

N° d'ordre :

REPUBLIQUE ALGERIENNE DEMOCRATIQUE & POPULAIRE
MINISTERE DE L'ENSEIGNEMENT SUPERIEUR & DE LA RECHERCHE
SCIENTIFIQUE



UNIVERSITE DJILLALI LIABES
FACULTE DES SCIENCES EXACTES
SIDI BEL ABBES

THESE DE DOCTORAT EN SCIENCES

Présenté par

Tayeb LAKROUMBE

Spécialité : Mathématiques

Option : Equations aux dérivée partielles

Intitulée

*Existence globale, explosion en temps fini et le
comportement asymptotique des solutions de
certaines équations d'évolution non linéaire.*

Soutenue le : 16 / 12 / 2021

Devant le jury composé de :

Président : Ali HAKEM

Pr. Univ. Djillali Liabes SBA

Examineurs : Noureddine AMROUNE

Pr. Univ. Djillali Liabes SBA

Abderrahmane BENIANI

M.C.A. Univ. Belhadj

Bouchaib Ain Témouchent

Nadia MEZOVAR M.C.A. Univ. M. Stambouli Mascara

Directeur de thèse : Mama ABDELLI

Pr. Univ. M. Stambouli Mascara

Co-Directeur de thèse : Abbes BENAÏSSA

Pr. Univ. Djillali Liabes SBA

Année universitaire : 2021/2022

Remerciements

Au nom du Dieu clément et miséricordieux !

Avant tout, je remercie DIEU le tout puissant de m'avoir donné le courage, la volonté, la patience et la santé durant tout le temps de ce travail et que grace à lui cette thèse a pu être réalisé. Je lui doit tout.

Je tiens à exprimer ma gratitude envers à toutes les personnes qui ont contribué à l'accomplissement de ce mémoire de Doctorat.

Tout particulièrement :

Mon encadreur ; le professeur **Abdelli Mama** qui a accepté d'encadrer ce travail. Je le remercie tout aussi pour avoir dirigé mes travaux que pour m'avoir écoutée et m'encouragée durant cette période.

Je remercie également le professeur **Abbès BENAÏSSA** pour ses précieux conseils et remarques.

Je remercie le professeur **Ali HAKEM** d'avoir accepté de présider le jury de ce mémoire.

Et je remercie également le professeur **Noureddine AMROUNE** et les docteurs **Abderrahmane BENIANI** et **Nadia MEZOUAR** avoir accepté de juger ce travail.

Merci aussi pour toutes les relectures, suggestions et commentaires qui m'ont permis d'améliorer la qualité de ce mémoire.

Résumé

La présente thèse est consacrée à l'étude de l'existence globale, explosion en temps fini et le comportement asymptotique des solutions de certaines équations d'évolution non linéaires. Ce travail se compose de quatre chapitres, sera consacré à l'étude du bien-posé, le comportement asymptotique et explosion en temps fini de la solution de certaines équations d'évolution avec un terme d'amortissement non linéaires, un terme de retard et un terme de source.

Dans le chapitre 1, nous rappelons quelques notions utilisées dans cette thèse.

Dans le chapitre 2, nous considérons l'équation d'onde non linéaire soumis à un amortissement, un terme de retard et un terme de source. Nous prouvons que la solution explose en temps fini si le terme de source domine le terme de dissipatif et le terme de retard $p > \max\{l + 2, m\}$ sous la condition que l'énergie initiale est négative par la méthode de V. Georgiev et G. Todorova [8].

Dans le chapitre 3, nous considérons l'équation de Petrovsky avec un fort amortissement non linéaire et de forme générale. Nous prouvons que ce problème est bien posé en utilisant la méthode de compacité et pour la stabilité générale de la solution on introduit une méthode de Lyapunov.

Dans le chapitre 4, nous considérons un système de Petrovsky-onde couplé avec un fort amortissement non linéaire. Nous prouvons que ce système est bien posé en utilisant la méthode de compacité et pour la stabilité de solution on introduit une méthode de multiplicateur, nous trouvons la stabilité exponentielle et polynomiale.

Mots clés : Bien posé, système couplé, décroissance générale, décroissance exponentielle, décroissance polynomiale, méthode Faedo-Galerkin, méthode Lyapunov, méthode multipliée, terme de source, terme de retard, explosion.

Abstract

The present thesis is devoted to the study of global existence, blow-up in finite time and the asymptotic behaviour of the solutions for some nonlinear evolution equations.

This work consists of four chapters, will be devoted to the study of the well-posedness, asymptotic behaviour and blow-up in finite time of the solution of some evolution equations with nonlinear dissipative terms, delay and source terms.

In chapter 1, we recall of some notions used in this thesis.

In chapter 2, we consider the wave equation with nonlinear source, damping and delay term. We prove that weak solutions to the systems blow up in finite time whenever the initial energy is negative and the exponent of the source terms is more dominant than the exponent of damping terms, we use the method of V. Georgiev and G. Todorova [8].

In chapter 3, we consider the Petrovsky equation with a nonlinear strong damping. We prove, under some appropriate assumptions, that this system is well-posed using the compactness method. Furthermore, the general stability is given by using a combination of the some properties of convex functions with an appropriate Lyapunov functional.

In chapter 4, we consider a coupled Petrovsky-wave system with a nonlinear strong damping. We prove well-posedness by using the compactness method and establish the both exponential and polynomial decay estimates by introducing a multiplied method.

Keywords : Well-posedness, coupled system, general decay, exponential decay, polynomial decay, Faedo-Galerkin method, Lyapunov method, multiplied method, source term, delay term, blow-up.

Contents

General introduction	5
1 Preliminaries	11
1 Convex functions	11
2 Normed spaces, Hilbert spaces	11
3 L^p spaces	14
4 Sobolev spaces	17
5 $C^k(0, T; U)$ spaces, $L^p(0, T; U)$ spaces	19
6 Existence methods	21
6.1 Faedo-Galerkin's approximations	21
7 Integral inequalities	23
7.1 A result of exponential decay	23
7.2 A result of polynomial decay	24
7.3 New integral inequalities of P. Martinez	25
2 Blow-up of result in a nonlinear wave equation with delay and source term	27
1 Introduction	27
2 Preliminaries	29
3 Blow-up	33
3 Well-posedness and general energy decay of solutions for a Petrovsky equation with a nonlinear strong dissipation	39
1 Introduction	39
2 Notation and preliminaries	40

3	Well-posedness and regularity	41
4	Asymptotic behavior	48
5	Examples	53
4	Stabilization of the Petrovsky-wave nonlinear coupled system with strong damping	56
1	Introduction	56
2	Preliminaries and assumptions	57
3	Global existence	58
4	Energy estimates	67
Bibliography		72

General introduction

The subject of this thesis is the study of global existence, blow-up in finite time and the asymptotic behavior of the solutions of some equations of nonlinear evolution.

The problem of stabilization consists in determining the asymptotic behavior of the energy by $E(t)$, to study its limits in order to determine if this limit is null or not and if this limit is null, to give an estimate of the decay rate of the energy to zero, there are several types of stabilization :

1. strong stabilization : $E(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.
2. logarithm stabilization : $E(t) \leq c(\ln t)^{-\delta}$, $c, \delta > 0$.
3. polynomial stabilization : $E(t) \leq ct^{-\delta}$, $c, \delta > 0$.
4. uniform stabilization : $E(t) \leq ce^{-\delta t}$, $c, \delta > 0$.

In 1982, Lyapunov introduced an energy function that he used it to study the stability of some nonlinear systems without calculate explicitly their solutions. This method is known today by Lyapunov's method and it played an important role in the stability theory of differential and ordinary equations.

In this work we prove well-posedness of the problem by using the compactness method, we establish the decay estimate by using the Lyapunov and multiplied method, and we prove that weak solutions to the systems blow up in finite time by using the method of V. Georgiev and G. Todorova [8].

This thesis consists of four chapters including :

Chapter 1 : Preliminaries

In this chapter, we reminder of some notions used in this thesis.

Chapter 2 : Blow-up of result in a nonlinear wave equation with delay and source term

In this chapter we consider the initial boundary value problem for a nonlinear damping and

a delay term of the form :

$$\begin{cases} |u'|^l u'' - \Delta u(x, t) - \Delta u'' + \mu_1 |u'|^{m-2} u' \\ + \mu_2 |u'(t - \tau)|^{m-2} u'(t - \tau) = b |u|^{p-2} u, & \text{in } \Omega \times [0, +\infty[, \\ u(x, t) = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times [0, +\infty[, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad u'(x, 0) = u_1(x), & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u'(x, t - \tau) = f_0(x, t - \tau), & \text{in } \Omega \times [0, \tau], \end{cases} \quad (0.1)$$

with initial conditions and Dirichlet boundary conditions. Under appropriate conditions on μ_1, μ_2 , we prove that there are solutions with negative initial energy that blow-up in finite time if $p > \max\{l + 2, m\}$.

We suppose that

$$\max\{p, m\} \leq \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2} \quad \text{and} \quad l \leq \frac{2}{n-2} \quad \text{if } n \geq 3. \quad (0.2)$$

We introduce the new variable

$$z(x, \rho, t) = u'(x, t - \rho\tau), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad \rho \in [0, 1], \quad t > 0.$$

Therefore, the problem (0.1) is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} |u'(x, t)|^l u''(x, t) - \Delta u(x, t) - \Delta u''(x, t) + \mu_1 |u'(x, t)|^{m-2} u'(x, t) \\ + \mu_2 |z(x, 1, t)|^{m-2} z(x, 1, t) = b |u(x, t)|^{p-2} u(x, t), & \text{in } \Omega \times [0, +\infty[, \\ \tau z'(x, \rho, t) + \frac{\partial z}{\partial \rho}(x, \rho, t) = 0, & \text{in } \Omega \times [0, 1] \times [0, +\infty[, \\ u(x, t) = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times [0, \infty[, \\ z(x, 0, t) = u'(x, t), & \text{in } \Omega \times [0, \infty[, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad u'(x, 0) = u_1(x), & \text{in } \Omega, \\ z(x, \rho, 0) = f_0(x, -\rho\tau), & \text{in } \Omega \times [0, 1]. \end{cases}$$

We define the energy associated to the solution of the system (0.1) by

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{l+2} \|u'\|_{l+2}^{l+2} + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u'\|_2^2 - \frac{b}{p} \|u\|_p^p + \xi \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 |z(x, \rho, t)|^m d\rho dx,$$

where ξ is a positive constant such that

$$\tau \frac{\mu_2}{m} (m-1) < \xi < \tau \left(\mu_1 - \frac{\mu_2}{m} \right),$$

and $\mu_2 < m\mu_1$.

Theorem 0.1 *Suppose that $m > 1$, $p > \max\{l + 2, m\}$ satisfying (0.2), let $(u_0, u_1) \in [H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)] \times H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $f_0 \in \mathcal{C}^1([-\tau, 0]; L^m(\Omega \times [0, 1]))$. Assume further that*

$$E(0) = \frac{1}{l+2} \|u_1\|_{l+2}^{l+2} + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u_0\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u_1\|_2^2 - \frac{b}{p} \|u_0\|_p^p + \xi \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 |f_0(x, -\rho\tau)|^m d\rho dx < 0.$$

Then the solution of (0.1) blow up in finite time, i.e. there exists $T_0 < +\infty$ such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T_0^-} (\|u'\|_{l+2}^{l+2} + \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u'\|_2^2 + \|u\|_p^p) = +\infty.$$

Chapter 3 : Well-posedness and general energy decay of solutions for a Petrovsky equation with a nonlinear strong dissipation

In this chapter we consider a nonlinear Petrovsky equation in a bounded domain with a strong dissipation

$$\begin{cases} u'' + \Delta^2 u - g(\Delta u') = 0, & \text{in } \Omega \times [0, +\infty[, \\ u(x, t) = \Delta u(x, t) = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times [0, +\infty[, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad u'(x, 0) = u_1(x), & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (0.3)$$

We prove the existence and the uniqueness of the solution of this problem using the energy method combined with the compactness procedure under assumption of g . Furthermore, we study the asymptotic behaviour of solutions using a perturbed energy method.

Let us introduce three real Hilbert spaces \mathcal{H} , V and W by setting

$$\mathcal{H} = H_0^1(\Omega), \quad \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx,$$

and

$$V = \{u \in H^3(\Omega) \mid u = \Delta u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}, \quad \|u\|_V^2 = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \Delta u|^2 dx,$$

$$W = \{u \in H^5(\Omega) \mid u = \Delta u = \Delta^2 u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}, \quad \|u\|_W^2 = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \Delta^2 u|^2 dx.$$

We have

$$W \subset V \subset \mathcal{H} \subset V' \subset W',$$

with dense and compact imbeddings.

We impose the following assumptions on g

$g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a non-decreasing continuous function such that there exist constants ε, c_1, c_2 ,

$\tau > 0$ and a convex increasing function $G : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ of class $\mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}_+) \cap \mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{R}_+^*)$ satisfying G linear on $[0, \varepsilon]$ or $G'(0) = 0$ and $G'' > 0$ on $[0, \varepsilon]$, such that

$$c_1|s| \leq |g(s)| \leq c_2|s| \quad \text{if } |s| > \varepsilon, \quad (0.4)$$

$$|s|^2 + |g(s)|^2 \leq G^{-1}(sg(s)) \quad \text{if } |s| \leq \varepsilon, \quad (0.5)$$

$$g'(s) \leq \tau.$$

Theorem 0.2 (well-posedness and regularity) *Assume that $(u_0, u_1) \in W \times V$, then the solution of the problem (0.3) satisfies*

$$u' \in L^\infty(0, T; V), \quad u'' \in L^\infty(0, T; \mathcal{H}),$$

and

$$u \in L^\infty(0, T; H^4(\Omega) \cap V),$$

such that for any $T > 0$

$$u''(x, t) + \Delta^2 u(x, t) - g(\Delta u'(x, t)) = 0 \quad \text{in } L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)),$$

$$u(0) = u_0, \quad u'(0) = u_1 \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

Now we define the energy associated with the solution of the problem (0.3) by the following formula

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u'\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \Delta u\|^2.$$

Theorem 0.3 (stabilization) *Assume that (0.4) and (0.5) hold. Then there exist positive constants k_1, k_2, k_3 and ε_0 such that the solution of the problem (0.3) satisfies*

$$E(t) \leq k_3 G_1^{-1}(k_1 t + k_2) \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+,$$

where

$$G_1(t) = \int_t^1 \frac{1}{G_2(s)} ds, \quad G_2(t) = tG'(\varepsilon_0 t),$$

here G_1 is strictly decreasing and convex on $]0, 1]$, with $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} G_1(t) = +\infty$.

Chapter 4 : Stabilization of the Petrovsky-wave nonlinear coupled system with strong damping

In this chapter we prove the well-posedness and the stabilization of the nonlinear coupled system :

$$\begin{cases} u_1'' + \Delta^2 u_1 - a(x)\Delta u_2 - g_1(\Delta u_1') = 0, & \text{in } \Omega \times [0, +\infty[, \\ u_2'' - \Delta u_2 - a(x)\Delta u_1 - g_2(\Delta u_2') = 0, & \text{in } \Omega \times [0, +\infty[, \\ \Delta u_1 = u_1 = u_2 = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times [0, +\infty[, \\ u_i(x, 0) = u_i^0(x), u_i'(x, 0) = u_i^1(x), & \text{in } \Omega, i = 1, 2. \end{cases} \quad (0.6)$$

The existence of global weak solutions for this problem is established by using the compactness method. Meanwhile, under suitable conditions on functions $g_i(\cdot)$, $i = 1, 2$ and $a(\cdot)$, we estimate the energy decay rate by using the multiplier method.

Let us introduce for brevity the Hilbert spaces

$$H = L^2(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega),$$

$$W = H_0^1(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega),$$

$$H_\Delta^3(\Omega) = \{u \in H^3(\Omega) \mid u = \Delta u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}, \quad \|u\|_{H_\Delta^3(\Omega)}^2 = \int_\Omega |\nabla \Delta u|^2 dx,$$

$$V = H_\Delta^3(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega) \times H^2(\Omega),$$

$$\tilde{V} = (H^4(\Omega) \cap H_\Delta^3(\Omega)) \times (H_\Delta^3(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)).$$

Identifying H with its dual H' , we obtain the diagram

$$\tilde{V} \subset V \subset W \subset H = H' \subset W' \subset V' \subset \tilde{V}',$$

with dense and compact imbeddings.

We impose the following assumptions on a and g_i .

The function $a : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a nonnegative and bounded such that

$$\begin{aligned} a &\in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega), \\ \|a\|_\infty &< \min \left\{ \frac{1}{c'+1}, 1 \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (0.7)$$

where $c' > 0$ (depending only on the geometry of Ω) is the constant satisfies

$$\|\Delta u\| \leq c' \|\nabla \Delta u\|, \quad \forall u \in H_\Delta^3(\Omega).$$

Assume that $g_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, 2$ is nondecreasing continuously differentiable function of class \mathcal{C}^1 , and globally Lipschitz.

Suppose that $\exists c_j > 0$, $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$, $\exists \tau > 0$ such that

$$\forall p \geq 1 : c_1 |s|^p \leq |g_i(s)| \leq c_2 |s|^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad \text{if } |s| \leq 1, \quad (0.8)$$

$$c_3 |s| \leq |g_i(s)| \leq c_4 |s|, \quad \text{if } |s| > 1, \quad (0.9)$$

$$\forall s \in \mathbb{R} : g'_i(s) \leq \tau. \quad (0.10)$$

Theorem 0.4 (global existence) *Let $(u_1^0, u_2^0) \in \tilde{V}$ and $(u_1^1, u_2^1) \in V$ arbitrarily. Assume that (0.7)-(0.10) hold. Then, the system (0.6) has a unique weak solution satisfying*

$$(u_1, u_2) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, \tilde{V}), \quad (u'_1, u'_2) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, V),$$

and

$$(u''_1, u''_2) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, W).$$

We define the energy associated with the solution of the problem (0.6) by the following formula

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u'_1|^2 + |\nabla u'_2|^2 + |\nabla \Delta u_1|^2 + |\Delta u_2|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} a(x) \Delta u_1 \Delta u_2 dx. \quad (0.11)$$

Theorem 0.5 (stabilization) *Let $(u_1^0, u_2^0) \in \tilde{V}$ and $(u_1^1, u_2^1) \in V$. Assume that (0.7)-(0.10) hold. The energy of the unique solution of system (0.6) given by (0.11) decay estimate :*

$$E(t) \leq Ct^{-2/(p-1)} \quad \forall t > 0, \quad \text{if } p > 1,$$

and

$$E(t) \leq C'E(0)e^{-wt} \quad \forall t > 0, \quad \text{if } p = 1.$$

Here C is a positive constant only depending on the initial energy $E(0)$, while C' and w are positive constants, independent of the initial data.

Chapter 1

Preliminaries

In this chapter, we remind the most basic concepts, some of which we will use in the following chapters.

1 Convex functions

Let I be an interval of \mathbb{R} and f a real function defined on I .

Definition 1.1 We say that f is convex over I if and only if

$$\forall u, v \in I, \forall t \in [0, 1]; f(tu + (1 - t)v) \leq tf(u) + (1 - t)f(v).$$

Definition 1.2 We say that f is concave over I if and only if $-f$ is convex.

Proposition 1.3 If f is twice differentiable on I , then f is convex on I if and only if $f'' \geq 0$.

Theorem 1.4 (Jensen's inequality) Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ be a measurable space such that $\mu(\Omega) = 1$ and g a μ -integrable function with values in I , f a convex function. Then

$$f\left(\int_{\Omega} g d\mu\right) \leq \int_{\Omega} f \circ g d\mu.$$

2 Normed spaces, Hilbert spaces

Definition 2.1 Let U be a nonempty set. We say that $(U, +, \cdot)$ is a vector space over \mathbb{R} if and only if

1. $(U, +)$ is a commutative group.

1. 2. Normed spaces, Hilbert spaces

2. $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \forall u, v \in U : (u + v) \cdot \lambda = u \cdot \lambda + v \cdot \lambda$ and $\lambda \cdot (u + v) = \lambda \cdot u + \lambda \cdot v$.

3. $\forall \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}, \forall u \in U : (\lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_2) \cdot u = \lambda_1 \cdot (\lambda_2 \cdot u)$.

4. $\forall u \in U : 1 \cdot u = u$.

Definition 2.2 Let U and V be vector spaces over \mathbb{R} . A function $f : U \rightarrow V$ is said to be a linear map if and only if

$$\forall \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}, \forall u, v \in U : f(\lambda_1 \cdot u + \lambda_2 \cdot v) = \lambda_1 \cdot f(u) + \lambda_2 \cdot f(v).$$

Remark 2.3 Let U and V be vector spaces over \mathbb{R} . We denote by $L(U, V)$ to the set of linear maps defined from U to V .

Definition 2.4 Let U be a vector space over \mathbb{R} . The vector space of linear maps from U to \mathbb{R} is called the dual space, We denote it by E^* .

Definition 2.5 Let U be a vector space over \mathbb{R} , A normed vector space is a triple $(U, \mathbb{R}, \|\cdot\|)$, where $\|\cdot\|$ is a function called the norm, such that $\|\cdot\|$ satisfies the following properties

1. $\forall u \in U : \|u\| \geq 0$.

2. $\forall u \in U : \|u\| = 0 \Leftrightarrow u = 0$.

3. $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \forall u \in U : \|\lambda \cdot u\| = |\lambda| \|u\|$.

4. $\forall u, v \in U : \|u + v\| \leq \|u\| + \|v\|$.

Definition 2.6 Let U be a vector space over \mathbb{R} . U is a Banach space if and only if U is a normalized and complete space.

Definition 2.7 Let U be a normalized vector space of norm $\|\cdot\|$ and V a subset of U . We say that V is bounded if and only if

$$\exists M \in \mathbb{R}_+, \forall u \in V : \|u\| \leq M.$$

Definition 2.8 Let U be a normalized vector space of norm $\|\cdot\|$, (u_n) a sequence of U and u an element of U . We say that the sequence (u_n) converges to u ((u_n) strongly converges to u), and we write $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} u_n = u$ ($u_n \rightarrow u$), if and only if

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N} : n \geq n_0 \Rightarrow \|u_n - u\| < \epsilon,$$

i.e. $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|u_n - u\| = 0$.

1. 2. Normed spaces, Hilbert spaces

Proposition 2.9 *Let U be a normalized vector space of norm $\|\cdot\|$, (u_n) a sequence of U and u an element of U . We say that $u \in \overline{(u_n)}$ if and only if there exists a sub-sequence (u_{n_k}) of (u_n) such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} u_{n_k} = u$.*

Proposition 2.10 *Let U be a normalized vector space of norm $\|\cdot\|$ and V a subset of U . V is relatively compact if and only if for any sequence (u_n) of V there exists an element u of U such that $u \in \overline{(u_n)}$.*

Definition 2.11 *Let U, V be two normalized vector spaces and $f \in L(U, V)$. We say that f is compact if and only if for any bounded set U' of U , then $f(U')$ is relatively compact.*

Definition 2.12 *Let U, V be two normalized vector spaces with norms $\|\cdot\|_U$ and $\|\cdot\|_V$ respectively and $f \in L(U, V)$. We say that f is continuous if and only if*

$$\exists M \geq 0, \forall u \in U : \|f(u)\|_V \leq M\|u\|_U.$$

Definition 2.13 *Let U, V be two normalized vector spaces with norms $\|\cdot\|_U$ and $\|\cdot\|_V$ respectively, and suppose that $U \subseteq V$. We say that U is compactly embedded in V , and we write $U \subset\subset V$, if and only if the injection $i : U \rightarrow V$ is compact and continuous.*

Definition 2.14 *Let U be a normalized vector space. The space U' is a set of the elements u of U^* such that u is continuous. And we define on U' the following norm*

$$\|u\|_{U'} := \sup_{\|x\| \neq 0} \frac{|u(x)|}{\|x\|}, \quad u \in U'.$$

Definition 2.15 (weak convergence). *Let U be a normalized vector space and $(u_n) \subset U, u \in U$. We say that (u_n) weakly converges to u in U , and we write $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in U if and only if*

$$\forall f \in U' : \langle f, u_n \rangle \rightarrow \langle f, u \rangle,$$

$$\text{i.e. } \forall f \in U' : \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |\langle f, u_n \rangle - \langle f, u \rangle| = 0.$$

Where $\langle f, u_n \rangle$ and $\langle f, u \rangle$ denote the action of f on u_n and u , i.e. $\langle f, u_n \rangle = f(u_n)$ and $\langle f, u \rangle = f(u)$.

Theorem 2.16 ([42]page 120) *Let U be a normalized vector space and $(u_n) \subset U, u \in U$. If $u_n \rightarrow u$, then $u_n \rightharpoonup u$.*

Definition 2.17 (weak star convergence). Let U be a normalized vector space and $(u_n) \subset U', u \in U'$. We say that (u_n) weakly star converges to u in U' , and we write $u_n \xrightarrow{*} u$ in U' , if and only if

$$\forall x \in U : \langle u_n, x \rangle \rightarrow \langle u, x \rangle.$$

Theorem 2.18 ([42] page 125) Let U be a normalized vector space and $(u_n) \subset U', u \in U'$. If $u_n \rightarrow u$, then $u_n \xrightarrow{*} u$.

Definition 2.19 Let U be a vector space over \mathbb{R} . A scalar product (\cdot, \cdot) is a bilinear form on $U \times U$ with values in \mathbb{R} (i.e., a map from $U \times U$ to \mathbb{R} that is linear in both variables), such that

1. $\forall u \in U - \{0\} : (u, u) > 0$.
2. $\forall u, v \in U : (u, v) = (v, u)$.

Definition 2.20 A Hilbert space is a vector space U equipped with a scalar product such that U is complete for the norm $\|\cdot\|$, where the norm $\|\cdot\|$ is defined as follows

$$\forall u \in U : \|u\| = (u, u)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Lemma 2.21 (Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality). Let U be a Hilbert space supplied with the norm $\|\cdot\|$, then

$$\forall u, v \in U : (u, v) \leq \|u\| \|v\|.$$

3 L^p spaces

Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and Ω be a nonempty set of \mathbb{R}^n , $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

Definition 3.1 We define the space $L^p(\Omega)$ by

$$L^p(\Omega) = \left\{ u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : u \text{ is measurable and } \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^p dx < +\infty \right\} \text{ if } 1 \leq p < \infty,$$

and

$$L^\infty(\Omega) = \left\{ u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \left| \begin{array}{l} u \text{ is measurable and there exists a constant } C \\ \text{such that } |u(x)| \leq C \text{ a.e in } \Omega \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

Proposition 3.2 Let $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable function. We define $\|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$ (or $\|u\|_p$) by

$$\|u\|_p := \left(\int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \text{ if } 1 \leq p < \infty,$$

and

$$\|u\|_\infty := \inf\{C; |u| \leq C \text{ a.e in } \Omega\}.$$

Then for all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $\|\cdot\|_p$ is a norm on the space $L^p(\Omega)$.

Remark 3.3 In particular, $L^2(\Omega)$ equipped with the scalar product

$$(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} u(x)v(x) dx,$$

is a Hilbert space.

Notation 3.4 We denote by p' the conjugate exponent,

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1.$$

Theorem 3.5 (Hölder's inequality). Assume that $u \in L^p(\Omega)$ and $v \in L^{p'}(\Omega)$ with $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then $uv \in L^1(\Omega)$, and

$$\int_{\Omega} |u(x)v(x)| dx \leq \|u\|_p \|v\|_{p'}.$$

Proposition 3.6 Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $1 \leq q \leq \infty$. If $|\Omega| < +\infty$ and $p \leq q$ then we have $L^q(\Omega) \subset L^p(\Omega)$, and

$$\forall u \in L^q(\Omega) : \|u\|_p \leq |\Omega|^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} \|u\|_q,$$

such that $|\Omega|$ represents the measure of Ω .

Definition 3.7 The space $L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$ denotes the measurable functions u such that $u \in L^1(K)$ for any compact K included in Ω .

Lemma 3.8 (Fatou's lemma). Let (u_n) be a sequence of functions in $L^1(\Omega)$ that satisfy

1. $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, u_n(x) \geq 0$ a.e. on Ω .

2. $\sup_n \int_{\Omega} u_n(x) dx < +\infty$.

For almost all $x \in \Omega$ we set $u(x) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} u_n(x) \leq +\infty$. Then $u \in L^1(\Omega)$, and

$$\int_{\Omega} u(x) dx \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} u_n(x) dx.$$

Theorem 3.9 Let Ω is a bounded open of \mathbb{R}^n , $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $(u_n) \subset L^p(\Omega)$, $u \in L^p(\Omega)$. Assume that $u_n \rightarrow u$ strongly in $L^p(\Omega)$. Then there exists a subsequence (u_{n_k}) of (u_n) such

that :

1. $u_{n_k} \rightarrow u$ almost every where.
2. $\exists g \in L^p(\Omega)$ such that $|u_{n_k}| \leq g$ almost every where, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 3.10 Assume that Ω is a bounded open of \mathbb{R}^n , and let \mathcal{F} be a bounded part of $L^1(\Omega)$. We say that \mathcal{F} is uniformly integrable over Ω if and only if

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0, \forall u \in \mathcal{F}, \forall A \subseteq \Omega : |A| < \delta \Rightarrow \int_A |u| dx < \epsilon.$$

Theorem 3.11 (Vitali's theorem) . Let Ω be an open bounded of \mathbb{R}^n such that $|\Omega| < +\infty$, and (u_n) a sequence of functions uniformly integrable over Ω . If $u_n \rightarrow u$ pointwise a.e. on Ω , then u is integrable on Ω , and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} u_n(x) dx = \int_{\Omega} u(x) dx.$$

Lemma 3.12 (Gronwall's lemma) Let $T > 0$, $g \in L^1([0, T])$, $g \geq 0$ a.e and c_1, c_2 are positives constants. Let $\varphi \in L^1([0, T])$ $\varphi \geq 0$ a.e such that $g\varphi \in L^1([0, T])$ and

$$\varphi(t) \leq c_1 + c_2 \int_0^t g(s)\varphi(s)ds \quad \text{a.e in } [0, T].$$

Then, we have

$$\varphi(t) \leq c_1 \exp \left[c_2 \int_0^t g(s)ds \right] \quad \text{a.e in } [0, T].$$

Theorem 3.13 For all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, the space $L^p(\Omega)$ supplied with the norm $\|\cdot\|_p$ is a Banach space.

Theorem 3.14

For all $1 \leq p < \infty$ we have $(L^p(\Omega))^* = L^{p'}(\Omega)$.

For $p = \infty$ we have $(L^\infty(\Omega))^* \supset L^1(\Omega)$.

4 Sobolev spaces

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $k, k' \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and Ω be an open of the normed vector space \mathbb{R}^n supplied by the norm Euclidean.

Definition 4.1 We denote by $C(\Omega)$ (respectively. $C^1(\Omega)$) the space of continuous functions (respectively. continuously differentiable) on Ω with real values, for $k \geq 2$, we set

$$C^k(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in C^{k-1}(\Omega) : \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \in C^{k-1}(\Omega), i = 1, \dots, n \right\},$$

it is the space of functions k times continuously differentiable on Ω with values in \mathbb{R} . We finally denote

$$C^\infty(\Omega) = \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} C^k(\Omega),$$

it is the space of functions indefinitely differentiable on Ω .

Notation 4.2 We introduce the following notations

$$|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_n, \quad |\alpha| \text{ is called length of } \alpha.$$

$$\partial^\alpha = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \right)^{\alpha_1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \right)^{\alpha_2} \dots \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \right)^{\alpha_n}, \quad \partial^\alpha \text{ is called the mixed derivative operator of order } \alpha.$$

Definition 4.3 Let $u \in C(\Omega)$. The support of u is the subset of \mathbb{R}^n defined by

$$\text{supp } u = \overline{\{x \in \Omega : u(x) \neq 0\}}.$$

Definition 4.4 The space $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ or $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ designates the set of elements u of $C^\infty(\Omega)$ such that the support of u is compact contained in Ω .

Definition 4.5 Let $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$. The distribution $\partial^\alpha u$ is called the α^{th} -weak partial derivative of the distribution u , which is defined by

$$\langle \partial^\alpha u, \varphi \rangle = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \langle u, \partial^\alpha \varphi \rangle, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega).$$

Definition 4.6 Let $u, v \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$. We say that v is the α^{th} -weak partial derivative of u , written

$$\partial^\alpha u = v,$$

provided

$$\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} u \partial^{\alpha} \varphi dx = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_{\Omega} v \varphi dx.$$

Definition 4.7 The Sobolev space $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ consists of all locally summable functions $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for each multi-index α with $|\alpha| \leq k$, $\partial^{\alpha}u$ exists in the weak sense and belongs to $L^p(\Omega)$.

Definition 4.8 If $u \in W^{k,p}(\Omega)$, we define its norm by

$$\|u\|_{W^{k,p}(\Omega)} := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|\partial^{\alpha}u\|_p.$$

Theorem 4.9 For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, the Sobolev space $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ is a Banach space.

Definition 4.10 We denote by $W_0^{k,p}(\Omega)$ the closure of $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ in $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$. We interpret $W_0^{k,p}(\Omega)$ as comprising those functions $u \in W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ such that

$$\partial^{\alpha}u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \text{ for all } |\alpha| \leq k - 1.$$

Remark 4.11 1. If $p = 2$, we usually write $W^{k,2}(\Omega) = H^k(\Omega)$, and $W_0^{k,2}(\Omega) = H_0^k(\Omega)$.

2. $H^k(\Omega)$ is a Hilbert space, and we define the scalar product in $H^k(\Omega)$ by

$$(u, v)_{H^k(\Omega)} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \int_{\Omega} \partial^{\alpha}u \partial^{\alpha}v dx, \quad u, v \in H^k(\Omega).$$

3. If $k \geq k'$, then we have $H^k(\Omega) \subset H^{k'}(\Omega)$ with continuous imbedding.

Notation 4.12 Let Ω be an open set of \mathbb{R}^n . We denote by $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ the dual space of $H_0^1(\Omega)$. The dual of $L^2(\Omega)$ is identified with $L^2(\Omega)$, but we do not identify $H_0^1(\Omega)$ with its dual. We have the inclusions

$$H_0^1(\Omega) \subset L^2(\Omega) \subset H^{-1}(\Omega),$$

where these injections are continuous and dense.

Theorem 4.13 (Rellich-Kondrachov compactness theorem). Let Ω be an open bounded of class $C^{0,1}$. Then we have

1. $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \subset\subset L^q(\Omega) \quad \forall q \in [1, p^*[$, where $\frac{1}{p^*} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{n}$, if $1 \leq p < n$.
2. $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \subset\subset L^q(\Omega) \quad \forall q \in [1, +\infty[$, if $p = n$.
3. $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \subset\subset C(\bar{\Omega})$, if $p > n$.

Theorem 4.14 (integration by parts). Let Ω be a bounded open of class $C^{0,1}$, u and v two functions of $H^1(\Omega)$. Then $uv \in W^{1,1}(\Omega)$, and we have

$$\forall 1 \leq i \leq n : \frac{\partial(uv)}{\partial x_i} = v \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} + u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \quad \text{in the sense of distribution,}$$

$$\int_{\Omega} u(x) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i}(x) dx = - \int_{\Omega} v(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}(x) dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} u(x)v(x)n_i(x) d\sigma,$$

where $n_i(x)$ is the n^{th} component of the unit vector of the outward normal $\overrightarrow{n(x)}$ at a point $x, x \in \partial\Omega$ and $d\sigma$ is the Lebesgue measure over the compact $\partial\Omega$.

Theorem 4.15 (Green's formula). Let Ω be an open of class $C^{0,1}$ and $u \in H^2(\Omega)$, $v \in H^1(\Omega)$. Then

$$\int_{\Omega} \Delta u(x)v(x) dx = - \int_{\Omega} \nabla u(x)\nabla v(x) dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} v(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}(x) d\sigma,$$

where $\Delta u(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 u(x)}{\partial x_i^2}$ and $\nabla u(x) = \left(\frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x_n} \right)$. If $v \in H^2(\Omega)$, then we have

$$\int_{\Omega} u(x)\Delta v(x) dx = \int_{\Omega} \Delta u(x)v(x) dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} \left\{ u(x) \frac{\partial v}{\partial n}(x) - v(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}(x) \right\} d\sigma.$$

5 $C^k(0, T; U)$ spaces, $L^p(0, T; U)$ spaces

Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and U be a real Banach space supplied with the norm $\|\cdot\|$.

Definition 5.1 Let $u : [0, T] \rightarrow U$ be a function. We say that u is continuous on $[0, T]$, and we write $u \in C(0, T; U)$ if and only if

$$\forall t_0 \in [0, T] : \lim_{t \rightarrow t_0} \|u(t) - u(t_0)\| = 0.$$

And we define the norm on $C(0, T; U)$ by

$$\|u\|_{C(0, T; U)} := \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|u\|.$$

Definition 5.2 Let $u : [0, T] \rightarrow U$ be a function. We say that u is differentiable on $[0, T]$ if and only if

$$\forall t_0 \in [0, T] : \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \{u(t_0 + h) - u(t_0)\} \text{ exists in } U.$$

1. 5. $C^k(0, T; U)$ spaces, $L^p(0, T; U)$ spaces

And if the function $t \rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial t}u(t) \in U$ is continuous, then we will say that $u \in C^1(0, T; U)$. More generally, for $k \in \mathbb{N} - \{0, 1\}$ we can define

$$C^k(0, T; U) = \{u : [0, T] \rightarrow U; \text{ such that } u \in C^{k-1}(0, T; U) \text{ and } \frac{\partial^k u}{\partial t^k} \in C(0, T; U)\}.$$

And we define the norm on $C^k(0, T; U)$ by

$$\|u\|_{C^k(0, T; U)} := \sum_{m \leq k} \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left\| \frac{\partial^m u}{\partial t^m} \right\|.$$

Definition 5.3 The space $L^p(0, T; U)$ consists of all measurable functions $u : [0, T] \rightarrow U$ with

$$\|u\|_{L^p(0, T; U)} := \left(\int_0^T \|u(t)\|^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < +\infty,$$

for $1 \leq p < \infty$, and

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(0, T; U)} := \text{ess sup}_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|u(t)\| < +\infty.$$

Theorem 5.4 The space $L^p(0, T; U)$ supplied with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^p(0, T; U)}$ is a Banach space for any $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

Corollary 5.5 If U is a Hilbert space supplied with the scalar product (\cdot, \cdot) then $L^2(0, T; U)$ is a Hilbert space supplied with the scalar product

$$((u, v)) = \int_0^T (u(t), v(t)) dt, \quad u, v \in U.$$

Definition 5.6 The vector space $\mathcal{D}'(0, T; U)$ (or $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}([0, T]; U))$) is defined as follows

$$\mathcal{D}'(0, T; U) = \{u : \mathcal{D}[0, T] \rightarrow U, \text{ linear and continuous}\}.$$

Definition 5.7 If $u \in L^p(0, T; U)$, it corresponds to a distribution denoted u on $[0, T]$ with values in U , by

$$\langle u, \varphi \rangle = \int_0^T u(t) \varphi(t) dt, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{D}([0, T]).$$

Lemma 5.8 If $u \in L^p(0, T; U)$ and $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \in L^p(0, T; U)$, then $u \in C(0, T; U)$.

6 Existence methods

6.1 Faedo-Galerkin's approximations

We consider the Cauchy problem abstract's for a second order evolution equation in the separable Hilbert space with the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and the associated norm $\|\cdot\|$.

$$\begin{cases} u''(t) + A(t)u(t) = f(t), & t \in [0, T], \\ (x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad u'(x, 0) = u_1(x), \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where u and f are unknown and given function, respectively, mapping the closed interval $[0, T] \subset \mathbb{R}$ into a real separable Hilbert space H . $A(t)$ ($0 \leq t \leq T$) are linear bounded operators in H acting in the energy space $V \subset H$.

Assume that $\langle A(t)u(t), v(t) \rangle = a(t; u(t), v(t))$, for all $u, v \in V$; where $a(t; \cdot, \cdot)$ is a bilinear continuous in V . The problem (1.1) can be formulated as : found the solution $u(t)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} u \in C([0, T]; V), u' \in C([0, T]; H), \\ \langle u''(t), v \rangle + a(t; u(t), v) = \langle f, v \rangle \text{ in } D'([0, T]), \\ u_0 \in V, u_1 \in H. \end{cases}$$

this problem can be resolved with the approximation process of Faedo-Galerkin.

Let V_m a sub-space of V with the finite dimension d_m , and let $\{w_{jm}\}$ one basis of V_m such that .

1. $V_m \subset V (\dim V_m < \infty), \forall m \in \mathbb{N}$.
2. $V_m \rightarrow V$ such that, there exist a dense subspace ϑ in V and for all $v \in \vartheta$ we can get sequence $\{u_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \in V_m$ and $u_m \rightarrow u$ in V .
3. $V_m \subset V_{m+1}$ and $\overline{\cup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} V_m} = V$.

We define the solution u_m of the approximate problem

$$\begin{cases} u_m(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{d_m} g_j(t)w_{jm}, \\ u_m \in C([0, T]; V_m), u'_m \in C([0, T]; V_m), u_m \in L^2(0, T; V_m), \\ \langle u''_m(t), w_{jm} \rangle + a(t; u_m(t), w_{jm}) = \langle f, w_{jm} \rangle, \quad 1 \leq j \leq d_m, \\ u_m(0) = \sum_{j=1}^{d_m} \xi_j(t)w_{jm}, \quad u'_m(0) = \sum_{j=1}^{d_m} \eta_j(t)w_{jm}, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where

$$\sum_{j=1}^{d_m} \xi_j(t) w_{jm} \longrightarrow u_0 \text{ in } V \text{ as } m \longrightarrow \infty,$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{d_m} \eta_j(t) w_{jm} \longrightarrow u_1 \text{ in } V \text{ as } m \longrightarrow \infty.$$

By virtue of the theory of ordinary differential equations, the system (1.2) has unique local solution which is extend to a maximal interval $[0, t_m[$ by Zorn lemma since the non-linear terms have the suitable regularity. In the next step, we obtain a priori estimates for the solution, so that can be extended outside $[0, t_m[$ to obtain one solution defined for all $t > 0$. The method is based on the three steps :

- Choose certain basis of functions in an appropriate Sobolev space, and solve the approximate problems in any finite dimensional space spanned by finite basis functions. This often turns out to be an initial value problem for nonlinear ordinary differential equations. By the well-known local existence theorem for ordinary differential equations, local existence of solution to the approximate problem follows.
- Obtain the compactness estimates for the solution of the approximate problem. It also turns out that the solution to the approximate problem globally exists.
- Further use of the obtained compactness estimates allows one to choose a subsequence of solutions of the approximate problem obtained in the second step, converging to a solution of the original problem; uniqueness of solution for the original problem has to be proved separately, but the compactness estimates obtained in the second step are still very useful for this purpose.

By the Gronwall's lemma we deduce that the solution u_m of the approximate problem (1.2) converges to the solution u of the initial problem (1.1). The uniqueness proves that u is the solution.

7 Integral inequalities

We will recall some fundamental integral inequalities introduced by A. Haraux, V. Komornik and P. Martinez to estimate the decay rate of the energy.

7.1 A result of exponential decay

The estimation of the energy decay for some dissipative problems is based on the following lemma :

Lemma 7.1 ([33]) *Let $E : \mathbb{R}_+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a non-increasing function and assume that there is a constant $A > 0$ such that*

$$\forall t \geq 0, \quad \int_t^{+\infty} E(\tau) d\tau \leq \frac{1}{A} E(t). \quad (1.3)$$

Then we have

$$\forall t \geq 0, \quad E(t) \leq E(0) e^{1-At}. \quad (1.4)$$

Proof. The inequality (1.4) is verified for $t \leq \frac{1}{A}$, this follows from the fact that E is a decreasing function. We prove that (1.4) is verified for $t \geq \frac{1}{A}$. Introduce the function

$$h : \mathbb{R}_+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+, \quad h(t) = \int_t^{+\infty} E(\tau) d\tau.$$

It is non-increasing and locally absolutely continuous. Differentiating and using (1.3) we find that

$$\forall t \geq 0, \quad h'(t) + Ah(t) \leq 0.$$

Let

$$T_0 = \sup\{t, h(t) > 0\}.$$

For every $t < T_0$, we have

$$\frac{h'(t)}{h(t)} \leq -A,$$

thus

$$h(0) \leq e^{-At} \leq \frac{1}{A} E(0) e^{-At}, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t < T_0.$$

Since $h(t) = 0$ if $t \geq T_0$, this inequality holds in fact for every $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. As E is positive and decreasing, we deduce that

$$\forall t \geq \varepsilon, \quad E(t) \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{t-\varepsilon}^t E(\tau) d\tau \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} h(t - \varepsilon) \leq \frac{1}{A\varepsilon} E(0) e^{\varepsilon t} e^{-At}.$$

Choosing $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{A}$, we obtain

$$\forall t \geq 0, \quad E(t) \leq E(0) e^{1-At}.$$

The proof of the lemma 7.1 is now completed.

7.2 A result of polynomial decay

Lemma 7.2 ([33]) *Let $E : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a non-increasing function and assume that there are two constants $q > 0$ and $A > 0$ such that*

$$\forall t \geq 0, \quad \int_t^{+\infty} E^{q+1}(\tau) d\tau \leq \frac{1}{A} E^q(0) E(t). \quad (1.5)$$

Then we have :

$$\forall t \geq 0, \quad E(t) \leq E(0) \left[\frac{1+q}{1+Aqt} \right]^{1/q}. \quad (1.6)$$

Remark 7.3 It is clear that the lemma 7.1 is similar to the lemma 7.2 in the case of $q = 0$.

Proof. If $E(0) = 0$, then $E \equiv 0$ and there is nothing to prove. Otherwise, replacing the function E by the function $\frac{E}{E(0)}$ we may assume that $E(0) = 1$. Introduce the function

$$h : \mathbb{R}_+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+, \quad h(t) = \int_t^{+\infty} E(\tau) d\tau.$$

It is non-increasing and locally absolutely continuous. Differentiating and using (1.5) we find that

$$\forall t \geq 0, \quad -h' \geq (Ah)^{1+q},$$

where

$$T_0 = \sup\{t, h(t) > 0\}.$$

Integrating in $[0, t]$ we obtain that

$$\forall 0 \leq t < T_0, h(t)^{-q} - h(0)^{-q} \geq \sigma \omega^{1+q} t,$$

hence

$$0 \leq t < T_0, \quad h(t) \leq (h^{-q}(0) + qA^{1+q}t)^{-1/q}. \quad (1.7)$$

Since $h(t) = 0$ if $t \geq T_0$, this inequality holds in fact for every $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Since

$$h(0) \leq \frac{1}{A}E(0)^{1+q} = \frac{1}{A},$$

by (1.5), the right-hand side of (1.7) is less than or equal to :

$$(h^{-q}(0) + qA^{1+q}t)^{-1/q} \leq \frac{1}{A}(1 + Aqt)^{-1/q}.$$

From other hand, E being nonnegative and non-increasing, we deduce from the definition of h and the above estimate that :

$$\begin{aligned} \forall s \geq 0, \quad E\left[\frac{1}{A} + (q+1)s\right]^{q+1} &\leq \frac{1}{\frac{1}{A} + q + 1} \int_s^{\frac{1}{A} + (q+1)s} E(\tau)^{q+1} d\tau \\ &\leq \frac{A}{1 + Aqs} h(s) \\ &\leq \frac{A}{1 + Aqs} \frac{1}{A} (1 + Aqs)^{-\frac{1}{q}}, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\forall S \geq 0, \quad E\left[\frac{1}{A} + (q+1)S\right] \leq \frac{1}{(1 + AqS)^{1/q}}.$$

Choosing $t = \frac{1}{A} + (1+q)s$ then the inequality (1.6) follows. Note that letting $q \rightarrow 0$ in this lemma we obtain (1.6).

7.3 New integral inequalities of P. Martinez

The above inequalities are verified only if the energy function is integrable. We will try to resolve this problem by introducing some weighted integral inequalities, so we can estimate the decay rate of the energy when it is slow.

Lemma 7.4 ([33]) *Let $E : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a non-increasing function and $\phi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ an increasing C^1 function such that*

$$\phi(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(t) \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{when} \quad t \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Assume that there exist $q \geq 0$ and $A > 0$ such that

$$\int_S^{+\infty} E(t)^{q+1} \phi'(t) dt \leq \frac{1}{A} E(0)^q E(S), \quad 0 \leq S < +\infty.$$

Then we have

$$\text{if } q > 0, \quad \text{then } E(t) \leq E(0) \left[\frac{1+q}{1+qA\phi(t)} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

$$\text{if } q = 0, \quad \text{then } E(t) \leq E(0) e^{1-A\phi(t)}, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Proof.

This lemma is a generalization of the lemma 7.1. Let $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be defined by $f(x) := E(\phi^{-1}(x))$, (we notice that ϕ^{-1} has a meaning by the hypotheses assumed on ϕ). f is non-increasing, $f(0) = E(0)$ and if we set $x := \phi(t)$ we obtain f is non-increasing, $f(0) = E(0)$ and if we set $x := \phi(t)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\phi(S)}^{\phi(T)} f(x)^{q+1} dx &= \int_{\phi(S)}^{\phi(T)} E(\phi^{-1}(x))^{q+1} dx = \int_S^T E(t)^{q+1} \phi'(t) dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{A} E(0)^q E(S) \\ &= \frac{1}{A} E(0)^q f(\phi(S)), \quad 0 \leq S < T < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Setting $s := \phi(S)$ and letting $T \rightarrow +\infty$, we deduce that

$$\forall s \geq 0, \quad \int_s^{+\infty} f(x)^{q+1} dx \leq \frac{1}{A} E(0)^q f(s).$$

Thanks to the lemma 7.4, we deduce the desired results.

Blow-up of result in a nonlinear wave equation with delay and source term

1 Introduction

In this chapter we are concerned with the following initial boundary value problem .

$$\begin{cases} |u'|^l u'' - \Delta u - \Delta u'' + \mu_1 |u'|^{m-2} u' \\ + \mu_2 |u'(t-\tau)|^{m-2} u'(t-\tau) = b|u|^{p-2} u, & \text{in } \Omega \times [0, +\infty[, \\ u(x, t) = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times [0, +\infty[, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad u'(x, 0) = u_1(x), & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u'(x, t-\tau) = f_0(x, t-\tau), & \text{in } \Omega \times [0, \tau], \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, with a smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, $l > 0$, μ_1 , μ_2 and b are positive real numbers, $\tau > 0$ is a time varying delay, and the initial data (u_0, u_1, f_0) are in a suitable function space.

When $l = 0$ this type of problem without delay (i.e., $\mu_2 = 0$),

$$u'' - \Delta u + u'|u'|^{m-2} = u|u|^{p-2},$$

has been extensively studied by many mathematicians. It is well known that in the further absence of the damping mechanism $u_t|u_t|^{m-2}$, the source term $u|u|^{p-2}$ causes finite-time blow-up of solutions with negative initial energy (see [5], [14]). In contrast, in the absence of the source term, the damping term assures global existence for arbitrary initial data (see [11], [16]). The interaction between the damping and source terms was first considered by

Levine [23] and [24] for linear damping ($m = 2$). Levine showed that solutions with negative initial energy blow up in finite time. Georgiev and Todorova [8] extended Levine's result to nonlinear damping ($m > 2$). In their work, the authors introduced a new method and determined relations between m and p for which there is global existence and other relations between m and p for which there is finite-time blow-up. Specifically, blow up in finite time if $p > m$ and the initial energy is sufficiently negative. Messaoudi [35] extended the blow-up result of [8] to solutions with only negative initial energy. For related results, we refer the reader to Levine and Serrin [26], Levine and Ro Park [25], Vitillaro [41], Yang [43] and Messaoudi and Said-Houari [37].

In the same direction, Cavalcanti et al [7] have also studied the following system

$$|u'|^l u'' - \Delta u - \Delta u'' + \int_0^t g(t - \tau) \Delta(\tau) d\tau - \gamma \Delta u = 0, \quad \text{in } \Omega \times [0, +\infty[, \quad l > 0.$$

They proved a global existence result for $\gamma \geq 0$ and an exponential decay for $\gamma > 0$. Related to our work, we also mention the work of Wei J. Liu [30] in which he used the multiplier techniques to establish an exponential decay result in the higher dimensional thermo-viscoelasticity. The same method was also used in [31] to prove, under appropriate conditions on the coupling parameters and relaxation function, a partial exact controllability result for a linear thermo-viscoelastic model. These last results generalize earlier ones [29] established for thermo-elasticity.

In [40] Shun-Tang Wu studied a wave equation with a delay term in internal feedback

$$|u'|^l u'' - \Delta u - \Delta u'' + \int_0^t g(t - s) \Delta u(s) ds + \mu_1 u' + \mu_2 u'(x, t - \tau) = 0.$$

They proved the local existence result by the compactness method and established the decay result by suitable Lyapunov functionals. Hao and Wei [12] studied a quasilinear viscoelastic problem with strong damping and source term

$$|u'|^l u'' - \Delta u + \int_0^t g(t - s) \Delta u(s) ds - \Delta u' = |u|^{p-2} u.$$

They obtained a blow up result for the solution with negative initial energy and some positive initial energy if $p > l + 2$, and they proved a global existence result for any initial data if $p \leq l + 2$.

In this chapter we use some techniques from [13] to show that blow-up for suitably chosen initial data, any classical solution blows up in finite time.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we present some materials needed for our main results.

Lemma 2.1 (Sobolev-Poincaré's inequality). [1] *Let q be a number with*

$$2 \leq q < +\infty \quad (n = 1, 2) \quad \text{or} \quad 2 \leq q \leq 2n/(n - 2) \quad (n \geq 3),$$

then there exists a constant $C_s = C_s(\Omega, q)$ such that

$$\|u\|_q \leq C_s \|\nabla u\|_2,$$

for any $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$.

Lemma 2.2 *Suppose that*

$$2 \leq p < +\infty \quad (n = 1, 2) \quad \text{or} \quad 2 \leq p \leq 2n/(n - 2) \quad (n \geq 3),$$

holds. Then there exists a positive constant C depending on Ω only such that

$$\|u\|_p^s \leq C(\|u\|_p^p + \|\nabla u\|_2^2), \tag{2.2}$$

for any $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $2 \leq s \leq p$.

Proof. If $\|u\|_p \leq 1$, then $\|u\|_p^s \leq \|u\|_p^2 \leq C_s \|\nabla u\|_2^2$, by Sobolev embedding the theorems.

If $\|u\|_p > 1$ then $\|u\|_p^s \leq \|u\|_p^p$.

Together with the two cases, we obtain (2.2).

Lemma 2.3 *Let a, b is arbitrary real, then we have*

$$(|a| + |b|)^\alpha \leq C_\alpha(|a|^\alpha + |b|^\alpha), \tag{2.3}$$

where $C_\alpha = 1$ if $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, and $C_\alpha = 2^{\alpha-1}$ if $\alpha > 1$.

Proof. We set $x = \left| \frac{a}{b} \right|$, that is to proof

$$f(x) = \frac{(1+x)^\alpha}{1+x^\alpha} \leq C_\alpha.$$

By taking a derivative of f , we obtain

$$f'(x) = \frac{\alpha(1+x)^{\alpha-1}(1-x^{\alpha-1})}{(1+x^\alpha)^2}.$$

If $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, then we know f is monotone decreasing on $[0, 1]$ and monotone increasing on $[1, +\infty[$, and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f(x) = 1, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} f(x) = 1,$$

then, we have

$$f(x) \leq 1.$$

If $\alpha > 1$, then we know f is monotone increasing on $[0, 1]$ and monotone decreasing on $[1, +\infty[$. So, we have

$$f(x) \leq f(1) = 2^{\alpha-1}.$$

The proof is completed.

Now we introduce, as in Nicaise and Pignotti [39], the new variable

$$z(x, \rho, t) = u'(x, t - \rho\tau), \text{ in } \Omega \times [0, 1] \times [0, +\infty[.$$

Then, we have

$$\tau z'(x, \rho, t) + \frac{\partial z}{\partial \rho}(x, \rho, t) = 0, \text{ in } \Omega \times [0, 1] \times [0, +\infty[.$$

Therefore, the problem (2.1) is equivalent to

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} |u'(x, t)|^l u''(x, t) - \Delta u(x, t) - \Delta u''(x, t) + \mu_1 |u'(x, t)|^{m-2} u'(x, t) \\ + \mu_2 |z(x, 1, t)|^{m-2} z(x, 1, t) = b |u(x, t)|^{p-2} u(x, t), & \text{in } \Omega \times [0, +\infty[, \\ \tau z'(x, \rho, t) + \frac{\partial z}{\partial \rho}(x, \rho, t) = 0, & \text{in } \Omega \times [0, 1] \times [0, +\infty[, \\ u(x, t) = 0, & \text{on } \partial \Omega \times [0, \infty[, \\ z(x, 0, t) = u'(x, t), & \text{in } \Omega \times [0, \infty[, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad u'(x, 0) = u_1(x), & \text{in } \Omega, \\ z(x, \rho, 0) = f_0(x, -\rho\tau), & \text{in } \Omega \times [0, 1]. \end{array} \right. \quad (2.4)$$

Theorem 2.4 *Suppose that $m > 1$, $p > 2$, let $(u_0, u_1) \in [H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)] \times H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $f_0 \in \mathcal{C}^1([-\tau, 0]; L^m(\Omega \times [0, 1]))$ satisfies the compatibility condition*

$$f_0(\cdot, 0) = u_1.$$

Assume further that

$$\max\{p, m\} \leq \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2} \quad \text{and} \quad l \leq \frac{2}{n-2} \quad \text{if} \quad n \geq 3. \quad (2.5)$$

Then the problem (2.4) has a unique local solution

$$u \in \mathcal{C}([0, T]; H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)),$$

$$u' \in \mathcal{C}([0, T]; H_0^1(\Omega)),$$

$$u'' \in \mathcal{C}([0, T]; L^2(\Omega)),$$

$$z \in \mathcal{C}^1([0, T]; L^2([0, 1] \times \Omega)),$$

for some $T > 0$.

We define the energy associated to the solution of system (2.4) by

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{l+2} \|u'\|_{l+2}^{l+2} + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u'\|_2^2 - \frac{b}{p} \|u\|_p^p + \xi \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 |z(x, \rho, t)|^m d\rho dx, \quad (2.6)$$

where ξ is a positive constant such that

$$\tau \frac{\mu_2}{m} (m-1) < \xi < \tau \left(\mu_1 - \frac{\mu_2}{m} \right), \quad (2.7)$$

and $\mu_2 < m\mu_1$.

Lemma 2.5 *Let (u, z) be a solution of the problem (2.4). Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$E'(t) \leq -C \left[\int_{\Omega} |z(x, 1, t)|^m dx + \|u'(x, t)\|_m^m \right] \leq 0.$$

Proof. Multiplying the first equation in (2.4) by u' and integrating over Ω , using integration by parts, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{1}{l+2} \|u'\|_{l+2}^{l+2} + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u'\|_2^2 - \frac{b}{p} \|u\|_p^p \right] + \mu_1 \|u'\|_m^m \\ + \mu_2 \int_{\Omega} |z(x, 1, t)|^{m-2} z(x, 1, t) u'(x, t) dx = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

2. 2. Preliminaries

We multiply the second equation in (2.4) by $\xi|z(x, \rho, t)|^{m-2}z(x, \rho, t)$ and integrate the result over $\Omega \times [0, 1]$, to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \xi \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 z'(x, \rho, t) |z(x, \rho, t)|^{m-2} z(x, \rho, t) d\rho dx &= -\frac{\xi}{\tau} \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 \frac{\partial z(x, \rho, t)}{\partial \rho} |z(x, \rho, t)|^{m-2} z(x, \rho, t) d\rho dx \\ &= -\frac{\xi}{\tau} \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 \frac{\partial z(x, \rho, t)}{\partial \rho} |z(x, \rho, t)|^{m-2} z(x, \rho, t) d\rho dx \\ &= -\frac{\xi}{\tau m} \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} |z(x, \rho, t)|^m d\rho dx \\ &= -\frac{\xi}{\tau m} \int_{\Omega} (|z(x, 1, t)|^m - |z(x, 0, t)|^m) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\xi \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 |z(x, \rho, t)|^m d\rho dx = -\frac{\xi}{\tau} \int_{\Omega} |z(x, 1, t)|^m dx + \frac{\xi}{\tau} \int_{\Omega} |u'|^m dx. \quad (2.9)$$

Combining (2.8) and (2.9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E'(t) &= -\frac{\xi}{\tau} \int_{\Omega} |z(x, 1, t)|^m dx - \left(\mu_1 - \frac{\xi}{\tau}\right) \|u'\|_m^m \\ &\quad - \mu_2 \int_{\Omega} |z(x, 1, t)|^{m-2} z(x, 1, t) u'(x, t) dx, \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

and using Young's inequality, we have

$$-\mu_2 \int_{\Omega} |z(x, 1, t)|^{m-2} z(x, 1, t) u' dx \leq \mu_2 \left[\frac{1}{m} \delta^m \|u'\|_m^m + \frac{m-1}{m} \frac{1}{\delta^{\frac{m}{m-1}}} \int_{\Omega} |z(x, 1, t)|^m dx \right].$$

Thus, by choosing $\delta^{-\frac{m}{m-1}} = \frac{m\epsilon}{m-1}$, then

$$-\mu_2 \int_{\Omega} |z(x, 1, t)|^{m-2} z(x, 1, t) u' dx \leq \mu_2 \left[\epsilon \int_{\Omega} |z(x, 1, t)|^m dx + \frac{1}{m} \left(\frac{m\epsilon}{m-1}\right)^{1-m} \|u'\|_m^m \right],$$

with $\epsilon = \frac{m-1}{m}$, we have

$$-\mu_2 \int_{\Omega} |z(x, 1, t)|^{m-2} z(x, 1, t) u' dx \leq \frac{\mu_2}{m} \left[(m-1) \int_{\Omega} |z(x, 1, t)|^m dx + \|u'\|_m^m \right].$$

Hence, we get from (2.10)

$$\begin{aligned} E'(t) &\leq -\left[\frac{\xi}{\tau} - \frac{\mu_2}{m} (m-1) \right] \int_{\Omega} |z(x, 1, t)|^m dx - \left[\mu_1 - \frac{\xi}{\tau} - \frac{\mu_2}{m} \right] \|u'\|_m^m \\ &\leq -C \left[\int_{\Omega} |z(x, 1, t)|^m dx + \|u'\|_m^m \right], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$C = \min \left\{ \frac{\xi}{\tau} - \frac{\mu_2}{m}(m-1), \mu_1 - \frac{\xi}{\tau} - \frac{\mu_2}{m} \right\},$$

which is positive by (2.7). We set

$$H(t) = -E(t). \quad (2.11)$$

Corollary 2.6 *Let the assumptions of the lemma 2.2 hold. Then we have*

$$\|u\|_p^s \leq C \left[-H(t) - \|u'\|_{l+2}^{l+2} - \|\nabla u'\|_2^2 + \|u\|_p^p - \xi \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 |z(x, \rho, t)|^m d\rho dx \right] \quad \forall t \in [0, T),$$

for any $u(\cdot, t) \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $2 \leq s \leq p$.

3 Blow-up

In this section we state and prove our main result.

Theorem 3.1 *Suppose that $m > 1$, $p > \max\{l+2, m\}$ satisfying (2.5), let $(u_0, u_1) \in [H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)] \times H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $f_0 \in \mathcal{C}^1([-\tau, 0]; L^m(\Omega \times [0, 1]))$. Assume further that*

$$E(0) = \frac{1}{l+2} \|u_1\|_{l+2}^{l+2} + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u_0\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u_1\|_2^2 - \frac{b}{p} \|u_0\|_p^p + \xi \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 |f_0(x, -\rho\tau)|^m d\rho dx < 0.$$

Then the solution of (2.4) blows up in finite time, i.e. there exists $T_0 < +\infty$ such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T_0^-} (\|u'\|_{l+2}^{l+2} + \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u'\|_2^2 + \|u\|_p^p) = +\infty.$$

Proof . Assume that there exists some positive constant C such that for $t > 0$ the solution u of (2.4) satisfies

$$\|u'\|_{l+2}^{l+2} + \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u'\|_2^2 + \|u\|_p^p \leq C. \quad (2.12)$$

Hence,

$$0 < H(0) < H(t) < \frac{b}{p} \|u\|_p^p. \quad (2.13)$$

We then define the function

$$G(t) = \frac{1}{l+1} \int_{\Omega} |u'|^{l+1} u dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla u' \nabla u dx,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} G'(t) &= \int_{\Omega} u|u'|^l u'' dx + \frac{1}{l+1} \int_{\Omega} |u'|^{l+2} dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla u'' \nabla u dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u'|^2 dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} u(|u'|^l u'' - \Delta u'') dx + \frac{1}{l+1} \int_{\Omega} |u'|^{l+2} dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u'|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

By using the first equation of (2.4), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} G'(t) &= \frac{1}{l+1} \|u'\|_{l+2}^{l+2} + b\|u\|_p^p + \|\nabla u'\|_2^2 - \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \\ &\quad - \mu_1 \int_{\Omega} |u'|^{m-2} u' u dx - \mu_2 \int_{\Omega} |z(x, 1, t)|^{m-2} z(x, 1, t) u dx. \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

By Young's inequality, we obtain

$$\mu_1 \int_{\Omega} |u'|^{m-2} u' u dx \leq \mu_1 \left[\frac{\delta^m}{m} \|u\|_m^m + \frac{(m-1)\delta^{\frac{-m}{m-1}}}{m} \|u'\|_m^m \right], \tag{2.15}$$

similarly, we have

$$\mu_2 \int_{\Omega} |z(x, 1, t)|^{m-2} z(x, 1, t) u dx \leq \mu_2 \left[\frac{\delta^m}{m} \|u\|_m^m + \frac{(m-1)\delta^{\frac{-m}{m-1}}}{m} \|z(x, 1, t)\|_m^m \right]. \tag{2.16}$$

We then define

$$L(t) = H^{1-\alpha}(t) + \varepsilon G(t), \tag{2.17}$$

for ε small to be chosen later and

$$0 < \alpha < \min \left\{ \frac{1}{l+2} - \frac{1}{p}, \frac{p-m}{p(m-1)} \right\}.$$

By taking a derivative of (2.17) and using (2.14)-(2.16) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} L'(t) &= (1-\alpha)H'(t)H^{-\alpha}(t) + \varepsilon G'(t) \\ &\geq (1-\alpha)H'(t)H^{-\alpha}(t) \\ &\quad - \varepsilon(\mu_1 + \mu_2) \frac{(m-1)\delta^{\frac{-m}{m-1}}}{m} (\|u'\|_m^m + \|z(x, 1, t)\|_m^m) \\ &\quad + \frac{\varepsilon}{l+1} \|u'\|_{l+2}^{l+2} + \varepsilon \|\nabla u'\|_2^2 + b\varepsilon \|u\|_p^p - \varepsilon \|\nabla u\|_2^2 - \varepsilon(\mu_1 + \mu_2) \frac{\delta^m}{m} \|u\|_m^m, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
L'(t) \geq & \left[(1 - \alpha)H^{-\alpha}(t) - \varepsilon(\mu_1 + \mu_2) \frac{(m-1)\delta^{\frac{-m}{m-1}}}{mC} \right] H'(t) + \frac{\varepsilon}{l+1} \|u'\|_{l+2}^{l+2} \\
& + \varepsilon \|\nabla u'\|_2^2 + b\varepsilon \|u\|_p^p - \varepsilon \|\nabla u\|_2^2 - \varepsilon(\mu_1 + \mu_2) \frac{\delta^m}{m} \|u\|_m^m.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

Of course (2.18) remains valid even if δ is time dependent. Therefore by taking δ so that

$$\delta^{\frac{-m}{m-1}} = kH^{-\alpha}(t),$$

for large k to be specified later, and substituting in (2.18) we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
L'(t) \geq & \left[(1 - \alpha) - \varepsilon(\mu_1 + \mu_2) \frac{m-1}{mC} k \right] H^{-\alpha}(t) H'(t) \\
& + \frac{\varepsilon}{l+1} \|u'\|_{l+2}^{l+2} + \varepsilon \|\nabla u'\|_2^2 + b\varepsilon \|u\|_p^p \\
& - \varepsilon(\mu_1 + \mu_2) \frac{k^{1-m}}{m} H^{\alpha(m-1)}(t) \|u\|_m^m \\
& - \varepsilon \|\nabla u\|_2^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

By exploiting (2.13) and the inequality $\|u\|_m^m \leq c\|u\|_p^m$, we obtain

$$H^{\alpha(m-1)}(t) \|u\|_m^m \leq c \left(\frac{b}{p} \right)^{\alpha(m-1)} \|u\|_p^{\alpha p(m-1)+m}, \tag{2.20}$$

inserting (2.20) in (2.19), using (2.6) and (2.11), we get, for $0 < \beta < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
L'(t) \geq & \left[(1 - \alpha) - \varepsilon(\mu_1 + \mu_2) \frac{m-1}{mC} k \right] H^{-\alpha}(t) H'(t) + \frac{\varepsilon}{l+1} \|u'\|_{l+2}^{l+2} + \varepsilon \|\nabla u'\|_2^2 \\
& + b\beta\varepsilon \|u\|_p^p - \varepsilon \|\nabla u\|_2^2 - \varepsilon c \left(\frac{b}{p} \right)^{\alpha(m-1)} (\mu_1 + \mu_2) \frac{k^{1-m}}{m} \|u\|_p^{\alpha p(m-1)+m} \\
& + \varepsilon(1 - \beta)p \left[H(t) + \frac{1}{l+2} \|u'\|_{l+2}^{l+2} + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u'\|_2^2 + \xi \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 |z(x, \rho, t)|^m d\rho dx \right] \\
\geq & \left[(1 - \alpha) - \varepsilon(\mu_1 + \mu_2) \frac{m-1}{mC} k \right] H^{-\alpha}(t) H'(t) + \varepsilon \left[\frac{1}{l+1} + \frac{p(1-\beta)}{l+2} \right] \|u'\|_{l+2}^{l+2} \\
& + \varepsilon \left[\frac{p(1-\beta)}{2} - 1 \right] \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \varepsilon \left[\frac{p(1-\beta)}{2} + 1 \right] \|\nabla u'\|_2^2 + b\beta\varepsilon \|u\|_p^p + \varepsilon(1 - \beta)pH(t) \\
& + \varepsilon(1 - \beta)p\xi \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 |z(x, \rho, t)|^m d\rho dx - \varepsilon c \left(\frac{b}{p} \right)^{\alpha(m-1)} (\mu_1 + \mu_2) \frac{k^{1-m}}{m} \|u\|_p^{\alpha p(m-1)+m}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we use the corollary 2.6, for $s = \alpha p(m - 1) + m \leq p$, to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
L'(t) &\geq \left[(1 - \alpha) - \varepsilon(\mu_1 + \mu_2) \frac{m-1}{mC} k \right] H^{-\alpha}(t) H'(t) + \varepsilon \left[\frac{1}{l+1} + \frac{p(1-\beta)}{l+2} \right] \|u'\|_{l+2}^{l+2} \\
&\quad + \varepsilon \left[\frac{p(1-\beta)}{2} - 1 \right] \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \varepsilon \left[\frac{p(1-\beta)}{2} + 1 \right] \|\nabla u'\|_2^2 + b\beta\varepsilon \|u\|_p^p \\
&\quad + p\varepsilon(1-\beta)H(t) + p\varepsilon(1-\beta)\xi \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 |z(x, \rho, t)|^m d\rho dx \\
&\quad - \varepsilon k^{1-m} C_1 \left[-H(t) - \|u'\|_{l+2}^{l+2} - \|\nabla u'\|_2^2 + \|u\|_p^p - \xi \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 |z(x, \rho, t)|^m d\rho dx \right],
\end{aligned}$$

consequently, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
L'(t) &\geq \left[(1 - \alpha) - \varepsilon(\mu_1 + \mu_2) \frac{m-1}{mC} k \right] H^{-\alpha}(t) H'(t) \\
&\quad + \varepsilon \left[\frac{1}{l+1} + \frac{p(1-\beta)}{l+2} + C_1 k^{1-m} \right] \|u'\|_{l+2}^{l+2} + \varepsilon \left[\frac{p(1-\beta)}{2} + 1 + C_1 k^{1-m} \right] \|\nabla u'\|_2^2 \\
&\quad + \varepsilon \left[\frac{p(1-\beta)}{2} - 1 \right] \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \varepsilon \left[b\beta - C_1 k^{1-m} \right] \|u\|_p^p \\
&\quad + \varepsilon \xi \left[p(1-\beta) + C_1 k^{1-m} \right] \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 |z(x, \rho, t)|^m d\rho dx \\
&\quad + \varepsilon \left[p(1-\beta) + C_1 k^{1-m} \right] H(t),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
C_1 &= c \left(\frac{b}{p} \right)^{\alpha(m-1)} \frac{\mu_1 + \mu_2}{m}, \\
&\quad \frac{p(1-\beta)}{2} - 1 > 0,
\end{aligned}$$

and we choose k so large that

$$b\beta - C_1 k^{1-m} > 0.$$

Finally, we pick ε so small so that

$$(1 - \alpha) - \varepsilon(\mu_1 + \mu_2) \frac{m-1}{mC} k > 0,$$

and

$$L(0) = H^{1-\alpha}(0) + \frac{\varepsilon}{l+1} \int_{\Omega} |u_1|^l u_1 u_0 dx + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_1 \nabla u_0 dx > 0.$$

Therefore (2.21) takes the form

$$L'(t) \geq \lambda \left[\|u'\|_{l+2}^{l+2} + \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u'\|_2^2 + \|u\|_p^p + H(t) + \xi \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 |z(x, \rho, t)|^m d\rho dx \right]. \quad (2.22)$$

Consequently, we have

$$L(t) \geq L(0), \quad t \geq 0.$$

We now estimate the term $\int_{\Omega} |u'|^l u' u dx$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega} |u'|^l u' u dx \right| &\leq \|u'\|_{l+2}^{l+1} \|u\|_{l+2} \\ &\leq C_{p,l} \|u'\|_{l+2}^{l+1} \|u\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

Using Young's inequality and (2.3), then yields

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} |u'|^l u' u dx \right|^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} \leq C \left[\|u'\|_{l+2}^{\frac{(l+1)\beta_1}{1-\alpha}} + \|u\|_p^{\frac{\beta_2}{1-\alpha}} \right], \quad (2.23)$$

for $\frac{1}{\beta_1} + \frac{1}{\beta_2} = 1$, we take $\beta_1 = \frac{(l+2)(1-\alpha)}{l+1}$ which gives $\frac{\beta_2}{1-\alpha} = \frac{l+2}{1-(l+2)\alpha}$. Therefore (2.23) becomes

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} |u'|^l u' u dx \right|^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} \leq C \left[\|u'\|_{l+2}^{l+2} + \|u\|_p^{\frac{l+2}{1-(l+2)\alpha}} \right]. \quad (2.24)$$

Using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} \nabla u' \nabla u dx \right| \leq \|\nabla u\|_2 \|\nabla u'\|_2.$$

Similarly, by using Young's inequality, with the conjugate exponents $2(1-\alpha)$ and $\frac{2(1-\alpha)}{1-2\alpha}$, we get

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} \nabla u' \nabla u dx \right|^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} \leq \|\nabla u'\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u\|_2^{\frac{2}{1-2\alpha}}. \quad (2.25)$$

From (2.12) and (2.13), we have

$$\|\nabla u\|_2^{\frac{2}{1-2\alpha}} \leq C^{\frac{1}{1-2\alpha}} \leq \frac{C^{\frac{1}{1-2\alpha}}}{H(0)} H(t). \quad (2.26)$$

Using (2.24)-(2.26) and the lemma 2.2, for $s = \frac{l+2}{1-(l+2)\alpha} \leq p$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega} |u'|^{l+2} u dx \right|^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} + \left| \int_{\Omega} \nabla u' \nabla u dx \right|^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} \leq C \left[H(t) + \|u'\|_{l+2}^{l+2} + \|\nabla u'\|_2^2 \right. \\ \left. + \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \|u\|_p^p + \xi \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 |z(x, \rho, t)|^m d\rho dx \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{L}^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(t) &= \left[H^{1-\alpha}(t) + \varepsilon G(t) \right]^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} \\ &\leq C \left[H(t) + \|u'\|_{l+2}^{l+2} + \|\nabla u'\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|u\|_p^p + \xi \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 |z(x, \rho, t)|^m d\rho dx \right], \quad t > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.27}$$

Combining (2.22) and (2.27), we arrive at

$$L'(t) \geq \Lambda L^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}(t), \quad t > 0, \tag{2.28}$$

where Λ is a positive constant depending only on λ and C .

A simple integration of (2.28) over $[0, t]$ yields

$$L^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}}(t) \geq \frac{1}{L^{-\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}}(0) - \Lambda \alpha t / (1-\alpha)}, \quad t > 0.$$

Therefore, $L(t)$ blows up in time

$$T_0 \leq \frac{1-\alpha}{\Lambda \alpha L^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}}(0)}.$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T_0^-} (\|u'\|_{l+2}^{l+2} + \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u'\|_2^2 + \|u\|_p^p) = +\infty.$$

This leads to a contradiction with (2.12). Thus, the solution of the problem (2.4) blows up in finite time. This completes the proof.

Well-posedness and general energy decay of solutions for a Petrovsky equation with a nonlinear strong dissipation

1 Introduction

In this chapter, we consider the initial-boundary value problem for the nonlinear Petrovsky equation

$$\begin{cases} u'' + \Delta^2 u - g(\Delta u') = 0, & \text{in } \Omega \times [0, +\infty[, \\ u(x, t) = \Delta u(x, t) = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times [0, +\infty[, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad u'(x, 0) = u_1(x), & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

where Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n , $\partial\Omega$ is a smooth boundary, (u_0, u_1) are the initial data in a suitable function space and g is real function satisfying some conditions to be specified later. In [9], Guesmia considered the following problem

$$\begin{cases} u'' + \Delta^2 u + q(x)u + g(u') = 0, & \text{in } \Omega \times [0, +\infty[, \\ u(x, t) = \partial_\nu u(x, t) = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times [0, +\infty[, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad u'(x, 0) = u_1(x), & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

where g is continuous, increasing, satisfying $g(0) = 0$ and $q : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a bounded under suitable growth conditions on g , decay results for weak, as well as strong, solutions. Precisely, he showed that the solution decays exponentially if g behaves like a linear function, whereas

the decay is of a polynomial order otherwise. Also the system composed of the equation (3.2), with $u'|u|^{m-2} - u|u|^{p-2}$ in the place of $q(x)u(x, t) + g(u'(x, t))$ has been treated by Messaoudi [36], he established an existence result and showed that the solution continues to exist globally if $m \geq p$, however, it blows up in finite time if $m < p$. Moreover, Komornik [15] treated the problem (3.1) for g having a polynomial growth near the origin, used semigroup method to prove the existence and uniqueness of solutions and established energy decay results depending on g .

In this chapter, we prove the global existence of the weak solutions of the problem (3.1) by using the compactness method (see Lions [27]). We use some technique from [38] to establish an explicit and general decay result, depending on g . The proof is based on the multiplier method and makes use of some properties of convex functions, the general Young inequality and Jensen's inequality. These convexity arguments were introduced and developed by Lasiecka and co-workers ([21],[22]) and used, with appropriate modifications, by Liu and Zuazua [32], Alabau-Boussouira [2] and others.

2 Notation and preliminaries

We begin by introducing some notation that will be used throughout this work.

Let us introduce three real Hilbert spaces \mathcal{H} , V and W by setting

$$\mathcal{H} = H_0^1(\Omega), \quad \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx,$$

and

$$V = \{u \in H^3(\Omega) \mid u = \Delta u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}, \quad \|u\|_V^2 = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \Delta u|^2 dx,$$

$$W = \{u \in H^5(\Omega) \mid u = \Delta u = \Delta^2 u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}, \quad \|u\|_W^2 = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \Delta^2 u|^2 dx.$$

We have

$$W \subset V \subset \mathcal{H} \subset V' \subset W',$$

with dense and compact imbeddings.

If $u \in L^2(\Omega)$, we denote by $\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = \|u\|$.

We impose the following assumptions on g

$g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a non-decreasing continuous function such that there exist constants $\varepsilon, c_1, c_2, \tau > 0$ and a convex increasing function $G : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ of class $C^1(\mathbb{R}_+) \cap C^2(\mathbb{R}_+^*)$ satisfying

3. 3. Well-posedeness and regularity

G linear on $[0, \varepsilon]$ or $G'(0) = 0$ and $G'' > 0$ on $[0, \varepsilon]$, such that

$$c_1|s| \leq |g(s)| \leq c_2|s| \quad \text{if } |s| > \varepsilon, \quad (3.3)$$

$$|s|^2 + |g(s)|^2 \leq G^{-1}(sg(s)) \quad \text{if } |s| \leq \varepsilon, \quad (3.4)$$

$$g'(s) \leq \tau. \quad (3.5)$$

Remark 2.1 *Let us denote by ϕ^* the conjugate function of the differentiable convex function ϕ , i.e.,*

$$\phi^*(s) = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} (st - \phi(t)).$$

Then ϕ^ is the Legendre transform of ϕ , which is given by (see [3] p. 61 – 62)*

$$\phi^*(s) = s(\phi')^{-1}(s) - \phi((\phi')^{-1}(s)) \quad \text{if } s \in]0, \phi'(r)],$$

and ϕ^ satisfies the generalized Young inequality*

$$ST \leq \phi^*(S) + \phi(T) \quad \text{if } S \in]0, \phi'(r)], T \in]0, r]. \quad (3.6)$$

Lemma 2.2 *For all $u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)$, we have*

$$\|\nabla u\| \leq c \|\Delta u\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)} \leq c \|\Delta u\|. \quad (3.7)$$

3 Well-posedeness and regularity

Theorem 3.1 *Assume that $(u_0, u_1) \in W \times V$, then the solution of the problem (3.1) satisfies*

$$u' \in L^\infty(0, T; V), \quad u'' \in L^\infty(0, T; \mathcal{H}),$$

and

$$u \in L^\infty(0, T; H^4(\Omega) \cap V),$$

such that for any $T > 0$

$$u'' + \Delta^2 u - g(\Delta u') = 0 \quad \text{in } L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)),$$

$$u(0) = u_0, \quad u'(0) = u_1 \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

Proof.

The step 1 : Approximate solutions

We will construct solutions approximated by the Faedo-Galekin. Let $T > 0$ be fixed and let $\{w_j\}, j \in \mathbb{N}$ be a basis of \mathcal{H}, V and W , i.e. the space generated by $\mathcal{B}_k = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k\}$ is dense in \mathcal{H}, V and W .

We construct approximate solutions $u_k, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, in the form

$$u_k(t) = \sum_{j=1}^k c_{j,k}(t)w_j(x),$$

where c_{jk} is determined by the ordinary differential equations.

For any v in $\mathcal{B}_k, u_k(t)$ satisfies the approximate equation

$$\int_{\Omega} (u_k''(t) + \Delta^2 u_k(t) - g(\Delta u_k'(t)))v \, dx = 0, \tag{3.8}$$

with initial conditions

$$u_k(0) = u_k^0 = \sum_{j=1}^k \langle u_0, w_j \rangle w_j \rightarrow u_0 \quad \text{in } W \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty, \tag{3.9}$$

$$u_k'(0) = u_k^1 = \sum_{j=1}^k \langle u_1, w_j \rangle w_j \rightarrow u_1 \quad \text{in } V \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty. \tag{3.10}$$

The standard theory of ODE guarantees that the system (3.8)-(3.10) has an unique local solution which is extended to a maximal interval in $[0, t_k)$ (with $0 < t_k < T$) by Zorn lemma.

In the next step, we obtain a priori estimates for the solution of the system (3.8)-(3.10), so that it can be extended outside $[0, t_k)$ to obtain one solution defined for all $T > 0$, using a standard compactness argument for the limiting procedure.

The step 2 : A priori estimates

The first estimate : Setting $v = -2\Delta u_k'$ in (3.8), we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[\|\nabla u_k'\|^2 + \|\nabla \Delta u_k\|^2 \right] + 2 \int_{\Omega} \Delta u_k' g(\Delta u_k') \, dx = 0.$$

3. 3. Well-posedness and regularity

Integrating in $[0, t]$, $t < t_k$ and using (3.9) and (3.10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla u'_k(t)\|^2 + \|\nabla \Delta u_k(t)\|^2 + 2 \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \Delta u'_k(s) g(\Delta u'_k(s)) dx ds \\ \leq c(\|\nabla u_k^1\|^2 + \|\nabla \Delta u_k^0\|^2) \leq C_1, \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

and C_1 is a positive constant depending only on $\|u_1\|_V$ and $\|u_0\|_W$. Estimate (3.11) yields that

$$u_k \text{ is bounded in } L^\infty(0, T; V), \quad (3.12)$$

$$u'_k \text{ is bounded in } L^\infty(0, T; \mathcal{H}), \quad (3.13)$$

$$\Delta u'_k g(\Delta u'_k) \text{ is bounded in } L^1(\Omega \times (0, T)). \quad (3.14)$$

From (3.3), (3.4) and (3.14), it follows that

$$g(\Delta u'_k) \text{ is bounded in } L^2(\Omega \times (0, T)). \quad (3.15)$$

The second estimate : First, we estimate $u''_k(0)$. Differentiating (3.8) with respect to x , taking $v = \nabla u''_k(t)$ and choosing $t = 0$, we obtain that

$$\|\nabla u''_k(0)\|^2 + \left[\nabla u''_k(0), \nabla \Delta^2 u_k^0 - \nabla(g(\Delta u_k^1)) \right] = 0.$$

Using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and (3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla u''_k(0)\| &\leq \|\nabla \Delta^2 u_k^0\| + \|\nabla \Delta u_k^1 g'(\Delta u_k^1)\| \\ &\leq \|\nabla \Delta^2 u_k^0\| + \tau \|\nabla \Delta u_k^1\|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

By (3.9) and (3.10) yields

$$u''_k(0) \text{ is bounded in } \mathcal{H}. \quad (3.17)$$

The third estimate : Differentiating (3.8) with respect to t get

$$\int_{\Omega} (u'''_k(t) + \Delta^2 u'_k) v dx - \int_{\Omega} \Delta u''_k g'(\Delta u'_k) v dx = 0.$$

3. 3. Well-posedness and regularity

Taking $v = -2\Delta u_k''$, applying the Green formula, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[\|\nabla u_k''\|^2 + \|\nabla \Delta u_k'\|^2 \right] + 2 \int_{\Omega} (\Delta u_k'')^2 g'(\Delta u_k') dx = 0,$$

by integrating it over $[0, t]$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla u_k''(t)\|^2 + \|\nabla \Delta u_k'(t)\|^2 + 2 \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} (\Delta u_k''(s))^2 g'(\Delta u_k'(s)) dx ds \\ = \|\nabla u_k''(0)\|^2 + \|\nabla \Delta u_k^1\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.10) and (3.17), we deduce that

$$u_k'' \text{ is bounded in } L^\infty(0, T; \mathcal{H}). \quad (3.18)$$

The fourth estimate : Setting $v = 2\Delta^2 u_k'$ in (3.8), we have

$$2 \int_{\Omega} u_k'' \Delta^2 u_k' dx + \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta^2 u_k\|^2 - 2 \int_{\Omega} g(\Delta u_k') \Delta^2 u_k' dx = 0.$$

Therefore by using the Green's formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta^2 u_k\|^2 &= -2 \int_{\Omega} \Delta u_k'' \Delta u_k' dx - 2 \int_{\Omega} g'(\Delta u_k') (\nabla \Delta u_k')^2 dx \\ &= -\frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta u_k'\|^2 - 2 \int_{\Omega} g'(\Delta u_k') (\nabla \Delta u_k')^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating it over $[0, t]$, we arrive at

$$\|\Delta^2 u_k(t)\|^2 + \|\Delta u_k'(t)\|^2 + 2 \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} g'(\Delta u_k') (\nabla \Delta u_k')^2 dx ds = \|\Delta^2 u_k^0\|^2 + \|\Delta u_k^1\|^2.$$

By using $g' \geq 0$, (3.9) and (3.10), we deduce that

$$\|\Delta^2 u_k(t)\|^2 + \|\Delta u_k'(t)\|^2 \leq c' (\|\Delta^2 u_0\|^2 + \|\Delta u_1\|^2),$$

then

$$\Delta^2 u_k \text{ is bounded in } L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)), \quad (3.19)$$

hence

$$u_k \text{ is bounded in } L^\infty(0, T; H^4(\Omega)). \quad (3.20)$$

3. 3. Well-posedness and regularity

From (3.12) and (3.20) we deduce that

$$u_k \text{ is bounded in } L^\infty(0, T; V \cap H^4(\Omega)). \quad (3.21)$$

The step 3 : Passage to the limit

Applying Dunford-Pettis theorem we conclude from (3.13), (3.15), (3.18) and (3.21), there is a sub-sequence u_{k_l} that we note again u_k , which verifies

$$u_k \rightharpoonup u \text{ weak-star in } L^\infty(0, T; V \cap H^4(\Omega)), \quad (3.22)$$

$$u'_k \rightharpoonup u' \text{ weak-star in } L^\infty(0, T; V), \quad (3.23)$$

$$u''_k \rightharpoonup u'' \text{ weak-star in } L^\infty(0, T; \mathcal{H}), \quad (3.24)$$

$$g(\Delta u'_k) \rightharpoonup \phi \text{ weak-star in } L^2(\mathcal{A}), \quad (3.25)$$

where $\mathcal{A} = \Omega \times [0, T]$. It follows at once from (3.22) and (3.24), that for each fixed $v \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$

$$\int_0^T \int_\Omega (u''_k(x, t) + \Delta^2 u_k(x, t))v \, dx \, dt \longrightarrow \int_0^T \int_\Omega (u''(x, t) + \Delta^2 u(x, t))v \, dx \, dt.$$

It remains to show that

$$\int_0^T \int_\Omega g(\Delta u'_k) v \, dx \, dt \longrightarrow \int_0^T \int_\Omega g(\Delta u') v \, dx \, dt.$$

From (3.13) and (3.18) we deduce that u'_k is bounded in $L^2(0, T; \mathcal{H})$, u''_k is bounded in $L^2(0, T; \mathcal{H})$, and $\|u''_k\| \leq \|\nabla u''_k\|$, we obtain u''_k is bounded in $L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$. Consequently u'_k is bounded in $H^1(\mathcal{A})$. And the injection of $H^1(\mathcal{A})$ in $L^2(\mathcal{A})$ is compact (see [28] Theorem 16. 1, Chap 1), then

$$u'_k \longrightarrow u' \text{ strongly in } L^2(\mathcal{A}),$$

therefore

$$u'_k \longrightarrow u' \text{ almost every where in } \mathcal{A}. \quad (3.26)$$

Lemma 3.2 *For each $T > 0$, we have $g(\Delta u') \in L^1(\mathcal{A})$,*

$$\|g(\Delta u')\|_{L^1(\mathcal{A})} \leq K,$$

3. 3. Well-posedness and regularity

where K is a constant independent of t , and

$$g(\Delta u'_k) \rightarrow g(\Delta u') \text{ in } L^1(\mathcal{A}).$$

Proof. We claim that

$$g(\Delta u') \in L^1(\mathcal{A}).$$

Indeed, since g is continuous, we deduce from (3.26)

$$g(\Delta u'_k) \rightarrow g(\Delta u') \text{ almost every where in } \mathcal{A},$$

$$\Delta u'_k g(\Delta u'_k) \rightarrow \Delta u' g(\Delta u') \text{ almost every where in } \mathcal{A}.$$

Hence, by (3.14) and Fatou's lemma, we have

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \Delta u'(x, t) g(\Delta u'(x, t)) dx dt \leq K_1 \text{ for } T > 0. \quad (3.27)$$

Now, we can estimate $\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |\Delta g(u'(x, t))| dx dt$. By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |\Delta g(u'(x, t))| dx dt \leq c|\mathcal{A}|^{1/2} \left[\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |\Delta g(u'(x, t))|^2 dx dt \right]^{1/2}.$$

Using (3.3), (3.4) and (3.27), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |\Delta g(u'(x, t))|^2 dx dt &\leq \int_0^T \int_{|\Delta u'| > \varepsilon} \Delta u' g(\Delta u') dx dt + \int_0^T \int_{|\Delta u'| \leq \varepsilon} G^{-1}(\Delta u' g(\Delta u')) dx dt \\ &\leq c \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \Delta u' g(\Delta u') dx dt + cG^{-1} \left[\int_{\mathcal{A}} \Delta u' g(\Delta u') dx dt \right] \\ &\leq c \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \Delta u' g(\Delta u') dx dt + c'G^*(1) + c'' \int_{\Omega} \Delta u' g(\Delta u') dx dt \\ &\leq cK_1 + c'G^*(1) \text{ for } T > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |\Delta g(u'(x, t))| dx dt \leq K \text{ for } T > 0.$$

Let $E \subset \Omega \times [0, T]$, and set

$$E_1 = \left\{ (x, t) \in E : |g(\Delta u'_k(x, t))| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{|E|}} \right\},$$

3. 3. Well-posedness and regularity

$$E_2 = E \setminus E_1,$$

where $|E|$ is the measure of E .

If $M(r) = \inf\{|s| : s \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } |g(s)| \geq r\}$, then

$$\int_E |g(\Delta u'_k)| \, dx \, dt \leq c\sqrt{|E|} + \left[\frac{M}{\sqrt{|E|}} \right]^{-1} \int_{E_2} \Delta u'_k g(\Delta u'_k) \, dx \, dt.$$

By applying (3.14), we deduce that

$$\sup_k \int_E |g(\Delta u'_k)| \, dx \, dt \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{when } |E| \longrightarrow 0.$$

From Vitali's convergence theorem, we deduce that

$$g(\Delta u'_k) \rightarrow g(\Delta u') \text{ in } L^1(\mathcal{A}).$$

This completes the proof.

Then (3.25) implies that

$$g(\Delta u'_k) \rightharpoonup g(\Delta u') \text{ weak-star in } L^2([0, T] \times \Omega).$$

We deduce, for all $v \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$, that

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} g(\Delta u'_k) v \, dx \, dt \longrightarrow \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} g(\Delta u') v \, dx \, dt.$$

Finally we have shown that, for all $v \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$:

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (u''(x, t) + \Delta^2 u(x, t) - g(\Delta u')) v \, dx \, dt = 0.$$

Therefore, u is a solution for the problem (3.1).

The step 4 : Proof of uniqueness

Let u_1, u_2 be two solutions of the problem (3.1) with the same initial data. Let us denote it is straightforward to see that $z = u_1 - u_2$ satisfies

$$\|\nabla z'\|^2 + \|\nabla \Delta z\|^2 + 2 \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} (\Delta u'_1(x, s) - \Delta u'_2(x, s))(g(\Delta u'_1(x, s)) - g(\Delta u'_2(x, s))) \, dx \, ds = 0.$$

Using the monotonicity of g , we have

$$2 \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} (\Delta u'_1(x, s) - \Delta u'_2(x, s))(g(\Delta u'_1(x, s)) - g(\Delta u'_2(x, s))) dx ds \geq 0,$$

we conclude that

$$\|\nabla z'\|^2 + \|\nabla \Delta z\|^2 = 0,$$

which implies $z = 0$. This finishes the proof of theorem (3.1).

4 Asymptotic behavior

Now we define the energy associated with the solution of the problem (3.1) by the following formula

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u'\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \Delta u\|^2.$$

Lemma 4.1 *Let u be a solution to the problem (3.1). Then E is a non-increasing function.*

Proof. Multiplying the first equation in (3.1) by $-\Delta u'$ and integrating over Ω , we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u'\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \Delta u\|^2 \right] = - \int_{\Omega} \Delta u' g(\Delta u') dx \leq 0. \quad (3.28)$$

Lemma 4.2 *We define the following functional F by*

$$F(t) = ME(t) - \int_{\Omega} \Delta u u' dx, \quad (3.29)$$

where $M > 0$ will be determined later. Then there are positive constants λ_1, λ_2 such that

$$\lambda_1 E(t) \leq F(t) \leq \lambda_2 E(t) \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+. \quad (3.30)$$

Proof. Using the obvious estimates

$$\|u'\| \leq c_3 \|\nabla u'\|, \quad (3.31)$$

and

$$\|\Delta u\| \leq c_4 \|\nabla \Delta u\|. \quad (3.32)$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality and (3.31) and (3.32), we obtain

$$-\int_{\Omega} \Delta u \cdot u' dx \leq \frac{c_3^2}{2} \|\nabla \Delta u\|^2 + \frac{c_4^2}{2} \|\nabla u'\|^2 \leq \max(c_3^2, c_4^2) E(t),$$

hence

$$(M - \max(c_3^2, c_4^2))E(t) \leq F(t) \leq (M + \max(c_3^2, c_4^2))E(t),$$

choosing $M > \max(c_3^2, c_4^2)$, we obtain (3.30), where $\lambda_1 = M - \max(c_3^2, c_4^2)$ and $\lambda_2 = M + \max(c_3^2, c_4^2)$.

Lemma 4.3 *We define the following functional L by*

$$L(t) = F(t) + \lambda E(t),$$

where λ will be chosen later. Then there are positive constants μ_1, μ_2 such that

$$\mu_1 E(t) \leq L(t) \leq \mu_2 E(t) \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+. \quad (3.33)$$

It is aisy to see (3.33) hold from the lemma (4.2) with $\mu_1 = \lambda_1 + \lambda$ and $\mu_2 = \lambda_2 + \lambda$.

Theorem 4.4 *Assume that (3.3) and (3.4) hold. Then there are positive constants k_1, k_2, k_3 and ε_0 such that the solution of the problem (3.1) satisfies*

$$E(t) \leq k_3 G_1^{-1}(k_1 t + k_2) \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \quad (3.34)$$

where

$$G_1(t) = \int_t^1 \frac{1}{G_2(s)} ds, \quad G_2(t) = tG'(\varepsilon_0 t), \quad (3.35)$$

here G_1 is strictly decreasing and convex on $]0, 1]$, with $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} G_1(t) = +\infty$.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon_1 \in]0, \varepsilon]$, we define two sets Ω_1, Ω_2 such that

$$\Omega_1 = \{x \in \Omega : |\Delta u'| \leq \varepsilon_1\}, \quad \Omega_2 = \{x \in \Omega : |\Delta u'| > \varepsilon_1\}.$$

Differentiating (3.29) with respect to t , using (3.7), (3.28), and the first equation of the problem (3.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 F'(t) &= ME'(t) + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u'|^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \Delta u|^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} \Delta u g(\Delta u') dx \\
 &\leq -2E(t) + \int_{\Omega} 2|\nabla u'|^2 + |\Delta u g(\Delta u')| dx \\
 &\leq -2E(t) + 2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u'|^2 + |\Delta u g(\Delta u')| dx \\
 &\leq -2E(t) + C \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u'|^2 + |\Delta u g(\Delta u')| dx.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.36}$$

Using Young's inequality, (3.32) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\Omega_1} |\Delta u g(\Delta u')| dx &\leq c_4 \delta \|\nabla \Delta u\|^2 + C_{\delta} \int_{\Omega_1} |g(\Delta u')|^2 dx \\
 &\leq c\delta E(t) + C_{\delta} \int_{\Omega_1} |g(\Delta u')|^2 dx.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.37}$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality and (3.32), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\Omega_2} |\Delta u g(\Delta u')| dx &\leq \left[\int_{\Omega_2} |\Delta u|^2 dx \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\int_{\Omega_2} |g(\Delta u')|^2 dx \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &\leq c_4 \|\nabla \Delta u\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\int_{\Omega_2} |g(\Delta u')|^2 dx \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then, we use Young's inequality and (3.3), for any $\delta > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\Omega_2} |\Delta u'|^2 + |\Delta u g(\Delta u')| dx &\leq \int_{\Omega_2} |\Delta u' g(\Delta u')| dx + c_4 \|\nabla \Delta u\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\int_{\Omega_2} |\Delta u' g(\Delta u')| dx \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &\leq -cE'(t) + c_4 E^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) (-E'(t))^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &\leq -cE'(t) + c_4 \delta E(t) + C_{\delta} (-E'(t)) \\
 &\leq c_4 \delta E(t) - (c + C_{\delta}) E'(t).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.38}$$

By (3.36) - (3.38), and the function $L = F + \lambda E$ satisfies

$$L'(t) \leq (-2 + cC\delta + c_4 C\delta) E(t) + (\lambda - cC - C_{\delta} C) E'(t) + C \int_{\Omega_1} |\Delta u'|^2 dx + CC_{\delta} \int_{\Omega_1} |g(\Delta u')|^2 dx,$$

for $\delta < \frac{2}{cC+c_4C}$ and $\lambda \geq cC + C_\delta C$, we have

$$L'(t) \leq -mE(t) + c \int_{\Omega_1} |\Delta u'|^2 + |g(\Delta u')|^2 dx, \quad (3.39)$$

where $m = 2 - cC\delta - c_4C\delta$.

The case 1 : G is linear on $[0, \varepsilon]$, using (3.4) and (3.39), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} L'(t) &\leq -mE(t) + c \int_{\Omega_1} G^{-1}(\Delta u' g(\Delta u')) dx \\ &\leq -mE(t) + c \int_{\Omega_1} \Delta u' g(\Delta u') dx \\ &\leq -mE(t) - cE'(t), \end{aligned}$$

we deduce that

$$(L(t) + cE(t))' \leq -mE(t).$$

From (3.33), we have

$$L(t) \sim E(t),$$

then

$$L(t) + cE(t) \sim E(t),$$

we obtain

$$E(t) \leq E(0)e^{-mt},$$

thus, we have

$$E(t) \leq E(0)G_1^{-1}(mt).$$

The case 2 : G is nonlinear, we define the following functional I by

$$I(t) = \frac{1}{|\Omega_1|} \int_{\Omega_1} \Delta u' g(\Delta u') dx.$$

From Jensen's inequality and the concavity of G^{-1} , we conclude that

$$G^{-1}(I(t)) \geq c \int_{\Omega_1} G^{-1}(\Delta u' g(\Delta u')) dx.$$

By using this inequality and (3.4), we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega_1} |\Delta u'|^2 + |g(\Delta u')|^2 dx \leq \int_{\Omega_1} G^{-1}(\Delta u' g(\Delta u')) dx,$$

implies

$$\int_{\Omega_1} |\Delta u'|^2 + |g(\Delta u')|^2 dx \leq cG^{-1}(I(t)), \quad (3.40)$$

using (3.39) and (3.40), we obtain

$$L'(t) \leq -mE(t) + cG^{-1}(I(t)). \quad (3.41)$$

For $\varepsilon_0 < \varepsilon$ and $c_0 > 0$, we define H_1 by

$$H_1(t) = G' \left[\varepsilon_0 \frac{E(t)}{E(0)} \right] L(t) + c_0 E(t).$$

Since $L(t)$ is equivalent to $E(t)$ (see (3.33)), there exists positive constants α_1, α_2 , such that

$$\alpha_1 H_1(t) \leq E(t) \leq \alpha_2 H_1(t) \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+. \quad (3.42)$$

By recalling that $E' \leq 0$, $G' > 0$, and $G'' > 0$ on $]0, \varepsilon]$, making use of (3.41), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} H_1'(t) &= \varepsilon_0 \frac{E'(t)}{E(0)} G'' \left[\varepsilon_0 \frac{E(t)}{E(0)} \right] L(t) + G' \left[\varepsilon_0 \frac{E(t)}{E(0)} \right] L'(t) + c_0 E'(t) \\ &\leq -mE(t) G' \left[\varepsilon_0 \frac{E(t)}{E(0)} \right] + cG' \left[\varepsilon_0 \frac{E(t)}{E(0)} \right] G^{-1}(I(t)) + c_0 E'(t). \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.6) with $S = G' \left[\varepsilon_0 \frac{E(t)}{E(0)} \right]$ and $T = G^{-1}(I(t))$, and the lemma 4.1, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} H_1'(t) &\leq -mE(t) G' \left[\varepsilon_0 \frac{E(t)}{E(0)} \right] + cG^* \left[G' \left[\varepsilon_0 \frac{E(t)}{E(0)} \right] \right] + cI(t) + c_0 E'(t) \\ &\leq -mE(t) G' \left[\varepsilon_0 \frac{E(t)}{E(0)} \right] + c\varepsilon_0 E(0) \frac{E(t)}{E(0)} G' \left[\varepsilon_0 \frac{E(t)}{E(0)} \right] - cE'(t) + c_0 E'(t). \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $c_0 > c$ and ε_0 small enough, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} H_1'(t) &\leq -k \frac{E(t)}{E(0)} G' \left[\varepsilon_0 \frac{E(t)}{E(0)} \right] \\ &\leq -kG_2 \left[\frac{E(t)}{E(0)} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.43)$$

Since

$$G_2'(t) = G'(\varepsilon_0 t) + \varepsilon_0 t G''(\varepsilon_0 t),$$

we find that $G_2'(t) > 0$ and $G_2(t) > 0$ on $]0, 1]$. By setting

$$H(t) = \frac{\alpha_1}{E(0)} H_1(t),$$

(α_1 is given in (3.42)) we easily see that, by (3.42), we have

$$H(t) \sim E(t). \tag{3.44}$$

Using (3.43), we arrive at

$$H'(t) \leq -k_1 G_2(H(t)).$$

By recalling (3.35), we deduce that $G_2(t) = -1/G_1'(t)$, hence

$$H'(t) \leq \frac{k_1}{G_1'(H(t))},$$

which gives

$$(G_1(H(t)))' = H'(t)G_1'(H(t)) \geq k_1,$$

by integrating over $[0, t]$, we obtain

$$G_1(H(t)) \geq k_1 t + G_1(H(0)),$$

consequently

$$H(t) \leq G_1^{-1}(k_1 t + k_2). \tag{3.45}$$

Combining (3.44) and (3.45), we obtain (3.34).

5 Examples

Example 5.1 Let g given by $g(s) = s^p(-\ln s)^q$, where $p \geq 1$ and $q \in \mathbb{R}$ on $[0, \epsilon]$, and the function G is defined in the neighborhood of 0 by

$$G(s) = cs^{\frac{p+1}{2}}(-\ln \sqrt{s})^q,$$

we have

$$G'(s) = cs^{\frac{p-1}{2}}(-\ln \sqrt{s})^{q-1} \left[\frac{p+1}{2}(-\ln \sqrt{s}) - \frac{q}{2} \right],$$

thus

$$G_1(t) = \frac{1}{c} \int_t^1 \frac{1}{s^{\frac{p+1}{2}}(-\ln \sqrt{s})^{q-1} \left(\frac{p+1}{2}(-\ln \sqrt{s}) - \frac{q}{2} \right)} ds.$$

Making the following changement of variable : $z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}}$, we obtain

$$G_1(t) = \frac{2}{c} \int_1^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}} \frac{z^{p-2}}{(\ln z)^{q-1} \left(\frac{p+1}{2} \ln z - \frac{q}{2} \right)} dz.$$

We have three cases :

The case 1 : If $p = 1, q = 1$, we have

$$G_1(t) = \frac{2}{c} \ln(-\ln \sqrt{et}),$$

we deduce that

$$G_1^{-1}(t) = \frac{1}{e} e^{-2e^{\frac{c}{2}t}},$$

then

$$E(t) \leq \frac{k_3}{e} e^{-2e^{\frac{c}{2}(k_1 t + k_2)}}.$$

The case 2 : If $p = 1, q < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_1(t) &= \frac{2}{c} \int_1^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}} \frac{1}{z(\ln z)^{q-1}(\ln z - \frac{q}{2})} dz \\ &\sim \frac{2}{c} \int_1^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}} \frac{1}{z(\ln z)^q} dz \\ &\sim \frac{2^q}{c(1-q)} (-\ln t)^{1-q}, \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

we deduce that

$$G_1^{-1}(t) \sim e^{-kt^{\frac{1}{1-q}}}, \text{ as } t \rightarrow +\infty,$$

then

$$E(t) \leq k_3 e^{-k(k_1 t + k_2)^{\frac{1}{1-q}}},$$

where $k = \left(\frac{c(1-q)}{2^q} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-q}}$.

The case 3 : If $p > 1$, using the lemma 6.1 (i) (see [6]), we obtain in the neighborhood of 0

$$G_1(t) \sim \frac{1}{\frac{p^2-1}{2q+1}(-\ln t)^q t^{\frac{p-1}{2}}},$$

Applying the lemma 6.2 (see [6]), we obtain in the neighborhood of $+\infty$

$$G_1^{-1}(t) \sim \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)^{\frac{2q}{p-1}} t^{\frac{-2}{p-1}} (\ln t)^{\frac{-2q}{p-1}},$$

then

$$E(t) \leq k_3 \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)^{\frac{2q}{p-1}} (k_1 t + k_2)^{\frac{-2}{p-1}} (\ln(k_1 t + k_2))^{\frac{-2q}{p-1}}.$$

Example 5.2 Let g given by $g(s) = e^{-(-\ln s)^\gamma}$, where $1 < \gamma < 2$, and

$$G(t) = c\sqrt{s}e^{-(-\ln \sqrt{s})^\gamma}.$$

We have

$$G'(s) = \frac{c}{2\sqrt{s}} e^{-(-\ln \sqrt{s})^\gamma} (1 + \gamma(-\ln \sqrt{s})^{\gamma-1}),$$

thus

$$G_1(t) = \frac{2}{c} \int_t^1 \frac{e^{(-\ln \sqrt{s})^\gamma}}{\sqrt{s}(1 + \gamma(-\ln \sqrt{s})^{\gamma-1})} ds.$$

Making the following changement of variable : $z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}}$, we obtain

$$G_1(t) = \frac{4}{c} \int_1^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}} \frac{e^{(\ln z)^\gamma}}{z^2(1 + \gamma(\ln z)^{\gamma-1})} dz.$$

Using the lemma 6.1 (see [6]), we obtain in the neighborhood of 0

$$G_1(t) \sim c \frac{\sqrt{t} e^{(-\ln \sqrt{t})^\gamma}}{(-\ln \sqrt{t})^{2(\gamma-1)}},$$

and applying the lemma 6.2 (see [6]), we obtain in the neighborhood of $+\infty$

$$G_1^{-1}(t) \sim \exp\left(-2\left[\ln t + \left[\ln t + \ln^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(t) + 2\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma} \ln \ln t\right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} + 2\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma} \ln \ln t\right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}\right),$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} E(t) \leq k_3 \exp\left(-2\left[\ln(k_1 t + k_2) + \left[\ln(k_1 t + k_2) + \ln^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}(k_1 t + k_2) \right. \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \left. + 2\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma} \ln \ln(k_1 t + k_2)\right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} + 2\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma} \ln \ln(k_1 t + k_2)\right]^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Stabilization of the Petrovsky-wave nonlinear coupled system with strong damping

1 Introduction

In this chapter we investigate the existence and decay properties of solutions for the initial boundary value problem of system of Petrovsky-wave of the type

$$\begin{cases} u_1'' + \Delta^2 u_1 - a(x)\Delta u_2 - g_1(\Delta u_1') = 0, & \text{in } \Omega \times [0, +\infty[, \\ u_2'' - \Delta u_2 - a(x)\Delta u_1 - g_2(\Delta u_2') = 0, & \text{in } \Omega \times [0, +\infty[, \\ \Delta u_1 = u_1 = u_2 = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times [0, +\infty[, \\ u_i(x, 0) = u_i^0(x), u_i'(x, 0) = u_i^1(x), & \text{in } \Omega, i = 1, 2, \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

here Ω is a bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^n with regular boundary $\partial\Omega$.

When $a(x) = 0$, the Petrovsky equation was treated by Komornik [15], he used semigroup approach for sitting the well-posedness and he studied the strong stability by introducing a multiplier method combined with a nonlinear integral inequalities. Recently, Bahlil and Baowei [4], studied the system

$$\begin{cases} u_1'' + a(x)u_2 + \Delta^2 u_1 - g_1(u_1'(x, t)) = f_1(u_1, u_2), & \text{in } \Omega \times [0, +\infty[, \\ u_2'' + a(x)u_1 - \Delta u_2 - g_2(u_2'(x, t)) = f_2(u_1, u_2), & \text{in } \Omega \times [0, +\infty[, \\ \partial_\nu u_1 = u_1 = v = u_2 = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times [0, +\infty[, \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

for g_i ($i = 1, 2$) do not necessarily having a polynomial growth near the origin, by using compactness method to prove the existence and uniqueness of solution and established energy decay results

depending on g_i . Guesmia [10] consider the problem (4.2) without source terms f_1 and f_2 . He deal with global existence and uniform decay of solutions.

In this chapter, we prove the global existence of weak solutions of the problem (4.1) by using the compactness method (see Lions [27]). We use some technique from [4] to establish an explicit and general decay result, depending on g_i . The proof is based on the multiplier method and makes use of some properties of convex functions, the general Young inequality. These convexity arguments were introduced and developed by Lasiecka and co-workers ([21],[22]) and used, with appropriate modifications, by Liu and Zuazua [32], Alabau-Boussouira [2] and others.

2 Preliminaries and assumptions

Let us introduce for brevity the Hilbert spaces

$$\begin{aligned} H &= L^2(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega), \\ W &= H_0^1(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega), \\ H_\Delta^3(\Omega) &= \{u \in H^3(\Omega) \mid u = \Delta u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}, \quad \|u\|_{H_\Delta^3(\Omega)}^2 = \int_\Omega |\nabla \Delta u|^2 dx, \\ V &= H_\Delta^3(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega) \times H^2(\Omega), \\ \tilde{V} &= (H^4(\Omega) \cap H_\Delta^3(\Omega)) \times (H_\Delta^3(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)). \end{aligned}$$

Identifying H with its dual H' , we obtain the diagram

$$\tilde{V} \subset V \subset W \subset H = H' \subset W' \subset V' \subset \tilde{V}',$$

with dense and compact imbeddings. If $u \in L^2(\Omega)$, we denote by $\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = \|u\|$.

We impose the following assumptions on a and g_i .

The function $a : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a nonnegative such that

$$\begin{aligned} a &\in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega), \\ \|a\|_\infty &< \min \left\{ \frac{1}{c'+1}, 1 \right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

where $c' > 0$ (depending only on the geometry of Ω) is the constant satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta u\| &\leq c' \|\nabla \Delta u\|, \quad \forall u \in H_\Delta^3(\Omega), \\ \|\nabla u\| &\leq c' \|\Delta u\|, \quad \forall u \in H_0^2(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

Assume that $g_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, 2$ is nondecreasing continuously differentiable function of class \mathcal{C}^1 , and globally Lipschitz.

Suppose that $\exists c_j > 0$, $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$, $\exists \tau > 0$ such that

$$\forall p \geq 1 : c_1 |s|^p \leq |g_i(s)| \leq c_2 |s|^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad \text{if } |s| \leq 1, \quad (4.4)$$

$$c_3 |s| \leq |g_i(s)| \leq c_4 |s|, \quad \text{if } |s| > 1, \quad (4.5)$$

$$\forall s \in \mathbb{R} : g'_i(s) \leq \tau. \quad (4.6)$$

3 Global existence

Theorem 3.1 *Let $(u_1^0, u_2^0) \in \tilde{V}$ and $(u_1^1, u_2^1) \in V$ arbitrarily. Assume that (4.3)-(4.6) hold. Then, the system (4.1) has a unique weak solution satisfying*

$$(u_1, u_2) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, \tilde{V}), \quad (u'_1, u'_2) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, V),$$

and

$$(u''_1, u''_2) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, W).$$

Proof.

The step 1 : Approximate solutions

We will construct solutions approximated by the Faedo-Galekin. Let $T > 0$ be fixed and denote by V_k the space generated by $\{w_{i,1}, w_{i,2}, \dots, w_{i,k}\}$, where the set $\{w_{i,k}, i = 1, 2, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a basis of \tilde{V} .

We construct approximate solution $u_{i,k}$, $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, $i = 1, 2$ in the form

$$u_{i,k}(x, t) = \sum_{j=1}^k c_{j,k}(t) w_{i,j}(x), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

where $c_{j,k}$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, k$) are determined by the following ordinary differential equations

$$\begin{cases} (u''_{1,k} + \Delta^2 u_{1,k} - a(x)\Delta u_{2,k} - g_1(\Delta u'_{1,k}), w_{1,j}) = 0, & \text{for all } w_{1,j} \in V_k, \\ (u''_{2,k} - \Delta u_{2,k} - a(x)\Delta u_{1,k} - g_2(\Delta u'_{2,k}), w_{2,j}) = 0, & \text{for all } w_{2,j} \in V_k, \\ u_{i,k}(0) = u_{i,k}^0, \quad u'_{i,k}(0) = u_{i,k}^1, & \text{in } \Omega, \quad i = 1, 2, \end{cases} \quad (4.7)$$

with initial conditions

$$u_{1,k}(0) = u_{1,k}^0 = \sum_{j=1}^k \langle u_1^0, w_{1,j} \rangle w_{1,j} \rightarrow u_1^0, \quad \text{in } H^4(\Omega) \cap H^3_\Delta(\Omega) \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow +\infty,$$

$$u_{2,k}(0) = u_{2,k}^0 = \sum_{j=1}^k \langle u_2^0, w_{2,j} \rangle w_{2,j} \rightarrow u_2^0, \quad \text{in } H_{\Delta}^3(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega) \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty,$$

$$u'_{1,k}(0) = u_{1,k}^1 = \sum_{j=1}^k \langle u_1^1, w_{1,j} \rangle w_{1,j} \rightarrow u_1^1, \quad \text{in } H_{\Delta}^3(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega) \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (4.8)$$

$$u'_{2,k}(0) = u_{2,k}^1 = \sum_{j=1}^k \langle u_2^1, w_{2,j} \rangle w_{2,j} \rightarrow u_2^1, \quad \text{in } H^2(\Omega) \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (4.9)$$

$$-\Delta^2 u_{1,k}^0 + a(x) \Delta u_{2,k}^0 + g_1(\Delta u_{1,k}^1) \rightarrow -\Delta^2 u_1^0 + a(x) \Delta u_2^0 + g_1(\Delta u_1^1), \quad \text{in } H_0^1(\Omega) \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (4.10)$$

$$\Delta u_{2,k}^0 + a(x) \Delta u_{1,k}^0 + g_2(\Delta u_{2,k}^1) \rightarrow \Delta u_2^0 + a(x) \Delta u_1^0 + g_2(\Delta u_2^1), \quad \text{in } H_0^1(\Omega) \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (4.11)$$

The step 2 : A priori estimates. We are going to use some a priori estimates to show that $t_k = \infty$. Then, we will show that the sequence of solutions to (4.7) converges to a solution of (4.1) with the claimed smoothness.

Choosing $w_{i,j} = -2\Delta u'_{i,k}$, $i = 1, 2$ in (4.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u'_{1,k}|^2 + |\nabla u'_{2,k}|^2 + |\nabla \Delta u_{1,k}|^2 + |\Delta u_{2,k}|^2 + 2a(x) \Delta u_{1,k} \Delta u_{2,k} dx \\ + 2 \int_{\Omega} \Delta u'_{1,k} g_1(\Delta u'_{1,k}) dx + 2 \int_{\Omega} \Delta u'_{2,k} g_2(\Delta u'_{2,k}) dx = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

and choosing $w_{i,j} = 2\Delta^2 u'_{i,k}$, $i = 1, 2$ in (4.7), implies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u'_{1,k}|^2 + |\Delta u'_{2,k}|^2 + |\Delta^2 u_{1,k}|^2 + |\nabla \Delta u_{2,k}|^2 + 2a(x) \nabla \Delta u_{1,k} \nabla \Delta u_{2,k} dx \\ + 2 \int_{\Omega} \nabla a(x) \Delta u_{2,k} \nabla \Delta u'_{1,k} dx + 2 \int_{\Omega} \nabla a(x) \Delta u'_{1,k} \nabla \Delta u'_{2,k} dx \\ + 2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \Delta u'_{1,k}|^2 g'_1(\Delta u'_{1,k}) dx + 2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \Delta u'_{2,k}|^2 g'_2(\Delta u'_{2,k}) dx = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

Summing (4.12) and (4.13), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u'_{1,k}|^2 + |\nabla u'_{1,k}|^2 + |\Delta^2 u_{1,k}|^2 + |\nabla \Delta u_{1,k}|^2 dx \\ + \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u'_{2,k}|^2 + |\nabla u'_{2,k}|^2 + |\Delta u_{2,k}|^2 + |\nabla \Delta u_{2,k}|^2 dx \\ + 2 \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} a(x) \Delta u_{1,k} \Delta u_{2,k} + a(x) \nabla \Delta u_{1,k} \nabla \Delta u_{2,k} dx \\ + 2 \int_{\Omega} \Delta u'_{1,k} g_1(\Delta u'_{1,k}) dx + 2 \int_{\Omega} \Delta u'_{2,k} g_2(\Delta u'_{2,k}) dx \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 2 \int_{\Omega} \nabla a(x) \Delta u_{2,k} \nabla \Delta u'_{1,k} dx + 2 \int_{\Omega} \nabla a(x) \Delta u_{1,k} \nabla \Delta u'_{2,k} dx \\
& + 2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \Delta u'_{1,k}|^2 g'_1(\Delta u'_{1,k}) dx + 2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \Delta u'_{2,k}|^2 g'_2(\Delta u'_{2,k}) dx = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality and Sobolev embedding, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
2 \int_{\Omega} a(x) \Delta u_{2,k} \Delta u_{1,k} dx & \leq 2 \int_{\Omega} |a(x)| |\Delta u_{2,k}| |\Delta u_{1,k}| dx \\
& \leq c' \|a\|_{\infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \Delta u_{1,k}(x, t)|^2 dx \\
& + c' \|a\|_{\infty} \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u_{2,k}(x, t)|^2 dx,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

and

$$2 \int_{\Omega} a(x) \nabla \Delta u_{1,k} \nabla \Delta u_{2,k} dx \leq \|a\|_{\infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \Delta u_{1,k}|^2 dx + \|a\|_{\infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \Delta u_{2,k}|^2 dx. \tag{4.16}$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality, Sobolev embedding and the condition (4.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
2 \int_{\Omega} \nabla a(x) \Delta u_{2,k} \nabla \Delta u'_{1,k} dx & \leq 2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla a(x)| |\Delta u_{2,k}| |\nabla \Delta u'_{1,k}| dx \\
& \leq 2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla a(x)| |\Delta u_{2,k}| |\nabla \Delta'_{1,k}| \frac{\sqrt{g'_1(\Delta u'_{1,k})}}{\sqrt{\tau}} dx \\
& \leq \|\nabla a\|_{\infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \Delta u'_{1,k}|^2 g'_1(\Delta u'_{1,k}) dx \\
& + \frac{\|\nabla a\|_{\infty}}{\tau} \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u_{2,k}|^2 dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
2 \int_{\Omega} \nabla a(x) \Delta u_{1,k} \nabla \Delta u'_{2,k} dx & \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \Delta u'_{2,k}|^2 g'_2(\Delta u'_{2,k}) dx + \frac{\|\nabla a\|_{\infty}}{\tau} \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u_{1,k}|^2 dx \\
& \leq \|\nabla a\|_{\infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \Delta u'_{2,k}|^2 g'_2(\Delta u'_{2,k}) dx \\
& + \frac{c' \|\nabla a\|_{\infty}}{\tau} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \Delta u_{1,k}|^2 dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

Reporting (4.15)-(4.18), into (4.14) and integrating over $[0, t]$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
F_k(t) & + 2 \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \Delta u'_{1,k}(s) g_1(\Delta u'_{1,k}(s)) dx dt + 2 \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \Delta u'_{2,k}(s) g_2(\Delta u'_{2,k}(s)) dx dt \\
& + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \Delta u'_{1,k}(s)|^2 g'_1(\Delta u'_{1,k}(s)) dx dt + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \Delta u'_{2,k}(s)|^2 g'_2(\Delta u'_{2,k}(s)) dx dt \\
& \leq K + C_1 \int_0^t F_k(s) ds, \quad \forall t \in [0, t_k),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
F_k(t) &= \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u'_{1,k}(t)|^2 + |\Delta u'_{2,k}(t)|^2 + |\nabla u'_{1,k}(t)|^2 + |\nabla u'_{2,k}(t)|^2 + |\Delta^2 u_{1,k}(t)|^2 dx \\
&+ (1 - c' \|a\|_{\infty} - \|a\|_{\infty}) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \Delta u_{1,k}(t)|^2 dx + (1 - c' \|a\|_{\infty}) \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u_{2,k}(t)|^2 dx \\
&+ (1 - \|a\|_{\infty}) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \Delta u_{2,k}(t)|^2 dx,
\end{aligned}$$

and C_1 is a positive constant depending only on $\|a\|_{\infty}$, $\|\nabla a\|_{\infty}$ and τ .

So that, thanks to the monotonicity condition on the function g_i and using Gronwall's lemma, we conclude that

$$u_{1,k} \text{ is bounded in } L^{\infty}(0, T; H^4(\Omega) \cap H_{\Delta}^3(\Omega)), \quad (4.19)$$

$$u_{2,k} \text{ is bounded in } L^{\infty}(0, T; H_{\Delta}^3(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)), \quad (4.20)$$

$$u'_{1,k} \text{ is bounded in } L^{\infty}(0, T; H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)), \quad (4.21)$$

$$u'_{2,k} \text{ is bounded in } L^{\infty}(0, T; H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)), \quad (4.22)$$

$$\Delta u'_{i,k} g_i(\Delta u'_{i,k}), \quad i = 1, 2 \text{ is bounded in } L^1(\mathcal{A}),$$

and from (4.4), (4.5) we deduce that

$$g_i(\Delta u'_{i,k}), \quad i = 1, 2 \text{ is bounded in } L^2(\mathcal{A}), \quad (4.23)$$

where $\mathcal{A} = \Omega \times (0, T)$.

We assume first $t < T$ and let $0 < \xi < T - t$. Set

$$u_{i,k}^{\xi}(x, t) = u_{i,k}(x, t + \xi), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

$$U_k^{\xi} = u_{1,k}(x, t + \xi) - u_{1,k}(x, t),$$

and

$$D_k^{\xi} = u_{2,k}(x, t + \xi) - u_{2,k}(x, t).$$

Then, U_k^{ξ} solves the differential equation

$$((U_k^{\xi})'' + \Delta^2 U_k^{\xi} - a(x) \Delta D_k^{\xi} - (g_1(\Delta(u_{1,k}^{\xi}))' - g_1(\Delta u'_{1,k})), w_{1,j}) = 0, \quad \forall w_{1,j} \in V_k, \quad (4.24)$$

and D_k^{ξ} solves

$$((D_k^{\xi})'' - \Delta D_k^{\xi} - a(x) \Delta U_k^{\xi} - (g_2(\Delta(u_{2,k}^{\xi}))' - g_2(\Delta u'_{2,k})), w_{2,j}) = 0, \quad \forall w_{2,j} \in V_k. \quad (4.25)$$

Choosing $w_{1,j} = -\Delta(U_k^\xi)'$ in (4.24) and $w_{2,j} = \Delta(D_k^\xi)'$ in (4.25), and using the fact that g_i is nondecreasing, we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(U_k^\xi)'(x,t)|^2 + |\nabla(D_k^\xi)'(x,t)|^2 + |\nabla\Delta U_k^\xi(x,t)|^2 + |\Delta D_k^\xi(x,t)|^2 dx \\ & + 2 \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} a(x)\Delta D_k^\xi(x,t)\Delta U_k^\xi(x,t) dx \leq 0, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

integrating in $[0, t]$, to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(U_k^\xi)'(t)|^2 + |\nabla(D_k^\xi)'(t)|^2 dx + (1 - c'\|a\|_\infty) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla\Delta U_k^\xi|^2 + |\Delta D_k^\xi(t)|^2 dx \\ & \leq C_2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(U_k^\xi)'(0)|^2 + |\nabla(D_k^\xi)'(0)|^2 + |\nabla\Delta U_k^\xi(0)|^2 + |\Delta D_k^\xi(0)|^2 dx, \end{aligned}$$

and C_2 is a positive constant depending only on $\|a\|_\infty$ and c' .

Dividing by ξ^2 , and letting $\xi \rightarrow 0$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u''_{1,k}(t)|^2 + |\nabla u''_{2,k}(t)|^2 + |\nabla\Delta u'_{1,k}(t)|^2 + |\Delta u'_{2,k}(t)|^2 dx \\ & \leq C'_2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u''_{1,k}(0)|^2 + |\nabla u''_{2,k}(0)|^2 + |\nabla\Delta u^1_{1,k}|^2 + |\Delta u^1_{2,k}| dx. \end{aligned}$$

We estimate $\|\nabla u''_{i,k}(0)\|$. Choosing $v = -\Delta u''_{i,k}$, $i = 1, 2$ and $t = 0$ in (4.7), we obtain that

$$\|\nabla u''_{1,k}(0)\|^2 = \int_{\Omega} \nabla u''_{1,k}(0) \nabla (-\Delta^2 u^0_{1,k} - a(x)u^0_{2,k} + g_1(\Delta u^1_{1,k})) dx,$$

and

$$\|\nabla u''_{2,k}(0)\|^2 = \int_{\Omega} \nabla u''_{2,k}(0) \nabla (\Delta u^0_{2,k} - a(x)u^0_{1,k} + g_2(\Delta u^1_{2,k})) dx.$$

Using Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality, we have

$$\|\nabla u''_{1,k}(0)\| \leq \left[\int_{\Omega} |\nabla(-\Delta^2 u^0_{1,k} - a(x)u^0_{2,k} + g_1(\Delta u^1_{1,k}))|^2 dx \right]^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

and

$$\|\nabla u''_{2,k}(0)\| \leq \left[\int_{\Omega} |\nabla(\Delta u^0_{2,k} - a(x)u^0_{1,k} + g_2(\Delta u^1_{2,k}))|^2 dx \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

By (4.10) and (4.11) yields

$$(u''_{1,k}(0), u''_{2,k}(0)) \quad \text{is bounded in } W. \tag{4.26}$$

By (4.8), (4.9) and (4.26), we deduce that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u''_{1,k}(t)|^2 + |\nabla u''_{2,k}(t)|^2 + |\nabla\Delta u'_{1,k}(t)|^2 + |\Delta u'_{2,k}(t)|^2 dx \leq C_3, \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

where C_3 is a positive constant independent of $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, we conclude that

$$u'_{1,k} \quad \text{is bounded in} \quad L^\infty(0, T; H^3_\Delta(\Omega)), \quad (4.27)$$

$$u'_{2,k} \quad \text{is bounded in} \quad L^\infty(0, T; H^2(\Omega)), \quad (4.28)$$

$$u''_{1,k} \quad \text{is bounded in} \quad L^\infty(0, T; H^1_0(\Omega)), \quad (4.29)$$

$$u''_{2,k} \quad \text{is bounded in} \quad L^\infty(0, T; H^1_0(\Omega)). \quad (4.30)$$

The step 3 : Passage to the limit

Applying Dunford-Pettis and Banach-Alaoglu-Bourbaki theorems, we conclude from (4.19)-(4.23) and (4.27)-(4.30) that there exists a subsequence $\{u_{i,m}\}$ of $\{u_{i,k}\}$, $i = 1, 2$ such that

$$(u_{1,m}, u_{2,m}) \rightharpoonup (u_1, u_2) \quad \text{weak-star in} \quad L^\infty(0, T; \tilde{V}), \quad (4.31)$$

$$(u'_{1,m}, u'_{2,m}) \rightharpoonup (u'_1, u'_2) \quad \text{weak-star in} \quad L^\infty(0, T; V),$$

$$(u''_{1,m}, u''_{2,m}) \rightharpoonup (u''_1, u''_2) \quad \text{weak-star in} \quad L^\infty(0, T; W), \quad (4.32)$$

$$g_i(\Delta u'_{i,m}) \rightharpoonup \chi_i, \quad i = 1, 2 \quad \text{weak-star in} \quad L^2(\mathcal{A}). \quad (4.33)$$

As $(u_{1,m}, u_{2,m})$ is bounded in $L^\infty(0, T; \tilde{V})$ (by (4.19), (4.20)), then $(u_{1,m}, u_{2,m})$ is bounded in $L^2(0, T; \tilde{V})$ and the injection of \tilde{V} in H is compact, we have

$$(u_{1,m}, u_{2,m}) \longrightarrow (u_1, u_2) \quad \text{strongly in} \quad L^2(0, T; H). \quad (4.34)$$

In the other hand, using (4.31), (4.32) and (4.34), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_\Omega [u''_{1,m}(x, t) + \Delta^2 u_{1,m}(x, t) - a(x)\Delta u_{2,m}(x, t)] w \, dx \, dt &\longrightarrow \\ \int_0^T \int_\Omega [u''_1(x, t) + \Delta^2 u_1(x, t) - a(x)\Delta u_2(x, t)] w \, dx \, dt, &\text{ as } m \rightarrow +\infty \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_\Omega [u''_{2,m}(x, t) - \Delta u_{2,m}(x, t) - a(x)\Delta u_{1,m}(x, t)] w \, dx \, dt &\longrightarrow \\ \int_0^T \int_\Omega [u''_2(x, t) - \Delta u_2(x, t) - a(x)\Delta u_1(x, t)] w \, dx \, dt, &\text{ as } m \rightarrow +\infty \end{aligned}$$

for all $w \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$.

It remains to show the convergence

$$\int_0^T \int_\Omega g_i(\Delta u'_{i,m}) w \, dx \, dt \longrightarrow \int_0^T \int_\Omega g_i(\Delta u'_i) w \, dx \, dt, \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow +\infty, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

From (4.21), (4.22) and (4.29), (4.30), we have $(u'_{1,k}, u'_{2,k})$ is bounded in $L^\infty(0, T; W)$, $(u''_{1,k}, u''_{2,k})$ is bounded in $L^\infty(0, T; W)$ and $W \subset H$, then $(u''_{1,k}, u''_{2,k})$ is bounded in $L^\infty(0, T; H)$, therefore $(u'_{1,k}, u'_{2,k})$ is bounded in $L^2(0, T; W)$ and $(u''_{1,k}, u''_{2,k})$ is bounded in $L^2(0, T; H)$, hence $(u'_{1,k}, u'_{2,k})$ is bounded in $(H^1(\mathcal{A}))^2$, and the injection of $H^1(\mathcal{A})$ in $L^2(\mathcal{A})$ is compact, we find that

$$(u'_{1,k}, u'_{2,k}) \rightarrow (u'_1, u'_2) \text{ strongly in } (L^2(\mathcal{A}))^2,$$

consequently, we have

$$(u'_{1,m}, u'_{2,m}) \rightarrow (u'_1, u'_2) \text{ almost every where in } \mathcal{A}^2, \quad (4.35)$$

Lemma 3.2 *For each $T > 0$, we have $g_i(\Delta u'_i) \in L^1(\mathcal{A})$, and*

$$\|g_i(\Delta u'_i)\|_{L^1(\mathcal{A})} \leq K, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

where K is a constant independent of t , and

$$g_i(\Delta u'_{i,m}) \rightarrow g_i(\Delta u'_i), \quad i = 1, 2 \text{ in } L^1(\mathcal{A}).$$

Proof. We claim that

$$g_i(\Delta u') \in L^1(\mathcal{A}), \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Indeed, since g_i is continuous, we deduce from (4.35)

$$g_i(\Delta u'_{i,m}) \rightarrow g_i(\Delta u'_i), \quad i = 1, 2 \text{ almost every where in } \mathcal{A}.$$

$$\Delta u'_{i,m} g_i(\Delta u'_{i,m}) \rightarrow \Delta u'_i g_i(\Delta u'_i), \quad i = 1, 2 \text{ almost every where in } \mathcal{A}.$$

Hence, by (4.23) and Fatou's lemma, we have

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \Delta u'_i(x, t) g_i(\Delta u'_i(x, t)) \, dx \, dt \leq K_1, \quad i = 1, 2 \text{ for } T > 0.$$

Now, we can estimate $\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |g_i(\Delta u'_i(x, t))| \, dx \, dt$, $i = 1, 2$. By Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality.

If $|\Delta u'_i| > 1$, by using (4.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |g_i(\Delta u'_i(x, t))| \, dx \, dt &\leq c|\mathcal{A}|^{1/2} \left[\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |g_i(\Delta u'_i(x, t))|^2 \, dx \, dt \right]^{1/2} \\ &\leq c|\mathcal{A}|^{1/2} \left[\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \Delta u'_i g_i(\Delta u'_i(x, t)) \, dx \, dt \right]^{1/2} \leq K_2, \quad i = 1, 2. \end{aligned}$$

If $|\Delta u'_i| \leq 1$, by using (4.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |g_i(\Delta u'_i(x, t))| dx dt &\leq c|\mathcal{A}|^{1/2} \left[\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |g_i(\Delta u'_i(x, t))|^2 dx dt \right]^{1/2} \\ &\leq c|\mathcal{A}|^{1/2} \left[\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \Delta u'_i g_i(\Delta u'_i) dx dt \right]^{1/2} \\ &\leq K_3, \quad i = 1, 2 \quad \text{for } T > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{A}} |g_i(\Delta u'_i(x, t))| dx dt \leq K, \quad i = 1, 2 \quad \text{for } T > 0.$$

Let $E \subset \Omega \times [0, T]$ and set

$$E_1 = \left\{ (x, t) \in E : |g_i(\Delta u'_{i,m}(x, t))| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{|E|}}, \quad i = 1, 2 \right\},$$

$$E_2 = E \setminus E_1,$$

where $|E|$ is the measure of E . If $M(r) = \inf\{|s| : s \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } |g_i(s)| \geq r, \quad i = 1, 2\}$, we have

$$\int_E |g_i(\Delta u'_{i,m})| dx dt \leq c\sqrt{|E|} + \left[\frac{M}{\sqrt{|E|}} \right]^{-1} \int_{E_2} \Delta u'_{i,m} g_i(\Delta u'_{i,m}) dx dt, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

By applying (4.23) we deduce that

$$\sup_m \int_E |g_i(\Delta u'_{i,m})| dx dt \longrightarrow 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad \text{when } |E| \longrightarrow 0.$$

From Vitali's convergence theorem, we deduce that

$$g_i(\Delta u'_{i,m}) \rightarrow g_i(\Delta u'_i) \quad \text{in } L^1(\mathcal{A}), \quad i = 1, 2.$$

This completes the proof.

Then (4.33) implies that

$$g_i(\Delta u'_{i,m}) \rightharpoonup g_i(\Delta u'_i), \quad i = 1, 2 \quad \text{weak-star in } L^2([0, T] \times \Omega).$$

We deduce, for all $w \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$, that

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} g_i(\Delta u'_{i,m}) w dx dt \longrightarrow \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} g_i(\Delta u'_i) w dx dt, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Finally we have shown that, for all $w \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$:

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \left[u_1''(x, t) + \Delta^2 u_1(x, t) - a(x) \Delta u_2(x, t) - g_1(\Delta u_1'(x, t)) \right] w \, dx \, dt = 0,$$

and

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \left[u_2''(x, t) - \Delta u_2(x, t) - a(x) \Delta u_1(x, t) - g_2(\Delta u_2'(x, t)) \right] w \, dx \, dt = 0.$$

Therefore, (u_1, u_2) is a solution for the problem (4.1).

The step 4 : Proof of uniqueness

To prove the uniqueness of the problem (4.1), we need of the following lemma

Lemma 3.3 *If (u_1, u_2) is a solution of the problem (4.1), then we have*

$$\int_{\Omega} a(x) \Delta u_1 \Delta u_2 \, dx \geq -\frac{c'}{2} \|a\|_{\infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \Delta u_1|^2 + |\Delta u_2|^2 \, dx. \quad (4.36)$$

Proof. Using Cauchy-schwartz's inequality and Cauchy's inequality, Sobolev embedding and the condition (4.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} a(x) \Delta u_1 \Delta u_2 \, dx &\geq -\frac{1}{2} \|a\|_{\infty} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{c'} |\Delta u_1|^2 + c' |\Delta u_2|^2 \, dx \\ &\geq -\frac{1}{2} \|a\|_{\infty} \int_{\Omega} \frac{c'^2}{c'} |\nabla \Delta u_1|^2 + c' |\Delta u_2|^2 \, dx \\ &\geq -\frac{c'}{2} \|a\|_{\infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \Delta u_1|^2 + |\Delta u_2|^2 \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Let (u_1, u_2) , $(\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2)$ be two solutions of the problem (4.1) with the same initial data. Let us denote it is straightforward to see that $z_1 = u_1 - \tilde{u}_1$, $z_2 = u_2 - \tilde{u}_2$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\nabla z_1'\|^2 + \|\nabla z_2'\|^2 + \|\nabla \Delta z_1\|^2 + \|\Delta z_2\|^2 + 2 \int_{\Omega} a(x) \Delta z_1 \Delta z_2 \, dx + \\ &2 \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \Delta z_1' (g_1(\Delta u_1') - g_1(\Delta \tilde{u}_1')) \, dx \, dt + 2 \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \Delta z_2' (g_2(\Delta u_2') - g_2(\Delta \tilde{u}_2')) \, dx \, dt = 0, \end{aligned}$$

from (4.36), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\nabla z_1'\|^2 + \|\nabla z_2'\|^2 + (1 - c' \|a\|_{\infty}) (\|\nabla \Delta z_1\|^2 + \|\Delta z_2\|^2) \\ &+ 2 \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \Delta z_1' (g_1(\Delta u_1') - g_1(\Delta \tilde{u}_1')) \, dx \, dt \\ &+ 2 \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \Delta z_2' (g_2(\Delta u_2') - g_2(\Delta \tilde{u}_2')) \, dx \, dt \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

we have g_1, g_2 are increasing, we conclude that $2 \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \Delta z'_1 (g_1(\Delta u'_1) - g_1(\Delta \tilde{u}'_1)) dx dt \geq 0$,
 $2 \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \Delta z'_2 (g_2(\Delta u'_2) - g_2(\Delta \tilde{u}'_2)) dx dt \geq 0$. And $1 - c' \|a\|_{\infty} > 0$ (see (4.3), therefore

$$\|\nabla z'_1\|^2 + \|\nabla z'_2\|^2 + \|\nabla \Delta z_1\|^2 + \|\Delta z_2\|^2 = 0,$$

which implies $z_1 = z_2 = 0$. This finishes the proof of theorem (3.1).

4 Energy estimates

In this section, we prove our stability result for the energy of the solution of system (4.1), using the multiplier technique.

Lemma 4.1 *We define the energy associated with the solution of the problem (4.1) by the following formula*

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u'_1|^2 + |\nabla u'_2|^2 + |\nabla \Delta u_1|^2 + |\Delta u_2|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} a(x) \Delta u_1 \Delta u_2 dx. \quad (4.37)$$

Then E is a non-increasing function, and it is a nonnegative function.

Proof. Multiplying the first equation in (4.1) by $-\Delta u'_1$ and the second equation by $-\Delta u'_2$, and integrating over Ω and using integration by parts and the monotonicity of $g_i, i = 1, 2$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left[\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u'_1|^2 + |\nabla u'_2|^2 + |\nabla \Delta u_1|^2 + |\Delta u_2|^2 dx + 2 \int_{\Omega} a(x) \Delta u_1 \Delta u_2 dx \right] \\ & = - \int_{\Omega} \Delta u'_1 g_1(\Delta u'_1) + \Delta u'_2 g_2(\Delta u'_2) dx \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

And using (4.36), we obtain then

$$E(t) \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u'_1|^2 + |\nabla u'_2|^2 + (1 - c' \|a\|_{\infty}) (|\nabla \Delta u_1|^2 + |\Delta u_2|^2) dx \geq 0.$$

Theorem 4.2 *Let $(u_1^0, u_2^0) \in \tilde{V}$ and $(u_1^1, u_2^1) \in V$. Assume that (4.3)-(4.6) hold. The energy of the unique solution of the system (4.1), given by (4.37) decay estimate :*

$$E(t) \leq C t^{-2/(p-1)} \quad \forall t > 0, \quad \text{if } p > 1,$$

and

$$E(t) \leq C' E(0) e^{-wt} \quad \forall t > 0, \quad \text{if } p = 1.$$

Here C is a positive constant only depending on the initial energy $E(0)$, while C' and w are positive constants, independent of the initial data.

Proof. Multiplying the first equation of (4.1) by $-E^\mu \Delta u_1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= \int_S^T -E^\mu \int_\Omega \Delta u_1 (u_1'' + \Delta^2 u_1 - a(x) \Delta u_2 + g_1(\Delta u_1')) dx dt \\
 &= - \left[E^\mu \int_\Omega u_1' \Delta u_1 dx \right]_S^T + \mu \int_S^T E' E^{\mu-1} \int_\Omega \Delta u_1 u_1' dx dt \\
 &\quad - 2 \int_S^T E^\mu \int_\Omega |\nabla u_1'|^2 dx dt + \int_S^T E^\mu \int_\Omega |\nabla u_1'|^2 + |\nabla \Delta u_1|^2 dx dt \\
 &\quad + \int_S^T E^\mu \int_\Omega a(x) \Delta u_1 \Delta u_2 dx dt + \int_S^T E^\mu \int_\Omega \Delta u_1 \cdot g_1(\Delta u_1') dx dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= \int_S^T -E^\mu \int_\Omega \Delta u_2 (u_2'' + \Delta u_2 - a(x) \Delta u_1 + g_2(\Delta u_2')) dx dt \\
 &= - \left[E^\mu \int_\Omega u_2' \Delta u_2 dx \right]_S^T + \mu \int_S^T E' E^{\mu-1} \int_\Omega \Delta u_2 u_2' dx dt \\
 &\quad - 2 \int_S^T E^\mu \int_\Omega |\nabla u_2'|^2 dx dt + \int_S^T E^\mu \int_\Omega |\nabla u_2'|^2 + |\Delta u_2|^2 dx dt \\
 &\quad + \int_S^T E^\mu \int_\Omega a(x) \Delta u_2 \Delta u_1 dx dt + \int_S^T E^\mu \int_\Omega \Delta u_2 \cdot g_2(\Delta u_2') dx dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking their sum, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_S^T E^{\mu+1} dt &\leq \left[E^\mu \int_\Omega u_1' \Delta u_1 + u_2' \Delta u_2 dx \right]_S^T \\
 &\quad - \mu \int_S^T E' E^{\mu-1} \int_\Omega \Delta u_1 u_1' + \Delta u_2 u_2' dx dt \\
 &\quad + 2 \int_S^T E^\mu \int_\Omega |\nabla u_1'|^2 + |\nabla u_2'|^2 dx dt \\
 &\quad - \int_S^T E^\mu \int_\Omega \Delta u_1 \cdot g_1(\Delta u_1') + \Delta u_2 \cdot g_2(\Delta u_2') dx dt.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.38}$$

Since E is non-increasing, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left[E^\mu \int_\Omega u_1' \Delta u_1 + u_2' \Delta u_2 dx \right]_S^T &\leq c E^{\mu+1}(S), \\
 \mu \left| \int_S^T E' E^{\mu-1} \int_\Omega \Delta u_1 u_1' + \Delta u_2 u_2' dx dt \right| &\leq c E^{\mu+1}(S).
 \end{aligned}$$

Using these estimates, we conclude from (4.38) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_S^T E^{\mu+1} dt &\leq C E^{\mu+1}(S) + 2 \int_S^T E^\mu \int_\Omega |\nabla u'_1|^2 + |\nabla u'_2|^2 dx dt \\ &\quad + \int_S^T E^\mu \int_\Omega |\Delta u_1| \cdot |g_1(\Delta u'_1)| + |\Delta u_2| \cdot |g_2(\Delta u'_2)| dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (4.39)$$

Now, we estimate the terms of the right-hand side of (4.39).

As in Komornik [15], we consider the following partition of Ω ,

$$\Omega^+ = \{x \in \Omega : |\Delta u'_i| > 1\}, \quad \Omega^- = \{x \in \Omega : |\Delta u'_i| \leq 1\}.$$

By using Sobolev embedding and Young's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_S^T E^\mu \int_{\Omega^+} |\Delta u_1| \cdot |g_1(\Delta u'_1)| dx dt + \int_S^T E^\mu \int_{\Omega^+} |\nabla u'_1|^2 dx dt \\ &\leq \varepsilon \int_S^T E^\mu \int_{\Omega^+} |\Delta u_1|^2 dx dt + C(\varepsilon) \int_S^T E^\mu \int_{\Omega^+} |g_1(\Delta u'_1)|^2 dx dt + c \int_S^T E^\mu \int_{\Omega^+} |\Delta u'_1|^2 dx dt \\ &\leq \varepsilon c' \int_S^T E^\mu \int_\Omega |\nabla \Delta u_1|^2 dx dt + \left[C(\varepsilon) c_2 + \frac{c}{c_1} \right] \int_S^T E^\mu \int_\Omega \Delta u'_1 g_1(\Delta u'_1) dx dt \\ &\leq \varepsilon C \int_S^T E^{\mu+1} dt + C_1(\varepsilon) \int_S^T E^\mu E' dt \\ &\leq \varepsilon C \int_S^T E^{\mu+1} dt + C_1(\varepsilon, \mu) E^{\mu+1}(S). \end{aligned} \quad (4.40)$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_S^T E^\mu \int_{\Omega^+} |\Delta u_2| \cdot |g_2(\Delta u'_2)| dx dt + \int_S^T E^\mu \int_{\Omega^+} |\nabla u'_2|^2 dx dt \\ &\leq \varepsilon C \int_S^T E^{\mu+1} dt + C_2(\varepsilon, \mu) E^{\mu+1}(S). \end{aligned} \quad (4.41)$$

Summing (4.40) and (4.41), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_S^T E^\mu \int_{\Omega^+} |\Delta u_1| \cdot |g_1(\Delta u'_1)| + |\Delta u_2| \cdot |g_2(\Delta u'_2)| dx dt \\ &\quad + \int_S^T E^\mu \int_{\Omega^+} |\nabla u'_1|^2 + |\nabla u'_2|^2 dx dt \\ &\leq \varepsilon C \int_S^T E^{\mu+1} dt + C(\varepsilon, \mu) E^{\mu+1}(S). \end{aligned} \quad (4.42)$$

By using Sobolev embedding and Young's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_S^T E^\mu \int_{\Omega^-} |\Delta u_1| \cdot |g_1(\Delta u'_1)| + |\nabla u'_1|^2 dx dt \\
& \leq \varepsilon' c' \int_S^T E^\mu \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \Delta u_1|^2 dx dt + C(\varepsilon') \int_S^T E^\mu \int_{\Omega} (|\Delta u'_1|^2 + |g_1(\Delta u'_1)|^2) dx dt \\
& \leq \varepsilon' c' \int_S^T E^{\mu+1} dt + C(\varepsilon') \int_S^T E^\mu \int_{\Omega} (\Delta u'_1 g_1(\Delta u'_1))^{\frac{2}{p+1}} dx dt \\
& \leq \varepsilon' C \int_S^T E^{\mu+1} dt + C(\varepsilon', p) \int_S^T E^\mu \left[\int_{\Omega} \Delta u'_1 g_1(\Delta u'_1) dx \right]^{\frac{2}{p+1}} dt.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.43}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_S^T E^\mu \int_{\Omega^-} |\Delta u_2| \cdot |g_2(\Delta u'_2)| + |\nabla u'_2|^2 dx dt \\
& \leq \varepsilon' C \int_S^T E^{\mu+1} dt + C(\varepsilon', p) \int_S^T E^\mu \left[\int_{\Omega} \Delta u'_2 g_2(\Delta u'_2) dx \right]^{\frac{2}{p+1}} dt.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.44}$$

Summing (4.43) and (4.44), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_S^T E^\mu \int_{\Omega^-} |\Delta u_1| \cdot |g_1(\Delta u'_1)| + |\Delta u_2| \cdot |g_2(\Delta u'_2)| dx dt \\
& \quad + \int_S^T E^\mu \int_{\Omega^-} |\nabla u'_1|^2 + |\nabla u'_2|^2 dx dt \\
& \leq \varepsilon_0 C \int_S^T E^{\mu+1} dt + C(\varepsilon_0, p) \int_S^T E^\mu (-E')^{\frac{2}{p+1}} dt \\
& \leq \varepsilon_0 C \int_S^T E^{\mu+1} dt + \varepsilon_1 \int_S^T E^{\mu \frac{p+1}{p-1}} dt + C(\varepsilon_1, p) E(S).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.45}$$

Reporting (4.42) and (4.45) in (4.39), we find

$$\int_{\Omega} E^{\mu+1} dt \leq C E(S) + C' E^{\mu+1}(S) + \varepsilon_0 C \int_S^T E^{\mu+1} dt + \varepsilon_1 \int_S^T E^{\mu \frac{p+1}{p-1}} dt,$$

we choose μ such that

$$\mu \frac{p+1}{p-1} = \mu + 1.$$

Thus we find

$$\mu = \frac{p-1}{2}.$$

Choosing ε_0 and ε_1 small enough, we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} E^{\mu+1} dt \leq C' E(S) + C' E^\mu(0) E(S),$$

where C' is a positive constant independent of $E(0)$.

We may thus complete the proof by applying lemmas 7.1 and 7.2.

Bibliography

- [1] R. A. Adams, *Sobolev spaces*. Academic press, New York, 1975.
- [2] F. Alabau-Boussouira, Convexity and weighted integral inequalities for energy decay rates of nonlinear dissipative hyperbolic systems. *Appl. Math. Optim*, **51** (2005), 61-105.
- [3] V. I. Arnold, *Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986.
- [4] M. Bahlil, F. Baowei, Global Existence and Energy Decay of Solutions to a Coupled Wave and Petrovsky System with Nonlinear Dissipations and Source Terms. *Mediterr. J. Math*, (2020), 1-27.
- [5] J. Ball, Remarks on blow-up and nonexistence theorems for nonlinear evolution equations. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford*, **28** (1977), 473-486.
- [6] A. Benaissa, A. Guesmia, Global existence and general decay estimates of solutions of the degenerate or non-degenerate Kirchhoff equation with general dissipation. *Journal of Evolution Equations*, Vol **11** (1) (2011), 239-239.
- [7] M. M. Cavalcanti, V. N. Domingos Cavalcanti, and J. Ferreira, Existence and uniform decay for nonlinear viscoelastic equation with strong damping. *Math. Meth. Appl. Sci*, **24** (2001), 1043-1053.
- [8] V. Georgiev, G. Todorova, Existence of solutions of the wave equation with nonlinear damping and source terms. *J. Differential Equations*, **109** (1994), 295-308.
- [9] A. Guesmia, Existence globale et stabilisation interne non lineaire d'un systeme de Petrovsky. *Bell. Belg. Math. Soc*, **5** (1998), 583-594.
- [10] A. Guesmia, Energy Decay for a Damped Nonlinear Coupled System. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **239** (1999), 38-48.

-
- [11] A. Haraux, E. Zuazua, Decay estimates for some semilinear damped hyperbolic problems. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal*, **150** (1988), 191-206.
- [12] J. Hao, H. Wei, Blow-up and global existence for solution of quasilinear viscoelastic wave equation with strong damping and source term. *Boundary Value Problems*, (2017), 1687-2770.
- [13] M. Kafini, S. A. Messaoudi and S. Nicaise, A blow-up result in a nonlinear abstract evolution system with delay. *Nonlinear Differ. Equ. App*, **96** (2014), 18-73.
- [14] V. K. Kalantarov, O. A. Ladyzhenskaya, The occurrence of collapse for quasilinear equations of parabolic and hyperbolic type. *J. Soviet Math*, **10** (1978), 53-70.
- [15] V. Komornik, Well-posedness and decay estimates for a Petrovsky system by a semigroup approach. *Acta Sci. Math*, **60** (1995), 451-466.
- [16] M. Kopackova, Remarks on bounded solutions of a semilinear dissipative hyperbolic equation. *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin*, **30** (1989), 713-719.
- [17] S. Kouémou-Patcheu, Global existence and exponential decay estimates for a damped quasilinear equation. *Commun. in partial differential equations*, **22** (1997), 2007-2024.
- [18] S. Kouémou-Patcheu, On a Global Solution and Asymptotic Behaviour for the Generalized Damped Extensible Beam Equation. *journal of differential equations*, **135** (1997), 299-314.
- [19] T. Lakroumbe, M. Abdelli, A. Beniani, Blow-up of Result in a Nonlinear Wave Equation with Delay and Source Term. *Discontinuity, Nonlinearity, and Complexity*, **10** (4) (2021), 733-741.
- [20] T. Lakroumbe, M. Abdelli, N. Louhibi, M. Bahlil, Well-posedness and General Energy Decay of Solutions for a Petrovsky Equation with a Nonlinear Strong Dissipation. *Mathematica*, **63** (86), No 2, (2021), 282-294.
- [21] I. Lasiecka, Stabilization of wave and plate-like equation with nonlinear dissipation on the boundary. *J. Differential Equations*, **79** (1989), 340-381.
- [22] I. Lasiecka, D. Toundykov, Energy decay rates for the semilinear wave equation with nonlinear localized damping and source terms. *Nonlinear Anal*, **64** (2006), 1757-1797.
- [23] H. A. Levine, Instability and nonexistence of global solutions of nonlinear wave equation of the form $Pu_{tt} = Au + F(u)$. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc*, **192** (1974), 1-21.
- [24] H. A. Levine, Some additional remarks on the nonexistence of global solutions to nonlinear wave equations. *SIAM J. Math. Anal*, **5** (1974), 138-146.

-
- [25] H. A. Levine, S. Ro Park, Global existence and global nonexistence of solutions of the Cauchy problem for a nonlinearly damped wave equation. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **228** (1998), 181-205.
- [26] H. A. Levine, J. Serrin, Global nonexistence theorems for quasilinear evolution equation with dissipation. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, **137** (1997), 341-361.
- [27] J. L. Lions, *Quelques Méthodes De Résolution Des Problèmes Aux Limites Nonlinéaires*. Dunod Gautier-Villars, Paris, 1969.
- [28] J. L. Lions et E. Megenes, *Problemes aux limites non homogènes et applications*, vol. 1 et 2. Paris, Dunot, 1968.
- [29] W. J. Liu, Partial exact controllability and exponential stability in higher-dimensional linear thermoelasticity. *ESIAM Control, Optimisation and Calculus of Variations*, **3** (1998), 23-48.
- [30] W. J. Liu, The exponential stabilization of the higher-dimensional linear system of thermo-viscoelasticity. *J. Math. Pures*, **37** (1998), 355-386.
- [31] W. J. Liu, Partial exact controllability for the linear thermo-viscoelastic model. *Elect. J. Differential Equations*, **17** (1998), 1-11.
- [32] W. J. Liu, E. Zuazua, Decay rates for dissipative wave equations. *Ricerche di Matematica*, **48** (1999), 61-75.
- [33] P. Martinez, A new method to obtain decay rate estimates for dissipative systems. *ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var.*, **4** (1999) 419-444.
- [34] S. A. Messaoudi, Blow up and global existence in a nonlinear viscoelastic equation. *Math. Nachr.*, **260** (2003), 58-66.
- [35] S. A. Messaoudi, Blow up in a nonlinearly damped wave equation. *Math. Nachr.*, **231** (2001), 1-7.
- [36] S. A. Messaoudi, Global existence and nonexistence in a system of Petrovsky. *J. Math Anal. Appl.*, **265** (2002), 296-308.
- [37] S. A. Messaoudi, B. Said-Houari, Blow up of solutions of a class of wave equations with nonlinear damping and source terms. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, **27** (2004), 1687-1696.
- [38] M. I. Mustafa, S. A. Messaoudi, General energy decay for a weakly damped wave equation. *Communications in Mathematical Analysis*, **9** (2010), 1938-9787.

- [39] S. Nicaise, C. Pignotti, Stability and instability results of the wave equation with a delay term in the boundary or interna feedbacks. *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, **45** (2006), 1561-1585.
- [40] Shun-Tang Wu, Asymptotic behavior for a viscoelastic wave equation with delay term. *Taiwanese Journal of mathematics*, **17** (2013), No. 3, 765-784.
- [41] E. Vitillaro, Global nonexistence theorems for a class of evolution equations with dissipation. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal*, **149** (1999), 155-182.
- [42] K. Yosida, *Functional Analysis*. Grundleheren B. 123, Springer, 1965.
- [43] Y. Zhijian, Existence and asymptotic behavior of solutions for a class of quasi-linear evolution equations with non-linear damping and source terms. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci*, **25** (2002), 795-814.

الملخص (بالعربية) :

هذه الأطروحة مخصصة لدراسة الوجود الكلي و الانفجار في الزمن المحدد و السلوك المقارب لحلول بعض المعادلات الزمنية الغير خطية. تتكون هذه الأطروحة من أربعة فصول مخصصة لدراسة الطرح الجيد، و السلوك المقارب، و الانفجار في الزمن المحدد لحلول بعض المعادلات الزمنية مع عبارة الإخماد الغير خطية و بحد التأخر و حد المنبع. في الفصل الأول نذكر ببعض المفاهيم الأساسية التي ستستخدم في هذه الأطروحة. في الفصل الثاني نعتبر معادلة الأمواج الغير خطية الخاضعة للتخميد و حد التأخر و حد المنبع. نبرهن أن الحل ينفجر في زمن محدد إذا كان حد المنبع يتحكم في عبارة التنبيد و حد التأخير $\max\{2+l,m\}<p$ وذلك باستعمال طريقة V. Georgiev و G. Todorova ، تحت الشرط الذي تكون فيه الطاقة الابتدائية سالبة. في الفصل الثالث نعتبر معادلة Petrovsky الغير خطية ومعمة الشكل و بتخميد قوي. نبرهن أن هذه المسألة مطروحة جيدا و ذلك باستعمال طريقة Lyapunov. في الفصل الرابع نعتبر جملة الأمواج المزوجة الغير خطية ل Petrovsky بتخميد قوي. نبرهن أن هذه المسألة مطروحة جيدا و ذلك باستعمال طريقة الإكتناز. أما استقرار الحل نستعمل الطريقة المضاعفة فنجد أن إستقرار الحل يخضع لتابع اسي ولتابع كثير حدود.

الكلمات المفتاحية : الطرح الجيد، الجملة المزوجة، التناقض العام، التناقض الأسي، كثير حدود الاضمحلال، طريقة Faedo-Galerkin ، طريقة Lyapunov، طريقة مضاعفة، حد المنبع، حد التأخر، انفجار.

Résumé (en Français) :

La présente thèse est consacrée à l'étude de l'existence globale, explosion en temps fini et le comportement asymptotique des solutions de certaines équations d'évolution non linéaires. Ce travail se compose de quatre chapitres, sera consacré à l'étude du bien-posé, le comportement asymptotique et explosion en temps fini de la solution de certaines équations d'évolution avec un terme d'amortissement non linéaires, un terme de retard et un terme de source. Dans le chapitre 1, nous rappelons quelques notions utilisées dans cette thèse. Dans le chapitre 2, nous considérons l'équation d'onde non linéaire soumis à un amortissement, un terme de retard et un terme de source. Nous prouvons que la solution explose en temps fini si le terme de source domine le terme de dissipatif et le terme de retard $p > \max\{l + 2, m\}$ sous la condition que l'énergie initiale est négative par la méthode de V. Georgiev et G. Todorova. Dans le chapitre 3, nous considérons l'équation de Petrovsky avec un fort amortissement non linéaire et de forme générale. Nous prouvons que ce problème est bien posé en utilisant la méthode de compacité, et pour la stabilité générale de la solution introduisant une méthode de Lyapunov. Dans le chapitre 4, nous considérons un système Petrovsky-onde couplé avec un fort amortissement non linéaire. Nous prouvons la bien posé en utilisant la méthode de compacité, et pour la stabilité de solution introduisant une méthode de multiplicateur, nous trouvons la stabilité exponentielle et polynomiale.

Les mots clés : Bien posé, système couplé, décroissance générale, décroissance exponentielle, polynomiale décroissance, méthode Faedo-Galerkin, méthode Lyapunov, méthode multipliée, terme de source, terme de retard, explosion.

Abstract (en Anglais) :

The present thesis is devoted to the study of global existence, blow-up in finite time and the asymptotic behaviour of the solutions for some nonlinear evolution equations. This work consists of four chapters, will be devoted to the study of the well-posedness, asymptotic behaviour and blow-up in finite time of the solution of some evolution equations with nonlinear dissipative terms, delay and source terms. In chapter 1, we recall of some notions used in this thesis. In chapter 2, we consider the wave equation with nonlinear source, damping and delay term. We prove that weak solutions to the systems blow up in finite time whenever the initial energy is negative and the exponent of the source terms is more dominant than the exponent of damping terms, we use the method of V. Georgiev and G. Todorova. In chapter 3, we consider the Petrovsky equation with a nonlinear strong damping. We prove, under some appropriate assumptions, that this system is well-posed using the compactness method. Furthermore, the general stability is given by using a combination of the some properties of convex functions with an appropriate Lyapunov functional. In chapter 4, we consider a coupled Petrovsky-wave system with a nonlinear strong damping. We prove well-posedness by using the compactness method, and establish the both exponential and polynomial decay estimates by introducing a multiplied method.

Keywords : Well-posedness, coupled system, general decay, exponential decay, polynomial decay, Faedo-Galerkin method, Lyapunov method, multiplied method, source term, delay term, blow-up.