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## General Introduction

In statistics, we designate by chronological series, or time series, the modeling of a series of random and sequentially observed events, generally on a temporal scale. Many real data flows admit such a representation, we can think for example of the consumption of electricity, the evolution of a stock market action, the gross domestic product, the tide cycle or the progress of a process chemical. Although astronomers and meteorologists can claim paternity, the main advances in the formalization of time series seem to be the task of statisticians and econometricians. Today we find the legacy in the fields of economics and finance of course, but also in engineering, signal processing or the natural and social sciences. It's from the publication of the pioneering work of Box and Jenkins in 1970 that was born the theory of time series as we know it today. The latter was motivated by the weak predictive power of the structural models of Keynesianism in force at the time and proposes a completely innovative approach. The ARMA process, forming the heart of the work and on which we will have the opportunity to return in detail later, relies exclusively on the past observations of a curve to intuit a global linear behavior disturbed by a noise. random center. The main feature of a time series is the strong dependence and considerable practical interest linking two consecutive observations, at the origin of the dynamics of the models. The latter are therefore all the more apt to reflect actual flows and natural events in which the chronological evolution is manifest. Among the range of applications from the theory, it is mainly modeling and prediction that focus all attention. While we are looking from one side to build the best model for a dataset without prejudging the events taking place outside the study interval, we focus on the other on optimal learning of observations to infer future behavior.

From the ARMA linear process came a series of derived models, more and more general, with as a guideline the formalization of an extended class of real phenomena. The latter take into account non-stationarity, heteroscedasticity, and even non-linearity. Although this Since this thesis focuses solely on a particular subset of processes, it seemed essential to give in the first chapter the succinct, and therefore not exhaustive, history of the time series currently in force. It will also be necessary to explain all the concepts that we approach - in italics - in this introduction, before presenting in a descriptive way the model of Box and Jenkins, its properties then its major evolutions. Once the framework is well defined, we will discuss the autoregressive process, linear model on which our entire study will be focused. The rigorous analysis of linear time series has expanded considerably at the end of the last century. While Harvey in 1991 and Kitagawa and Gersch in 1996 apprehend the time series by a Bayesian approach based mainly on state-space models treated with a Kalman filter, it is on the frequentist approach that we will focus our attention. In this respect, we can cite the work
of Jenkins and Watts in 1968, Hannan in 1970, Bloomfield in 1976, Priestley and Brillinger in 1981, and Fuller in 1995. We will particularly emphasize the work of Brockwell and Davis during the 1990s on which we have largely relied upon, source of our theoretical reminders.

Random shocks in the autoregressive process are usually treated as white noise, in any case one of the usual working hypotheses guaranteeing both the consistency of the estimators and their asymptotic normality. In the mid-twentieth century, Durbin and Watson adopted as a subject of study the standard linear regression model, the random perturbation of which results from a firstorder autoregressive process, abandoning in fact the residual whiteness, but also the consistency of the estimate. They suggest then a statistic still used today in the field of econometrics, at the origin of a relatively elementary test procedure allowing quite often to reject a hypothesis of absence of residual correlation. In 1970, Durbin, confronted with the inferential consequences of the presence of a residual autocorrelation and placing himself in the chronological framework, proposes a subtle revision of the procedure better known today under the name of H-test. Thus.

Our thesis is presented in four chapters. In the first chapter we recall the notations and the tools used on the stochastic processes, and in particular, we recall the definition of the processes $\operatorname{AR}(\mathrm{p})$, MA (q), ARMA (p,q), and the non parametric estimate of the probability density function by kernel method especially recursive kernel estimator.

In the seconde chapter, we consider the asymptotic distributions of the error estimators in the first order autoregressive model by using the recursive density estimator of the probability density function for a sequence of extended negatively dependent random variables.

In the third chapter, we establish the complete convergence for a kind of hazard rate function estimator by considerate a kind of recursive density estimator of the probability density function for a sequence of linear negatively quadrant dependent random variables .

The last chapter is devoted to prove new exponential inequality for a new case of dependence WOD for the distributions of sums of widely orthant deprndent (WOD, in short) random variables. Using these inequality. The results are applied to the first-order autoregressive processes $\operatorname{AR}(1)$ model. This work was published in International Journal of Mathematics and Computation.

## Chapter 1

## Time series

### 1.1 Some fundamental concepts

### 1.1.1 The stochastic process

Consider a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$, a set of indices $\Gamma$ and a metric space $S$ provided with the Borel tribe $\mathcal{B}(S)$.

Definition 1.1 We call "stochastic process" a family of random variables $X_{t}$ set to $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$, indexed by $t \in T$ and with values in $S$. For any realization $\omega \in \Omega$ the family $\left(X_{t}=X_{t}(\omega)\right)$ is a "trajectory" of the process.

It is in the trajectory of a process observed on a subset of $\Gamma$ that we will associate the notion of "time series".

### 1.1.2 Stationarity

Stationarity reflects the ability of a process not to depend on the index temporal. The latter is therefore fully described by its stationary law which, by definition, no longer changes over time. It is thus understood that such a property is certainly of practical interest considerable, but also has a strong theoretical impact since it is found as an assumption underlying many results. We generally distinguish stationarity in the strict sense of stationarity in the weak sense. To define them, consider a process $X_{t}$ set to $\mathcal{L}^{2}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$, the set of integrable square random variables.

Definition 1.2 We say that the process $X_{t}$ is "strictly stationar" if for all $k \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ and all time shift $h \in \mathbb{Z}$ the law of the vector $\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{1+k}\right)$ is the same as that of the vector $\left(X_{1+h}, \ldots, X_{k+h}\right)$.

Strict stationarity is a very strong working hypothesis, necessarily difficult to verify in practice when the process is not gaussian. That's why we introduced a notion of less restrictive stationarity.

Definition 1.3 We say that the process $X_{t}$ is "weakly stationary" if for any time offset $h \in \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{E}\left(X_{0}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{0}\right)$ are constant, and $\operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{0}, X_{h}\right)$ depends only on $h$.

It is also said that the process is "second order stationary", in relation to stabilization of its variance. It is this property of stationarity that we will implicitly refer to by the following. Note that strict stationarity naturally implies weak stationarity. The example the most trivial of stationary process is white noise.

Definition 1.4 A time series comes from the realization of a family of random variable $\left\{X_{t}, t \in I\right\}$, or the set $I$ is a time interval that can be discrete or continuous. for this thesis we use the whole $I=\{0,1, \ldots, T\}$, or $T$ the total number of observations.

Example 1.1 The figure represents the global total of air passengers per month between 1949 and 1960. Note that the points are connected by lines (which are there to look pretty and do not have of special significance). The data (Air Passengers) are available in $\mathbb{R}$.


Figure 1.1: Air passengers

The objective of the time series study is to make predictions about the evolution of the series. Here is a non-exhaustive list of mathematical models that can be used :

- Regression. It is assumed that $X_{t}$ is polynomial in $t$, for example $X_{t}=\alpha_{2} t^{2}+\alpha_{1} t+\alpha_{0}+\epsilon_{t}$ (with $\epsilon_{t}$ a random noise). The coefficients are estimated by $\hat{\alpha}_{2}, \hat{\alpha}_{1}, \hat{\alpha}_{0}$ (from the values $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ ). So with the data of $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$, we will make the prediction $\hat{X}_{n+1}=\hat{\alpha}_{2}(n+1)^{2}+\hat{\alpha}_{1}(n+1)+\hat{\alpha}_{0}$ value of $X_{n+1}$,
- Exponential smoothing,
- ARMA models, to remove trends and seasonality from the series (periodicity). These models are numerically heavier, but more efficient.
Challenges (in order):
- Define a model with a finite number of parameters.
- Estimate the model parameters.
- Check the quality of the fit of the model, compare different models (we will be able to cut the data into a learning sample and a test sample).
- Make predictions.

Example 1.2 We can think for example of the number of travelers using the train, to the relative monthly increase in the price index or to the occurrence of a natural phenomenon (such as the number of sunspots).
This series of observations of a family of real random variables noted $\left(X_{t}\right)_{t \in \Theta}$ is called serie chronological (or temporal). In the continuation of this course, we will note it

$$
\left(X_{t}\right)_{t \in \Theta} \text { or }\left\{X_{t}, t \in \Theta\right\}
$$

where the set $\Theta$ is called the time space that can be

- Discreet (number of SNCF passengers daily, maximum temperature...). in that case, $\Theta \in \mathbb{Z}$. The observation dates are most often equidistant, for example, monthly statements, quarterly... These equidistant dates are then indexed by integers: $t=1,2, \ldots, T$ and $T$ is the number of observations. Therefore we have observations of the variables $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{T}$ from the family $\left(X_{t}\right)_{t \in \Theta}$ or $\Theta \subset \mathbb{Z}$ (most of the time $\Theta=\mathbb{Z}$ ). So if $h$ is the time interval separating two observations and $t_{0}$ the moment of the first observation, we have the following schema:

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
t_{0} & t_{0}+h & \ldots & t_{0}+(T-1) h \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & \ldots & \downarrow \\
X_{t_{0}} & X_{t_{0}+h} & \ldots & X_{t_{0}+(T-1) h} \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & \ldots & \downarrow \\
X_{1} & X_{2} & \ldots & X_{T}
\end{array}
$$

- continued(radio signal, result of an electrocardiogram ...). The time indexe is at values in an interval of $\mathbb{R}$ and one has (at least potentially) an infinity of observations from of a process $\left(X_{t}\right)_{t \in \Theta}$ or $\Theta$ is an interval of $\mathbb{R}$. Such a process is said to be continuous. The methods presented in this context are different from those for chronological time series discreet and presented in the sequel.


### 1.2 Description of a time series

We consider that a time series $X_{t}$ is the result of different fundamental components:

- the trend (or trend) $Z_{t}$ represents the long-term evolution of the studied series. It translates the "average" behavior of the seerie.
Examples of time series :
For example, the seerie of the figure 1 trend to increase linearly.


Figure 1.2: Monthly index of consumer prices $I_{t}$


Figure 1.3: Passenger traffic of the SNCF in second class


Figure 1.4: Relative monthly increase in the price index


Figure 1.5: Medium-term evolution of the relative monthly increase in the price index


Figure 1.6: United States population


Figure 1.7: Number of strikes united state, 1951-1980


Figure 1.8: All star Baseball games, 1933-1980


Figure 1.9: Monthly number of accidental deaths in the USA, 1973-1978

- The seasonal component (seasonality ) $S_{t}$ corresponds to a phenomenon which is repeated at regular (periodic) intervals of time. In general, it is a seasonal phenomenon from which the term of seasonal variations.
For example, The series of the figure 1.3. presents regular cycles over time and likewise amplitude.
- The residual component (or noise or residue) $\epsilon_{t}$ corresponds to irregular fluctuations, in general low intensity but random in nature. We also talk about aleas.
For example, The series of the figure 1.4. rather irregular behavior: there is like a kind of low amplitude noise that disturbs the data.
- Accidental phenomena (strikes, exceptional weather conditions, financial crash) may intervene in particular.
For example, the series of the figure 1.5 has two breaks.
- Another component sometimes studied in a specific way relates to cyclical phenomenon: this is often the case in climatology and economics (example: recession and expansion...). This is a phenomenon but in contrast to seasonality over periods that are not fixed and generally long. Without specific information, it is generally very difficult to dissociate trend and cycle.


### 1.3 Main goals

The study of a chronological series allows to analyze, to describe and explain a phenomenon during time and draw conclusions for decision-making (marketing. . .).

### 1.4. SCHEMATIC DESCRIPTION OF THE COMPLETE STUDY OF A CHRONOLOGICAL SERIES

This study also makes it possible to control, for example for the management of stocks, the control of a chemical process...More generally, we can already pose some problems when studying a chronological window.

But one of the main objectives of the study of a chronological series is the projection of predict future values $X_{T+h}(h=1,2,3, \ldots)$ the chronological sequence from its observed values until the time $T=X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{T}$. The prediction of the time series at time $T+h$ is noted $\hat{X}_{T}(h)$ and in general is different from the real value $X_{T+h}$ what does the seerie take at time $T+h$. To measure this difference, we will define the prediction error by the difference $\hat{X}_{T}(h)-X_{T+h}$ "on average" with the idea that plus $h$ is big. bigger is the mistake. Precision interval, defined by the values $\hat{X}_{T}^{(1)}(h)$ and $\hat{X}_{T}^{(2)}(h)$ is likely to contain the unknown value $X_{T+h}$. The quality of the prediction can be measured based on $80 \%$ of observations, then simulating a prediction on the $20 \%$ of observations remaining. This technique is also useful for :

- The seeries that contain "holes",
- Measure the effect of an accidental phenomenon(error,...).

There are many other immediate objectives for the study of time series. For example, if two series are observed we may wonder what influence they exert on each other. Noting $X_{t}$ and $Y_{t}$ the two series in question. for example, if there are relations of the type

$$
Y_{t}=a_{1} X_{t+1}+a_{3} X_{t+3} .
$$

### 1.4 Schematic description of the complete study of a chronological series

One of the main objectives of the study of a chronological series is the forecast future values of this series. For that, we need to know or at least to model the production mechanism of the chronological series.

Note that the variables $X_{t}$ are most often not independent (we can expect indeed that observations relatively close in time are linked) nor identically distributed (in most of the cases, the phenomenon evolves, changes over time, which means that variables that describe it are not equidistributed). This requires statistical methods of treatment and specific modeling since especially in a standard setting (that of the description of a sample), classical statistical methods are based on assumptions of independence.

### 1.4. SCHEMATIC DESCRIPTION OF THE COMPLETE STUDY OF A CHRONOLOGICAL SERIES

Schematically, the main stages of treatment of a chronological sequence are the following:

1. Correction of data,
2. Observation of the series,
3. Modelization (with a finite number of parameters),
4. Analysis of the series from its components,
5. Diagnosis of the model, adjustment of the model,
6. prediction (forecast).

### 1.4.1 Correction of data

Before embarking on the study of a chronological series, it is often necessary to treat, modify the raw data. For example,

- Evaluation of missing data, accidental data replacement, ...,
- Breaking into sub-series,
- Standardization to reduce to fixed-length intervals. For example, for data monthly, we go back to the standard month by calculating the daily average over the month (total observations on the month divided by the number of days in the month),
- data transformation: for various reasons, sometimes we may have to use transformed data. For example in economy, we use the transform family of BoxCox:

$$
Y_{t}=\frac{1}{\lambda}\left[\left(X_{t}\right)^{\lambda}-1\right] .
$$

## Observation of the series

A general rule in Descriptive Statistics is to start by looking at the data before making any calculations. So, once the set is corrected and pre-adjusted, we trace his graph it's a tell the coordinate curve $\left(t, X_{t}\right)$.

## Modelization

A model is a simplified image of the reality of translating the operating mechanisms of the phenomenon studied and makes it easier to understand them. One model may be better than another to describe the reality.
There are mainly two types of models:

### 1.4. SCHEMATIC DESCRIPTION OF THE COMPLETE STUDY OF A CHRONOLOGICAL SERIES

- The determinist models: These models belong to Descriptive Statistics, they only involve the calculation of probabilities in an underlying way and assume that the observation from date to date $t$ which is a function of time and a variable $\epsilon_{t}$ centered as an error to the model, representing the difference between the reality and the proposed model:

$$
X_{t}=f\left(t, \epsilon_{t}\right),
$$

We assume furthermore that the $\epsilon_{t}$ are decorrelated.
The two most commonly used models of this type are:

1. Additive model: It is the "classic model of decomposition" in the treatment of adjustment models, The variable $X_{t}$ is written as the sum of three terms:

$$
X_{t}=Z_{t}+S_{t}+\epsilon_{t}
$$

or $Z_{t}$ represents the trend (determinist), $S_{t}$ is the seasonality (also determinist) and $\epsilon_{t}$ the random components i.i.d (errors in the model).
2. Multiplicative model: The variable $X_{t}$ is written at the end of error almost like the product of the trend and a seasonality component.

$$
X_{t}=Z_{t}\left(1+S_{t}\right)\left(1+\epsilon_{t}\right)
$$

the adjustment here is multiplicative and intervenes in the models $A R C H$.

- Stochastic models: They are of the same type as the models of determinists to this close noise variables $\epsilon_{t}$ are not i.i.d but have a non-zero correlation structure.

$$
\epsilon_{t}=g\left(\epsilon_{t-1}, \epsilon_{t-2}, \ldots, \eta_{t}\right) \text { or } \eta_{t} \text { is the error term. }
$$

The class of the most commonly used models of this type is model classes SARIMA and its sub-models $A R M A, A R I M A, \ldots$

The particular case where the functional relation $g$ is linear is very important and widely used, it leads to linear regressive models, for example a model of order 2 with coefficients autoregressive $a_{1}, a_{2}$ is given by:

$$
\epsilon_{t}=a_{1} X_{t-1}+a_{2} X_{t-2}+\eta_{t}
$$

or $\eta_{t}$ is a white noise that is an unrelated zero average random variable.

The two types of models above induce very particular forecasting techniques. schematically, we are interested first of all in the trend and the seasonality of the eventuality that we isolate first.

Then we try to model them, estimate them and finally we eliminate them from the series, these two operations are called the detendancialisation and the seasonal adjustment of the series, Once these components are removed we get the random serie $\epsilon_{t}$ :

### 1.4. SCHEMATIC DESCRIPTION OF THE COMPLETE STUDY OF A CHRONOLOGICAL SERIES

- For deterministic models, this series will be considered decorrelated and there is nothing left to do,
- For stochastic models, we obtain a stationary series which means that the successive observations of the series are identically distributed but not necessarily independent that is for modeling.


Figure 1.10: Quarterly sales of sunscreens, trend, seasonal factors and irregular fluctuations

## 1.5 white noise

Definition 1.5 we say that the sequence of the random variables $\left\{\epsilon_{t}\right\}$ is a weak white noise if it has the following properties :

- $\mathbb{E}\left(\epsilon_{t}\right)=0$, for $t \in \mathbb{Z}$,
- $\mathbb{E}\left(\epsilon_{t}^{2}\right)=\sigma^{2} \neq 0$ and constant,
- $\operatorname{cov}\left(\epsilon_{s}, \epsilon_{t}\right)=0$ if $t \neq s$.

In other words, the random variables $\left\{\epsilon_{t}\right\}$ are of zero mean, of constant variance and uncorrelated.

We say that $\left\{\epsilon_{t}\right\}$ is a strong white noise if it is a weak white noise and that the random variables $\left\{\epsilon_{t}\right\}$ are i.i.d.

## Notation

1. If $\left\{\epsilon_{t}\right\}$ low white noise, so $\left\{\epsilon_{t}\right\} \sim \operatorname{WN}\left(0, \sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}\right)$,
2. If $\left\{\epsilon_{t}\right\}$ loud white noise, so $\left\{\epsilon_{t}\right\} \sim$ I.I.D $\left(0, \sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}\right)$.

## stationarity

An important property of time series is stationarity. This property is necessary to apply some theorems on causality. The following definition presents the type of stationarity most used.

Definition 1.6 $A$ sequence $\left\{X_{t}, t \geq 0\right\}$ of random variables is said to be stationary of the second order if it checks the following properties :

- $\mathbb{E}\left(X_{t}\right)=\mu<\infty$,
- $\mathbb{E}\left(X_{t}^{2}\right)<\infty, \operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{s}, X_{s+t}\right)=\operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{s-1}, X_{s-1+t}\right)=\ldots, \operatorname{Cov}\left\{X_{0}, X_{t}\right\}$ for all $s, t \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark 1.1 we call $\operatorname{Cov}\left\{X_{t}, X_{t+h}\right\}$ the autocovariance ( $A C V$ ) on the horizon $h$ and we note it:

$$
r^{X}(h)=\operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{t}, X_{t+h}\right) .
$$

we can take this function and divide it by variance of $\left\{X_{t}\right\}$ to obtain a new function that we will call autocorrelation. we note it :

$$
\rho^{X}(h)=\frac{r^{X}(h)}{r^{X}(0)}
$$

with the following properties:

1. $-1<\rho^{X}(h) \leq 1$,
2. $\rho^{X}(h)=0$ means that observations $X_{t}$ and $X_{t+h}$ are uncorrelated,
3. $\rho^{X}(h)= \pm 1$ means that the correlation is perfect (negative or positive).

### 1.6 Operators defined on a time series

### 1.6.1 Delay operator :

Definition 1.7 the delay operator $B$ is defined as follows :

$$
B\left(X_{t}\right)=X_{t-1} .
$$

## Remark 1.2

$$
B^{n}\left(X_{t}\right)=X_{t-n}, \text { for } \forall n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

### 1.6.2 Difference operator order of $d$ :

Definition 1.8 we define $\triangle_{d}$ the order difference operator $d$ as the linear operator such that :

$$
\triangle_{d}=X_{t}-X_{t-d}=\left(1-B^{d}\right) X_{t}
$$

We can also take the order operator one and apply it several times :

## Example 1.3

$$
\begin{aligned}
\triangle^{2}\left(X_{t}\right) & =\triangle\left(\triangle\left(X_{t}\right)\right) \\
& =\triangle\left(X_{t}-X_{t-1}\right)=(1-B)\left(X_{t}-X_{t-1}\right) \\
& =X_{t}-2 X_{t-1}+X_{t-2}
\end{aligned}
$$

these operators can be used to transform a non-zero mean process into a zero-average process. It can also be used to remove the season's song of the series. In the next section, we use them to better represent the models.

### 1.7 Types of model

## One-dimensional case :

Some frequently used models are considered for a time series $\left\{X_{t}\right\}$. We start with two simple models: the autoregressive model and the moving average model. We continue with the ARMA model that combines these two models.

### 1.7.1 Autoregressive process $A R(p)$ :

the first self-reinforcing processes were introduced by George Udny yule. Yule uses the first autoregressive model to model the sunspot time series rather than the Schuster periodogram method. An autoregressive process is a process where an observation is written at time $t$ as a linear combination of past observations plus some white noise.

Definition 1.9 The sequence $\left\{X_{t}, t \geq O\right\}$ is a autoregressive process of order $p(p>0)$ if it can be written in the following form :

$$
X_{t}=\sum_{k=1}^{p} \phi_{k} X_{t-k}+\epsilon_{t}, \text { or }\left\{\epsilon_{t}\right\} \sim W N\left(0, \sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}\right) .
$$

The $\phi_{k}(k=1, \ldots, p)$ are the parameters of the model.
In this case, we write $\left\{X_{t} \sim A R(p)\right\}$, in the same way, we can rewrite a process $A R(p)$ with $a$ polynomial $\phi(B)$ which will multiply $X_{t}$ this time :

$$
\phi(B) X_{t}=\epsilon_{t} \text { with } \phi(B)=1-\phi_{1} B-\phi_{2} B^{2} \ldots \phi_{p} B^{p} .
$$

Example 1.4 A process $A R(1)$ take the following form:

$$
X_{t}=\phi X_{t-1}+\epsilon_{t},\left\{\epsilon_{t}\right\} \sim W N\left(0, \sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}\right) .
$$

### 1.7.2 Moving average process $M A(q)$ :

It is Eugen Slutzky who in his article introduced for the first time moving average processes. The following definition presents this process.

Definition 1.10 We say that the sequence $\left\{X_{t}, t \geq 0\right\}$ is a moving average process of order $q$ if it can be written in the following form:

$$
X_{t}=\sum_{k=1}^{q} \theta_{k} \epsilon_{t-k}+\epsilon_{t}, \text { or }\left\{\epsilon_{t}\right\} \sim W N\left(0, \sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}\right)
$$

or the $\theta_{k}(k=1,2, \ldots, q)$ are the parameters of the model, in this case, we note $\left\{X_{t}\right\} \sim M A(q)$.

Example 1.5 A process $M A(1)$ takes the following form:

$$
X_{t}=\theta \epsilon_{t-1}+\epsilon_{t}, \text { or }\left\{\epsilon_{t}\right\} \sim W N\left(0, \sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}\right)
$$

We can use the delay operator $B$ to write this program in another form, so we will have a polynomial in $B$ that will multiply $\epsilon_{t}$ :

$$
X_{t}=\theta(B) \epsilon_{t} \text { with } \theta(B)=1+\theta_{1} B+\theta_{2} B^{2}+\ldots+\theta_{q} B^{q}
$$

Remark 1.3 If $\left\{X_{t}\right\} \sim M A(q)$, so :

1. $X_{t}$ is stationary,
2. $\rho^{X}(h)=0$ for $\forall h>q$.

Definition 1.11 $A$ process is called causal if there is a real $\left\{a_{k}\right\}$ sequence such as $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left|a_{k}\right|<\infty$ and that:

$$
X_{t}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} \epsilon_{t-k}
$$

Sometimes, when we speak of a causal process, we say that it has a representation $M A(\infty)$.

Remark 1.4 any process $M A(q)$ is causal.
Definition 1.12 $A$ process is said to be invertible if there is a real sequence $\left\{b_{k}\right\}$ real such that $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left|b_{k}\right|<\infty$ and :

$$
\epsilon_{t}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{k} X_{t-k} .
$$

Another way of saying that a process is invertible is to say that it has a representation $A R(\infty)$.
Remark 1.5 With this definition, any process $A R(p)$ is invertible.

Theorem 1.1 An autoregressive process $A R(p)$ is causal and stationary if and only if its polynomial $\phi(Z)$ is such that:

$$
\phi(Z) \neq 0 \text { with } Z \in \mathbb{C} \text { such that }|Z| \leq 1
$$

In other words, all roots of $\phi(Z)$ are greater than 1 standard.
Example 1.6 The following process $A R(2)$ is stationary and causal:

$$
X_{t}=-\frac{1}{4} X_{t-1}+\frac{1}{8} X_{t-2}+\epsilon_{t}, \text { or } \epsilon_{t} \sim W N\left(0, \sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}\right) .
$$

Indeed, we can rewrite it as :

$$
\epsilon_{t}=X_{t}\left(1+\frac{1}{4} B-\frac{1}{8} B^{2}\right),
$$

so, we have that:

$$
\phi(Z)=-\frac{1}{8} Z^{2}+\frac{1}{4} Z+1=Z^{2}-2 Z-8=(Z+2)(Z-4)
$$

the roots are outside the unit circle, so the process is stationary and causal.

Theorem 1.2 A moving average process $M A(q)$ is invertible if and only if its polynomial $\theta(Z)$ is such that:

$$
\theta(Z) \neq 0 \text { with } Z \in \mathbb{C} \text { such that }|Z| \leq 1
$$

We note the resemblance of this statement with the stationarity and causality theorem for autoregressive processes.

### 1.7.3 $A R M A(p, q)$ model

the $A R$ and $M A$ models may be perfect in some cases, but it may be necessary to estimate a large number of parameters to adjust the model. these estimates will tend to be unclear. Moreover, if a model containing $p$ parameters is appropriate for the situation. it is not good to try to fit a model that will contain more than $p$.
ARMA models consist of having an autoregressive part and a moving average part. Herman Wold showed that the ARMA processes could be used to model any stationary series as long as the orders $p$ and $q$ are well chosen. Bosc and Jinkins worked to develop a methodology for estimating the model of a time series.
It can be easy to check the causality and invertibility of the ARMA model.

Definition 1.13 A process is said $A R M A(p, q)$ if there are real sequences $\left\{\phi_{k}\right\}$ and $\left\{\theta_{k}\right\}$ such that :

$$
X_{t}-\sum_{k=1}^{p} \phi_{k} X_{t-k}=\epsilon_{t}+\sum_{j=1}^{q} \theta_{j} \epsilon_{t-j}, \text { with }\left\{\epsilon_{t}\right\} \sim W N\left(0, \sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}\right) .
$$

We can also use polynomials $\phi(B)$ and $\theta(B)$ to rewrite this model in the form :

$$
\phi(B) X_{t}=\theta(B) \epsilon_{t}
$$

with $\phi(B)=1-\phi_{1} B-C \phi_{2} B^{2}-\ldots-\phi_{p} B^{p}$ and $\theta(B)=1+\theta_{1} B+\theta_{2} B^{2}+\ldots+\theta_{q} B^{q}$.
We notice that $\left\{X_{t}\right\} \sim A R M A(p, q)$.

Remark 1.6 We note some properties for $\operatorname{ARMA}(p, q)$ models :

1. If $p=q=0$, we have $X_{t}=\epsilon_{t} \sim W N\left(0, \sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}\right)$,
2. If $p=0$ and $q \neq 0$, we have $\left\{X_{t} \sim M A(q)\right\}$,
3. If $q=0$ and $p \neq 0$, we have $\left\{X_{t} \sim A R(p)\right\}$.

### 1.8 Nonparametric estimate of the probability density

In many applications, the density $f$ is unknown and there is a $n$ sample, $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ random variables that are independent and identically distributed, admitting $f$ as density. The problem of
the statistician is then to use this sample to build an estimator that is as close as possible to the density $f$. Several estimators of probability density have been proposed since Rosenblatt, Cencov and Parzen.
The vast majority of them fit into a very large class of contributors by estimators built from a nucleus (the nouyau method and the estimation by histogram).

### 1.8.1 Estimation of the probability density by the kernel method

This is the most popular estimator. It is adapted to continuous random variables. Let $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ a sample of independent random variables and identically distributed with $F$ distribution function and a density $f$.

The kernel density estimator, denoted $\hat{f}(x)$, is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{f}_{n}(x)=\frac{1}{n h_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} k\left(\frac{x-X_{i}}{h_{n}}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $k$ is called the weight or kernel function, and $h_{n}$ is called the smoothing parameter or window.

## Usual kernels:

| Kernels | $K(u)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Uniform | $\frac{1}{2},\|u\| \leq 1$ |
| Epanechncov | $\frac{3}{4}\left(1-u^{2}\right),\|u\| \in \mathbb{R}$ |
| Triangular | $(1-\|u\|),\|u\| \in \mathbb{R}$ |
| Normal | $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \exp \left(\frac{-u^{2}}{2}\right), u \in \mathbb{R}$ |

## Properties of a kernel estimator:

1. $\int_{\mathbb{R}} k(u) \mathrm{du}=1$ and $k(u) \geq 0$ (the kernel estimator is a density function).
2. $f$ has the same properties of continuity and differentiability as $k$ :

- If $k$ is continuous, $f$ will be a continuous function,
- If $k$ is differentiable, $f$ will be a different function,
- If $k$ can take negative values, then $f$ can also take negative values.


### 1.8.2 Asymptotic Expressions of Bias and Variance

An asymptotic approximation of the esperance of the estimator $f(x)$ is given under following conditions on $f, h_{n}$ and $k$.

1. The seconde derivative $f^{\prime \prime}(x)$ is continuous, square integral and monotone on $\left.]-\infty,-M\right]$ and $[M,+\infty[$ for $M>0$,
2. $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} h_{n}=0$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} n h_{n}=0$,
3. For $\hat{f}(x)$ to be a density, we suppose that $k(u) \geq 0$ and $\int k(u) \mathrm{du}=1$, The kernel function is supposed to be symmetric around zero $\left(\int k(u) \mathrm{du}=0\right)$ and possesses a finite second order moment $\left(\int u^{2} k(u) \mathrm{d} u<\infty\right)$.

We have established that

$$
\operatorname{Biais}(\hat{\mathrm{f}}(\mathrm{x}))=\frac{h_{n}}{2} f^{\prime \prime}(x) \mu_{2}+O\left(h_{n}^{2}\right)
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\mathrm{f}}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x})\right)=\frac{f(x)}{n} R(k)+O\left(\frac{1}{n h_{n}}\right)
$$

where $\mu_{2}=\int u^{2} k(u)$ du and $R(g)=\int g^{2}(u)$ du for $g$ a function of integral square.
We must therefore try to choose an $h_{n}$ that makes a compromise between the bias 2 and variance.
Asymptotic expressions of bias and variance allow us to find asymptotic expressions for the MSE and le MISE.
These expressions were obtained under condition (3) on $k$ and assuming that the density of probability $f$ had all the necessary (continuous) derivations.
The following asymptotic approximations can easily be obtained for the MSE and the MISE.

$$
\operatorname{MSE}\left(\hat{f}_{n}(x)\right)=\frac{h^{4}}{4}\left(f^{\prime \prime}(x)\right)^{2} \mu^{2}+\frac{f(x)}{n h_{n}} R(k)+O\left(\frac{1}{n h_{n}}\right)+O\left(h_{n}^{4}\right)
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{MiSE}\left(\hat{f}_{n}(x)\right)=\frac{h_{n}^{4}}{4} \mu^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(f^{\prime \prime}(x)\right)^{2} \mathrm{dx}+\frac{1}{n h_{n}} R(k)+O\left(h^{4}+\frac{1}{n h_{n}}\right),
$$

Under appropriate conditions of integrity of $f$ and its derivative.
On note l'approximation asymptotique de le MSE par

$$
\operatorname{AMSE}\left(\hat{f}_{n}(x)\right)=\frac{h_{n}^{4}}{4}\left(f^{\prime \prime}(x)\right)^{2} \mu_{2}^{2}+\frac{f(x)}{n h_{n}} R(k)
$$

and the asymptotic approximation of the MISE by

$$
\operatorname{AMISE}\left(\hat{f}_{n}(x)\right)=\frac{h_{n}^{4}}{4} \mu_{2}^{2} \int_{\mathrm{R}}\left(f^{\prime \prime}(x)\right)^{2} \mathrm{dx}+\frac{R(k)}{n h_{n}}
$$

### 1.8.3 Optimal theoretical choice of the smoothing parameter

For the smoothing parameter, we distinguish between the constant smoothing parameter $h_{n}$, (or global), and $h_{n}(x)$ variable (local) smoothing parameter.

These different choices of the smoothing parameter result in the following kernel estimators:

$$
\hat{f}_{n}(x)=\frac{1}{n h_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} k\left(\frac{x-X_{i}}{h_{n}}\right),
$$

and

$$
\hat{f}_{n}(x)=\frac{1}{n h_{n}(x)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} k\left(\frac{x-X_{i}}{h_{n}(x)}\right) .
$$

We will describe the optimal theoretical choices of the smoothing parameters $h_{n}$ and $h_{n}(x)$. An appropriate criterion for selecting a constant smoothing parameter $h_{n}$ and the MISE.
The optimal smoothing parameter is the value of $h_{n}$ that minimizes the MISE. Note this value $h_{\text {MISE }}$. An asymptotic approximation of $h_{\text {MISE }}$ is given by $h_{\text {AMISE }}$, the value of h which minimizes AMISE $\hat{f}_{n}(x)$. It is easy to verify that

$$
h_{A M I S E}=\left\{\frac{R(k)}{\mu^{2} R\left(f^{\prime \prime}\right)}\right\}^{\frac{1}{5}} n^{\frac{-1}{5}},
$$

and

$$
h_{M I S E} \approx\left\{\frac{R(k)}{\mu^{2} R\left(f^{\prime \prime}\right)}\right\}^{\frac{1}{5}} n^{\frac{-1}{5}}
$$

, that is to say

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h_{\mathrm{MISE}}}{h_{\mathrm{AMISE}}}=1 .
$$

An appropriate criterion for selecting a variable (local) smoothing parameter $h_{n}(x)$ is the local performance measure MSE $f_{n, L(x)}$. . We introduce the following notations:

$$
h_{\mathrm{MISE}}=\operatorname{argmin}_{h_{n}} M S E\left(\hat{f}_{n, L(x)}\right),
$$

and

$$
h_{\mathrm{AMISE}}=\operatorname{argmin}_{h_{n}} A M S E\left(\hat{f}_{n, L(x)}\right),
$$

Under condition that $f^{\prime \prime}(x) \neq 0$. The $h_{\text {AMISE }}$ and $h_{\text {AMSE }}(x)$ choices are theoretical choices, that are not usable in practice because it depends on unknown quantities $f$ and $f^{\prime \prime}$. By substituting $h_{\text {AMISE }}$ for the expression of AMISE, we show that for the kernel estimator

$$
n^{\frac{4}{5}} \mathrm{AMISE} \hat{f}_{h_{\mathrm{AMISE}}}=O(1)
$$

### 1.9 Recursive kernel estimator

A kernel recursive estimator of the probability density can be preferred $f$. A recursive kernel estimator is given by the following recursive relationship:

$$
\hat{f}_{n}(x)=\frac{n-1}{n} \hat{f}_{n-1}(x)+\frac{1}{n h_{n}} k\left(\frac{x-X_{i}}{h_{n}}\right),
$$

where $\frac{1}{n}$ and $h_{n}$ are two positive series of positive ones that tend to 0 . Here are two examples for recursive estimators.
Wolverton-Wagner estimator:

$$
\hat{f}_{n}(x)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{h_{i}} k\left(\frac{x-X_{i}}{h_{i}}\right) .
$$

This recursive estimator was introduced by Wolverton and Wagner (1969) and studied by Yamato (1971).

Deheuvels estimtor (1973):

$$
\hat{f}_{n}(x)=\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} k\left(\frac{x-X_{i}}{h_{i}}\right) .
$$

### 1.9.1 Some convergence results for the Wolverton-Wagner estimator

Yamato (1971) demonstrated in particular that for any pair $\left(K(y),\left(h_{n}\right)\right)$ belonging to $\mathbb{C}_{2}$ subset of $\mathbb{C}_{1}$ for which the sequences $\left(h_{n}\right)$ are decreasing, $\hat{f}_{n}(x)$ converges in quadratic mean to $f(x)$. If more $\left(K(y),\left(h_{n}\right)\right)$ belonging to $\mathbb{C}_{2}^{\prime}$ subset of $\mathbb{C}_{2}$ for which the suites $\left(h_{n}\right)$ verify

$$
\frac{h_{n}}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{h_{i}} \rightarrow \alpha
$$

with $\alpha \in] 0,1[$, so he proved that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n h_{n} \operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{f}_{n}(x)\right)=\alpha \tau^{2} f(x)
$$

The following theorem gives us almost sure convergence and the asymptotic normality of $\hat{f}_{n}(x)$.

Theorem 1.3 If $f$ is out of bounded and if the window is such that $h_{n}=n^{-\alpha}$ with $0<\alpha<1$, we have for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{f}_{n}(x)=f(x), a . s
$$

Furthermore, $\frac{1}{5}<\alpha<1$, we have

$$
\sqrt{n h_{n}}\left(\hat{f}_{n}(x)-f(x) \rightarrow N\left(0, \frac{\tau^{2} f(x)}{1+\alpha}\right)\right.
$$

The central limit theorem was obtained by Duflo (1997) with $\frac{1}{3}<\alpha<1$, and by Bercu and Chafai (2007) with $\frac{1}{5}<\alpha<1$.

### 1.9.2 Some convergence results for the Deheuvels estimator

Among many other results, Deheuvels has shown that $\hat{f}_{n}(x)$ converges to quadratic average to $f(x)$ and in particular Deheuvels (1973):

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{f}_{n}(x)\right) \sim \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}} \tau^{2} f(x)
$$

The following theorem gives us almost sure convergence.

Theorem 1.4 If $f$ is out of bounded and if the window is such that $h_{n}=n^{-\alpha}$ with $0<\alpha<1$, then we have for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{f}_{n}(x)=f(x), a s
$$

Furthermore, if $\frac{1}{5}<\alpha<1$, we have that

$$
\sqrt{n h_{n}}\left(\hat{f}_{n}(x)-f(x) \rightarrow N\left(0, \tau^{2} f(x)\right)\right.
$$

Using the martingales as in the previous theorem, we can obtain even a central limit theorem for $\hat{f}(x)$.

## Choice of the kernel and the window

These choices can only be made by the use of certain criteria. Without going into all the details, it turns out that the choice the kernel has no major influence if it is chosen in a reasonable class. In however, the choice of the $h_{n}$ window is crucial. In general, hn is obtained by cross validation techniques.

### 1.10 Some notions about convergence

Definition 1.14 A sequence of random variable $\left\{X_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ converges stochastically (or in probability) to the random variable $X\left(P\left\{\lim X_{n}\right\}=X\right)$ if for all $\epsilon>0$

$$
P\left(\left|X_{n}-X\right|>\epsilon\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { when } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Definition 1.15 (Markov inequality) If $f(x)>0$ is a non-decreasing monotonic function $(x \geq 0)$ then for $a>0$

$$
P(|X|>a) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E} f(|X|)}{f(b)}
$$

Definition 1.16 (Jensen inequality) If $g$ is a continuous convex function on $] a, b[,-\infty \leq a<b \leq$ $+\infty$ and $a<X<b$, so

$$
g(\mathbb{E} X) \leq \mathbb{E} g(X)
$$

we recall that a function $g$ is convex on $] a, b[$ if

$$
g\left(\lambda x_{1}+(1-\lambda) x_{2}\right) \leq \lambda g(x)+(1-\lambda) g\left(x_{2}\right)
$$

Definition 1.17 (Hölder inequality) For all random variables $X$ and $Y$ we have

$$
\mathbb{E}(|X Y|) \leq\left(\mathbb{E}|X|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\mathbb{E}|Y|^{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \text { with } \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1, p>1
$$

Corollary 1.1

$$
\begin{aligned}
(|\mathbb{E} X|)^{p} & \leq \mathbb{E}\left(|X|^{p}\right) \text { for } p>1 \text { and } \\
\left(\mathbb{E}|X|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} & \leq\left(\mathbb{E}|X|^{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \text { for } 0<p<q .
\end{aligned}
$$

### 1.11 Some properties on probabilities

let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ be a probability space

- For any event $B \in \mathcal{F}$, we have:

$$
P(B)=P(A \cap B)+P(\bar{A} \cap B)
$$

or $\bar{A}$ denotes the negation of $A$.

- Let X and Y be two random variables and $\epsilon>0$, so:

$$
\begin{gathered}
P(X+Y>\epsilon) \leq P\left(X>\frac{\epsilon}{2}\right)+P\left(Y>\frac{\epsilon}{2}\right) \\
P(Z>s Y) \leq P(Z>s \epsilon)+P(X \leq \epsilon), \forall s \in \mathbb{R} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Definition 1.18 Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ is a measurable space and $\mu: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}^{-}$an application.
We say that $\mu$ is a positive measure on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ if:

1. $\mu(\varnothing)$,
2. $\forall\left(B_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1} \in \mathcal{F}$ a sequence of disjointed events, we have:

$$
\mu\left(\bigcup_{n \geq 1} B_{n}\right)=\sum_{n \geq 1} \mu\left(B_{n}\right)
$$

Definition 1.19 let $\mu$ and $\lambda$ be two bounded measurements on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$. we say that $\lambda$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $\mu$ and we note $\lambda \ll \mu$ if:

$$
\forall C \in \mathcal{F} \text { such that } \mu(C)=0 \Rightarrow \lambda(C)=0
$$

A measure $\mu$ is said to be finite or bounded on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ if $\mu(\Omega)<+\infty$.

Theorem 1.5 (Radon-Nicodym theorem) let $\mu$ and $\lambda$ be two bounded measures on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$.
If $\lambda \ll \mu$ so there exists $g$ a non negative function, $\mu$ integrable and $\mathcal{F}$ measurable such that $\lambda=g \mu$.

The function $g$ is called the density of $\lambda$ with respect to $\mu$, we denote it:

$$
g=\frac{\mathrm{d} \lambda}{\mathrm{~d} \mu}
$$

and also

$$
\lambda(L)=\int_{L} g \mathrm{~d} \mu, \quad \forall \mu \in \mathcal{F}
$$

Definition 1.20 (Almost complete convergence) We say $\theta_{n}$ converges almost completely to $\theta$ if the series converges:

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P\left(\left|\theta_{n}-\theta\right|>\epsilon\right)<+\infty
$$

Definition 1.21 (Bienayami-Tchebychev inequality) Let $X$ random variable of esperance $\mathbb{E}(X)$ and variance $\sigma^{2}$.
For all $\alpha$ strictly positive, we have

$$
\mathbb{P}(|X-\mathbb{E}(X)|>\alpha) \leq \frac{\sigma^{2}}{\alpha^{2}}
$$

## Properties on conditional esperance

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ a probabilized space, $\mathcal{B}$ a sub-tribe of $\mathcal{F}$ and $M$ and $N$ any two real random variables.

1. If $M$ is $\mathcal{B}$ measurable and integrable then

$$
\mathbb{E}(M \mid \mathcal{B})=M \text { almost surely }
$$

2. If $M$ is integrable and $N$ is $\mathcal{B}$ measurable and bounded then

$$
\mathbb{E}(M N \mid \mathcal{B})=N \mathbb{E}(M \mid \mathcal{B}) \text { almost surely }
$$

3. If $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{2}$ are two sub-tribes of $\mathcal{F}$ such that $\mathcal{B}_{1} \subset \mathbb{B}_{2}$ we have then for all random variables $M$ integrable,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\mathbb{E}\left(M \mid \mathcal{B}_{2}\right) \mid \mathcal{B}_{1}\right)=\mathbb{E}\left(M \mid \mathcal{B}_{1}\right)
$$

Especially if $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ is the trivial tribe, $\mathcal{B}_{1}=\{\varnothing, \Omega\}$ so

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\mathbb{E}\left(M \mid \mathcal{B}_{1}\right)\right)=\mathbb{E}(M)
$$

## Chapter 2

# Asymptotic distribution of the END random error in first-order autoregressive processes using the recursive kernel estimator 


#### Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to consider the asymptotique distributions of the error density estimators in first order autoregressive models (based on the true error) using a kind of recursive density estimator of the probability density function for a sequence of extended negatively dependent random variables.


### 2.1 Introduction

In the parametric regression and autoregressive models, several authors have studied the properties of estimators for distributions of the errors. The weak convergence of the empirical processus based on residuals in parametric regression models is discussed in Koul (1970, 1977, 1992, 1996), Loynes (1980), Portnoy (1986), and Mammen (1996), while Boldin (1982), Koul (1991), and Koul and Ossiander (1994) discuss this for parametric autoregressive models. Then uniform consistency of error density in these models is discussed in Koul(1992). Lee and Na (2002) extended the asympototic result (based on the $L_{2}$-norm) in Bickel and Rosenblatt (1973) to the error density estimator in the firstorder autoregressive models while Horvath and Zitikis (2003) consider asymptotics of the $L_{p}$-norms of the estimators.

In this paper, we first obtain asymptotic distribution of the kernel error density estimators for a sequence of extended negatively dependent random variables.

Definition 2.1 Random variables $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ are said to be extended negatively dependent (END)
if there exists a constant $M>0$ such that for each $n \geq 2$, the following two inequalities hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left\{X_{1} \leq x_{1}, \ldots, X_{n} \leq x_{n}\right\} \leq M \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}\left\{X_{i} \leq x_{i}\right\} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left\{X_{1}>x_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}>x_{n}\right\} \leq M \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}\left\{X_{i}>x_{i}\right\} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $n \geq 1$ and all real numbers $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$.

In the case $M=1$ the notion of END random variables reduces to the well known notion of so-called negatively dependent (ND) random variables which was introduced by Lehmann (1966) (cf. also Joag-Dev and Proschan, 1983). Not looking that the notion of END seems to be a straightforward generalization of the notion of negative dependence, the extended negative dependence structure is substantially more comprehensive. As is mentioned in Liu (2009), the END structure can reflect not only a negative dependence structure but also a positive one (inequalities from the definition of ND random variables hold both in reverse direction), to some extend.

Throughout the paper, let $\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n}$ be a strictly stationary sequence of END random variables with the unknown marginal probability density function $f(x)$. We consider the following recursive kernel estimator of $f(x)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}(x)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{h_{i}} \mathrm{k}\left(\frac{x-\varepsilon_{i}}{h_{i}}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $0<h_{n} \downarrow 0$ are bandwidths, $\mathrm{k}($.$) is the kernel density function. The recursive kernel density$ estimator (2.3) was introduced by Wolverton and Wagner (1969). Note that (2.3) can be computed recursively by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}(x)=\frac{n-1}{n} f_{n-1}(x)+\left(n h_{n}\right)^{-1} \mathrm{k}\left(\frac{x-\varepsilon_{n}}{h_{n}}\right) . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This propery of (2.3) is particulary useful in large sample since $f_{n}(x)$ can be easily updated with each additional observation. Liang and $\operatorname{Baek}(2004)$ discussed the point asymptotic normality for $f_{n}(x)$ under negatively associated random variables.
Since END random variables are much weaker than independent random variables, and NA random variables, studying the large sample character of the kernel density estimate for END sequence is of interest. In this article, we will discuss the asymptotic distribution of error using the recursive density estimates in $A R(1)$ models.
In the sequel, let $\mathcal{C}^{2}$ stand for set in where the second order derivative $f^{\prime \prime}$ exists and is bounded and continuous. All limits are taken as the sample size $n$ tends to $\infty, M_{1}, M_{2}, \ldots$ and $k_{0}, k_{1}$ denote positive constants whose values may changefrom from one place to another, unless specified otherwise.

Suppose that the sequence $X_{i}$ satisfies the first order autoregressive model

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{i}=\theta X_{i-1}+\varepsilon_{i} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $\varepsilon_{i}$ 's are extended negatively dependent with mean 0 , variance $\sigma^{2}$, finite fourth moment and unknown density $f$. We also assume that $|\theta|<1$ and the sequence $X_{i}$ is stationary. Then we have the representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{i}=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \theta^{j} \varepsilon_{i-j} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that we observe $X_{0}, X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$. Let $\hat{\theta}$ be an estimator of $\theta$ with the following property:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{n}\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}-\theta\right)=O(1) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Property (2.6) is natural since the least square estimator satisfies it. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\varepsilon_{i}}=X_{i}-\hat{\theta}_{n} X_{i-1}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote the residuals. Based on these residuals, we construct a estimator of the error density $f(x)$ as follow:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{f}_{n}(x):=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} K_{h_{i}}\left(x-\hat{\varepsilon}_{i}\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $x \in \mathbb{R}$ with $K_{h_{i}}(x)=\frac{1}{h_{i}} K\left(\frac{x}{h_{i}}\right)$. We shall show that $\hat{f}_{n}(x)$ is asymptotically normal at fixed point.

## ASSUMPTIONS

(A1) $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} K(u) \mathrm{d} u=1, \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} u K(u) \mathrm{d} u=0, \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} u^{2} K(u) \mathrm{d} u<\infty$,
(A2) $K(),. K^{\prime} \in L_{1}, K^{\prime \prime \prime}$ exists on the real line and $K^{\prime \prime}(.) \in L_{1}$ (bounded),
(A3) $\mathbb{E} X_{i-1}^{4}<\infty$,
(A4) $h_{n} \downarrow 0$,
(A5) $\int_{\mathbb{R}}|u| K(u) \mathrm{du}<\infty, \int_{\mathbb{R}}|u| K^{2}(u) \mathrm{du}<\infty$,
(A6) $\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|u K^{\prime}(u)\right| \mathrm{d} u<\infty$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}}|u|\left|K^{\prime}(u)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} u<\infty$.

### 2.2 Some auxiliary results

In this section, we will present some important lemmas which will be used to prove the above main results.

Lemma 2.1 Let random variables $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ be END.
(i) If $f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{n}$ are are all non decreasing (or non increasing) functions, then random variables $f_{1}\left(X_{1}\right), f_{2}\left(X_{2}\right), \ldots, f_{n}\left(X_{n}\right)$ are END.
(ii) For each $n \geq 1$, there exists a constant $M>0$ such that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}^{+}\right) \leq M \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E} X_{i}^{+}
$$

Lemma 2.2 Let $\left\{X_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ be a sequence of END random variables, then for each $n \geq 1$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists a constant $M>0$ such that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \exp \left\{-\lambda X_{i}\right\}\right) \leq M \prod_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Eexp}\left\{-\lambda X_{i}\right\}
$$

Lemma 2.3 Let $\left\{X_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ be a sequence of END random variables with $\mathbb{E} X_{n}=0$ and $\left|X_{n}\right| \leq d_{n}$ a.s. For each $n \geq 1$, where $\left\{d_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ is a sequence of positive constants. Assume that $t>0$ such that $t . \max _{1 \leq i \leq n} d_{i} \leq 1$. Then for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a constant $M>0$ such that

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}\right|>\varepsilon\right) \leq 2 M \exp \left\{-t \varepsilon+t^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E} X_{i}^{2}\right\}
$$

### 2.3 Asymptotic Distribution of $\hat{f}_{n}$ at a fixd point

In this section, we consider the asymptotic distribution of $\hat{f}_{n}(x)$, we have the asymptotic normality result follow

Theorem 2.1 Suppose that at a fixed $t \in \mathbb{R}$, there existe a constant $0<r<\infty$ such that $f$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(x)-f(x-y)| \leq r|y|, \text { for all } y \in \mathbb{R} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that $h_{n}$ satisfies $n h_{n} \rightarrow \infty$. Then, under assumptions (A2), (A4) and(2.7) we have that in distribution

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\hat{f}_{n}(x)-\int K(u) f\left(x-h_{i} u\right) \mathrm{du}}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(f_{n}(x)\right)}} \rightarrow N(0,1) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we start prove the auxiliary lemma before proving the Theorem (2.1).
Lemma 2.4 Suppose that (2.7), (2.10) and the assumptions (A2), (A4) and (A6) hold. Then we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\hat{f}_{n}(x)-f_{n}(x)\right|=O\left(\frac{\left(n \log n(\log \log n)^{l}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{h_{n}^{2}}\right) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.3. ASYMPTOTIC DISTRIBUTION OF $\hat{F}_{N}$ AT A FIXD POINT

Proof of Lemma(2.4): We have by (2.5) and (2.8)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\varepsilon}_{i}-\varepsilon_{i}=-\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}-\theta\right) X_{i-1} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (A2) and (2.13), we can obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\hat{f}_{n}(x)-f_{n}(x)\right| & =\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{h_{i}}\left[K\left(\frac{x-\hat{\varepsilon}_{i}}{h_{i}}\right)-K\left(\frac{x-\varepsilon_{i}}{h_{i}}\right)\right]\right| \\
& =\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\frac{\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}-\theta\right) X_{i-1}}{h_{i}^{2}} K^{\prime}\left(\frac{x-\varepsilon_{i}}{h_{i}}\right)+\frac{\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}-\theta\right)^{2} X_{i-1}^{2}}{2 h_{n}^{3}} K^{\prime \prime}\left(\zeta_{i x}\right)\right]\right| \\
& \leq \frac{\left|\hat{\theta}_{n}-\theta\right|}{n}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{X_{i-1}}{h_{i}^{2}}\left[K^{\prime}\left(\frac{x-\varepsilon_{i}}{h_{i}}\right)-\mathbb{E}\left(K^{\prime}\left(\frac{x-\varepsilon_{i}}{h_{i}}\right)\right)+\mathbb{E}\left(K^{\prime}\left(\frac{x-\varepsilon_{i}}{h_{i}}\right)\right)\right]\right| \\
& +\frac{c_{1}\left|\hat{\theta}_{n}-\theta\right|^{2}}{2 n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{X_{i-1}^{2}}{h_{i}^{3}}, \\
& \leq \frac{\left|\hat{\theta}_{n}-\theta\right|}{n}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{X_{i-1}}{h_{i}^{2}}\left[K^{\prime}\left(\frac{x-\varepsilon_{i}}{h_{i}}\right)-\mathbb{E}\left(K^{\prime}\left(\frac{x-\varepsilon_{i}}{h_{i}}\right)\right)\right]\right| \\
& +\frac{\left|\hat{\theta}_{n}-\theta\right|}{n}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{X_{i-1}}{h_{i}^{2}} \mathbb{E}\left(K^{\prime}\left(\frac{x-\varepsilon_{i}}{h_{i}}\right)\right)\right|+\frac{c_{1}\left|\hat{\theta}_{n}-\theta\right|^{2}}{2 n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{X_{i-1}^{2}}{h_{i}^{3}}, \\
& =\frac{\left|\hat{\theta}_{n}-\theta\right|}{n}\left|S_{n 1}\right|+\frac{\left|\hat{\theta}_{n}-\theta\right|}{n}\left|S_{n 2}\right|+\frac{c_{1}\left|\hat{\theta}_{n}-\theta\right|^{2}}{2 n} S_{n 3}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c_{1}\left(0<c_{1}<\infty\right)$ is an upper bound for $\left|K^{\prime \prime}\right|,\left(\left|K^{\prime \prime}(u)\right| \leq c_{1}, \forall u \in \mathbb{R}\right)$, and $\zeta_{i x}$ is a number between $\frac{x-\hat{\varepsilon}_{i}}{h_{i}}$ and $\frac{x-\varepsilon_{i}}{h_{i}}$, more ever that $S_{n 1}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i}$ where

$$
Y_{i}=\frac{X_{i-1}}{h_{i}^{2}}\left[K^{\prime}\left(\frac{x-\varepsilon_{i}}{h_{i}}\right)-\mathbb{E}\left(K^{\prime}\left(\frac{x-\varepsilon_{i}}{h_{i}}\right)\right)\right] .
$$

By lemma 2.1, we see that $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n}$ still END random variables with $\mathbb{E} Y_{i}=0$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$.

$$
\mathbb{E} Y_{i}^{2}=\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{X_{i-1}}{h_{i}^{2}}\left[K^{\prime}\left(\frac{x-\varepsilon_{i}}{h_{i}}\right)-\mathbb{E}\left(K^{\prime}\left(\frac{x-\varepsilon_{i}}{h_{i}}\right)\right)\right]\right)^{2}
$$

Since $X_{i}$ and $\left(\varepsilon_{i+1}, \varepsilon_{i+2}, \ldots\right)$ are independent by (2.6), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} Y_{i}^{2} & =\mathbb{E}\left(X_{i-1}^{2}\right) \mathbb{E} \frac{1}{h_{i}^{4}}\left[K^{\prime}\left(\frac{x-\varepsilon_{i}}{h_{i}}\right)-\mathbb{E}\left(K^{\prime}\left(\frac{x-\varepsilon_{i}}{h_{i}}\right)\right)\right]^{2} \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left(X_{i-1}^{2}\right) \mathbb{E} \frac{1}{h_{i}^{4}} K^{\prime}\left(\frac{x-\varepsilon_{i}}{h_{i}}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using $\mathbb{E} X_{i}^{2}=\mathbb{E} X_{0}^{2}<\infty$ and $K(x)$ is bounded function, which implies that

$$
\mathbb{E} Y_{i}^{2}=\mathbb{E}\left(X_{i-1}^{2}\right) \mathbb{E} \frac{1}{h_{i}^{4}} K^{\prime}\left(\frac{x-\varepsilon_{i}}{h_{i}}\right)^{2} \leq C_{1} \frac{1}{h_{i}^{4}}<\infty \quad \text { for } \quad i=1, \ldots, n
$$

By $h_{n}=n^{-\sigma} \downarrow 0$ with $0<\sigma<1$ we can obtain

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Var}\left(Y_{i}\right) \leq C_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{h_{i}^{4}} \leq C_{1} \frac{n}{h_{n}^{4}}
$$

Set $\lambda(n)=\left[\frac{n h_{n}^{4}}{\left(\log n(\log \log n)^{r}\right)}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$, and taking $t=\frac{\varepsilon h_{n}^{4}}{2 C_{1} \lambda(n)}$, them by Lemma 4.2 we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(\lambda(n)\left|\frac{1}{n} S_{n 1}\right|>\varepsilon\right) & =P\left(\left|S_{n 1}\right|>\frac{n \varepsilon}{\lambda(n)}\right) \\
& \leq 2 M_{1} \exp \left\{-\frac{\varepsilon n t}{\lambda(n)}+\frac{C_{1} n t^{2}}{h_{n}^{4}}\right\} \\
& =2 M_{1} \exp \left\{-\frac{\varepsilon^{2} n h_{n}^{4}}{2 C_{1} \lambda^{2}}+\frac{\varepsilon^{2} n h_{n}^{4}}{4 C_{1} \lambda^{2}(n)}\right\} \\
& =2 M_{1} \exp \left\{-\frac{\varepsilon^{2} n h_{n}^{4}}{4 C_{1} \lambda^{2}(n)}\right\} \\
& =2 M_{1} \exp \left\{-\frac{\varepsilon^{2} \log n(\log \log n)^{l}}{4 C_{1}}\right\} \\
& \leq 2 M_{1} n^{-\left(1+k_{0}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left(\left|S_{n 1}\right|>\varepsilon \frac{\left(n \log n(\log \log n)^{l}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{h_{n}^{2}}\right) \leq 2 M_{1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\left(1+k_{0}\right)}<\infty \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.14), it follows that $S_{n 1}=O\left(\frac{\left(n \log n(\log \log n)^{l}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{h_{n}^{2}}\right)$ complete convergence.
Similarly, we proof $S_{n 2}$ such that $S_{n 2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_{i}$ with

$$
Z_{i}=\frac{X_{i-1}}{h_{i}^{2}} \mathbb{E} K^{\prime}\left(\frac{x-\varepsilon_{i}}{h_{i}}\right)
$$

After the same calculations we get

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\left|S_{n 2}\right|>\varepsilon \frac{\left(n \log n(\log \log n)^{l}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{h_{n}^{2}}\right) \leq 2 M_{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\left(1+k_{1}\right)}<\infty
$$

So $S_{n 2}=O\left(\frac{\left(n \log n(\log \log n)^{l}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{h_{n}^{2}}\right)$ complete convergence
It remains to be seen that $S_{n 3}$ converges almost complete We have

$$
S_{n 3}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{X_{i-1}^{2}}{h_{i}^{3}}
$$

We know that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left\{\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{h_{i}^{3}}\left[X_{i-1}^{2}-E X_{i-1}^{2}+\mathbb{E} X_{i-1}^{2}\right]\right|>\frac{n \varepsilon}{\lambda^{\prime}(n)}\right\} \subset \\
\left\{\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{h_{i}^{3}}\left[X_{i-1}^{2}-\mathbb{E} X_{i-1}^{2}\right]\right|+\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{h_{i}^{3}} E X_{i-1}^{2}\right|>\frac{n \varepsilon}{\lambda^{\prime}(n)}\right\}
\end{array}
$$

By using the triangular inequality we obtains that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{h_{i}^{3}}\left[X_{i-1}^{2}-\mathbb{E} X_{i-1}^{2}+\mathbb{E} X_{i-1}^{2}\right]\right|>\frac{n \varepsilon}{\lambda^{\prime}(n)}\right) \leq \\
\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{h_{i}^{3}}\left[X_{i-1}^{2}-\mathbb{E} X_{i-1}^{2}\right]\right|+\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{h_{i}^{3}} \mathbb{E} X_{i-1}^{2}\right|>\frac{n \varepsilon}{\lambda^{\prime}(n)}\right)
\end{array}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{h_{i}^{3}}\left[X_{i-1}^{2}-\mathbb{E} X_{i-1}^{2}\right]\right|+\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{h_{i}^{3}} \mathbb{E} X_{i-1}^{2}\right|>\frac{n \varepsilon}{\lambda^{\prime}(n)}\right) \leq \\
& \quad \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{h_{i}^{3}}\left[X_{i-1}^{2}-\mathbb{E} X_{i-1}^{2}\right]\right|>\frac{n \varepsilon}{\lambda^{\prime}(n)}-\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{h_{i}^{3}} \mathbb{E} X_{i-1}^{2}\right|\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Set $\mathbb{E} X_{i-1}^{2}=a<\infty$ and using $h_{n} \downarrow 0$ we obtain that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{h_{i}^{3}}\left[X_{i-1}^{2}-\mathbb{E} X_{i-1}^{2}\right]\right|+\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{h_{i}^{3}} \mathbb{E} X_{i-1}^{2}\right|>\frac{n \varepsilon}{\lambda^{\prime}(n)}\right) \leq \\
\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{h_{i}^{3}}\left[X_{i-1}^{2}-E X_{i-1}^{2}\right]\right|>\frac{n \varepsilon}{\lambda^{\prime}(n)}-\frac{n a}{h_{n}^{3}}\right)
\end{array}
$$

$\operatorname{Set} \lambda^{\prime}(n)=\left[\frac{n h_{n}^{3}}{\left(\log n(\log \log n)^{l}\right)}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$, and taking $t^{\prime}=\frac{\varepsilon h_{n}^{3}}{2 C_{1} \lambda(n)}$, by Lemma 4.2 we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{h_{i}^{3}}\left[X_{i-1}^{2}-\mathbb{E} X_{i-1}^{2}\right]\right|>\frac{n \varepsilon}{\lambda^{\prime}(n)}-\frac{n a}{h_{n}^{3}}\right) & \leq 2 M_{2} \exp \left\{-t^{\prime}\left(\frac{n \varepsilon}{\lambda^{\prime}(n)}-\frac{n a}{h_{n}^{3}}\right)+t^{\prime 2} \frac{n C}{h_{n}^{3}}\right\} \\
& \leq 2 M_{2} \exp \left\{-\frac{n \varepsilon^{2} h_{n}^{3}}{2 C_{1} \lambda^{\prime 2}(n)}+\frac{n a \varepsilon}{2 \lambda^{\prime}(n)}+\frac{n \varepsilon^{2} h_{n}^{3}}{4 C_{1} \lambda^{\prime 2}(n)}\right\} \\
& \leq 2 M \exp \left\{-\frac{\varepsilon^{2} \log n(\log \log n)^{l}}{4 C_{1}}+\frac{n a \varepsilon}{2 \lambda^{\prime}(n)}\right\} \\
& \leq 2 M n^{-\left(1+k_{2}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{h_{i}^{3}}\left[X_{i-1}^{2}-E X_{i-1}^{2}\right]\right|>\frac{n \varepsilon}{\lambda^{\prime}(n)}-\frac{n a}{h_{n}^{3}}\right) \leq 2 M_{1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\left(1+k_{2}\right)}<\infty
$$

So $S_{n 3}=O\left(\frac{\left(n \log n(\log \log n)^{l}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{h_{n}^{3}}-\frac{n a}{h_{n}^{3}}\right)$ complete convergence
From the above results it can be concluded that

$$
\left|\hat{f}_{n}(x)-f_{n}(x)\right|=O\left(\frac{\left(n \log n(\log \log n)^{l}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{h_{n}^{2}}\right)
$$

Proof of Theorem (2.1):
On a

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(f_{n}(x)\right)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} K(u) f\left(x-h_{i} u\right) \mathrm{d} u
$$

we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{f}_{n}(x)-\int_{\mathbb{R}} K(u) f\left(x-h_{i} u\right) \mathrm{d} u=\left[\hat{f}_{n}(x)-f_{n}(x)\right]+\left[f_{n}(x)-\mathbb{E}\left(f_{n}(x)\right)\right] . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.10), we find that

$$
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} K(u) f\left(x-h_{i} u\right) \mathrm{d} u-f(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(u) \mathrm{du}\right| \leq r h_{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}}|u| K(u) \mathrm{d} u,
$$

the same bound when we replaced $K$ by $K^{2}$. Morover, as combinig these bounds with assumptions (A5), we have

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} K(u) f\left(x-h_{i} u\right) \mathrm{d} u=f(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(u) \mathrm{d} u+O\left(h_{n}\right)
$$

The same expression when we replaced $K$ by $K^{2}$. Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Var}\left(f_{n}(x)\right) & =\frac{1}{n h_{n}^{2}} \operatorname{Var}\left(K\left(\frac{x-\epsilon_{1}}{h_{i}}\right)\right)  \tag{2.16}\\
& =\frac{1}{n h_{n}}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} K^{2}(x) f\left(x-h_{i} u\right) \mathrm{du}-h_{i}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} K(u) f\left(x-h_{n} u\right) \mathrm{du}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{n h_{n}}\left[f(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}} K^{2}(u) \mathrm{du}+O\left(h_{n}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\frac{f_{n}(x)-\mathbb{E}\left(f_{n}(x)\right)}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(f_{n}(x)\right)}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{K\left(\frac{x-\epsilon_{i}}{h_{i}}\right)-\mathbb{E}\left(K\left(\frac{x-\epsilon_{1}}{h_{i}}\right)\right)}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(K\left(\frac{x-\epsilon_{1}}{h_{i}}\right)\right)}}
$$

We shall useLindeberg Feller's Central Limit Theorem to prove the asymptotic normality, we must verify the Lindeberg Feller's condition as follows.

Fixing any $\epsilon>0$. Using the boundness of $K$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\left(K\left(\frac{x-\epsilon_{i}}{h_{i}}\right)-\mathbb{E}\left(K\left(\frac{x-\epsilon_{1}}{h_{i}}\right)\right)\right)^{2}}{\operatorname{Var}\left(K\left(\frac{x-\epsilon_{1}}{h_{i}}\right)\right)} I\left\{\left|\frac{K\left(\frac{x-\epsilon_{i}}{h_{i}}\right)-\mathbb{E}\left(K\left(\frac{x-\epsilon_{1}}{h_{i}}\right)\right)}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(K\left(\frac{x-\epsilon_{1}}{h_{i}}\right)\right)}}\right|>\epsilon \sqrt{n}\right\}\right]= \\
O\left(\frac{1}{\operatorname{Var}\left(K\left(\frac{x-\epsilon_{1}}{h_{i}}\right)\right)} \mathbb{E}\left[I\left\{\left|\frac{K\left(\frac{x-\epsilon_{1}}{h_{i}}\right)-\mathbb{E}\left(K\left(\frac{x-\epsilon_{1}}{h_{i}}\right)\right)}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(K\left(\frac{x-\epsilon_{1}}{h_{i}}\right)\right.}}\right|>\epsilon \sqrt{n}\right\}\right]=\right. \\
O\left(\frac{\mathbb{P}\left(\left|K\left(\frac{x-\epsilon_{1}}{h_{i}}\right)-\mathbb{E}\left(K\left(\frac{x-\epsilon_{1}}{h_{i}}\right)\right)\right|>\epsilon \sqrt{n \operatorname{Var}\left(K\left(\frac{x-\epsilon_{1}}{h_{i}}\right)\right)}\right)}{\operatorname{Var}\left(K\left(\frac{x-\epsilon_{1}}{h_{i}}\right)\right)}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

we find by Chebyshev's inequality

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\left\{\left|K\left(\frac{x-\epsilon_{1}}{h_{i}}\right)-\mathbb{E}\left(K\left(\frac{x-\epsilon_{1}}{h_{i}}\right)\right)\right|>\epsilon \sqrt{n \operatorname{Var}\left(K\left(\frac{x-\epsilon_{1}}{h_{i}}\right)\right)}\right\}\right) \leq \frac{1}{e^{2} n}
$$

So, we obtain that
$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\left(K\left(\frac{x-\epsilon_{i}}{h_{i}}\right)-\mathbb{E}\left(K\left(\frac{x-\epsilon_{1}}{h_{i}}\right)\right)\right)^{2}}{\operatorname{Var}\left(K\left(\frac{x-\epsilon_{1}}{h_{i}}\right)\right)} I\left\{\left|\frac{K\left(\frac{x-\epsilon_{i}}{h_{i}}\right)-\mathbb{E}\left(K\left(\frac{x-\epsilon_{1}}{h_{i}}\right)\right)}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(K\left(\frac{x-\epsilon_{1}}{h_{i}}\right)\right)}}\right|>\epsilon \sqrt{n}\right\}\right]=O\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2} n \operatorname{Var}\left(K\left(\frac{x-\epsilon_{1}}{h_{n}}\right)\right)}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =O\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2} n h_{n}\left[f(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}} K^{2}(u) \mathrm{du}+O\left(h_{n}\right)\right.}\right. \\
& \rightarrow 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

when we use (2.16), $f(x)>0$ and $n h_{n} \rightarrow \infty, h_{n} \rightarrow 0$. By the Lindeberg Feller's Central Limit Theorem (e.g, Theorem 4.12 in Kallenberg (1997)), we obtain that in distribution

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f_{n}(x)-\mathbb{E}\left(f_{n}(x)\right)}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(f_{n}(x)\right)}} \rightarrow N(0,1) . \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining this result with (2.15), in order to show (2.11) we see that it suffices to prove

$$
\frac{\left|\hat{f}_{n}(x)-f_{n}(x)\right|}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(f_{n}(x)\right)}}=O(1)
$$

By (2.16), $h_{n} \rightarrow 0$ and $f(x)>0$, it follows that $1 / \sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(f_{n}(x)\right)}=O\left(\sqrt{n h_{n}}\right)$. So, in order to show (2.17), it suffices to prove that

$$
\sqrt{n h_{n}}\left|\hat{f}_{n}(x)-f_{n}(x)\right|=O(1)
$$

this follows from (2.12) that

$$
\sqrt{n h_{n}}\left|\hat{f}_{n}(x)-f_{n}(x)\right|=O\left(\frac{\left(\log n(\log \log n)^{l}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{h_{n}^{\frac{5}{2}}}\right)
$$
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## Chapter 3

## Complete convergence for recursive probability density estimator of LNQD orthant dependent variables


#### Abstract

Recursive estimation of the probability density function $f(x)$ for stationary processes $X_{t}$ is considered. Quadratic-mean convergence and asymptotic normality for density estimators $f_{n}(x)$ are established for strong mixing and for asymptotically uncorrelated processes $X_{t}$. Recent results for nonrecursive density estimators are extended to the recursive case.


### 3.1 Introduction

Lehmann (1966) introduced a definition of negative dependence: two random variables $X$ and $Y$ are said to be negatively quadrant dependent (NQD, for short) if, for all real $x$ and $y, \mathbb{P}(X>x, Y>$ $y) \leq \mathbb{P}(X>x) \mathbb{P}(Y>y)$. Note that two random variables $X$ and $Y$ are NQD if and only if $\operatorname{Cov}(f(X), g(Y)) \leq 0$ for all real valued nondecreasing functions $f$ and $g$ (such that $f(X)$ and $g(Y)$ have finite variances).

A sequence $\left\{X_{i}, 1 \leq X_{i} \leq n\right\}$ of random variables is said to be linearly negative quadrant dependent (LNQD, for short) if, for any disjoint finite subsets A, B of $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ and any positive real numbers $r j s, \sum_{i \in A} r_{i} X_{i}$ and $\sum_{j \in B} r_{j} X_{j}$ are NQD. The concept of LNQD is due to Newman [2]. It is obvious that LNQD implies NQD. A sequence $\left\{X_{i}, 1 \leq X_{i} \leq n\right\}$ of random variables is said to be negatively lower orthant dependent (NLOD) if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left(X_{1} \leq x_{1}, \ldots, X_{n} \leq x_{n}\right) \leq \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}\left(X_{i} \leq x_{i}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and it is said to be negatively upper orthant dependent (NUOD) if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left(X_{1}>x_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}>x_{n}\right) \leq \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}\left(X_{i}>x_{i}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

A sequence $\left\{X_{i}, 1 \leq X_{i} \leq n\right\}$ of random variables is said to be negatively orthant dependent (NOD) if it is both NUOD and NLOD.

Definition 3.1 Two random variables $X$ and $Y$ are said to be negatively orthant quadrant dependent (NQD) if for all real numbers $x, y$ we have that,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}(X \leq x, Y \leq y) \leq \mathbb{P}(X \leq x) \mathbb{P}(Y \leq y) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}(X>x, Y>y) \leq \mathbb{P}(X>x) \mathbb{P}(Y>y) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The estimation of a probability density function is a fundamental problem. Throughout this paper, let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ be a sequence of random variables with the unknown probability density function $f(x)$, and distribution function $F(x)$. The hazard rate $r(x)=\frac{f(x)}{1-F(x)}$. Parzen and Rosenblatt introducing the classical kernel estimator of $f(x)$

$$
f_{n}(x)=\frac{1}{n h_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} K\left(\frac{x-X_{i}}{h_{n}}\right) .
$$

Wolverton and Wagner introduced the following recursive kernel estimator of $f(x)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{f}_{n}(x)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{h_{i}} K\left(\frac{x-X_{i}}{h_{i}}\right), \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0<h_{n} \downarrow 0$ are bandwidths and $K$ is some kernel function. Note that (3.5) can be computed recursively by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}(x)=\frac{n-1}{n} f_{n-1}(x)+\left(n h_{n}\right)^{-1} K\left(\frac{x-h_{n}}{h_{n}}\right) . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This property of (3.6) useful in large sample size since $f_{n}(x)$ can be easily updated with each additional observation. Liang and Baek discussed the point asymptotic normality for $f_{n}(x)$ under negatively associated random variables. Li and Yang studied the strong covergence rate of recursive probability density estimator based NA random variables. Li et al discuss the asymptotic bias, quadratic-mean convergence and establish the pointwise asymptotic normality of $f_{n}(x)$ for a stationary sequence of negatively associated sequences. furthermore, the estimator can be applied in estimating the hazard rate function, which is defined as $r(x)=f(x) /(1-F(x))$, where $f(x)$ is the unknown marginal probability density function and $F(x)$ is the distribution function. The general hazard rate estimator of $r(x)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{r}_{n}(x)=\frac{\hat{f}_{n}(x)}{1-F_{n}(x)} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{n}(x)$ is the empirical distribution of $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$.
Therefore, the properties of $\hat{f}_{n}(x)$ are extensively discussed by some authors. For example, Liang and Baek discussed the point asymptotic normality for $\hat{f}_{n}(x)$ under NA random variables. Masry obtained the quadratic mean convergence and asymptotic normality of the recursive estimator under various assumptions on the dependence of $X_{i} ; \mathrm{Li}$ et al. discuss the asymptotic bias, quadratic-mean convergence and establish the pointwise asymtotic normality of $\hat{f}_{n}(x)$ for a stationary sequence of NA sequences.Li and Yang studied the strong convergence rate of recursive probability density estimator $\hat{f}_{n}(x)$ based on NA random variables. Li extend the results of Li and Yang from NA random variables to END random variables.

In this paper, we will consider the complete convergence rate probability density estimator of (3.5) under strictly stationary LNQD random variables.

### 3.2 Main results

In this section, we will present the complete convergence for the recursive kernel estimator $\hat{f}_{n}(x)$. We adopte the following assumptions which were also used in Li and Yang and Li.

$$
\left(A_{1}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(u) \mathrm{d} u=1, \int_{\mathbb{R}} u K(u) \mathrm{d} u=0, \int_{\mathbb{R}} u^{2} K(u) \mathrm{d} u<\infty, K(.) \in L_{1} ;
$$

$\left(A_{2}\right)$ The sequence of bandwiths $h_{n}$ satisfies the requirement $0<h_{n} \downarrow 0$ and $n h_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Theorem 3.1 Let $\left\{X_{n} ; n \geq 1\right\}$ be LNQD sequence. and let assumptions $\left(A_{1}\right)$ and $\left(A_{2}\right)$ hold. Suppose tat the kernel $K($.$) is a bounded monotone density function and the bandwith h_{n}=O\left(\frac{\log n}{n \beta}\right)$. Then for any $x \in C^{2}(f)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\hat{f}_{n}(x)-f(x)\right|=O\left(\left[\log n / \beta n h_{n}\right]^{1 / 2}\right), \text { completely. } \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.2 Let $\left\{X_{n} ; n \geq 1\right\}$ be LNQD sequence, and let assumptions $\left(A_{1}\right)$ and $\left(A_{2}\right)$ hold. Suppose that the kernel $K($.$) is a bounded monotone density function and the bandwith h_{n}=O\left(\frac{\log n}{n \beta}\right) \rightarrow 0$. Then for any $x \in C^{2}(f)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{f}_{n}(x)-f(x) \rightarrow 0, \text { completely } . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.3 Let $\left\{X_{n} ; n \geq 1\right\}$ be LNQD sequence and $\left[\log n / n \beta h_{n}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow 0$. Suppose that the assumptions $\left(A_{1}\right)$ and $\left(A_{2}\right)$ hold with the kernel $K($.$) is a bounded monotone density function and the$ bandwith satisfies that $h_{n}=O([\log n / n \beta])$. If there exists a point $x_{0}$ such that $F\left(x_{0}\right)<1$, then for any $x \leq x_{0}$ and $x \in C^{2}(f)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\hat{r}_{n}(x)-r(x)\right|=O\left(\left[\log n / \beta n h_{n}\right]^{1 / 2}\right), \text { completely } \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.4 Let $\left\{X_{n} ; n \geq 1\right\}$ be $L N Q D$ sequence. Suppose that the kernel $K($.$) is a bounded$ monotone density function and $\left[\log n / \beta n h_{n}\right]^{1 / 2} \rightarrow 0$. IF there exists a point $x_{0}$ such that $F\left(x_{0}\right)<1$, then for any $x \leq x_{0}$ and $x \in C^{2}(f)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{r}_{n}(x)-r(x) \rightarrow 0, \text { completely } . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.3 Some lemmas

In this section, we will present some important lemmas which will be used to prove the above main results.

Lemma 3.1 Let $X$ and $Y$ two random variables be $L N Q D$, then
(i) if $f$ and $g$ are both nondecreasing or both nonincreasing functions, then $f(X)$ and $g(Y)$ are $L N Q D$.
(ii) if $X$ and $Y$ are nonnegative random variables, then $\mathbb{E}(X Y) \leq \mathbb{E} X \mathbb{E} Y$,
(iii) especially, for any real number $h, \mathbb{E}\left(e^{h(X+Y)}\right) \leq \mathbb{E}\left(e^{h X} \mathbb{E}\left(e^{h Y}\right)\right)$.

Lemma 3.2 Let $\left\{X_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ be a sequence of LNQD random variables and $t>0$. Then for each $n \geq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} e^{t X_{i}}\right) \leq \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(\mathbb{E} e^{t X_{i}}\right) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.3 Let $\alpha>0$ constants and $0<\beta \leq \frac{\alpha^{2}}{e^{\alpha}-1-\alpha}$. Then for all $0 \leq x \leq \alpha$,

$$
\exp (x) \leq 1+x+\frac{x^{2}}{\beta}
$$

Lemma 3.4 Let $\left\{X_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ be a sequence of $L N Q D$ random variables with $\mathbb{E} X_{n}=0$, suppose that there exists some $t>0$. Then for any $\epsilon>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}\right|>\epsilon\right) \leq \exp \left\{-t \epsilon+\frac{t^{2}}{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E} X_{i}^{2}\right\} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Proof.

For each $i \geq 1$ and $\mathbb{E} X_{i}=0$, by the fact that $1+x \leq e^{x}$ we have

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(e^{t X_{i}}\right) \leq 1+\frac{t^{2}}{\beta} \mathbb{E} X_{i}^{2} \leq \exp \left\{\frac{t^{2}}{\beta} \mathbb{E} X_{i}^{2}\right\}
$$

Then, by lemma (3.2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left(e^{t \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}}\right)=\mathbb{E}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} e^{t X_{i}}\right) \leq \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left(e^{t X_{i}}\right) \leq \exp \left\{\frac{t^{2}}{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E} X_{i}^{2}\right\} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Markov's inequality, Lemma (3.2) and (3.4) we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}>\epsilon\right) & \leq e^{-t \epsilon} \mathbb{E} \exp \left\{t \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}\right\}  \tag{3.15}\\
& \leq e^{-t \epsilon} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E} \exp \left\{t X_{i}\right\} \\
& \leq \exp \left\{-t \epsilon+\frac{t^{2}}{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E} X_{i}^{2}\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

From $\left\{-X_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ still LNQD, by rempalcing $X_{i}$ by $-X_{i}$ in (3.15) we obtain the desired result. Hence the proof is complete

Lemma 3.5 Suppose that $\left(A_{1}\right)$ holds, then for all $x \in C^{2}(f)$,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} k(u) f(x-h u) \mathrm{d} u=f(x)
$$

Lemma 3.6 Suppose that $\left(A_{1}\right)$ holds, then for all $x \in C^{2}(f)$,

$$
\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}^{2}\right)^{-1}\left|\mathbb{E} \hat{f}_{n}(x)-f(x)\right| \leq C<\infty
$$

Lemma 3.7 Let $\left\{X_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ be a sequence of $L N Q D$ random variables with known distribution $F(x)$ and probability function $f(x)$. Let $f(x)$ be the empirical distribution function. If $\lambda_{n}=[\log n / n \beta]^{1 / 2} \rightarrow$ 0 , then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x}\left|F_{n}(x)-F(x)\right|=O\left(\lambda_{n}\right), \text { completely. } \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For $n \geq 1$ and $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Let $F_{n i}(x)=\frac{i}{n}$. By Lemma 2 in Yang we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{-\infty<x<\infty}\left|F_{n}(x)-F(x)\right| \leq \max _{1 \leq j \leq n-1}\left|F_{n}\left(x_{n j}-F_{x_{n j}}\right)\right|+\frac{2}{n} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $n \lambda_{n} \rightarrow \infty$, then for any constant $C_{1}>0$, we have that $\frac{2}{n}<\frac{C_{1} \lambda_{n}}{2}$ for all $n$ large enough. Then from (3.17) it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{P}\left(\sup _{-\infty<x<\infty}\left|F_{n}(x)-F(x)\right|>C_{1} \lambda_{n}\right) & \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\max _{1 \leq j \leq n-1}\left|F_{n}\left(x_{n j}\right)-F\left(x_{n j}\right)\right|>C_{1} \frac{1}{n}\right) \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{P}\left(\left|F_{n}\left(x_{n j}\right)-F\left(x_{n j}\right)\right|>C_{1} \frac{\lambda_{n}}{2}\right) \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Now. Let $\xi_{i}=I\left(X_{i}<x_{n j}\right)-\mathbb{E} I\left(X_{i}<x_{n j}\right)$. By Lemma (3.2), we have that $\left\{\xi_{i}, i \geq 1\right\}$ is still a sequence of LNQD random variables with $\mathbb{E} \xi_{i}=0,\left|\xi_{i}\right| \leq 2$ and $E \xi_{i}^{2} \leq 1$. By choosing $t=\frac{C_{1} \lambda_{n}}{4} \beta$ in Lemma (3.4), for all $n$ large enough we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(\left|F_{n}\left(x_{n j}\right)-F\left(x_{n j}\right)\right|>\frac{C_{1} \lambda_{n}}{2}\right) & =\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i}\right|>\frac{C_{1} n \lambda_{n}}{2}\right) \\
& \leq \exp \left\{\frac{t^{2}}{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E \xi_{i}^{2}-\frac{C_{1} n \lambda_{n} t}{2}\right\} \\
& \leq \exp \left\{\frac{t^{2}}{\beta} n-\frac{C_{1} n \lambda_{n} t}{2}\right\} \\
& \leq \exp \left\{-\frac{C_{1}^{2} n \lambda_{n}^{2}}{16} \beta\right\} \\
& \leq \exp \left\{-\frac{C_{1}^{2} \log n}{16}\right\} \\
& \leq n^{-\frac{C_{1}^{2}}{16}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking $C_{1}$ sufficiently large such that $C_{1}>4 \sqrt{2}$. by (3.6) and (3.7) we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup _{-\infty<x<\infty}\left|F_{n}(x)-F(x)\right|>C_{1} \lambda_{n}\right) & \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} n^{-\frac{C_{1}^{2}}{16}} \\
& \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{1-\frac{C_{1}^{2}}{16}} \\
& \leq \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence. The proof of lemma is complete.

### 3.4 Proof of Main Results

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Set $A_{i}=h_{i}^{-1}\left[K\left(\frac{x-X_{i}}{h_{i}}\right)-\mathbb{E} K\left(\frac{x-X_{i}}{h_{i}}\right)\right]$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Since $K($.$) is bounded$ and monotone, then the $A_{i}, i \geq 1$ is still a sequence of LNQD random variables. Morever, it follows from $0<h_{n} \downarrow 0$ that there exists some positive constant $M_{1}$ such that $\max _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left|A_{i}\right| \leq \frac{M_{1}}{h_{n}}$. By Lemma (3.4) we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E} A_{i}^{2} & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}^{-2} \mathbb{E} K^{2}\left(\frac{x-X_{i}}{h_{i}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}^{-2} \int_{R} K^{2}\left(\frac{x-u}{h_{i}}\right) f(u) \mathrm{du} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}^{-1} \int_{R} K^{2}(u) f\left(x-h_{i} u\right) \mathrm{du} \\
& \leq M_{2} n h_{n}^{-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

### 3.4. PROOF OF MAIN RESULTS

Set $\lambda_{n}=[\log n / n]^{1 / 2}$. Applying Lemma 3.2 with $t=\left[N_{2} \lambda_{n} h_{n} \beta / 2 M_{2}\right]$, where $N_{2}$ is some positive constant which will be specified later. It is easy to check that $t \cdot \frac{M_{1}}{h_{n}} \leq 1$ for all $n$ large enough, then we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\hat{f}_{n}(x)-\mathbb{E} \hat{f}_{n}(x)\right|>N_{2} \lambda_{n}\right) & =\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}\right|>n N_{2} \lambda_{n}\right) \\
& \leq \exp \left\{-n N_{2} \lambda_{n} t+\frac{t^{2}}{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E} A_{i}^{2}\right\} \\
& \leq \exp \left\{-n N_{2} \lambda_{n} t+\frac{t^{2} n M_{2}}{\beta h_{n}}\right\} \\
& \leq \exp \left\{-\frac{n N_{2}^{2} \lambda_{n}^{2} \beta}{2 M_{2}}+\frac{n N_{2}^{2 h_{n} \beta}}{2 M_{2}}+\frac{n N_{2}^{2} h_{n}^{2} M_{2} \beta^{2}}{4 M_{2}^{2} \beta h_{n}}\right. \\
& \leq \exp \left\{-\frac{n N_{2}^{2} \lambda_{n}^{2} h_{n} \beta}{4 M_{2}}\right\} \\
& \leq \exp \left\{-\frac{N_{2}^{2} \log n}{4 M_{2}}\right\} \\
& \leq n^{-\frac{N_{2}^{2}}{4 M_{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking $N_{2}$ large enough such that $N_{2}>2 \sqrt{M_{2}}$, then we have that

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\hat{f}_{n}(x)-\mathbb{E} \hat{f}_{n}(x)\right|>N_{2} \lambda_{n}\right)<\infty
$$

that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\hat{f}_{n}(x)-\mathbb{E} \hat{f}_{n}(x)\right|=O\left(\left[\log n /\left(n \beta h_{n}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}\right), \text { completely } \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, noting that $h_{n}=O([\log n / n \beta])$, we have by Lemma (3.6) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{\log n}{n \beta h_{n}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left|\mathbb{E} \hat{f}_{n}(x)-f(x)\right| & \leq C\left(\frac{\log n}{n \beta h_{n}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}^{2} \\
& \leq C\left(\frac{h_{n} \beta}{n \log n}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}^{2} \\
& \leq C \frac{1}{n \beta^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\log ^{2} i}{i^{2}} \\
& \leq C
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left|\mathbb{E} \hat{f}_{n}(x)-f(x)\right|=O\left(\left[\log n / n \beta h_{n}\right]\right)^{1 / 2}\right) . \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\hat{f}_{n}(x)-f(x)\right| \leq\left|\hat{f}_{n}(x)-\mathbb{E} \hat{f}_{n}(x)\right|+\left|\mathbb{E} \hat{f}_{n}(x)-f(x)\right| \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.4. PROOF OF MAIN RESULTS

Therefore, the desired result follows immediately by 3.19 and 3.21 . The proof is completed.
Proof of Theorem 3.2. By Theorem 3.1 and $\left[\log n / n \beta h_{n}\right]^{1 / 2} \rightarrow 0$ we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{f}_{n}(x)-\mathbb{E} \hat{f}_{n}(x) \rightarrow 0, \text { completely } \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we only need to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathbb{E} \hat{f}_{n}(x)-f(x)\right| \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

without using in Theorem 3.1 the condition $h_{n}=O([\log n / n \beta])$, by Lemma (3.6) and Stolz's Theorem we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\mathbb{E} \hat{f}_{n}(x)-f(x)\right| \leq C \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}^{2}=C \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} h_{n}^{2}=0
$$

Hence, the proof of the theorem 3.2 is completed.
Since the proof of Theorem 3.4 is similair, we only present the proof of Theorem 3.3 as follows.
Proof of Theorem 3.3. Set $\hat{F}_{n}(x)=1-F_{n}(x)$ and $\hat{F}(x)=1-F(x)$. It follows from (3.7) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\hat{r}_{n}(x)-r(x)\right| \leq \frac{\hat{F}(x)\left|\hat{f}_{n}(x)-f(x)\right|+\left|F_{n}(x)-F(x)\right| f(x)}{\hat{F}_{n}(x) \hat{F}(x)} \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

From $0 \leq F(x) \leq F\left(x_{0}\right)<1$ for all $x \leq x_{0}, \sup _{x} f(x) \leq C<\infty$ and 3.8 one has that for $x \leq x_{0}$ and all $n$ large enough,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{F}_{n}(x) \geq \frac{\hat{F}(x)}{2} \geq \frac{\hat{F}\left(x_{0}\right)}{2}>0 \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, the desired result folows from . The proof is completed.
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## Chapter 4

## Complete convergence for widely orthant dependent random variables and its applications in autoregressive $A R(1)$ models


#### Abstract

Exponential inequalities have be an important tool in probability and statistics. Version of Bernstein type inequalities have proved for independent and for some dependence structure. We prove an new exponential inequality for the distributions of sums of widely orthant dependent(WOD, in short)random variables. The results are applied to first-order autoregressive processes $\operatorname{AR}(1)$, if it satisfies the following relation:


$$
X_{n}=\theta X_{n-1}+\zeta_{n} .
$$

With $\left(\zeta_{n}\right)$ is a sequence of the widely orthant dependent (WOD, in short) random variables.

### 4.1 Introduction

The probability limit theorem and its applications for independent random variables have been studied by many authors, while the assumption of independence is not reasonable in real practice. If the independent case is classical in the literature, the treatment of dependent random variables is more recent. One of the important dependence structure is the wide dependence structure, which was introduced by (Wang, Wang and Gao, 2013) as follows.

Definition 4.1 For the random variables $\left\{X_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$, if there exists a finite real sequence $\left\{g_{U}(n), n \geq 1\right\}$ satisfying for each $n$

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(X_{1}>x_{1}, X_{2}>x_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}>x_{n}\right) \leq g_{U}(n) \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}\left(X_{i}>x_{i}\right)
$$

then we say that the $\left\{X_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ are widely upper orthant dependent (WUOD, in short); if there exists a finite real sequence $\left\{g_{L}(n), n \geq 1\right\}$ satisfying for each $n \geq 1$ and for all $\left.x_{i} \in\right]-\infty,+\infty[, 1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(X_{1} \leq x_{1}, X_{2} \leq x_{2}, \ldots, X_{n} \leq x_{n}\right) \leq g_{L}(n) \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}\left(X_{i} \leq x_{i}\right)
$$

then we say that the $\left\{X_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ are widely lower orthant dependent (WLOD, in short); if they are both WUOD and WLOD, then we say that the $\left\{X_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ are widely orthant dependent (WOD, in short), and $g_{U}(n), g_{L}(n), n \geq 1$, are called dominating coefficients.
An array $\left\{X_{n i}, i \geq 1, n \geq 1\right\}$ of random variables is called row-wise WOD if forevery $n \geq 1,\left\{X_{n i}, i \geq 1\right\}$ is a sequence of WOD random variables.
Recall that when $g_{L}(n)=g_{U}(n)=N$ for some constant $N$, the random variables $\left\{X_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ are called extended negatively upper orthant dependent (ENUOD, in short) and extended negatively lower orthant dependent (ENLOD, in short), respectively.
If they are both ENUOD and ENLOD, then we say that the random variables $\left\{X_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ are extended negatively orthant dependent (ENOD, in short). The concept of general extended negative dependence was proposed by (Liu 2009), (Liu 2010) and further promoted by (Chen, Chen and $\mathrm{Ng}, 2010$ ), (Chen, Yuen and Ng, 2011), (Shen, 2011), (Shen, 2011), (Shen, 2013a), (Wang Y, 2011), (Wang Y, 2012), and so forth.
When $g_{L}(n)=g_{U}(n)=1$ for any $n \geq 1$, the random variables $\left\{X_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ are called negatively upper orthant dependent (NUOD, in short) and negatively lower orthant.
The concept of WOD random variables was introduced by (Wang et al, 2013) and many applications have been found subsequently. See, for example, (Wang et al., 2013) provided some examples which showed that the class of WOD random variables contains some common negatively dependent random variables, some positively dependent random variables and some others, in addition, they studied the uniform asymptotics for the finite-time ruin probability of a new dependent risk model with a constant interest rate. (Wang Y, 2011) presented some basic renewal theorems for a random walk with widely dependent increments and gave some applications. (Wang Y, 2012) studied the asymptotics of the finite-time ruin probability for a generalized renewal risk model with independent strong subexponential claim sizes and widely lower orthant dependent inter-occurrence times. )Liu, Gao and Wang, 2012) gave the asymptotically equivalent formula for the finite-time ruin probability under a dependent risk model with constant interest rate. (He and Cheng, 2013) provided the asymptotic lower bounds of precise large deviations with nonnegative and dependent random variables. (Chen, Wang and Wang, 2013) considered uniform asymptotics for the finite-time ruin probabilities of two kinds of nonstandard bidimensional renewal risk models with constant interest forces and diffusion generated by Brownian motions. (Shen, 2013b) established the Bernstein type inequality for WOD random variables and gave some applications, and so forth.

### 4.2 Some lemmas

To prove the main results of the paper, we need the following important lemmas. The first one is a basic property for WOD random variables, which was obtained by(Wang et al., 2013)

## Lemma 4.1

(i) Let $\left\{X_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ be WLOD (WUOD) with dominating coefficients $g_{L}(n), n \geq 1\left(g_{U}(n), n \geq 1\right)$,

- if $\left\{f_{n}(),. n \geq 1\right\}$ are nondecreasing, then $\left\{f_{n}\left(X_{n}\right), n \geq 1\right\}$ are still WLOD (WUOD) with dominating coefficients $g_{L}(n), n \geq 1\left(g_{U}(n), n \geq 1\right)$;
- if $\left\{f_{n}(),. n \geq 1\right\}$ are nonincreasing, then $\left\{f_{n}\left(X_{n}\right), n \geq 1\right\}$ are WUOD (WLOD) with dominating coefficients $g_{L}(n), n \geq 1\left(g_{U}(n), n \geq 1\right)$.
(ii) If $\left\{X_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ are nonnegative and WUOD with dominating coefficients $g_{U}(n), n \geq 1$, then for each $n \geq 1$,

$$
\mathbb{E} \prod_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} \leq g_{U}(n) \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E} X_{i}
$$

In particular, if $\left\{X_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ are WUOD with dominating coefficients $g_{U}(n), n \geq 1$, then for each $n \geq 1$ and any $\lambda>0$,

$$
\mathbb{E} \exp \left\{\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}\right\} \leq g_{U}(n) \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E} \exp \left\{\lambda X_{i}\right\} .
$$

By Lemma 4.1, we can get the following corollary immediately.

Corollary 4.1 Let $\left\{X_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ be a sequence of WOD random variables.
(i) If $\left\{f_{n}(),. n \geq 1\right\}$ are all nondecreasing (or all nonincreasing), then $\left\{f_{n}\left(X_{n}\right), n \geq 1\right\}$ are still WOD.
(ii) For each $n \geq 1$ and any $s \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\mathbb{E} \exp \left\{\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}\right\} \leq g(n) \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E} \exp \left\{\lambda X_{i}\right\}
$$

Proof. For $\lambda>0$, it is easy to see that $\lambda X_{i}$ and $\lambda \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} X_{j}$ are WOD by the definition. Which implies that $\exp \left(\lambda X_{i}\right)$ and $\exp \left(\lambda \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} X_{j}\right)$ are also WOD for $i=1,2, \ldots, n-1$, by Lemma 4.1
(i). It follows from Lemma 4.1 and Definition 4.1 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} e^{\lambda X_{i}}\right) & =\mathbb{E}\left(\exp \left(\lambda X_{1}\right) \exp \left(\lambda \sum_{j=2}^{n} X_{j}\right)\right) \\
& \leq g_{1}(n) \mathbb{E}\left[\exp \left(\lambda X_{1}\right)\right] \mathbb{E}\left[\exp \left(\lambda \sum_{j=2}^{n} X_{j}\right)\right] \\
& =g_{1}(n) \mathbb{E}\left[\exp \left(\lambda X_{1}\right)\right] \mathbb{E}\left[\exp \left(\lambda X_{2}\right) \exp \left(\lambda \sum_{j=3}^{n} X_{j}\right)\right], \\
& \leq g_{1}(n) g_{2}(n) \mathbb{E}\left[\exp \left(\lambda X_{1}\right)\right] \mathbb{E}\left[\exp \left(\lambda X_{2}\right)\right] \mathbb{E}\left[\exp \left(\lambda \sum_{j=3}^{n} X_{j}\right)\right] \\
& \leq \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} g_{i}(n) \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(\mathbb{E} e^{\lambda X_{i}}\right), \\
& =g(n) \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(\mathbb{E} e^{\lambda X_{i}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $g(n)=\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} g_{i}(n)$.

Lemma 4.2 (see (Boulenoir, 2018; Chebbab, 2018)) Let $\alpha>0$ constants and $0<\beta \leq \frac{\alpha^{2}}{e^{\alpha}-1-\alpha}$. Then for all $0 \leq x \leq \alpha$,

$$
\exp (x) \leq 1+x+\frac{x^{2}}{\beta}
$$

Proof. Consider the function

$$
\Psi(x, \beta)=\ln \left(1+x+\frac{x^{2}}{\beta}-x\right) .
$$

We need to prove that $\psi(x, \beta) \geq 0$ for all $0<\beta \geq \frac{\alpha^{2}}{e^{\alpha}-1-\alpha}$ and $0 \leq x \leq \alpha$. Take the derivative

$$
\frac{\partial \psi(x, \beta)}{\partial x}=-\frac{x(x-(2-\beta))}{\beta\left(1+x+\frac{x^{2}}{\beta}\right)} .
$$

Hence, $\psi$ is increasing in $x$ on the interval $(0,2-\beta)$ and decreasing on the interval $(2-\beta)$. Note that $\psi(0, \beta)=0$ and $\psi(\alpha, \beta) \geq 0$ since $0<\beta \geq \frac{\alpha^{2}}{e^{\alpha}-1-\alpha}$.

Lemma 4.3 Let $\left\{X_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ be a sequence of WOD random variables with $E X_{i}=0$ for each $n \geq 1$, for all $0 \leq X_{i} \leq \frac{\alpha}{\lambda}$ with take $\alpha$ and $\lambda$ constants positives. Then for any $\epsilon>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left(\left|S_{n}\right| \geq n \epsilon\right) \leq 2 g(n) \exp \left\{-\frac{n^{2} \epsilon^{2}}{4 B_{n}} \beta\right\} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E} X_{i}^{2}$

Proof. For $0 \leq X_{i} \leq \frac{\alpha}{\lambda}$ and $\mathbb{E} X_{i}=0, i \geq 1$ and the fact that $1+x \leq e^{x}$, therefore, by Markov's inequality and (4.1) it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{P}\left(S_{n}>n \epsilon\right) & =\mathbb{P}\left(\lambda S_{n}>\lambda n \epsilon\right)  \tag{4.2}\\
& =\mathbb{P}\left(e^{\lambda S_{n}}>e^{\lambda n \epsilon}\right), \\
& \leq e^{-\lambda n \epsilon} \mathbb{E}\left(e^{\lambda S_{n}}\right), \\
& \leq g(n) e^{-\lambda n \epsilon} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left[\exp \left(\lambda X_{i}\right)\right], \\
& \leq g(n) e^{-\lambda n \epsilon} \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1+\frac{\lambda^{2}}{\beta} \mathbb{E} X_{i}^{2}\right), \\
& \leq g(n) e^{-\lambda n \epsilon} \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(e^{\frac{\lambda^{2}}{\beta}} \mathbb{E} X_{i}^{2}\right), \\
& \leq g(n) \exp \left(\frac{\lambda^{2}}{\beta} B_{n}-\lambda n \epsilon\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

By minimizing ( respect to $\lambda$ ) the right-hande side of (4.2) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left(S_{n}>n \epsilon\right) \leq g(n) \exp \left\{-\frac{n^{2} \epsilon^{2}}{4 B_{n}} \beta\right\} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We know that

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\left|S_{n}\right| \geq n \epsilon\right)=\mathbb{P}\left(S_{n}>n \epsilon\right)+\mathbb{P}\left(S_{n} \leq-n \epsilon\right)
$$

Since $\left\{-X_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ is also WOD we obtain by (4.3) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left(S_{n} \leq-n \epsilon\right)=\mathbb{P}\left(-S_{n}>n \epsilon\right) \leq g(n) \exp \left\{-\frac{n^{2} \epsilon^{2}}{4 B_{n}} \beta\right\} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (4.3) and (4.4) the result (4.1) follows.

### 4.3 Main Results and proofs

Theorem 4.1 Let $\left\{X_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ be a sequence of WOD random variables with $\mathbb{E} X_{i}=0$. If there exists a positive constants $\alpha, \lambda$ such that $0 \leq X_{i} \leq \frac{\alpha}{\lambda}, i \geq 1$, then for any $\lambda>0$, there exists a finite sequence $g(n)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left(e^{\lambda S_{n}}\right) \leq g(n) \exp \left(\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2 \beta} B_{n}\right) . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From conditions $\mathbb{E} X_{i}=0$ and using the Lemma (4.2), $1+x \leq e^{x}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E} e^{\lambda X_{i}} & \leq 1+\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2}\left(\frac{X_{i}^{2}}{\beta}\right)  \tag{4.6}\\
& \leq 1+\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2 \beta} \mathbb{E} X_{i}^{2} \\
& \leq \exp \left(\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2 \beta} \mathbb{E} X_{i}^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for any $\lambda>0$. By Corrolary (4.1) and (4.6) we get

$$
\mathbb{E} \exp \left(\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}\right) \leq g(n) \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left(\exp \left(\lambda X_{i}\right)\right) \leq g(n) \exp \left(\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2 \beta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E} X_{i}^{2}\right)=g(n) \exp \left(\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2 \beta} B_{n}\right)
$$

Theorem 4.2 Let $\left\{X_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ be a sequence of WOD random variables with $\mathbb{E} X_{i}=0$ and $\mathbb{E} X_{i}^{2}<\infty$ such that $0 \leq X_{i} \leq \frac{\alpha}{\lambda}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n, n \geq 1$ where $\alpha$ and $\lambda$ are positive constants and $B_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E} X_{i}^{2}$, then for any $\epsilon>0$ and $n \geq 1$, there exists a finite sequence $g(n)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left(S_{n} / B_{n} \geq \epsilon\right) \leq g(n) \exp \left\{-\frac{\epsilon^{2} \beta B_{n}}{4}\right\} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For $\lambda>0$ we have $0 \leq X_{i} \leq \frac{\alpha}{\lambda}$. Then from Markov's inequality it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{P}\left(S_{n} / B_{n} \geq \epsilon\right) & =\mathbb{P}\left(e^{\lambda S_{n}} \geq e^{\lambda \epsilon B_{n}}\right)  \tag{4.8}\\
& \leq e^{-\lambda \epsilon B_{n}} \mathbb{E}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} e^{\lambda X_{i}}\right), \\
& \leq g(n) \exp \left\{\frac{\lambda^{2}}{\beta} B_{n}-\lambda \epsilon B_{n}\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

By taking $\lambda=\frac{\epsilon \beta}{2}$ we obtain (4.7) from (4.8).

Theorem 4.3 Let $\left\{X_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ be a sequence of WOD random variables with $\mathbb{E} X_{i}=0$. If there existe $a$ positive constant $\alpha, \lambda$ such that $0 \leq X_{i} \leq \frac{\alpha}{\lambda}$ for each $n \geq 1$, then for any $\epsilon>0$, there exists a finite sequence $g(n)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left(\left|S_{n}\right| \geq \epsilon\right) \leq 2 g(n) \exp \left(-\frac{\epsilon^{2} \beta}{4 B_{n}}\right), \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E} X_{i}^{2}$.

Proof. From conditions $\mathbb{E} X_{i}=0$ and $0 \leq X_{i} \leq \frac{\alpha}{\lambda}, i \geq 1$.
By Markov's inequality and Corrolary (4.1), Lemma (4.2) with the fact that $1+x \leq e^{x}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{P}\left(S_{n}>\epsilon\right) & \leq e^{-\lambda \epsilon} \mathbb{E}\left(e^{\lambda \epsilon}\right),  \tag{4.10}\\
& \leq g(n) e^{-\lambda \epsilon} \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(e^{\frac{\lambda^{2}}{\beta} \mathbb{E} x_{i}^{2}}\right), \\
& \leq g(n) \exp \left\{\frac{\lambda^{2}}{\beta} B_{n}-\lambda \epsilon\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

Take $\lambda=\frac{\epsilon \beta}{2 B_{n}}$. Hence from (4.10) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left(S_{n}>\epsilon\right) \leq g(n) \exp \left\{-\frac{\epsilon^{2} \beta}{4 B_{n}}\right\} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left\{-X_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ is also sequence of WOD random variables, from (4.11) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left(S_{n} \leq-\epsilon\right)=\mathbb{P}\left(-S_{n} \geq \epsilon\right) \leq g(n) \exp \left(-\frac{\epsilon^{2} \beta}{4 B_{n}}\right) \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.11) and (4.12) we obtain

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\left|S_{n}\right| \geq \epsilon\right)=\mathbb{P}\left(S_{n} \geq \epsilon\right)+\mathbb{P}\left(S_{n} \leq-\epsilon\right) \leq 2 g(n) \exp \left(-\frac{\epsilon^{2} \beta}{4 B_{n}}\right)
$$

Theorem 4.4 Let $\left\{X_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ be a sequence of WOD random variables such that $0 \leq X_{i} \leq \frac{\alpha}{\lambda}, i \leq n$, where $\alpha$ and $\lambda$ are positives constants. Then for any $\epsilon>0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left(\left|S_{n}-\mathbb{E} S_{n}\right| \geq \epsilon\right) \leq 2 g(n) \exp \left(-\frac{\epsilon^{2} \beta}{4 B_{n}}\right) \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $B_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E} X_{i}^{2}$. By Markov's inequality and lemma (4.2) and the fact that $1+x \leq e^{x}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{P}\left(S_{n}-\mathbb{E} S_{n} \geq \epsilon\right) & \leq e^{-\lambda \epsilon} \mathbb{E}\left(e^{\lambda\left(S_{n}-\mathbb{E} S_{n}\right)}\right)  \tag{4.14}\\
& \leq e^{-\lambda \epsilon} \mathbb{E}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} e^{\lambda\left(X_{i}-\mathbb{E} X_{i}\right)}\right) \\
& \leq g(n) e^{-\lambda \epsilon} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left(e^{\lambda\left(X_{i}-\mathbb{E} X_{i}\right)}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

on the other hands we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left(e^{\lambda\left(X_{i}-\mathbb{E} X_{i}\right)}\right) & \leq 1+\mathbb{E}\left(X_{i}-\mathbb{E} X_{i}\right)+\frac{\lambda^{2} \mathbb{E}\left(X_{i}-\mathbb{E} X_{i}\right)^{2}}{\beta} \\
& \leq 1+\frac{\lambda^{2} \mathbb{E} X_{i}^{2}}{\beta} \\
& \leq \exp \left(\frac{\lambda^{2} E X_{i}^{2}}{\beta}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We compensate for this result in the right-hand side of (4.14) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{P}\left(S_{n}-\mathbb{E} S_{n} \geq \epsilon\right) & \leq g(n) e^{-\lambda \epsilon} \prod_{i=1}^{n} e^{\frac{\lambda^{2} \mathbb{E} \mathbb{E}_{i}^{2}}{\beta}}  \tag{4.15}\\
& \leq g(n) \exp \left(\frac{\lambda^{2} B_{n}}{\beta}-\lambda \epsilon\right)
\end{align*}
$$

By taking $\lambda=\frac{\epsilon \beta}{2 B_{n}}$. Hence from (4.15) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left(S_{n}-\mathbb{E} S_{n} \geq \epsilon\right) \leq f(n) \exp \left(-\frac{\epsilon^{2} \beta}{4 B_{n}}\right) \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left\{-X_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ is also a sequence of WOD random variables we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left(S_{n}-\mathbb{E} S_{n} \leq-\epsilon\right)=\mathbb{P}\left(-\left(S_{n}-\mathbb{E} S_{n}\right)>\epsilon\right) \leq g(n) \exp \left(-\frac{\epsilon^{2} \beta}{4 B_{n}}\right) \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

by (4.16) and (4.17) we get (4.13).
Corollary 4.2 Let $\left\{X_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ be a sequence of identically distributed WOD random variables. Assume that there exists a postive integer $n_{0}$ such that $0 \leq X_{i} \leq \frac{\alpha}{\lambda}$, for each $1 \leq i \leq n, n \geq n_{0}$, where $\alpha$ and $\lambda$ are positives constants. Then for any $\epsilon>0$ such that $\epsilon>0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left(\left|S_{n}-\mathbb{E} S_{n}\right| \geq n \epsilon\right) \leq 2 g(n) \exp \left(-\frac{n^{2} \epsilon^{2}}{4 B_{n}}\right) \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 4.5 Let $\left\{X_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ be a sequence of WOD random variables with $\mathbb{E} X_{i}=0$ and $0 \leq X_{i} \leq \frac{\alpha}{\lambda}$, for each $i \geq 1$, where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are positives constants. Then for any $s>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\left|S_{n}\right|>n^{s} \epsilon\right)<\infty \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $B=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} X_{n}^{2}<\infty$. For any $\epsilon>0$, from Theorem (4.3) it follows that for a finite sequence $g(n)$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\left|S_{n}\right|>n^{s} \epsilon\right) & \leq 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g(n) \exp \left(-\frac{n^{2 s} \epsilon^{2} \beta}{4 B_{n}}\right)  \tag{4.20}\\
& \leq 2 C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[\exp \left(-\frac{\epsilon^{2} \beta}{4 B_{n}}\right)\right]^{n^{2 s}} \\
& \leq 2 C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}[\exp (-m)]^{n^{2 s}}<\infty
\end{align*}
$$

where $m$ is a positive number not depending on $n$.
Using the inequality $e^{-x} \leq\left(\frac{a e^{-1}}{x}\right)^{a}$ such that $a>0, x>0$. Then the right-hand side of (4.20) become

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\left|S_{n}\right|>n^{s} \epsilon\right) & \leq 2 C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(a e^{-1}\right)^{a} \frac{1}{m^{a} n^{2 s a}} \\
& \leq \frac{2 C\left(a e^{-1}\right)^{a}}{m^{a}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{2 s a}} \\
& \leq \frac{2 C\left(a e^{-1}\right)^{a}}{m^{a}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{2 C\left(a e^{-1}\right)^{a}}{m^{a}} \frac{\pi^{2}}{6} \\
& \leq \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

### 4.4. APPLICATION RESULTS IN THE FIRST-ORDER AUTOREGRESSIVE $A R(1)$ MODEL

by suppose that $a=\frac{1}{s}$. Hence the proof is complete.
Theorem 4.6 Let $\left\{X_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ be a sequence of identically distributed WOD random variables. Assume that there exists a positive integer $n_{0}$ such that $0 \leq X_{i} \leq \frac{\alpha}{\lambda}$, for each $1 \leq i \leq n, n \geq n_{0}$ where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are positives constants, Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\left|S_{n}-\mathbb{E} S_{n}\right| \geq n \epsilon_{n}\right)<\infty \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 4.7 Let $\left\{X_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ be a sequence of WOD random variables with $0 \leq X_{i} \leq \frac{\alpha}{\lambda}<\infty$, for each $i \geq 1$, such that $\alpha$ and $\lambda$ are positive constants. Then for any $s>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\left|S_{n}-\mathbb{E} S_{n}\right|>n^{s} \epsilon\right)<\infty \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We have from Theorem (4.4), for any $\epsilon>0$, there exists a sequence function $g(n)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\left|S_{n}-\mathbb{E} S_{n}\right|>n^{s} \epsilon\right) & \leq 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g(n)\left[\exp \left(-\frac{\left(n^{s} \epsilon\right)^{2} \beta}{4 B_{n}}\right)\right] \\
& \leq 2 C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[\exp \left(-\frac{\epsilon^{2} \beta}{4 B_{n}}\right)\right]^{n^{2 s}}<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

After this result we get (4.22).

### 4.4 Application results in the first-order autoregressive $A R(1)$ model

### 4.4.1 The $A R(1)$ model

We consider an autoregressive time series of first order $A R(1)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{n}=\theta X_{n-1}+\xi_{i}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{\xi_{i}, i \geq 0\right\}$ is a sequence of WOD random variables with $\xi_{0}=X_{0}=0,0<\mathbb{E} \xi_{k}^{4}<\infty, k=1,2, \ldots$ and $\theta$ is a parameter with $|\theta|<1$. Hence (4.23) as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{i}=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \theta^{j} \xi_{i-j} . \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coefficient $\theta$ is fitted least squares, giving the estimator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\theta}_{n}=\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{j} X_{j-1}}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{j-1}^{2}} \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 4.4. APPLICATION RESULTS IN THE FIRST-ORDER AUTOREGRESSIVE $A R(1)$ MODEL

from (4.23) and (4.25) we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\theta}_{n}-\theta=\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{j} X_{j-1}}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{j-1}^{2}} \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 4.8 let the conditions of theorem (4.4) be satisfied then for any $\frac{\left(\mathbb{E} X_{1}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\rho}<\xi$ positive, we have

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\sqrt{n}\left|\hat{\theta}_{n}-\theta\right|>\rho\right) \leq g(n)\left[\exp \left\{-\frac{n^{2}\left(\rho^{2} \xi^{2}-\mathbb{E} X_{1}\right)}{4 B_{n}}\right\}+\exp \left\{-\frac{\mathbb{E} X_{j-1}^{2}-n \xi^{2}}{4 \mathbb{E} X_{j-1}^{4}}\right\}\right]
$$

where $\mathbb{E} X_{j}^{2}<\infty$ and $\mathbb{E} X_{j}^{4}<\infty$.
Proof. From (4.26) it follows that

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\sqrt{n}\left|\hat{\theta}_{n}-\theta\right|>\rho\right)=\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{j} X_{j-1}}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{j-1}^{2}}\right|>\rho\right)
$$

Therefore, by virtue if the probability properties and Hölder's inequalities, we have that for any $\xi>0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(\sqrt{n}\left|\hat{\theta}_{n}-\theta\right|>\rho\right) & \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{j} \geq \xi^{2} \rho^{2}\right)+\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{j-1}^{2} \leq \rho^{2}\right), \\
& =\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{j} \geq\left(\rho^{2} \xi^{2}\right) n\right)+\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{j-1}^{2} \leq n^{2} \xi^{2}\right), \\
& =J_{n 1}+J_{n 2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we start by estimate $J_{n 1}$ then estimate $J_{n 2}$. We have that

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{n 1} & =\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{j} \geq\left(\rho^{2} \xi^{2}\right) n\right)  \tag{4.27}\\
& =\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(X_{j}-\mathbb{E} X_{j}+\mathbb{E} X_{j}\right) \geq\left(\rho^{2} \xi^{2}\right) n\right) \\
& =\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(X_{j}-\mathbb{E} X_{j}\right) \geq\left(\rho^{2} \xi^{2}-\mathbb{E} X_{1}\right) n\right) \\
& \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(X_{j}-\mathbb{E} X_{j}\right)\right| \geq\left(\rho^{2} \xi^{2}-\mathbb{E} X_{1}\right) n\right)
\end{align*}
$$

By using the Theorem (4.4) the right hand side of (4.27) become

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{n 1}=\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{j} \geq\left(\rho^{2} \xi^{2}\right) n\right) \leq g(n) \exp \left\{-\frac{n^{2}\left(\rho^{2} \xi^{2}-\mathbb{E} X_{1}\right)}{4 B_{n}}\right\} \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 4.4. APPLICATION RESULTS IN THE FIRST-ORDER AUTOREGRESSIVE $A R(1)$ MODEL

Then we shall bound the right hande side of $J_{n 2}$. By Markov's inequality, for any $\lambda>0$ it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& J_{n 2}=\mathbb{P}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{j-1}^{2} \geq n^{2} \xi^{2},\right.  \tag{4.29}\\
&=\mathbb{P}\left(n^{2} \xi^{2}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{j-1}^{2} \geq 0\right), \\
&=\mathbb{E}\left(\amalg_{\left.\left\{n^{2} \xi^{2}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{j-1}^{2} \geq 0\right\}\right),}\right. \\
& \leq \mathbb{E}\left(\exp \left\{\lambda\left(n^{2} \xi^{2}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{j-1}^{2}\right)\right\}\right), \\
& \leq e^{\lambda n^{2} \xi^{2}} \mathbb{E}\left(e^{-\lambda} \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{j-1}^{2}\right), \\
& \leq e^{\lambda n^{2} \xi^{2}} \mathbb{E}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{n} e^{-\lambda X_{j-1}^{2}}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

By using Corollary (4.1) and Lemma (4.2) the right hand side of the expression $J_{n 2}$ become

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{n 2} & \leq g(n) e^{\lambda n^{2} \xi^{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left(e^{-\lambda X_{j-1}^{2}}\right), \\
& \leq g(n) e^{\lambda n^{2} \xi^{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left(1-\lambda X_{j-1}^{2}+\frac{\lambda^{2} X_{j-1}^{4}}{\beta}\right), \\
& \leq g(n) e^{\lambda n^{2} \xi^{2}}\left(1-\lambda \mathbb{E} X_{j-1}^{2}+\frac{\lambda^{2} \mathbb{E} X_{j-1}^{4}}{\beta}\right)^{n}, \\
& \leq g(n) \exp \left\{\lambda n^{2} \xi^{2}-n\left(\lambda \mathbb{E} X_{j-1}^{2}+\frac{\lambda^{2} \mathbb{E} X_{j-1}^{4}}{\beta}\right)\right\}, \\
& \leq g(n) \exp \left\{-\frac{\mathbb{E} X_{j-1}^{2}-n \xi^{2}}{4 \mathbb{E} X_{j-1}^{4}}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By taking $\lambda=\frac{\left(\mathbb{E} X_{j-1}^{2}-n \xi^{2}\right) \beta}{2 \mathbb{E} X_{j-1}^{4}}$. Then for any $\rho>0$

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\sqrt{n}\left|\hat{\theta}_{n}-\theta\right|>\rho\right) \leq g(n)\left[\exp \left\{-\frac{n^{2}\left(\rho^{2} \xi^{2}-\mathbb{E} X_{1}\right)}{4 B_{n}}\right\}+\exp \left\{-\frac{\mathbb{E} X_{j-1}^{2}-n \xi^{2}}{4 \mathbb{E} X_{j-1}^{4}}\right\}\right]
$$

Corollary 4.3 The sequence $\left(\hat{\theta}_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is completely converges to the parameter $\theta$ of autoregressive process $A R(1)$ model. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\sqrt{n}\left|\hat{\theta}_{n}-\theta\right|>\rho\right)<\infty \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By using Theorem (4.7) and $\mathbb{E} X_{j}^{2}<\infty, \mathbb{E} X_{j}^{4}<\infty$ we get the result of (4.30) immediately.
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## Conclusion

In this thesis we establish asymptotic distribution of the END random error in first-order autoregressive processes using the recursive kernel estimator and complete convergence for recursive probability density estimator of LNQD orthant dependent variables. Then we study the complete convergence for widely orthant dependent random variables and its applications in autoregressive $A R(1)$ models.

## Perspectives

In this section, we write some perspectives for future researches.

- Consider the asymptotique distributions of the error density estimators in $p$ order autoregressive models
- We establich recursive estimation of the probability density function $f(x)$ for stationary processes $X_{t}$ in Banach space.
- It is possible to study the complete convergence for $m$ acceptable random variables and its applications in autoregressive $A R(p)$ models.


## Summary

The objective of this thesis is to study the non-parametric estimation by recursive nuclei as well as their applications to the linear model.

Then, we study its asymptotic properties (the almost complete convergence of recursive estimation).
Finally, we use the results obtained in the autoregressive processes.


## Résumé

L'obejectif de cette thèse est d'étudier l'estimation non-paramétrique par noyaux récursifs ainsi qu'à leurs applications aux modèle linéaire.
Ensuite, nous étudions ses propriétés asymptotiques (la convergence presque complète de l'estimation récursifs).
Nous utilisons enfin les résultats obtenus aux processus autorégressifs

