\prime}} \\
& +\frac{1}{p^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \lambda_{1} f(x) u_{n}+\lambda_{2} g(x) v_{n} d x,
\end{aligned}
$$

this implique that

$$
\begin{aligned}
c+o_{n}(1)= & \frac{1}{p}\left(\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{p}+\|u\|^{p}+\left\|t_{n}\right\|^{p}+\|v\|^{p}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{p^{*}}\left(\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{p}+\|u\|^{p}+\left\|t_{n}\right\|^{p}+\|v\|^{p}\right) \\
& -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \lambda_{1} f(x) u+\lambda_{2} g(x) v d x \\
& +\frac{1}{p^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \lambda_{1} f(x) u+\lambda_{2} g(x) v d x
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
c+o_{n}(1)= & \left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\right)\left(\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{p}+\left\|t_{n}\right\|^{p}\right)+\frac{1}{p}\left(\|u\|^{p}+\|v\|^{p}\right) \\
& -\frac{2}{p^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{q}|v|^{q^{\prime}} d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \lambda_{1} f(x) u+\lambda_{2} g(x) v d x \\
& -\frac{1}{p^{*}}\left(\|u\|^{p}+\|v\|^{p}\right)+\frac{1}{p^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \lambda_{1} f(x) u+\lambda_{2} g(x) v d x \\
& +\frac{2}{p^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{q}|v|^{q^{\prime}} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

We obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
c+o_{n}(1) \geq\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\right)\left(\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{p}+\left\|t_{n}\right\|^{p}\right)+I_{3}^{\prime}(u, v)-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\left\langle I_{3}^{\prime}(u, v),(u, v)\right\rangle . \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently,

$$
c+o_{n}(1) \geq I_{3}(u, v)+\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\right)\left(\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{p}+\left\|t_{n}\right\|^{p}\right)
$$

using

$$
\begin{equation*}
c+o_{n}(1) \geq I_{3}(u, v)+\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\right)\left\|w_{n}, t_{n}\right\|^{p} . \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the definition of $S_{q, q^{\prime}}$ and (4.23) we obtain

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|w_{n}\right|^{q}\left|t_{n}\right|^{q^{\prime}} d x+o_{n}(1)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{p}+\left\|t_{n}\right\|^{p}\right)+o_{n}(1)
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|w_{n}\right|^{q}\left|t_{n}\right|^{q^{\prime}} d x+o_{n}(1) \leq S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{-p^{*} / p}\left\|w_{n}, t_{n}\right\|^{p^{*}} \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, (4.25)we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|w_{n}\right\|^{p}+\left\|t_{n}\right\|^{p}\right)+o_{n}(1) \leq S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{-p^{*} / p}\left\|w_{n}, t_{n}\right\|^{p^{*}} \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

So (4.26) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\left\|w_{n}, t_{n}\right\|^{p}+o_{n}(1) \leq S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{-p^{*} / p}\left\|w_{n}, t_{n}\right\|^{p^{*}} \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that $\left\|w_{n}, t_{n}\right\| \rightarrow l>0$, then by (4.27) we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{2} l^{p} \leq S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{-p^{*} / p} l^{p^{*}}
$$

this implies that

$$
S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{-\frac{p^{*}}{p}} l^{p^{*}-p}-\frac{1}{2} \geq 0
$$

we obtain

$$
l \geq\left(\frac{1}{2} S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{\frac{p^{*}}{p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{*}-p}}
$$

Using (4.24), consequently

$$
\begin{aligned}
c & \geq I_{3}(u, v)+\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\right) l^{p} \\
& \geq I_{3}(u, v)+\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2} S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{\frac{p^{*}}{p}}{ }^{\frac{p}{p^{*}-p}}\right. \\
& \geq I_{3}(u, v)+C^{*} .
\end{aligned}
$$

### 4.7 Existence of a critical point with positive en-

## ergy

Now, we proof the existence of a Mountain Pass type solution.

Lemma 4.9 Suppose that $f, g \in W^{*} \backslash\{0\}$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x) u_{\varepsilon} d x \neq 0, \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(x) v_{\varepsilon} d x \neq$ 0 and $a_{1}=a_{2}=1$ and $b_{1}=b_{2}=0$. Then there exists $\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}, v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right) \in W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \times W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $\lambda_{1}^{* *}, \lambda_{2}^{* *}, \lambda_{3}^{* *}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{cases}\lambda_{1} \leq \lambda_{1}^{* *} & \text { if } \lambda_{1} \neq 0 \text { and } \lambda_{2}=0  \tag{4.28}\\ \lambda_{2} \leq \lambda_{2}^{* *} & \text { if } \lambda_{1}=0 \text { and } \lambda_{2} \neq 0 \\ \min \left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right) \leq \lambda_{3}^{* *} & \text { if } \lambda_{1} \neq 0 \text { and } \lambda_{2} \neq 0\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\sup _{t \geq 0} I_{3}\left(t u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}, t v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right)<c_{1}+C^{*} \text { for all } \lambda_{1}^{* *}, \lambda_{2}^{* *}, \lambda_{3}^{* *}>0
$$

where $c_{1}, C^{*}$ are given in (4.18) and (4.19) respectively..

Proof. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(t)= & I_{3}\left(t u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}, t v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right)=\frac{t^{p}}{p}\left(\left\|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right\|^{p}+\left\|v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right\|^{p}\right) \\
& \left.-\frac{2}{p^{*}} t^{p^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{q}\left|v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{q^{\prime}} d x-t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \lambda_{1} f(x) u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}+\lambda_{2} g(x) v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} d x .\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(t) & =\frac{t^{p}}{p}\left(\left\|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right\|^{p}+\left\|v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right\|^{p}\right)-\frac{2}{p^{*}} t^{p^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{q}\left|v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{q^{\prime}} d x \\
p^{\prime}(t) & =t^{p-1}\left(\left\|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right\|^{p}+\left\|v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right\|^{p}\right)-2 t^{p^{*}-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{q}\left|v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{q^{\prime}} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then there exists $t_{\epsilon}>0$ such that $p^{\prime}(t)=0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{\varepsilon}=\left(\frac{\left\|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right\|^{p}+\left\|v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right\|^{p}}{2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right| q\left|v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right| q^{\prime} d x}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{*}-p}} \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

the above estimate on $p(t)$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{t \geq 0} p(t)=p\left(t_{\varepsilon}\right)=\frac{t_{\varepsilon}^{p}}{p}\left(\left\|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right\|^{p}+\left\|v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right\|^{p}\right)-\frac{2}{p^{*}} t_{\varepsilon}^{p^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{q}\left|v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{q^{\prime}} d x \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

from $p^{\prime}\left(t_{\varepsilon}\right)=0$, we have

$$
\left.t_{\varepsilon}^{p^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|\right|^{q}\left|v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{q^{\prime}} d x=\frac{t_{\varepsilon}^{p}}{2}\left(\left\|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right\|^{p}+\left\|v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right\|^{p}\right)
$$

become (4.29) and (4.30)

$$
t_{\varepsilon}=\left(\frac{\left\|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right\|^{p}+\left\|v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right\|^{p}}{2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right| q^{q}\left|v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right|^{q^{\prime}} d x}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{*}-p}}=1
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\max _{t \geq 0} p(t) & =p\left(t_{\varepsilon}\right)=t_{\varepsilon}^{p}\left(\left\|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right\|^{p}+\left\|v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right\|^{p}\right)-\frac{t_{\varepsilon}^{p}}{p^{*}}\left(\left\|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right\|^{p}+\left\|v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right\|^{p}\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\right)\left(\left\|u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right\|^{p}+\left\|v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right\|^{p}\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\right) 2^{\frac{p}{\left(p-p^{*}\right)}} S_{q, q^{q^{p}}}^{\frac{p^{*}}{p^{*}-p}} \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p^{*}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2} S_{q, q^{\prime}}^{\frac{p^{*}}{p}}\right)^{\frac{p}{p^{*}-p}} \\
& =C^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

By the above estimates, we deduce that $\sup _{t \geq 0} p(t)=C^{*}$.
Choosing $\lambda_{3}^{*}$ defined in (4.17) such that

$$
C^{*}-\frac{p-1}{p}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{-1}{p-1}}\left(\lambda_{3}^{*}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\left[\|f\|_{W^{*}}^{\frac{p}{p-1}}+\|g\|_{W^{*}}^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right]>0
$$

then there exists $t_{1} \in(0,1)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{0 \leq t \leq t_{1}} I_{3}\left(t u_{\varepsilon 1}, t v_{\varepsilon 1}\right) & <C^{*}-\frac{p-1}{p}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{-1}{p-1}}\left(\lambda_{3}^{*}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\left[\|f\|_{W^{*}}^{\frac{p}{p-1}}+\|g\|_{W^{*}}^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right] \\
& <C^{*}-\frac{p-1}{p}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{-1}{p-1}}\left[\left\|\lambda_{1} f\right\|_{W^{*}}^{\frac{p}{p-1}}+\left\|\lambda_{2} g\right\|_{W^{*}}^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}$ verifying (4.17). Moreover, since $f, g \neq 0$, we can choose $\varepsilon_{1}>0$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x) u_{\varepsilon_{1}} d x, \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x) v_{\varepsilon_{1}} d x>0$ then

$$
-\frac{p-1}{p}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{-1}{p-1}}\left[\left\|\lambda_{1} f\right\|_{W^{*}}^{\frac{p}{p-1}}+\left\|\lambda_{2} g\right\|_{W^{*}}^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right]>-\lambda_{1} t_{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x) u_{\varepsilon_{1}} d x-\lambda_{2} t_{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(x) v_{\varepsilon_{1}} d x
$$

for each $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}$ verifying (4.17).
Then, for any $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}$ verifying (4.17), one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{t \geq t_{1}} I_{3}\left(t u_{\varepsilon_{1}}, t v_{\varepsilon_{1}}\right) & <C^{*}-\lambda_{1} t_{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x) u_{\varepsilon_{1}} d x-\lambda_{2} t_{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(x) v_{\varepsilon_{1}} d x \\
& <C^{*}-\frac{p-1}{p}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{-1}{p-1}}\left[\left\|\lambda_{1} f\right\|_{W^{*}}^{\frac{p}{p-1}}+\left\|\lambda_{2} g\right\|_{W^{*}}^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Lemma 4.5 we see that

$$
c_{1} \geq-\frac{p-1}{p}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{-1}{p-1}}\left[\left(\lambda_{1}\|f\|_{W^{*}}{ }^{\frac{p}{p-1}}+\left(\lambda_{2}\|g\|_{W^{*}}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right] .\right.
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\sup _{t \geq 0} I_{3}\left(t u_{\varepsilon 1}, t v_{\varepsilon 1}\right)<C^{*}+c_{1}
$$

Then we can choose

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{cases}\lambda_{1}^{* *}<\left(\frac{p}{p-1} C^{*}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left[\|f\|_{W^{*}}^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right]^{-\frac{p-1}{p}} & \text { if } \lambda_{1} \neq 0 \text { and } \lambda_{2}=0 \\
\lambda_{2}^{* *}<\left(\frac{p}{p-1} C^{*}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left[\|g\|_{W^{*}}^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right]^{-\frac{p-1}{p}} & \text { if } \lambda_{1}=0 \text { and } \lambda_{2} \neq 0 \\
\lambda_{3}^{* *}<\left(\frac{p}{p-1} C^{*}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left[\|f\|_{W^{*}}^{\frac{p}{p-1}}+\|g\|_{W^{*}}^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right]^{-\frac{p-1}{p}} & \text { if } \lambda_{1} \neq 0 \text { and } \lambda_{2} \neq 0\end{cases} \\
& \text { This concludes the proof of Lemma 4.9. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 4.10 Suppose that $f, g \in W^{*} \backslash\{0\}$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x) u_{\varepsilon} d x \neq 0, \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(x) v_{\varepsilon} d x \neq$ 0. $a_{1}=a_{2}=1$ and $b_{1}=b_{2}=0$. Then, there exists constants $\left(\lambda_{1}^{* *}, \lambda_{2}^{* *}, \lambda_{3}^{* *}\right)>0$ such that $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}$ satisfying (4.28), such that the problem (4.1) has a nontrivial solution $\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right)$ with positive energy .

Proof. Note that $I_{3}(0,0)=0$ and by the fact that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} I_{3}\left(t u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}, t v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right)=-\infty
$$

then $I_{3}\left(T u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}, T v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right)<0$ for $T$ large enough, and by Lemma 4.7, we know that $I_{3}$ is satisfying the geometry conditions of the Mountain Pass theorem. Then, by the Mountain Pass theorem [6], there exists a Palais Smale sequence $\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)$ at level $c_{2}$, such that

$$
I_{3}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \rightarrow c_{2}>0 \text { and } I_{3}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow+\infty
$$

with

$$
0<c_{2}=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max _{t \in[0,1]} I_{3}(\gamma(t), \zeta(t))<\sup _{t \geq 0} I_{3}\left(t u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}, t v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right)<C^{*}+c_{1},
$$

for all $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}$ satisfying (4.28), where for $T$ large enough

$$
\Gamma=\left\{(\gamma, \zeta) \in C\left([0,1], W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right),(\gamma, \zeta)(0,0)=(0,0),(\gamma, \zeta)(1,1)=\left(T u_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}, T v_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right)\right\} .
$$

Using Lemma 4.8 and Lemma 4.9 we have that $\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)$ has a subsequence, still denoted by $\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right)$, such that $\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \rightarrow\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right)$ in $W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \times W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow$ $+\infty$. Hence, it holds

$$
I_{3}\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} I_{3}\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right)=c_{2}>0
$$

which implies that $\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right) \neq(0,0)$. Furthermore, from the continuity of $I_{3}^{\prime}$, we obtain that $\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right)$ is a nontrivial solution with energy positive that follows immediately from the preceding lemma. This completes the proof of theorem 4.10.

## Chapter 5

## Perspectives

1) The existence of the second solution to the following nonhomogeneous elliptic problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{|\nabla u|^{p-2}}{|x|^{p \alpha}} \nabla u\right)-\mu \frac{|u|^{p-2}}{|x|^{p(\alpha+1)}} u=\frac{|u|^{p^{*}-2}}{|x|^{p^{*} \beta}} u+f(x) \text { in } \Omega  \tag{5.1}\\
u=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\Omega$ is a smooth bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 3)$ containing 0 in its interior, $1<p<N, 0 \leq \alpha<(N-p) / p, \alpha \leq \beta<\alpha+1,-\infty<\mu<\bar{\mu}:=$ $[(N-(\alpha+1) p) / p]^{p}, p^{*}=p N /[N-p(1+\alpha-\beta)]$ is the critical Caffarelli-KohnNirenberg exponent, and $f$ is function different than 0 .
2) The existence of the second solution to the following Kirchhoff-type systems involving the critical Sobolev exponent

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\left(a_{1}+b_{1}\|u\|^{p}\right)\left[\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)\right]=\frac{2 q}{q+q^{\prime}}|u|^{q-2} u|v|^{q^{\prime}}+\lambda_{1} f(x),  \tag{5.2}\\
-\left(a_{2}+b_{2}\|v\|^{p}\right)\left[\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v\right)\right]=\frac{2 q^{\prime}}{q+q^{\prime}}|u|^{q}|v|^{q^{\prime}-2} v+\lambda_{2} g(x), \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \\
(u, v) \in W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \times W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right),
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $1<p<N, a_{1}, a_{2} \geq 0, b_{1}, b_{2}>0, q, q^{\prime}>1, q+q^{\prime}=p^{*}, p^{*}=p N /[N-p]$ is the critical Sobolev exponent, $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}>0$ are parameters, $f, g \in W^{*} \backslash\{0\}$.
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في هده الأطروحة درسنا بعض المعادلات شبه الخطية غبر المتجانسة و الأنظمة من نوع كبرشوف التي تحتوي على الاس الحرج لسوبوليف او كافارلي ـ كون ـ نيرمبرج. لقد اظهرنا وجود حلول من خلال مبدا ايكلاند المتغير و نظرية ممر الجبل .
الكلمات المفتّاحية: الطرق المتغيرة ، نظرية ممر الجبل، مبدا ايكلاند المتغير، الأس الحرج لسوبوليف ، الأس الحرج كافارلي ــ كون ـ نيرمبرج، مشاكـل كيرشوف.

## Résumé :

Dans cette thèse, nous avons considéré quelques équations et systèmes quasi linéaires elliptiques non homogènes de type Kirchhoff contenant l'exposant critique de Sobolev ou de Caffarelli-Kohn-Niremberg. , Nous avons montré l'existence des solutions par le principe variationel d'Ekeland et le Théorème de Pass Montagne.
Les mots clés : Méthodes variationnelles, Théorème de Pass Montagne, Principe variationel d'Ekeland, Exposant critique de Sobolev, Exposant critique de Caffarelli-Kohn-Niremberg, Problemes de Kirchhoff.


#### Abstract

: In this thesis we have considered some nonhomogeneous elliptic quasi-linear equations and systems of Kirchhoff type containing the critical exponent of Sobolev or of Caffarelli-Kohn-Niremberg. We have show the existence of solutions by Ekeland's variational principle and Mountain Pass Theorem. Keywords: Variational methods, Mountain Pass Theorem, Ekeland Variational Principle, critical exponent of Sobolev, critical exponent of Caffarelli-KohnNiremberg, Kirchhoff problems.


