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**Notations**

Ω: Bounded domain in \( \mathbb{R}^N \).

Γ: Topological boundary of Ω.

\( x = (x_1, x_1, ..., x_N) \): Generic point of \( \mathbb{R}^N \).

\( dx = dx_1 dx_1 ... dx_N \): Lebesgue measuring on Ω.

∇\( u \): Gradient of \( u \).

∆\( u \): Laplacian of \( u \).

\( f^+, f^- : \max(f, 0), \max(-f, 0) \).

a.e: Almost everywhere.

\( p' \): Conjugate of \( p \), i.e \( \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1 \).

\( D(\Omega) \): Space of differentiable functions with compact support in Ω.

\( D'(\Omega) \): Distribution space.

\( C^k(\Omega) \): Space of functions \( k \)-times continuously differentiable in Ω.

\( C_0(\Omega) \): Space of continuous functions null board in Ω.

\( L^p(\Omega) \): Space of functions \( p \)-th power integrated on Ω with measure of \( dx \).

\[ \|f\|_p = \left( \int_\Omega |f(x)|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \]

\( W^{1,p}(\Omega) = \{u \in L^p(\Omega), \nabla u \in (L^p(\Omega))^N\} \).

\( W^{1,p}_0(\Omega) \): The closure of \( D(\Omega) \) in \( W^{1,p}(\Omega) \).

\[ \|u\|_{1,p} = (\|u\|^p_p + \|\nabla u\|^p_p)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \]

\( W^{1,p}_0(\Omega) \): The closure of \( D(\Omega) \) in \( W^{1,p}(\Omega) \).

\( W^{1,p}_0'(\Omega) \): The dual space of \( W^{1,p}_0(\Omega) \).

\( H \): Hilbert space.

\( H^1_0 = W^{1,2}_0(\Omega) \).

If \( X \) is a Banach space, we denote

\[ L^p(0,T; X) = \left\{ f : (0, T) \rightarrow X \text{ is measurable}; \int_0^T \|f(t)\|^p_X dt < \infty \right\}. \]

\[ L^\infty(0,T; X) = \left\{ f : (0, T) \rightarrow X \text{ is measurable}; \sup_{t \in [0,T]} ess\|f(t)\|^p_X \right\}. \]

\( C^k([0,T]; X) \): Space of functions \( k \)-times continuously differentiable for \([0,T] \rightarrow X\).

\( D([0,T]; X) \): Space of functions continuously differentiable with compact support in \([0,T]\).

\( B_X = \{x \in X; \|x\| \leq 1\} \): unit ball.
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Introduction

This thesis is devoted to the study of global existence and asymptotic behavior in time of solutions to nonlinear evolutions equations and systems of hyperbolic and parabolic type. The decreasing of classical energy plays a crucial role in the study of global existence and in the stabilization of various systems. In this thesis, the main objective is to give a global existence and stabilization results. This work consists in three chapters. The first one is concerned preliminaries. The second one, for wave equations with a time-varying delay term. The third one, Decay Property For Solutions In Elastic Solids Without Mechanical Damping.

Chapter 1: Global existence and Asymptotic stability for a coupled viscoelastic wave equation with a time-varying delay term

In this chapter, we consider the following viscoelastic coupled wave equation with a delay term

\[ u_{tt} - L_1 u - \int_0^t g_1(t-s)L_1 u(s)ds + \mu_1 u_t(x,t) + \mu_2 u_t(x,t - \tau_2(t)) + f_1(u,v) = 0, \]

\[ v_{tt} - L_2 v - \int_0^t g_2(t-s)L_2 v(s)ds + \alpha_1 v_t(x,t) + \alpha_2 v_t(x,t - \tau_2(t)) + f_2(u,v) = 0, \]

in a bounded domain. Under appropriate conditions on \( \mu_1, \mu_2, \alpha_1 \) and \( \alpha_2 \), we prove global existence of the solutions by combining the energy method with the Faedo-Galerkin’s procedure. Furthermore, we study the asymptotic stability in using an appropriate Lyapunov functional. Finally, we show that the decay rates are the same as those obtained in [23].

Chapter 2: Decay Property For Solutions In Elastic Solids Without Mechanical Damping

In this chapter, we investigate the Cauchy problem for a system of elastic solids with thermal effect. The heat conduction is given by the type III theory of Green and Naghdi. We prove that the dissipation induced by the heat conduction is responsible to the system stabilization, but with slow decay rate.
Chapter 1

Preliminary

In this chapter, we will introduce and state without proofs some important materials needed in the proof of our results.

1.1 Banach Spaces-Definition and properties

We first review some basic facts from calculus in the most important class of linear spaces ” Banach spaces”.

Definition 1.1.1. A Banach space is a complete normed linear space $X$. Its dual space $X'$ is the linear space of all continuous linear functional $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$.

Proposition 1.1.1. ([33]) $X'$ equipped with the norm $\| \cdot \|_{X'}$ defined by

$$
\| f \|_{X'} = \sup \{ |f(u)| : \| u \| \leq 1 \},
$$

is also a Banach space. We shall denote the value of $f \in X'$ at $u \in X$ by either $f(u)$ or $\langle f, u \rangle_{X', X}$.

Remark 1.1.1. From $X'$ we construct the bidual or second dual $X'' = (X')'$. Furthermore, with each $u \in X$ we can define $\varphi(u) \in X''$ by $\varphi(u)(f) = f(u), f \in X'$. This satisfies clearly $\| \varphi(x) \| \leq \| u \|$. Moreover, for each $u \in X$ there is an $f \in X'$ with $f(u) = \| u \|$ and $\| f \| = 1$. So it follows that $\| \varphi(x) \| = \| u \|$.

Definition 1.1.2. Since $\varphi$ is linear we see that

$$
\varphi : X \to X'',
$$

is a linear isometry of $X$ onto a closed subspace of $X''$, we denote this by

$$
X \hookrightarrow X''.
$$
Definition 1.1.3. If $\varphi$ is onto $X''$ we say $X$ is reflexive, $X \cong X''$.

Theorem 1.1.2. ([34]). Let $X$ be Banach space. Then $X$ is reflexive, if and only if,

$$B_X = \{x \in X : \|x\| \leq 1\},$$

is compact with the weak topology $\sigma(X, X')$. (See the next subsection for the definition of $\sigma(X, X')$).

Definition 1.1.4. Let $X$ be a Banach space, and let $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $X$. Then $u_n$ converges strongly to $u$ in $X$ if and only if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|u_n - u\|_X = 0,$$

and this is denoted by $u_n \to u$, or $\lim_{n \to \infty} u_n = u$.

Definition 1.1.5. The Banach space $E$ is said to be separable if there exists a countable subset $D$ of $E$ which is dense in $E$, i.e. $\overline{D} = E$.

Proposition 1.1.3. If $E$ is reflexive and if $F$ is a closed vector subspace of $E$, then $F$ is reflexive.

Corollary 1.1.4. The following two assertions are equivalent: (i) $E$ is reflexive; (ii) $E'$ is reflexive.

1.1.1 The weak and weak star topologies

Let $X$ be a Banach space and $f \in X'$. Denote by

$$\varphi_f : X \to \mathbb{R}$$

$$x \to \varphi_f(x),$$

(1.1.2)

when $f$ cover $X'$, we obtain a family $(\varphi_f)_{f \in X'}$ of applications to $X$ in $\mathbb{R}$.

Definition 1.1.6. The weak topology on $X$, denoted by $\sigma(X, X')$, is the weakest topology on $X$ for which every $(\varphi_f)_{f \in X'}$ is continuous.

We will define the third topology on $X'$, the weak star topology, denoted by $\sigma(X', X)$. For all $x \in X$. Denote by

$$\varphi_x : X' \to \mathbb{R}$$

$$f \to \varphi_x(f) = \langle f, x \rangle_{X', X},$$

(1.1.3)

when $x$ cover $X$, we obtain a family $(\varphi_x)_{x \in X'}$ of applications to $X'$ in $\mathbb{R}$. 8
1.1 Banach Spaces—Definition and properties

Definition 1.1.7. The weak star topology on $X'$ is the weakest topology on $X'$ for which every $(\varphi_x)_{x \in X'}$ is continuous.

Remark 1.1.2. ([34]) Since $X \subset X''$, it is clear that, the weak star topology $\sigma(X', X)$ is weakest then the topology $\sigma(X', X'')$, and this later is weakest then the strong topology.

Definition 1.1.8. A sequence $(u_n)$ in $X$ is weakly convergent to $x$ if and only if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} f(u_n) = f(u),$$

for every $f \in X'$, and this is denoted by $u_n \rightharpoonup u$.

Remark 1.1.3. ([34])

1. If the weak limit exist, it is unique.
2. If $u_n \to u \in X$ (strongly) then $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ (weakly).
3. If $\dim X < +\infty$, then the weak convergent implies the strong convergent.

Proposition 1.1.5. On the compactness in the three topologies in the Banach space $X$:

1. First, the unit ball

$$B' \equiv \{x \in X : \|x\| \leq 1\}, \quad (1.1.4)$$

in $X$ is compact if and only if $\dim(X) < \infty$.

2. Second, the unit ball $B'$ in $X'$ (The closed subspace of a product of compact spaces) is weakly compact in $X'$ if and only if $X$ is reflexive.

3. Third, $B'$ is always weakly star compact in the weak star topology of $X'$.

Proposition 1.1.6. ([34]) Let $(f_n)$ be a sequence in $X'$. We have:

1. $[f_n \rightharpoonup f \text{ in } \sigma(X', X)] \iff [f_n(x) \rightharpoonup f(x), \forall x \in X].$

2. If $f_n \to f$ (strongly) then $f_n \rightharpoonup f$, in $\sigma(X', X'')$,
   If $f_n \rightharpoonup f$ in $\sigma(X', X'')$, then $f_n \rightharpoonup f$, in $\sigma(X', X)$.

3. If $f_n \rightharpoonup f$ in $\sigma(X', X)$ then $\|f_n\|$ is bounded and $\|f\| \leq \lim \inf \|f_n\|$.

4. If $f_n \rightharpoonup f$ in $\sigma(X', X)$ and $x_n \to x$ (strongly) in $X$, then $f_n(x_n) \to f(x)$.
1.1.2 Hilbert spaces

Now, we give some important results on these spaces here.

**Definition 1.1.9.** A Hilbert space $H$ is a vectorial space supplied with inner product $\langle u, v \rangle$ such that $\|u\| = \sqrt{\langle u, u \rangle}$ is the norm which let $H$ complete.

**Theorem 1.1.7.** (Riesz) If $(H; \langle.,.\rangle)$ is a Hilbert space, $\langle.,.\rangle$ being a scalar product on $H$, then $H' = H$ in the following sense: to each $f \in H'$ there corresponds a unique $x \in H$ such that $f = \langle x, . \rangle$ and $\|f\|_H' = \|x\|_H$.

**Remark 1.1.4.** From this theorem we deduce that $H'' = H$. This means that a Hilbert space is reflexive.

**Theorem 1.1.8.** ([34]) Let $(u_n)_{n \in N}$ is a bounded sequence in the Hilbert space $H$, it posses a subsequence which converges in the weak topology of $H$.

**Theorem 1.1.9.** ([34]) In the Hilbert space, all sequence which converges in the weak topology is bounded.

**Theorem 1.1.10.** ([34]) Let $(u_n)_{n \in N}$ be a sequence which converges to $u$, in the weak topology and $(v_n)_{n \in N}$ is an other sequence which converge weakly to $v$, then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \langle v_n, u_n \rangle = \langle v, u \rangle$$

(1.1.5)

**Theorem 1.1.11.** ([34]) Let $X$ be a normed space, then the unit ball

$$B' \equiv \{ x \in X : \|x\| \leq 1 \},$$

(1.1.6)

of $X'$ is compact in $\sigma(X', X)$.

1.2 Functional Spaces

1.2.1 The $L^p(\Omega)$ spaces

**Definition 1.2.1.** Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and let $\Omega$ be an open domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Define the standard Lebesgue space $L^p(\Omega)$ by

$$L^p(\Omega) = \left\{ f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R} \text{ is measurable and } \int_{\Omega} |f(x)|^p dx < \infty \right\}. \quad (1.2.1)$$
1.2 Functional Spaces

**Notation 1.2.1.** If $p = \infty$, we have
\[
L^\infty(\Omega) = \{ f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R} \text{ is measurable and there exists a constant } C \text{ such that } |f(x)| \leq C \text{ a.e in } \Omega \}.
\]
Also, we denote by
\[
\|f\|_\infty = \left\{ C, \ |f(x)| \leq C \text{ a.e in } \Omega \right\}.
\]

**Notation 1.2.2.** For $p \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we denote by $q$ the conjugate of $p$, i.e., $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

**Theorem 1.2.3.** ([34]) $L^p(\Omega)$ is a Banach space for all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

**Remark 1.2.1.** In particular, when $p = 2$, $L^2(\Omega)$ equipped with the inner product
\[
\langle f, g \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} = \int_\Omega f(x)g(x)dx,
\]
is a Hilbert space.

**Theorem 1.2.4.** ([34]) For $1 < p < \infty$, $L^p(\Omega)$ is a reflexive space.

### 1.2.2 Some integral inequalities

We will give here some important integral inequalities. These inequalities play an important role in applied mathematics and also, it is very useful in our next chapters.

**Theorem 1.2.5.** ([34] Holder’s inequality). Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Assume that $f \in L^p(\Omega)$ and $g \in L^q(\Omega)$, then $fg \in L^p(\Omega)$ and
\[
\int_\Omega |fg|dx \leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_q.
\]

**Lemma 1.2.6.** ([34] Young’s inequality). Let $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ and $g \in L^q(\mathbb{R})$ with $1 < p < \infty$ and $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} - 1 \geq 0$. Then $f * g \in L^r(\mathbb{R})$ and
\[
\|f * g\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R})} \leq \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}\|g\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R})}.
\]

**Lemma 1.2.7.** ([34]) Let $1 \leq p \leq r \leq q$, $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{\alpha}{p} + \frac{1-\alpha}{q}$, and $1 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. Then
\[
\|u\|_{L^r} \leq \|u\|_{L^p}^{\alpha}\|u\|_{L^q}^{1-\alpha}.
\]

**Lemma 1.2.8.** ([34]) If $\mu(\Omega) < \infty$, $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$, then $L^q \hookrightarrow L^p$ and
\[
\|u\|_{L^p} \leq \mu(\Omega)^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}}\|u\|_{L^q}.
\]
1.2.3 The $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ spaces

**Proposition 1.2.9.** Let $\Omega$ be an open domain in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Then the distribution $T \in D'(\Omega)$ is in $L^p(\Omega)$ if there exists a function $f \in L^p(\Omega)$ such that

$$\langle T, \varphi \rangle = \int_{\Omega} f(x)\varphi(x)dx, \text{ for all } \varphi \in D(\Omega),$$

where $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and it’s well-known that $f$ is unique.

Now, we will introduce the Sobolev spaces: The Sobolev space $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ is defined to be the subset of $L^p(\Omega)$ such that function $f$ and its weak derivatives up to some order $k$ have a finite $L^p$ norm, for given $p \geq 1$.

$$W^{k,p}(\Omega) = \{ f \in L^p(\Omega); D^\alpha f \in L^p(\Omega). \ \forall \alpha; \ \vert \alpha \vert \leq k \}.$$

With this definition, the Sobolev spaces admit a natural norm:

$$f \rightarrow \| f \|_{W^{k,p}(\Omega)} = \left( \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \| D^\alpha f \|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p \right)^{1/p}, \text{ for } p < +\infty$$

and

$$f \rightarrow \| f \|_{W^{k,\infty}(\Omega)} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \| D^\alpha f \|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, \text{ for } p = +\infty$$

Space $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ equipped with the norm $\| . \|_{W^{k,p}}$ is a Banach space. Moreover is a reflexive space for $1 < p < \infty$ and a separable space for $1 \leq p < \infty$. Sobolev spaces with $p = 2$ are especially important because of their connection with Fourier series and because they form a Hilbert space. A special notation has arisen to cover this case:

$$W^{k,2}(\Omega) = H^k(\Omega)$$

the $H^k$ inner product is defined in terms of the $L^2$ inner product:

$$(f, g)_{H^k(\Omega)} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} (D^\alpha f, D^\alpha g)_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

The space $H^m(\Omega)$ and $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ contain $C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$ and $C^m(\overline{\Omega})$. The closure of $D(\Omega)$ for the $H^m(\Omega)$ norm (respectively $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ norm) is denoted by $H^m_0(\Omega)$ (respectively $W^{k,p}_0(\Omega)$).

Now, we introduce a space of functions with values in a space $X$ (a separable Hilbert space). The space $L^2(a, b; X)$ is a Hilbert space for the inner product

$$(f, g)_{L^2(a, b; X)} = \int_a^b (f(t), g(t))_X dt$$
1.2 Functional Spaces

We note that $L^\infty(a, b; X) = (L^1(a, b; X))'$. Now, we define the Sobolev spaces with values in a Hilbert space $X$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}, p \in [1, \infty]$, we set:

$$W^{k,p}(a, b; X) = \left\{ v \in L^p(a, b; X); \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \in L^p(a, b; X). \ \forall i \leq k \right\},$$

The Sobolev space $W^{k,p}(a, b; X)$ is a Banach space with the norm

$$\|f\|_{W^{k,p}(a, b; X)} = \left( \sum_{i=0}^{k} \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \right\|_{L^p(a, b; X)}^p \right)^{1/p}, \ \text{for} \ p < +\infty$$

$$\|f\|_{W^{k,\infty}(a, b; X)} = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \right\|_{L^\infty(a, b; X)}, \ \text{for} \ p = +\infty$$

The spaces $W^{k,2}(a, b; X)$ form a Hilbert space and it is noted $H^k(0, T; X)$. The $H^k(0, T; X)$ inner product is defined by:

$$(u, v)_{H^k(a, b; X)} = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \int_{a}^{b} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial v}{\partial x^i} \right)_X \ dt.$$  

Theorem 1.2.10. Let $1 \leq p \leq n$, then

$$W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

where $p^*$ is given by $\frac{1}{p^*} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{n}$ (where $p = n, p^* = \infty$). Moreover there exists a constant $C = C(p, n)$ such that

$$\|u\|_{L^{p^*}} \leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \ \forall u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Corollary 1.2.11. Let $1 \leq p < n$, then

$$W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^q(\mathbb{R}^n), \ \forall q \in [p, p^*]$$

with continuous imbedding.

For the case $p = n$, we have

$$W^{1,n}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^q(\mathbb{R}^n), \ \forall q \in [n, +\infty[.$$

Theorem 1.2.12. Let $p > n$, then

$$W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

with continuous imbedding.
Corollary 1.2.13. Let $\Omega$ a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$ of $C^1$ class with $\Gamma = \partial \Omega$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. We have

- if $1 \leq p < \infty$, then $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \subset L^{p^*}(\Omega)$ where $\frac{1}{p^*} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{n}$.
- if $p = n$, then $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \subset L^q(\Omega)$ for all $q \in [p, +\infty[$.
- if $p > n$, then $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \subset L^\infty(\Omega)$

with continuous imbedding. Moreover, if $p > n$ we have

$$\forall u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega), \quad |u(x) - u(y)| \leq C|x - y|^\alpha \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \quad \text{a.e } x, y \in \Omega$$

with $\alpha = 1 - \frac{n}{p} > 0$ and $C$ is a constant which depend on $p, n$ and $\Omega$. In particular $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \subset C(\overline{\Omega})$.

Corollary 1.2.14. Let $\Omega$ a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$ of $C^1$ class with $\Gamma = \partial \Omega$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. We have

- if $p < n$, then $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \subset L^q(\Omega)$ for all $q \in [1, p^*]$ where $\frac{1}{p^*} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{n}$.
- if $p = n$, then $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \subset L^q(\Omega)$ for all $q \in [p, +\infty[$.
- if $p > n$, then $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \subset C(\overline{\Omega})$

with compact imbedding.

Remark 1.2.2. We remark in particular that

$$W^{1,p}(\Omega) \subset L^q(\Omega)$$

with compact imbedding for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and for $p \leq q < p^*$.

Corollary 1.2.15.

- if $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{m}{n} > 0$, then $W^{m,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ where $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{m}{n}$.
- if $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{m}{n} = 0$, then $W^{m,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $q \in [p, +\infty[$.
- if $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{m}{n} < 0$, then $W^{m,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$

with continuous imbedding.

Lemma 1.2.16. (Sobolev-Poincaré inequality)

If $2 \leq q \leq \frac{2n}{n-2}, n \geq 3$ and $q \geq 2, n = 1, 2$,

then

$$\|u\|_q \leq C(q, \Omega)\|\nabla u\|_2, \quad \forall u \in H^1_0(\Omega).$$
1.2 Functional Spaces

Remark 1.2.3. For all $\varphi \in H^2(\Omega)$, $\Delta \varphi \in L^2(\Omega)$ and for $\Gamma$ sufficiently smooth, we have

$$\|\varphi(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \leq C \|\Delta \varphi(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$ 

Proposition 1.2.17. ([34] Green’s formula) For all $u \in H^2(\Omega)$, $v \in H^1(\Omega)$ we have

$$- \int_{\Omega} \Delta u v dx = \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla v dx - \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \eta} v d\sigma,$$

where $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \eta}$ is a normal derivation of $u$ at $\Gamma$.

1.2.4 The $L^p(0, T, X)$ spaces

Let $X$ be a Banach space, denote by $L^p(0, T, X)$ the space of measurable functions

Definition 1.2.2.

$$f : [0, T[ \rightarrow X,$$

such that

$$\left( \int_0^T \|f(t)\|_X^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = ||f||_{L^p(0,T,X)} < \infty, \text{ for } 1 \leq p < \infty. \quad (1.2.5)$$

If $p = \infty,$

$$||f||_{L^\infty(0,T,X)} = \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \text{ess} \|f(t)\|_X. \quad (1.2.6)$$

Theorem 1.2.18. ([34]) The space $L^p(0, T, X)$ is complete.

We denote by $D'(0, T, X)$ the space of distributions in $]0, T[$ which take its values in $X$ and let us define

$$D'(0, T, X) = \mathcal{L}(D][0, T[, X),$$

where $\mathcal{L}(\phi, \varphi)$ is the space of the linear continuous applications of $\phi$ to $\varphi.$ Since $u \in D'(0, T, X),$ we define the distribution derivation as

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(\varphi) = -u \left( \frac{d\varphi}{dt} \right), \quad \forall \varphi \in D([0, T]),$$

and since $u \in L^p(0, T, X),$ we have

$$u(\varphi) = \int_0^T u(t)\varphi(t)dt, \quad \forall \varphi \in D([0, T]).$$

We will introduce some basic results on the $L^p(0, T, X)$ space. These results, will be very useful in the other chapters of this thesis.
Lemma 1.2.19. ([34]) Let \( f \in L^p(0,T,X) \) and \( \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \in L^p(0,T,X) \), \( 1 \leq p \leq \infty \), then the function \( f \) is continuous from \([0,T]\) to \( X \). i.e. \( f \in C^1(0,T,X) \).

Lemma 1.2.20. ([34]). Let \( \varphi = ]0,T[ \times \Omega \) an open bounded domain in \( \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \), and \( g_\mu, g \) are two functions in \( L^q(]0,T[, L^q(\Omega)) \), \( 1 < q < \infty \) such that
\[
\|g_\mu\|_{L^q(]0,T[, L^q(\Omega))} \leq C, \forall \mu \in \mathbb{N}
\]
and \( g_\mu \to g \) in \( \varphi \), then \( g_\mu \to g \) in \( L^q(\varphi) \).

Theorem 1.2.21. ([34]). \( L^p(0,T,X) \) equipped with the norm \( \|\cdot\|_{L^p(0,T,X)} \), \( 1 \leq p \leq \infty \) is a Banach space.

Proposition 1.2.22. ([34]) Let \( X \) be a reflexive Banach space, \( X' \) it’s dual, and \( 1 \leq p,q < \infty \), \( \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 \). Then the dual of \( L^p(0,T,X) \) is identify algebraically and topologically with \( L^q(0,T,X') \).

Proposition 1.2.23. ([34]) Let \( X,Y \) be Banach space, \( X \subset Y \) with continuous embedding, then we have
\[
L^p(0,T,X) \subset L^p(0,T,Y),
\]
with continuous embedding.

The following compactness criterion will be useful for nonlinear evolution problem, especially in the limit of the nonlinear terms.

Proposition 1.2.24. ([30]) Let \( B_0,B,B_1 \) be Banach spaces with \( B_0 \subset B \subset B_1 \). Assume that the embedding \( B_0 \hookrightarrow B \) is compact and \( B \hookrightarrow B_1 \) are continuous. Let \( 1 < p,q < \infty \). Assume further that \( B_0 \) and \( B_1 \) are reflexive. Define
\[
W \equiv \left\{ u \in L^p(0,T,B_0) : u' \in L^q(0,T,B_1) \right\}.
\]
Then, the embedding \( W \hookrightarrow L^p(0,T,B) \) is compact.

1.2.5 Some Algebraic inequalities

Since our study based on some known algebraic inequalities, we want to recall few of them here.

Lemma 1.2.25. ([34] The Cauchy-Schwartz’s inequality) Every inner product satisfies the Cauchy-Schwartz’s inequality
\[
\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle \leq \|x_1\| \|x_2\|.
\]
The equality sign holds if and only if \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \) are dependent.
1.3 Integral Inequalities

Lemma 1.2.26. ([34] Young’s inequalities). For all \( a, b \in \mathbb{R}^+ \), we have

\[
ab \leq \alpha a^2 + \frac{1}{4\alpha} b^2 \tag{1.2.10}
\]

where \( \alpha \) is any positive constant.

Lemma 1.2.27. ([34]) For \( a, b \geq 0 \), the following inequality holds

\[
ab \leq \frac{a^p}{p} + \frac{b^q}{q} \tag{1.2.11}
\]

where, \( \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 \).

1.3 Integral Inequalities

We will recall some fundamental integral inequalities introduced by A. Haraux, V. Komornik and A.Guesmia to estimate the decay rate of the energy.

1.3.1 A result of exponential decay

The estimation of the energy decay for some dissipative problems is based on the following lemma:

Lemma 1.3.1. ([35]) Let \( E : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \) be a non-increasing function and assume that there is a constant \( A > 0 \) such that

\[
\forall t \geq 0, \quad \int_t^{+\infty} E(\tau) \, d\tau \leq \frac{1}{A} E(t). \tag{1.3.1}
\]

Then we have

\[
\forall t \geq 0, \quad E(t) \leq E(0) e^{1-At}. \tag{1.3.2}
\]

Proof of Lemma 1.3.1.

The inequality (1.3.2) is verified for \( t \leq \frac{1}{A} \), this follows from the fact that \( E \) is a decreasing function. We prove that (1.3.2) is verified for \( t \geq \frac{1}{A} \). Introduce the function

\[
h : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+, \quad h(t) = \int_t^{+\infty} E(\tau) \, d\tau.
\]

It is non-increasing and locally absolutely continuous. Differentiating and using (1.3.1) we find that

\[
\forall t \geq 0, \quad h'(t) + Ah(t) \leq 0.
\]
Let

\[ T_0 = \sup \{ t, \ h(t) > 0 \}. \]  

(1.3.3)

For every \( t < T_0 \), we have

\[ \frac{h'(t)}{h(t)} \leq -A, \]

thus

\[ h(0) \leq e^{-At} \leq \frac{1}{A} E(0) e^{-At}, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t < T_0. \]  

(1.3.4)

Since \( h(t) = 0 \) if \( t \geq T_0 \), this inequality holds in fact for every \( t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \). Let \( \varepsilon > 0 \). As \( E \) is positive and decreasing, we deduce that

\[ \forall t \geq \varepsilon, \quad E(t) \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{t-\varepsilon}^{t} E(\tau) d\tau \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} h(t - \varepsilon) \leq \frac{1}{A\varepsilon} E(0) e^\varepsilon t e^{-At}. \]

Choosing \( \varepsilon = \frac{1}{A} \), we obtain

\[ \forall t \geq 0, \quad E(t) \leq E(0) e^{1-At}. \]

The proof of Lemma 1.3.1 is now completed.

### 1.3.2 A result of polynomial decay

**Lemma 1.3.2.** ([20]) Let \( E : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+ \) (\( \mathbb{R}_+ = [0, +\infty) \)) be a non-increasing function and assume that there are two constants \( q > 0 \) and \( A > 0 \) such that

\[ \forall t \geq 0, \quad \int_{t}^{+\infty} E^{q+1}(\tau) d\tau \leq \frac{1}{A} E^{q}(0) E(t). \]  

(1.3.5)

Then we have

\[ \forall t \geq 0, \quad E(t) \leq E(0) \left( \frac{1 + q}{1 + Aqt} \right)^{1/q}. \]  

(1.3.6)

**Remark 1.3.1.** It is clear that Lemma 1.3.1 is similar to Lemma 1.3.2 in the case of \( q = 0 \).

**Proof of Lemma 1.3.2.**

If \( E(0) = 0 \), then \( E \equiv 0 \) and there is nothing to prove. Otherwise, replacing the function \( E \) by the function \( \frac{E}{E(0)} \) we may assume that \( E(0) = 1 \). Introduce the function

\[ h : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+, \quad h(t) = \int_{t}^{+\infty} E(\tau) d\tau. \]

It is non-increasing and locally absolutely continuous. Differentiating and using (1.3.5) we find that

\[ \forall t \geq 0, \quad -h' \geq (Ah)^{1+q}. \]
where
\[ T_0 = \sup\{t, \ h(t) > 0\}. \]
Integrating in \([0, t]\) we obtain that
\[ \forall 0 \leq t < T_0, \ h(t) - h(0) \geq \sigma \omega^{1+q} t, \]
hence
\[ 0 \leq t < T_0, \ h(t) \leq (h^{-q}(0) + qA^{1+q} t)^{-1/q}. \quad (1.3.7) \]
Since \( h(t) = 0 \) if \( t \geq T_0 \), this inequality holds in fact for every \( t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \). Since
\[ h(0) \leq \frac{1}{A} E(0)^{1+q} = \frac{1}{A}, \]
by (1.3.5), the right-hand side of (1.3.7) is less than or equal to:
\[ (h^{-q}(0) + qA^{1+q} t)^{-1/q} \leq \frac{1}{A} (1 + A q t)^{-1/q}. \quad (1.3.8) \]
From other hand, \( E \) being nonnegative and non-increasing, we deduce from the definition of \( h \) and the above estimate that:
\[ \forall s \geq 0, \ E \left( \frac{1}{A} + (q + 1)s \right)^{q+1} \leq \frac{1}{A + q + 1} \int_s^{1/(A + q + 1)} E(\tau)^{q+1} d\tau \leq \frac{A}{1 + A q s} h(s) \leq \frac{A}{1 + A q s} \frac{1}{A} (1 + A q s)^{-1/q}, \]
hence
\[ \forall S \geq 0, \ E \left( \frac{1}{A} + (q + 1)S \right) \leq \frac{1}{(1 + A q S)^{1/q}}. \]
Choosing \( t = \frac{1}{A} + (1 + q)s \) then the inequality (1.3.6) follows. Note that letting \( q \to 0 \) in this theorem we obtain (1.3.6).

1.3.3 New integral inequalities of P. Martinez

The above inequalities are verified only if the energy function is integrable. We will try to resolve this problem by introducing some weighted integral inequalities, so we can estimate the decay rate of the energy when it is slow.

Lemma 1.3.3. ([35]) Let \( E : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+ \) be a non-increasing function and \( \phi : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+ \) an increasing \( C^1 \) function such that
\[ \phi(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(t) \to +\infty \quad \text{when} \quad t \to +\infty. \quad (1.3.9) \]
Assume that there exist $q \geq 0$ and $A > 0$ such that
\[ \int_{S}^{+\infty} E(t)^{q+1} \phi'(t) \, dt \leq \frac{1}{A} E(0)^{q} E(S), \quad 0 \leq S < +\infty. \quad (1.3.10) \]
then we have
\[ \begin{align*}
&\text{if } q > 0, \quad \text{then } E(t) \leq E(0) \left( \frac{1 + q}{1 + q A \phi(t)} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \\
&\text{if } q = 0, \quad \text{then } E(t) \leq E(0) e^{1 - A \phi(t)}, \quad \forall t \geq 0.
\end{align*} \]

**Proof of Lemma 1.3.3.**
This Lemma is a generalization of Lemma 1.3.1. Let $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be defined by $f(x) := E(\phi^{-1}(x))$, (we notice that $\phi^{-1}$ has a meaning by the hypotheses assumed on $\phi$). $f$ is non-increasing, $f(0) = E(0)$ and if we set $x := \phi(t)$ we obtain $f$ is non-increasing, $f(0) = E(0)$ and if we set $x = \phi(t)$ we obtain for $0 \leq S < T < +\infty$
\[ \int_{\phi(S)}^{\phi(T)} f(x)^{q+1} \, dx = \int_{\phi(S)}^{\phi(T)} E(\phi^{-1}(x))^{q+1} \, dx = \int_{S}^{T} E(t)^{q+1} \phi'(t) \, dt \leq \frac{1}{A} E(0)^{q} E(S) = \frac{1}{A} E(0)^{q} f(\phi(S)). \]
Setting $s = \phi(S)$ and letting $T \to +\infty$, we deduce that
\[ \forall s \geq 0, \quad \int_{s}^{+\infty} f(x)^{q+1} \, dx \leq \frac{1}{A} E(0)^{q} f(s). \]
Thanks to Lemma 1.3.1, we deduce the desired results.

### 1.3.4 Generalized inequalities of A. Guesmia

**Lemma 1.3.4.** ([20]) Let $E : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ differentiable function, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\Psi : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ convex and increasing function such that $\Psi(0) = 0$. Assume that
\[ \int_{s}^{+\infty} \Psi(E(t)) \, dt \leq E(s), \quad \forall s \geq 0. \]
\[ E'(t) \leq \lambda E(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0. \]
Then $E$ satisfies the estimate
\[ E(t) \leq e^{\eta_{0} \lambda t d^{-1}} \left( e^{\lambda(t - h(t))} \Psi^{-1} \left( h(t) + \psi(E(0)) \right) \right), \quad \forall t \geq 0, \]
where
\[ \psi(t) = \int_{t}^{1} \frac{1}{\Psi(s)} \, ds, \quad \forall t > 0, \]
\[ d(t) = \begin{cases} 
\Psi(t) & \text{if } \lambda = 0, \\
\int_0^t \frac{\Psi(s)}{s} ds & \text{if } \lambda > 0,
\end{cases} \forall t \geq 0, \]

\[ h(t) = \begin{cases} 
K^{-1}(D(t)) & \text{if } t > T_0, \\
0 & \text{if } t \in [0, T_0],
\end{cases} \]

\[ K(t) = D(t) + \frac{\psi^{-1}(t + \psi(E(0)))}{\psi^{-1}(t + \psi(E(0)))} e^{\lambda t}, \forall t \geq 0, \]

\[ D(t) = \int_0^t e^{\lambda s} ds, \forall t \geq 0, \]

\[ T_0 = D^{-1}\left(\frac{E(0)}{\Psi(E(0))}\right), \quad \tau_0 = \begin{cases} 
0, & \text{if } t > T_0, \\
1, & \text{if } t \in [0, T_0].
\end{cases} \]

**Remark 1.3.2.** If \( \lambda = 0 \) (that is \( E \) is non increasing), then we have

\[ E(t) \leq \psi^{-1}\left(h(t) + \psi(E(0))\right), \forall t \geq 0 \tag{1.3.11} \]

where \( \psi(t) = \int_t^1 \frac{1}{\Psi(s)} ds \) for \( t > 0 \), \( h(t) = 0 \) for \( 0 \leq t \leq \frac{E(0)}{\Psi(E(0))} \) and

\[ h^{-1}(t) = t + \frac{\psi^{-1}(t + \psi(E(0)))}{\psi^{-1}(t + \psi(E(0)))}, \quad t > 0. \]

This particular result generalizes the one obtained by Martinez ([35]) in the particular case of \( \Psi(t) = dt^{p+1} \) with \( p \geq 0, \, d > 0 \) and improves the one obtained by Eller, Lagnese and Nicaise ([36]).

**Proof of Lemma 1.3.4.**

Because \( E'(t) \leq \lambda E(t) \) imply \( E(t) \leq e^{\lambda(t-t_0)} E(t_0) \) for all \( t \geq t_0 \geq 0 \), then, if \( E(t_0) = 0 \) for some \( t_0 \geq 0 \), then \( E(t) = 0 \) for all \( t \geq t_0 \), and then there is nothing to prove in this case. So we assume that \( E(t) > 0 \) for all \( t \geq 0 \) without loss of generality. Let:

\[ L(s) = \int_s^{+\infty} \Psi(E(t)) dt, \quad \forall s \geq 0. \]

We have, \( L(s) \leq E(s) \), for all \( s \geq 0 \). The function \( L \) is positive, decreasing and of class \( C^1(\mathbb{R}_+) \) satisfying

\[ -L'(s) = \Psi(E(s)) \geq \Psi(L(s)), \quad \forall s \geq 0. \]
The function $\psi$ is decreasing, then
\[
\left( \psi(L(s)) \right)' = \frac{-L'(s)}{\Psi(L(s))} \geq 1, \quad \forall s \geq 0.
\]
Integration on $[0, t]$, we obtain
\[
\psi(L(t)) \geq t + \psi(E(0)), \quad \forall t \geq 0. \tag{1.3.12}
\]
Since $\Psi$ is convex and $\Psi(0) = 0$, we have
\[
\Psi(s) \leq \Psi(1)s, \quad \forall s \in [0, 1] \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi(s) \geq \Psi(1)s, \quad \forall s \geq 1,
\]
then $\lim_{t \to 0} \psi(t) = +\infty$ and $[\psi(E(0)), +\infty[ \subset \text{Image } (\psi)$. Then (1.3.12) imply that
\[
L(t) \leq \psi^{-1}\left(t + \psi(E(0))\right), \quad \forall t \geq 0. \tag{1.3.13}
\]
Now, for $s \geq 0$, let
\[
f_s(t) = e^{-\lambda t} \int_s^t e^{\lambda \tau} d\tau, \quad \forall t \geq s.
\]
The function $f_s$ is increasing on $[s, +\infty[$ and strictly positive on $]s, +\infty[$ such that
\[
f_s(s) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad f_s'(t) + \lambda f_s(t) = 1, \quad \forall t \geq s \geq 0,
\]
and the function $d$ is well defined, positive and increasing such that:
\[
d(t) \leq \Psi(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda t d'(t) = \lambda \Psi(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0,
\]
then
\[
\partial_{\tau} \left( f_s(\tau)d(E(\tau)) \right) = f_s'(\tau)d(E(\tau)) + f_s(\tau)E'(\tau)d'(E(\tau)) \\
\leq \left(1 - \lambda f_s(\tau)\right)\Psi(E(\tau)) + \lambda f_s(\tau)\Psi(E(\tau)) \\
= \Psi(E(\tau)), \quad \forall \tau \geq s \geq 0.
\]
Integrating on $[s, t]$, we obtain
\[
L(s) \geq \int_s^t \Psi(E(\tau)) d\tau \geq f_s(t)d(E(t)), \quad \forall t \geq s \geq 0. \tag{1.3.14}
\]
Since $\lim_{t \to +\infty} d(s) = +\infty$, $d(0) = 0$ and $d$ is increasing, then (1.3.13) and (1.3.14) imply
\[
E(t) \leq d^{-1}\left( \inf_{s \in [0, t]} \frac{\psi^{-1}\left(s + \psi(E(0))\right)}{f_s(t)} \right), \quad \forall t > 0. \tag{1.3.15}
\]
Now, let $t > T_0$ and
\[
J(s) = \frac{\psi^{-1}\left(s + \psi(E(0))\right)}{f_s(t)}, \quad \forall s \in [0, t[. \\
\]
The function $J$ is differentiable and we have
\[
J'(s) = f_s^{-2}(t) \left[ e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \psi^{-1}\left(s + \psi(E(0))\right) - f_s(t) \Psi\left(\psi^{-1}\left(s + \psi(E(0))\right)\right) \right].
\]
Then
\[
J'(s) = 0 \iff K(s) = D(t) \quad \text{and} \quad J'(s) < 0 \iff K(s) < D(T).
\]
Since $K(0) = \frac{E(0)}{\Psi(E(0))}$, $D(0) = 0$ and $K$ and $D$ are increasing (because $\psi^{-1}$ is decreasing and $s \mapsto \frac{s}{\Psi(s)}$, $s > 0$, is non-increasing thanks to the fact that $\Psi$ is convex). Then, for $t > T_0$,
\[
\inf_{s \in [0, t[} J(s) = J\left(\frac{1}{\psi(E(0))}\right) = J(h(t)).
\]
Since $h$ satisfies $J'(h(t)) = 0$, we conclude from (1.3.15) our desired estimate for $t > T_0$. For $t \in [0, T_0]$, we have just to note that $E'(t) \leq \lambda E(t)$ and the fact that $d \leq \Psi$ implies
\[
E(t) \leq e^{\lambda t} E(0) \leq e^{\lambda T_0} E(0) \leq e^{\lambda T_0} \Psi^{-1}\left(e^{\lambda T_0} \Psi(E(0))\right) \leq e^{\lambda T_0} d^{-1}\left(e^{\lambda T_0} \Psi(E(0))\right).
\]

**Remark 1.3.3.** Under the hypotheses of Lemma 1.3.4, we have $\lim_{t \to +\infty} E(t) = 0$. Indeed, we have just to choose $s = \frac{1}{2} t$ in (1.3.15) instead of $h(t)$ and note that $d^{-1}(0) = 0$, $\lim_{t \to +\infty} \psi^{-1}(t) = 0$ and $\lim_{t \to +\infty} f_{\frac{1}{2}t}(t) > 0$.

**Lemma 1.3.5.** ([Guesmia 20]) Let $E : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be a differentiable function, $a : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\lambda : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ two continuous functions. Assume that there exist $r \geq 0$ such that
\[
\int_s^{+\infty} E^{r+1}(t) dt \leq a(s) E(s), \forall s \geq 0 \quad (1.3.16)
\]
\[
E'(t) \leq \lambda(t) E(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0 \quad (1.3.17)
\]
Then $E$ satisfies for all $t \geq 0$,
\[
E(t) \leq \frac{E(0)}{\omega(0)} \omega(h(t)) \exp\left(\widetilde{\lambda}(t) - \widetilde{\lambda}(h(t))\right) \exp\left(-\int_0^{h(t)} \omega(\tau) d\tau\right), \text{ if } r = 0
\]
and
\[
E(t) \leq \omega(h(t)) \exp\left(\widetilde{\lambda}(t) - \widetilde{\lambda}(h(t))\right) \left[\left(\frac{\omega(0)}{E(0)}\right)^r + r \int_0^{h(t)} \omega(\tau)^{r+1} d\tau\right]^{-1/r}, \text{ if } r > 0
\]
where $\widetilde{\lambda}(t) = \int_t^0 \lambda(\tau) d\tau$. 
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Proof of Lemma 1.3.5.

If \( E(s) = 0 \) or \( a(s) = 0 \) for one \( s \geq 0 \), the first inequality implies \( E(t) = 0 \) for \( t \geq s \), then we can suppose that \( E(t) > 0 \) and \( a(t) > 0 \) for \( t \geq 0 \).

By putting \( \omega = \frac{1}{a} \) and \( \Psi(s) = \int_s^{+\infty} E^{r+1}(t)dt \), we have

\[
\Psi(s) \leq \frac{1}{\omega(s)} E(s), \quad \forall s \geq 0.
\] (1.3.18)

The function \( \Psi \) is decreasing, positive and of class \( C^1 \) on \( \mathbb{R}^+ \) and verifies:

\[
\Psi'(s) = -E^{r+1}(s) \leq -(\omega(s)\Psi(s))^{r+1}, \quad \forall s \geq 0
\]
then

\[
\Psi(s) \leq \Psi(0)\exp \left( \int_0^s \omega(\tau)d\tau \right) \leq \frac{E(0)}{\omega(0)}\exp \left( \int_0^s \omega(\tau)d\tau \right) \quad \text{if } r = 0 \] (1.3.19)

\[
\Psi(s) \leq \left( \frac{\omega(0)}{E(0)} \right)^{r+1} + \int_0^s (\omega(\tau))^{r+1}d\tau \right)^{-1/r} \quad \text{if } r > 0 \] (1.3.20)

Now we put for all \( s \geq 0 \),

\[
f_s(t) = \exp(-(r+1)\lambda(t)) \int_s^t \exp((r+1)\lambda(\tau))d\tau, \quad \forall t \geq s \] (1.3.21)
where \( f_s(s) = 0 \) and \( f'_s(t) + (r+1)\lambda(t)f_s(t) = 1 \), \( \forall t \geq s \geq 0 \). Under the second hypothesis in the lemma, we deduce

\[
E^{r+1}(t) \geq \partial_t(f_s(t)E^{r+1}(t)); \quad \forall t \geq, \quad s \geq 0 \] (1.3.22)

hence

\[
\Psi(s) \geq \int_s^{g(s)} E^{r+1}(t) \geq f_s(g(s))E^{r+1}(g(s)); \quad \forall s \geq 0 \] (1.3.23)

where \( g: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \) with \( I_s(g(s)) = 0 \) and \( I_s \) is defined by

\[
I_s(t) = (\omega(s))^{r+1} \int_s^t \exp((r+1)\lambda(\tau))d\tau
\]

Let \( t > g(0) \) and \( s = h(t) \) where

\[
h(t) = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } t \in [0, g(0)] \\
\max g^{-1}(t) & \text{if } t \in ]g(0), +\infty[ 
\end{cases}
\]

Hence we have \( g(s) = t \) and we deduce from (1.3.23) that for all \( t \geq g(0) \), we have

\[
\Psi(h(t)) \geq f_{h(t)}(t)E^{r+1}(t) = \left( \exp(-(r+1)\lambda(t)) \int_{h(t)}^t \exp((r+1)\lambda(\tau))d\tau \right) E^{r+1}(t)
\]
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We conclude from (1.3.19) and (1.3.20) that for all \( t > g(0) \), we obtain
\[
E(t) \leq \frac{E(0)}{\omega(0)} \exp(\tilde{\lambda}(t)) \left( \int_{h(t)}^{t} \exp(\tilde{\lambda}(\tau)) d\tau \right)^{-1} \exp \left( - \int_{0}^{h(t)} \omega(\tau) d\tau \right) \text{ if } r = 0
\]
and
\[
E(t) \leq \exp(\tilde{\lambda}(t)) \left( \int_{h(t)}^{t} \exp((r + 1)\tilde{\lambda}(\tau)) d\tau \right)^{-1} \times \left( \frac{\omega(0)}{E(0)} \right)^r + r \int_{0}^{h(t)} \left( \frac{\omega(\tau)}{E(0)} \right)^{r+1} d\tau \text{ if } r > 0
\]

The fact that \( I_{h(t)} = I_{s}(g(s)) = 0 \), we get the result of the lemma for \( t > g(0) \). If \( t \in [0, g(0)] \) the second inequality of the lemma implies that
\[
E(t) \leq E(0) \exp(\tilde{\lambda}(t))
\]

Since \( h(t) = 0 \) on \([0, g(0)]\) then \( E(0) \exp(\tilde{\lambda}(t)) \) is identically equal to the left hand side of the results of the lemma. That conclude the proof.

**Lemma 1.3.6.** ([Guesmia 35]) Let \( E : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \) be a differentiable function, \( a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+ \) and \( a_3, \lambda, r, p \in \mathbb{R}^+ \) such that
\[
a_3 \lambda(r+1) < 1 \quad \text{and for all} \quad 0 \leq s \leq T < +\infty,
\]
\[
\int_{s}^{T} E^{r+1}(t) dt \leq a_1(s) E(s) + a_2 E^{p+1}(s) + a_3 E^{r+1}(T),
\]
\[
E'(t) \leq \lambda E(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0
\]

Then there exist two positive constants \( \omega \) and \( c \) such that for all \( t \geq 0 \),
\[
E(t) \leq ce^{-\omega t}, \quad \text{if } r = 0
\]
\[
E(t) \leq c(1 + t)^{-1/r}, \quad \text{if } r > 0 \quad \text{and } \lambda = 0
\]
\[
E(t) \leq c(1 + t)^{\frac{1}{r(r+1)}}, \quad \text{if } r > 0 \quad \text{and } \lambda > 0
\]

**Proof of Lemma 1.3.6**

We show that \( E \) verifies the inequality (1.3.16). Applying the lemma (1.3.5), we have
\[
a_3 E^{r+1}(T) = a_3 \int_{s}^{T} E^{r+1}(t) dt + a_3 E^{r+1}(s)
\]
\[
\leq a_3 (r+1) \int_{s}^{T} \lambda E^{r+1}(t) dt + a_3 E^{r+1}(s)
\]
Under (1.3.16), we obtain:
\[
\int_{s}^{+\infty} E^{r+1}(t) dt \leq b(s) E(s), \quad \forall s \geq 0
\]  

(1.3.24)

where

\[
b(s) = \frac{a_1 + a_2 E^p(s) + a_3 E^r(s)}{1 - a_3 \lambda(r + 1)}, \quad \forall s \geq 0
\]

We consider the function \( f_0 \) defined in (1.3.21) and integrating on \([0, s] \) the inequality

\[
E^{r+1}(t) \geq \partial_t(f_0(t)E^{r+1}(t)), \quad \forall t \geq 0
\]

we obtain under (1.3.24)

\[
b(0)E(0) \geq \int_{0}^{s} E^{r+1}(t) dt \geq f_0(s)E^{r+1}(s), \quad \forall s \geq 0
\]

then

\[
E(s) \leq \left( \frac{b(0)E(0)}{f_0(s)} \right)^{\frac{1}{r+1}}, \quad \forall s \geq 0
\]

on the other hand, the conditions of the lemma implies that

\[
E(s) \leq E(0) \exp(\tilde{\lambda}(s)), \quad \forall s \geq 0
\]

Hence

\[
E(s) \leq \min \left\{ E(0) \exp(\tilde{\lambda}(s)), \left( \frac{b(0)E(0)}{f_0(s)} \right)^{\frac{1}{r+1}} \right\} = d(s), \quad \forall s \geq 0
\]

\( d \) is continuous and positive and

\[
b(s) \leq \frac{a_1 + a_2 (d(s))^p + a_3 (d(s))^r}{1 - a_3 \lambda(r + 1)}, \quad \forall s \geq 0
\]

Hence we can conclude from (1.3.24) the first inequality (1.3.16) of the lemma (1.3.5) with

\[
a(s) = \frac{a_1 + a_2 (d(s))^p + a_3 (d(s))^r}{1 - a_3 \lambda(r + 1)}, \quad \forall s \geq 0.
\]

This completes the proof.
1.4 Existence Methods

1.4.1 Faedo-Galerkin’s approximations

We consider the Cauchy problem abstract’s for a second order evolution equation in the separable Hilbert space with the inner product $\langle ., . \rangle$ and the associated norm $\| . \|$.

\[
(P) \quad \begin{cases}
  u''(t) + A(t)u(t) = f(t), & t \in [0, T] \\
  (x, 0) = u_0(x), \ u'(x, 0) = u_1(x);
\end{cases}
\]

where $u$ and $f$ are unknown and given function, respectively, mapping the closed interval $[0, T] \subset \mathbb{R}$ into a real separable Hilbert space $H$. $A(t)$ $(0 \leq t \leq T)$ are linear bounded operators in $H$ acting in the energy space $V \subset H$.

Assume that $\langle A(t)u(t), v(t) \rangle = a(t; u(t), v(t))$, for all $u, v \in V$; where $a(t; ., .)$ is a bilinear continuous in $V$. The problem $(P)$ can be formulated as: Found the solution $u(t)$ such that

\[
(P) \quad \begin{cases}
  u \in C([0, T]; V), u' \in C([0, T]; H) \\
  \langle u''(t), v \rangle + a(t; u(t), v) = \langle f, v \rangle \text{ in } D'([0, T]) \\
  u_0 \in V, \ u_1 \in H;
\end{cases}
\]

This problem can be resolved with the approximation process of Faedo-Galerkin.

Let $V_m$ a sub-space of $V$ with the finite dimension $d_m$, and let $\{w_{jm}\}$ one basis of $V_m$ such that

1. $V_m \subset V (\text{dim } V_m < \infty), \forall m \in \mathbb{N}$

2. $V_m \rightarrow V$ such that, there exist a dense subspace $\vartheta$ in $V$ and for all $v \in \vartheta$ we can get sequence $\{u_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \in V_m$ and $u_m \rightarrow u$ in $V$.

3. $V_m \subset V_{m+1}$ and $\bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} V_m = V$.

we define the solution $u_m$ of the approximate problem

\[
(P_m) \quad \begin{cases}
  u_m(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{d_m} g_j(t)w_{jm} \\
  u_m \in C([0, T]; V_m), u'_m \in C([0, T]; V_m), u_m \in L^2(0, T; V_m) \\
  \langle u''_m(t), w_{jm} \rangle + a(t; u_m(t), w_{jm}) = \langle f, w_{jm} \rangle, \ 1 \leq j \leq d_m \\
  u_m(0) = \sum_{j=1}^{d_m} \xi_j(t)w_{jm}, \ u'_m(0) = \sum_{j=1}^{d_m} \eta_j(t)w_{jm}
\end{cases}
\]

where

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{d_m} \xi_j(t)w_{jm} \rightarrow u_0 \text{ in } V \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty
\]
\[
\sum_{j=1}^{d_m} \eta_j(t)w_{jm} \rightarrow u_1 \text{ in } V \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty
\]

By virtue of the theory of ordinary differential equations, the system \((P_m)\) has unique local solution which is extend to a maximal interval \([0, t_m]\) by Zorn lemma since the non-linear terms have the suitable regularity. In the next step, we obtain a priori estimates for the solution, so that can be extended outside \([0, t_m]\) to obtain one solution defined for all \(t > 0\).

### 1.4.2 A priori estimation and convergence

Using the following estimation

\[
\|u_m\|^2 + \|u'_m\|^2 \leq C \left\{ \|u_m(0)\|^2 + \|u'_m(0)\|^2 + \int_0^T \|f(s)\|^2 ds \right\} ; \quad 0 \leq t \leq T
\]

and the Gronwall lemma we deduce that the solution \(u_m\) of the approximate problem \((P_m)\) converges to the solution \(u\) of the initial problem \((P)\). The uniqueness proves that \(u\) is the solution.

### 1.4.3 Gronwall’s lemma

**Lemma 1.4.1.** Let \(T > 0\), \(g \in L^1(0,T)\), \(g \geq 0\) a.e and \(c_1, c_2\) are positives constants. Let \(\varphi \in L^1(0,T)\) \(\varphi \geq 0\) a.e such that \(g\varphi \in L^1(0,T)\) and

\[
\varphi(t) \leq c_1 + c_2 \int_0^t g(s)\varphi(s)ds \quad a.e \text{ in } (0,T).
\]

then, we have

\[
\varphi(t) \leq c_1 \exp \left( c_2 \int_0^t g(s)ds \right) \quad a.e \text{ in } (0,T).
\]

### 1.4.4 Semigroups approach

**Definition 1.4.1. ([37]).** Let \(X\) be a Banach space. A one parameter family \(T(t)\) for \(0 \leq t < \infty\) of bounded linear operators from \(X\) into \(X\) is a semigroup bounded linear operator on \(X\) if

- \(T(0) = I\), (I is the identity operator on \(X\)).
- \(T(t + s) = T(t).T(s)\) for every \(t, s \geq 0\) (the semigroup property).
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A semigroup of bounded linear operators, \( T(t) \), is uniformly continuous if

\[
\lim_{t \to 0} \|T(t) - I\| = 0.
\]

The linear operator \( A \) defined by

\[
D(A) = \left\{ x \in X; \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{T(t)x - x}{t} \text{ exists} \right\}
\]

and

\[
Ax = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{T(t)x - x}{t} = \frac{d+T(t)x}{dt} \Big|_{t=0}, \quad \forall x \in D(A)
\]

is the infinitesimal generator of the semigroup \( T(t) \) and \( D(A) \) is the domain of \( A \).

**Theorem 1.4.2.** ([37])(Lumer-Phillips) Let \( A \) be a linear operator with dense domain \( D(A) \) in \( X \)

- If \( A \) is dissipative and there is a \( \lambda_0 > 0 \) such that the range, \( R(\lambda_0 I - A) = X \), then \( A \) is the infinitesimal generator of a \( C_0 \) semigroup of contraction on \( X \).

- If \( A \) is the infinitesimal generator of a \( C_0 \) semigroup of contractions on \( X \) then \( R(\lambda_0 I - A) = X, \quad \forall \lambda > 0 \) and \( A \) is dissipative.
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Global existence and Asymptotic stability for a coupled viscoelastic wave equation with a time-varying delay term

2.1 Introduction

Our main interest lies in the following system of viscoelastic equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
\left\{
\begin{array}{ll}
    u_{tt} - L_1 u - \int_0^t g_1(t-s)L_1 u(s)ds + \mu_1 u_t(x,t) \\
    + \mu_2 u_t(x,t - \tau_1(t)) + f_1(u,v) = 0, & \text{in } \Omega \times (0,\infty), \\
    \nu_{tt} - L_2 \nu - \int_0^t g_2(t-s)L_2 \nu(s)ds + \alpha_1 \nu_t(x,t) \\
    + \alpha_2 \nu_t(x,t - \tau_2(t)) + f_2(u,v) = 0, & \text{in } \Omega \times (0,\infty), \\
    u(x,t) = 0, \nu(x,t) = 0, & \text{on } \partial \Omega \times (0,\infty), \\
    u(x,0) = u_0(x), \nu(x,0) = \nu_0(x), & x \in \Omega, \\
    u_t(x,0) = u_1(x), \nu_t(x,0) = \nu_1(x), & x \in \Omega, \\
    u_t(x,t - \tau_1(t)) = \phi_0(x,t - \tau_1(t)), & x \in \Omega, \ t \geq 0, \\
    \nu_t(x,t - \tau_2(t)) = \phi_1(x,t - \tau_2(t)), & \tau_2(t) \neq 0, \ x \in \Omega, \ t \geq 0.
\end{array}
\right.
\end{align*}
\]

(2.1.1)

Where

\[
L_1 u = -\text{div}(A_1 \nabla u) = -\sum_{i,j=1}^N \left( a_{1i,j}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right); \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = \sum_{i,j=1}^N \left( a_{1i,j}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \nu_i, \right)
\]

and

\[
L_2 \nu = -\text{div}(A_2 \nabla \nu) = -\sum_{i,j=1}^N \left( a_{2i,j}(x) \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial x_i} \right); \quad \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial \nu} = \sum_{i,j=1}^N \left( a_{2i,j}(x) \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial x_i} \nu_i. \right)
\]
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Here $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n, n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, with a smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$ and $g_1, g_2 : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+, \phi_i(\cdot, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, 2$, are given functions which will be specified later. Moreover $\tau_2(t) > 0$ is a time delay, where $\mu_1, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \mu_2$ are positive real numbers and the initial data $(u_0, u_1, \phi_0), (u_0, v_1, \phi_1)$ belonging to a suitable space. Here $u$ and $v$ denote the transverse displacements of waves. This problem arises in the theory of viscoelasticity and describes the interaction of two scalar fields (see [15]).

To motivate our work, let us start with the wave equation proposed by the Authors of [3]. They considered the following coupled system of quasilinear viscoelastic equation in canonical form without delay terms in $\Omega \times (0, +\infty)$

\[
\begin{cases}
|u_t|^p u_{tt} - \Delta u - \gamma_1 \Delta u_t + \int_0^t g_1(t-s)\Delta u(s)ds + f_1(x, u) = 0, \\
|v_t|^p v_{tt} - \Delta v - \gamma_2 \Delta v_t + \int_0^t g_2(t-s)\Delta v(s)ds + f_2(x, u) = 0,
\end{cases}
\tag{2.1.2}
\]

where $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n (n \geq 1)$ with a smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$, $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \geq 0$ are constants and $\rho$ is a real number such that $0 < \rho < \frac{2n}{(n-2)}$ if $n \geq 3$ or $\rho > 0$ if $n = 1, 2$. The functions $u_0, u_1, v_0$ and $v_1$ are given initial data. The relaxations functions $g_1$ and $g_2$ are continuous functions and $f_1(u, v), f_2(u, v)$ represent the nonlinear terms. The authors proved the energy decay result using the perturbed energy method. Many authors considered the initial boundary value problem in $\Omega \times (0, +\infty)$ as follows

\[
\begin{cases}
u_{tt} - \Delta u + \int_0^t g_1(t-s)\Delta u(s)ds + h_1(u_t) = f_1(x, u), \\
u_{tt} - \Delta v + \int_0^t g_2(t-s)\Delta v(s)ds + h_2(v_t) = f_2(x, u),
\end{cases}
\tag{2.1.3}
\]

when the viscoelastic terms $g_i (i = 1, 2)$ are not taken into account in (2.1.3). Rammaha and Sakatusathian [4] obtained several results related to local and global existence of a weak solution. By using the same technique as in [5], they showed that any weak solution blow-up in finite time with negative initial energy. Later Said-Houari [6] extended this blow up result to positive initial energy. Conversely, in the presence of the memory term $(g_i \neq 0, i = 1, 2)$, there are numerous results related to the asymptotic behavior and blow up of solutions of viscoelastic systems. For example, Liang and Gao [7] studied problem (2.1.3) with $h_1(u_t) = -\Delta u_t, h_2(v_t) = -\Delta v_t$. They obtained that, under suitable conditions on the functions $g_i, f_i, i = 1, 2$, and certain initial data in the stable set, the decay rate of the energy functions is exponential. On the contrary, for certain initial data in the unstable set, there are solutions with positive initial energy that blow-up in finite time. For $h_1(u_t) = |u_t|^{m-1}u_t$ and $h_2(v_t) = |v_t|^{-1}v_t$, Hun and Wang [8] established several results related to local existence, global existence and finite time blow-up (the initial energy $E(0) < 0$).
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This latter has been improved by Messaoudi and Said-Houari [14] by considering a larger class of initial data for which the initial energy can take positive values. On the other hand, Muhammad I. Mustafa [26] considered the following problem in \( \Omega \times (0, +\infty) \)

\[
\begin{align*}
    u_{tt} - \Delta u + \int_0^t g_1(t-s)\Delta u(s)ds + f_1(v, u) &= 0, \\
    v_{tt} - \Delta v + \int_0^t g_2(t-s)\Delta v(s)ds + f_2(v, u) &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]  
(2.1.4)

and proved the well-posedness and energy decay result for wider class of relaxation functions. The author in [24] have studied the following problem in \( \Omega \times (0, +\infty) \)

\[
\begin{align*}
    u_{tt} - \Delta u + \int_0^t g(t-s)\Delta u(s)ds + (|u|^k + |v|^q)|u_t|^{m-1}u_t &= f_1(v, u), \\
    v_{tt} - \Delta v + \int_0^t h(t-s)\Delta v(s)ds + (|u|^\theta + |v|^\rho)|v_t|^{r-1}v_t &= f_2(v, u),
\end{align*}
\]  
(2.1.5)

with degenerate damping and source terms in a bounded domain. Under some assumptions on the relaxation functions, degenerate damping and source terms, he obtained the decay rate of the energy function for certain initial data.

It is widely known that delay effects, which arise in many practical problems, source of some instabilities, in this way Datko and Nicaise ([11, 20, 21]) showed that a small delay in a boundary control turns a well-behave hyperbolic system into a wild one which in turn, becomes a source of instability, where they proved that the energy is exponentially stable under the condition

\[ \mu_2 < \mu_1. \]

Motivated by the previous works, in the present paper, we analyze the influence of the viscoelastic, damping and delay terms on the solutions to (2.1.1). Under suitable assumptions on the functions \( g_i(\cdot), f_i(\cdot, \cdot) (i = 1, 2) \), the initial data and the parameters in the equations, to the best of our knowledge, there is no result concerning coupled system with the presence of delay term and elliptic operator. We establish several results concerning local and global existence, asymptotic stability and the boundedness of the solutions to (2.1.1).

Our work is organized as follows. In section 2, we present the preliminaries and some lemmas. In section 3, the existence result is obtained. Finally in section 4, decay property is derived.

### 2.2 Preliminary Results

In this section, we present some material and assumptions for the proof of our results. We will use embedding \( H^1_0(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega) \) for \( 2 \leq q \leq \frac{2n}{n-2} \), if \( n \geq 3 \) and \( q \geq 2 \), if \( n = 1, 2 \); and
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$L^r(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega)$, for $q < r$. We will use, in this case, the same embedding constant denoted by $c_s$ such that

$$\|\nu\|_q \leq c_s\|\nabla \nu\|_r, \quad \|\nu\|_q \leq c_s\|\nu\|_r \quad \text{for} \quad \nu \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

For studying the problem (2.1.1) we will need the following assumptions. For the relaxation function $g_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. We assume

(A0) : $g_1(t), g_2(t) : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ are of class $C^2$ and satisfying, for $s \geq 0$

$$g_1(0) = g_{10} > 0, \quad 1 - \int_0^\infty g_1(s)ds = l_1 > 0, \quad g_2(0) = g_{20} > 0, \quad 1 - \int_0^\infty g_2(s)ds = l_2 > 0,$$

and there exist a nonincreasing functions $\zeta_1(t)$ and $\zeta_2(t)$ such that

$$g_1'(t) \leq -\zeta_1(t)g_1(t), \quad g_2'(t) \leq -\zeta_2(t)g_2(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (2.2.1)$$

(A1) : The matrix $A_1 = (a_{1i,j}(x)), A_2 = (a_{2i,j}(x))$, where $a_{1i,j}, a_{2i,j} \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$, are symmetric and there exists a constants $a_{01}, a_{02} > 0$ such that for all $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ and $\eta = (\eta_1, ..., \eta_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ we have

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{1i,j}(x)\eta_i\eta_j \geq a_{01}|\eta|^2, \quad \sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{2i,j}(x)\eta_i\eta_j \geq a_{02}|\eta|^2. \quad (2.2.2)$$

(A2) : We take $f_1(u, v), f_2(u, v)$ as in [23]

$$f_1(u, v) = a|u + v|^{p-1}(u + v) + b|u|^\frac{p-3}{2}|v|^\frac{p+1}{2}u, \quad (2.2.3)$$

$$f_2(u, v) = a|u + v|^{p-1}(u + v) + b|v|^\frac{p-3}{2}|u|^\frac{p+1}{2}v. \quad (2.2.4)$$

With $a, b > 0$. Further, one can easily verify that

$$uf_1(u, v) + vf_2(u, v) = (p + 1)F(u, v), \forall (u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Where

$$F(u, v) = \frac{1}{(p + 1)} \left( a|u + v|^{p+1} + 2b|uv|^\frac{p+1}{2} \right), \quad f_1(u, v) = \frac{\partial F}{\partial u}, \quad f_2(u, v) = \frac{\partial F}{\partial v}.$$

And there exists $C$, such that

$$\left| \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial u}(u, v) \right| + \left| \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial v}(u, v) \right| \leq C \left( |u|^{p-1} + |v|^{p-1} \right), \quad i = 1, 2 \quad \text{where} \quad 1 \leq p < 6.$$

(A3) :

$$\text{if} \ n = 1, 2; \quad p \geq 3 \quad \text{if} \ n = 3; \quad p = 3. \quad (2.2.5)$$

(A4) : $\tau_i$ is a function such that

$$\tau_i \in W^{2,\infty}([0, T]), \quad \forall T > 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad (2.2.6)$$
Global existence and Asymptotic stability for a coupled viscoelastic wave equation with a time-varying delay term

\[0 < \tau_0 \leq \tau_2(t) \leq \tau_1, \quad \forall t > 0,\]
\[\tau_1'(t) \leq d < 1, \quad \tau_2'(t) \leq d' < 1,\]  \hspace{1cm} (2.2.7)

where \(\tau_0\) and \(\tau_1\) are two positive constants.

\((A_5)\) :
\[\mu_2 < \sqrt{1-d}\mu_1,\]
\[\alpha_2 < \sqrt{1-d'}\alpha_1.\]  \hspace{1cm} (2.2.9)

As in [28] we choose \(\xi_1\) and \(\xi_2\) such that
\[\frac{\mu_2}{\sqrt{1-d}} < \xi_1 < 2\mu_1 - \frac{\mu_2}{\sqrt{1-d}},\]
\[\frac{\alpha_2}{\sqrt{1-d'}} < \xi_2 < 2\alpha_1 - \frac{\alpha_2}{\sqrt{1-d'}.}\]  \hspace{1cm} (2.2.11)

Lemma 2.2.1. ([23]) Suppose that (2.2.5) holds. Then there exists \(\rho > 0\) such that for any \((u, v) \in (H_0^1(\Omega))^2\), we have
\[\|u + v\|^{p+1}_{p+1} + 2\|uv\|^{p+1}_{p+1} \leq \rho \left(l_1\|\nabla u\|_2^2 + l_2\|\nabla v\|_2^2\right)^{\frac{p+1}{2}}.\]

Lemma 2.2.2. ([23]) There exist two positive constants \(c_0\) and \(c_1\) such that
\[c_0(|u|^{p+1} + |v|^{p+1}) \leq F(u, v) \leq c_1(|u|^{p+1} + |v|^{p+1}), \quad \forall (u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2.\]

Remark 2.2.1. for seeking of simplicity, we take \(a = b = 1\) in (2.2.3) – (2.2.4).

2.3 Global existence

In order to prove the existence of solutions of problem (2.1.1), we introduce the new variables \(z_1, z_2\) as in [28]
\[z_1(x, k_1, t) = u_t(x, t - \tau_1(t)k_1), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad k_1 \in (0, 1),\]
\[z_2(x, k_2, t) = v_t(x, t - \tau_2(t)k_2), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad k_2 \in (0, 1),\]
which implies that
\[\tau_1(t)z_{1t}(x, k_1, t) + (1 - \tau_1'(t))z_{k_1}(x, k_1, t) = 0, \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega \times (0, 1) \times (0, \infty),\]
\[\tau_2(t)z_{2t}(x, k_2, t) + (1 - \tau_2'(t))z_{k_2}(x, k_2, t) = 0, \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega \times (0, 1) \times (0, \infty),\]
therefore, problem (2.1.1) is equivalent to
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\begin{align*}
  &u_{tt} - L_1u + \int_0^t g_1(t-s)L_1u(ds) \\
  &\quad + \mu_1u_t(x,t) + \mu_2z_1(x, 1, t) + f_1(u, v) = 0, \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\
  &v_{tt} - L_2v + \int_0^t g_2(t-s)L_2v(ds) \\
  &\quad + \alpha_1v_t(x,t) + \alpha_2z_2(x, 1, t) + f_2(u, v) = 0, \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\
  &\tau_1(t)z_{1t}(x, k_1, t) + (1 - \tau_1'(t))z_{k_1}(x, k_1, t) = 0, \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, 1) \times (0, \infty), \\
  &\tau_2(t)z_{2t}(x, k_2, t) + (1 - \tau_2'(t))z_{k_2}(x, k_2, t) = 0, \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, 1) \times (0, \infty), \\
  &z_1(x, 0, t) = u_t(x,t), \ x \in \Omega, \quad t > 0, \\
  &z_2(x, 0, t) = v_t(x,t), \ x \in \Omega, \quad t > 0, \\
  &z_1(x, k_1, 0) = \phi_0(x, -\tau_2(0)k_1), \quad x \in \Omega, \\
  &z_2(x, k_2, 0) = \phi_1(x, -\tau_2(0)k_2), \quad x \in \Omega, \\
  &u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \ u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \\
  &v(x, 0) = v_0(x), \ v_t(x, 0) = v_1(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \\
  &u(x, t) = 0, v(x, t) = 0, \ x \in \partial\Omega, \quad t \geq 0.
\end{align*}

In the following, we will give sufficient conditions for the well-posedness of problem (2.3.1) by using the Fadeo-Galerkin’s method.

**Theorem 2.3.1.** Let \((u_0, v_0) \in (H^1_0(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega))^2, (u_1, v_1) \in (H^1_0(\Omega))^2\) and \((\phi_0, \phi_1) \in (L^2(\Omega \times (0, 1))^2\) satisfying the compatibility conditions

\[\phi_0(.,0) = u_1, \quad \phi_1(.,0) = v_1.\]

Assume that the hypotheses \((A_0) - (A_5)\) hold. Then there exists a unique weak solution \(((u, z_1), (v, z_2))\) of (2.3.1) such that for \(T > 0\) we have

\[u, v \in C\left([-\tau_1(0), T]; H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega)\right) \cap C^1\left([-\tau_2(0), T]; L^2(\Omega)\right),\]

\[u_t, v_t \in L^2\left([-\tau_2(0), T]; H^1_0(\Omega)\right) \cap L^2\left([-\tau_2(0), T \times \Omega).\right)\]
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Proof. We use Faedo-Galerkin’s method to construct approximate solution. Let $T > 0$ be fixed and denote by $V_n$ the space generated by the set $\{w_n, n \in N\}$ is a basis of $H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$. We define also for $1 \leq j \leq n$, the sequence $\varphi_j(x, n)$ as follows $\varphi_j(x, 0) = w_j(x)$. Then we may extend $\varphi_j(x, 0)$ by $\varphi_j(x, n)$ over $L^2(\Omega \times [0, 1])$ and denote $Z_n$ to be the space generated by $\{\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_n\}, (n = 1, 2, 3 \ldots)$. We construct approximate solutions $(u^n(t), z_1^n(t), v^n(t), z_2^n(t))$ $(n = 1, 2, 3 \ldots)$ in the form

$$u^n(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} u_{n,j}(t) w_j(x), \quad z_1^n(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} z_{1n,j}(t) \varphi_j(x, k_1),$$

$$v^n(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} v_{n,j}(t) w_j(x), \quad z_2^n(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} z_{2n,j}(t) \varphi_j(x, k_2),$$

where $((u^n(t), z_1^n(t)), (v^n(t), z_2^n(t)))$ are the solutions of the following approximate problem corresponding to (2.3.1) then $(u^n(t), z_1^n(t)), (v^n(t), z_2^n(t))$ verify the following system of ODEs:

$$\langle u_{tt}^n(t), w_j \rangle_{\Omega} + a_1(u^n(t), w_j) + \left\langle \int_0^t g_1(t - s) A_1 \nabla u^n(s) ds, \nabla w_j \right\rangle_{\Omega} 
+ \langle \mu_1 u^n(t, x), w_j \rangle_{\Omega} + \langle \mu_2 z_1^n(t, x, 1), w_j \rangle_{\Omega} + \langle f_1(u^n(t), v^n(t), w_j) \rangle_{\Omega} = 0, \quad (2.3.2)$$

and

$$\langle v_{tt}^n(t), w_j \rangle_{\Omega} + a_2(v^n(t), w_j) + \left\langle \int_0^t g_2(t - s) A_2 \nabla v^n(s) ds, \nabla w_j \right\rangle_{\Omega} 
+ \langle \alpha_1 v^n(t, x), w_j \rangle_{\Omega} + \langle \alpha_2 z_2^n(t, x, 1), w_j \rangle_{\Omega} + \langle f_2(u^n(t), v^n(t), w_j) \rangle_{\Omega} = 0,$$

for $j = 1 \ldots n$. More specifically

$$u^n(0) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} u_{n,j}(0) w_j, \quad v^n(0) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} v_{n,j}(0) w_j, \quad u^n_t(0) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} u_{n,j}'(0) w_j, \quad v^n_t(0) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} v_{n,j}'(0) w_j,$$

$$\langle \tau_2(t) z_1^n(t, x, k_1), (1 - \tau_2(t)) z_{1k_1}^n(t, x, k_1), \varphi_j \rangle_{\Omega} = 0, \quad (2.3.5)$$

for $j = 1 \ldots n$. Obviously, $u^n(0) \to u^0, \quad v^n(0) \to v^0$ strongly in $H_0^1(\Omega), \quad u^n_t(0) \to u^1, \quad v^n_t(0) \to v^1$ strongly in $L^2(\Omega)$ as $n \to \infty$. 
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\[ \langle \tau_2(t) z^n_{2t}(x, k, t) + (1 - \tau'_2(t)) z^n_{2k}(x, k, t), \varphi_j \rangle_\Omega = 0, \]  
(2.3.6)

\[ z^n_1(0) = z^n_{\gamma}, \quad z^n_2(0) = z^n_{\gamma} \rightarrow \phi_1 \text{ in } L^2(\Omega \times (0, 1)). \]  
(2.3.7)

For \( j = 1, \ldots, n \). Where

\[ a_1(\psi(t), \phi(t)) = \sum_{i,j=1}^N \int_{\Omega} a_{1i,j}(x) \frac{\partial \psi(t)}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \phi(t)}{\partial x_j} dx = \int_{\Omega} A_1 \nabla \psi(t) \phi(t) dx, \]
\[ a_2(\psi(t), \phi(t)) = \sum_{i,j=1}^N \int_{\Omega} a_{2i,j}(x) \frac{\partial \psi(t)}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \phi(t)}{\partial x_j} dx = \int_{\Omega} A_2 \nabla \psi(t) \phi(t) dx. \]

By using hypothesis (\( A_1 \)), we verify that the bilinear forms \( a_1(\cdot, \cdot), a_2(\cdot, \cdot) : H^1_0(\Omega) \times H^1_0(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) are symmetric and continuous. On the other hand, from (2.2.2) for \( \zeta = \nabla \psi \), we get

\[ a_1(\psi(t), \psi(t)) \geq a_{01} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^N \left| \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_i} \right|^2 dx = a_{01} \| \nabla \psi(t) \|_2^2, \]  
(2.3.8)

\[ a_2(\psi(t), \psi(t)) \geq a_{02} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^N \left| \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_i} \right|^2 dx = a_{02} \| \nabla \psi(t) \|_2^2. \]  
(2.3.9)

Which implies that \( a_1(\cdot, \cdot), a_2(\cdot, \cdot) \) are coercive. We will utilize a standard compactness argument for the limiting procedure and it suffices to derive some a priori estimates for \( (u^n(t), z^n_1(t), v^n(t), z^n_2(t)) \) such that \( n \in N \).

**Estimate 1.** Multiplying equation (2.3.2) by \( u'_{n,j}(t) \) and the equation (2.3.3) by \( v'_{n,j}(t) \) then summing with respect to \( j \), we obtain

\[ \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left[ \| u^n(t) \|_2^2 + \| v^n(t) \|_2^2 + a_1(u^n(t), u^n(t)) + a_2(v^n(t), v^n(t)) \right] \]
\[ + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} F(u^n(t), v^n(t)) dx + \frac{\mu_1}{2} \| u^n(t) \|_2^2 + \frac{\alpha_1}{2} \| v^n(t) \|_2^2 \]
\[ + \mu_2 \int_{\Omega} z_1(x, 1, t) u^n(x, t) dx + \alpha_2 \int_{\Omega} z_2(x, 1, t) v^n(x, t) dx \]
\[ = \int_0^t g_1(t - s) \int_{\Omega} A_1 \nabla u^n(s) \nabla u^n(t) dx ds \]
\[ - \int_0^t g_2(t - s) \int_{\Omega} A_2 \nabla v^n(s) \nabla v^n(t) dx ds = 0. \]  
(2.3.10)

Note that

\[ a_1(u^n(t), u^n(t)) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} a_1(u^n(t), u^n(t)), \]  
(2.3.11)

\[ a_2(v^n(t), v^n(t)) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} a_2(v^n(t), v^n(t)). \]  
(2.3.12)
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Following the same technique as in [31], we can obtain

\[
\int_0^t g_1(t-s) \int_\Omega A_1 \nabla u^n(s) \nabla u^n_t(t) dx ds \\
= \sum_{i,j=1}^N \int_0^t \int_\Omega g_1(t-s) a_{1i,j}(x) \frac{\partial u^n(s)}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial u^n(t)}{\partial x_i} dx ds \\
= \sum_{i,j=1}^N \int_0^t \int_\Omega g_1(t-s) a_{1i,j}(x) \frac{\partial u^n(t)}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u^n(t)}{\partial x_j} dx ds \\
- \sum_{i,j=1}^N \int_0^t \int_\Omega g_1(t-s) a_{1i,j}(x) \left( \frac{\partial u^n(t)}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial u^n(s)}{\partial x_i} \right) \frac{\partial u^n(t)}{\partial x_j} dx ds \\
= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t g_1(t-s) \left( \frac{d}{dt} a_1(u^n(t), u^n(t)) \right) ds \\
- \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t g_1(t-s) \left( \frac{d}{dt} a_1(u^n(t) - u^n(s), u^n(t) - u^n(s)) \right) ds \\
= \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (g_1 \circ u^n)(t) + \frac{1}{2} (g'_1 \circ u^n)(t) \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} a_1(u^n(t), u^n(t)) \int_0^t g_1(s) ds - \frac{1}{2} g_1(t) a_1(u^n(t), u^n(t)), \\
\] (2.3.13)

where

\[
(g_1 \circ u^n)(t) = \int_0^t g_1(t-s) a_1(u^n(t) - u^n(s), u^n(t) - u^n(s)) ds. \\
\] (2.3.14)

In the same way

\[
\int_0^t g_2(t-s) \int_\Omega A_2 \nabla v^n(s) \nabla v^n_t(t) dx ds = - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (g_2 \circ v^n)(t) + \frac{1}{2} (g'_2 \circ v^n)(t) \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} a_2(v^n(t), v^n(t)) \int_0^t g_2(s) ds - \frac{1}{2} g_2(t) a_2(v^n(t), v^n(t)). \\
\] (2.3.15)
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Inserting (2.3.11)-(2.3.15) in (2.3.10) and integrating over $(0,t)$, we get

\[
\frac{1}{2} \left\| u^n(t) \right\|^2_\Omega + \frac{1}{2} \left\| v^n(t) \right\|^2_\Omega + \int_\Omega F(u^n(t), v^n(t)) \, dx \\
= \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \int_0^t g_1(s) \, ds \right) a_1(u^n(t), u^n(t)) \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \int_0^t g_2(s) \, ds \right) a_2(v^n(t), v^n(t)) + \frac{1}{2} (g_1 u^n(t) + \frac{1}{2} (g_2 v^n(t) \\
+ \mu_1 \int_0^t \left\| u^n(s) \right\|^2_\Omega ds + \alpha_1 \int_0^t \left\| v^n(s) \right\|^2_\Omega ds + \mu_2 \int_0^t \int_\Omega z^n_1(x,1,s) u^n(s) \, dx ds \\
+ \alpha_2 \int_0^t \int_\Omega z^n_2(x,1,s) v^n(s) \, dx ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t g_1(s) a_1(u^n(t), u^n(t)) \, ds \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t g_2(s) a_2(v^n(t), v^n(t)) \, ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t (g_1 u^n(t) + \frac{1}{2} (g_2 v^n(t) ds = 0. \\
\]

(2.3.16)

Now, we multiply (2.3.5) by $\xi_1(t) e^{-k_1 \tau_2(t)} z^n_{1n,j}(t)$, summing with respect to $j$ and integrating over $\Omega \times (0,1)$ to obtain

\[
\xi_1(t) e^{-k_1 \tau_2(t)} \int_\Omega \int_0^1 z^n_{1n} z^n_{1}(x,k_1,t) \, dk_1 dx \\
= - \frac{\xi_1(t) e^{-k_1 \tau_2(t)}}{2n} \int_\Omega \int_0^1 (1 - \tau_2(t)k_1) \frac{\partial}{\partial k_1} (z^n_{1}(x,k_1,t))^2 \\dk_1 dx. \\
\]

(2.3.17)

Consequently,

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\xi_1(t) e^{-k_1 \tau_2(t)}}{2} \int_\Omega \int_0^1 (z^n_{1}(x,k_1,t))^2 \, dk_1 dx \right) \\
= - \frac{\xi_1(t)}{2} \int_0^1 \int_\Omega \frac{\partial}{\partial k_1} ((1 - \tau_2(t)k_1) e^{-k_1 \tau_2(t)} (z^n_{1}(x,k_1,t))^2 \, dk_1 dx \\
+ \frac{\xi_1(t) e^{-k_1 \tau_2(t)}}{2} \int_0^1 \int_\Omega (z^n_{1}(x,k_1,t))^2 \, dk_1 dx \\
= \frac{\xi_1(t)}{2} \int_\Omega \left[ (z^n_{1}(x,0,t))^2 - (z^n_{1}(x,1,t))^2 \right] e^{-\tau_2(t)} \, dx \\
+ \frac{\xi_1(t) \tau_2(t) e^{-\tau_2(t)}}{2} \int_\Omega (z^n_{1}(x,1,t))^2 \, dx + \frac{\xi_1(t) e^{-k_1 \tau_2(t)}}{2} \int_0^1 \int_\Omega (z^n_{1}(x,k_1,t))^2 \, dk_1 dx. \\
\]

(2.3.18)

In the same way for (2.3.6), we obtain

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\xi_2(t) e^{-k_2 \tau_2(t)}}{2} \int_\Omega \int_0^1 (z^n_{2}(x,k_2,t))^2 \, dk_2 dx \right) \\
= \frac{\xi_2(t)}{2} \int_\Omega \left[ (z^n_{2}(x,0,t))^2 - (z^n_{2}(x,1,t))^2 \right] e^{-\tau_2(t)} \, dx \\
+ \frac{\xi_2(t) \tau_2(t) e^{-\tau_2(t)}}{2} \int_\Omega (z^n_{2}(x,1,t))^2 \, dx + \frac{\xi_2(t) e^{-k_2 \tau_2(t)}}{2} \int_0^1 \int_\Omega (z^n_{2}(x,k_2,t))^2 \, dk_2 dx. \\
\]

(2.3.19)
Due to Young’s inequality, we have

\[
\mu_2 \int_\Omega z^n_1(x, 1, t)u^n_t(x, t)dx \leq \frac{\mu_2}{2\sqrt{1-d}}\|u^n_t(t)\|_2^2 + \frac{\mu_2\sqrt{1-d}}{2}\|z^n_1(x, 1, t)\|_2^2, \quad (2.3.20)
\]

\[
\alpha_2 \int_\Omega z^n_2(x, 1, t)v^n_t(x, t)dx \leq \frac{\alpha_2}{2\sqrt{1-d'}}\|v^n(t)\|_2^2 + \frac{\alpha_2\sqrt{1-d'}}{2}\|z^n_2(x, 1, t)\|_2^2. \quad (2.3.21)
\]

Under the fact that

\[
\int_0^t (g_1' \circ u^n_s)(s)ds \leq 0, \quad \int_0^t (g_2' \circ v^n_s)(s)ds \leq 0,
\]

we conclude that

\[
(g_1 \circ u^n_t)(t) - \int_0^t (g_1' \circ u^n_s)(s)ds + \int_0^t g_1(s)a_1(u^n_t(t), u^n_t(t))ds \geq 0, \quad (2.3.22)
\]

\[
(g_2 \circ v^n_t)(t) - \int_0^t (g_2' \circ v^n_s)(s)ds + \int_0^t g_2(s)a_2(v^n_t(t), v^n_t(t))ds \geq 0. \quad (2.3.23)
\]

Summing (2.3.16), (2.3.18), (2.3.19), (2.3.20), (2.3.21), (2.3.22) and (2.3.23), we get

\[
E^n(t) + \sigma_1 \int_0^t \|u^n_s(s)\|_2^2 ds + \sigma_2 \int_0^t \|v^n_s(s)\|_2^2 ds
\]

\[
+ \sigma_3 \int_0^t \|z^n_1(x, 1, s)\|_2^2 ds + \sigma_4 \int_0^t \|z^n_2(x, 1, s)\|_2^2 ds
\]

\[
- \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t (g_1'ou)(s)ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t g_1(s)a_1(u(s), u(s))ds
\]

\[
- \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t (g_2'ov)(s)ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t g_2(s)a_2(v(s), v(s))ds \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[ \|u^{1n}\|_2^2 + \|v^{1n}\|_2^2 + \int_\Omega F(u^n(0), v^n(0))dx \right] = E^n(0). \quad (2.3.24)
\]

Such that

\[
\sigma_1 = \left( \mu_1 - \frac{\xi_1}{2} - \frac{\mu_2}{2\sqrt{1-d}} \right), \quad \sigma_3 = \left( \frac{\xi_1(1 - \tau_2'(t))}{2} - \frac{\mu_2\sqrt{1-d}}{2} \right),
\]

\[
\sigma_2 = \left( \alpha_1 - \frac{\xi_2}{2} - \frac{\alpha_2}{2\sqrt{1-d'}} \right), \quad \sigma_4 = \left( \frac{\xi_2(1 - \tau_2'(t))}{2} - \frac{\alpha_2\sqrt{1-d'}}{2} \right).
\]

Where \( E(t) \) is the energy of the solution defined by the following formula

\[
E^n(t) = \frac{1}{2} \|u^n_t(t)\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|v^n_t(t)\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \int_0^t g_1(s)ds \right) a_1(u^n(t), u^n(t)) + \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \int_0^t g_2(s)ds \right) a_2(v^n(t), v^n(t)) \]

\[
+ \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \int_0^t g_2(s)ds \right) a_2(v^n(t), v^n(t)) + \frac{1}{2} \left( g_1'ou^n(t) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left( g_2'ov^n(t) \right) + \frac{e^{-k_1\tau_2(t)\xi_1(t)}}{2} \int_0^1 (z^n_1(x, k_1, t))^2 dk_1 dx + \frac{1}{2} \left( g_2'ov^n(t) \right) + \frac{e^{-k_2\tau_2(t)\xi_2(t)}}{2} \int_0^1 (z^n_2(x, k_2, t))^2 dk_2 dx + \int_\Omega F(u^n(0), v^n(0))dx. \quad (2.3.25)
\]
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We shall prove that the problem (2.3.2)–(2.3.6) admits a local solution in \([0, t_m), 0 < t_m < T\), for an arbitrary \(T > 0\). The extension of the solution to the whole interval \([0, T]\) is a consequence of the estimates below.

**Estimate 2.** As in [26] replacing \(w_j\) by \(-\Delta w_j\) in (2.3.2)-(2.3.6), multiplying the equation (2.3.2) by \(u_{n,j}^\prime(t)\) and equation (2.3.3) by \(v_{n,j}^\prime(t)\), summing over \(j\) from 1 to \(n\) then using (2.3.13) and (2.3.15), we get

\[
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left[ \|\nabla u_i^n(t)\|^2 + a_{01} \left( 1 - \int_0^t g_1(s)ds \right) \|\Delta u^n(t)\|^2 + (g_1 o \Delta u^n)(t) \right] \\
+ \frac{1}{2} g_1(t) \|\Delta u^n(t)\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} (g_1 o \Delta u^n)(t) + \frac{\mu_1}{2} \|\nabla u_i^n(t)\|^2 \\
+ \mu_2 \int_\Omega z_1^n(x, 1, t) \Delta u_i^n(t) dx + \int_\Omega f_1(u^n(t), v^n(t)) \Delta u^n(t) dx = 0,
\]

and

\[
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left[ \|\nabla v_i^n(t)\|^2 + a_{02} \left( 1 - \int_0^t g_2(s)ds \right) \|\Delta v^n(t)\|^2 + (g_2 o \Delta v^n)(t) \right] \\
+ \frac{1}{2} g_2(t) \|\Delta v^n(t)\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} (g_2 o \Delta v^n)(t) + \frac{\alpha_1}{2} \|\nabla v_i^n(t)\|^2 \\
+ \alpha_2 \int_\Omega z_2^n(x, 1, t) \Delta v_i^n(t) dx + \int_\Omega f_2(u^n(t), v^n(t)) \Delta v^n(t) dx = 0.
\]

Replacing \(\varphi_j\) by \(-\Delta \varphi_j\) in (2.3.5)-(2.3.6), multiplying (2.3.5) by \(z_{1n,j}(t)\), summing over \(j\), it follows that

\[
\left( \frac{\tau_2(t)}{2(1 - \tau_2(t)k_1)} \right) \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla z_1^n(t)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dk_1} \|\nabla z_1^n(t)\|^2 = 0. \tag{2.3.28}
\]

Then

\[
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\tau_2(t)}{1 - \tau_2(t)k_1} \|\nabla z_1^n(t)\|^2 \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\tau_2(t)}{1 - \tau_2(t)k_1} \right)' \|\nabla z_1^n(t)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dk_1} \|\nabla z_1^n(t)\|^2 = 0. \tag{2.3.29}
\]

In the same way for (2.3.6)

\[
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\tau_2(t)}{1 - \tau_2(t)k_2} \|\nabla z_2^n(t)\|^2 \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\tau_2(t)}{1 - \tau_2(t)k_2} \right)' \|\nabla z_2^n(t)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dk_2} \|\nabla z_2^n(t)\|^2 = 0. \tag{2.3.30}
\]

Using Young’s inequality, summing (2.3.26), (2.3.29) and (2.3.30) then integrating over \((0, t)\),
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we get

\[
\begin{align*}
&\frac{1}{2}\left[\|\nabla u^n(t)\|_2^2 + \|\nabla v^n(t)\|_2^2 + a_{01}\left(1 - \int_0^t g_1(s)ds\right)\|\Delta u^n(t)\|_2^2\right] \\
&+ \int_0^t \frac{\tau_2(t)}{1 - \tau_2^2(t)k_1} \|\nabla z^n_1(x, k_1, t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 dk_1 - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t (g'_1 o \Delta u^n(s))ds \\
&+ \int_0^t \frac{\tau_2(t)}{1 - \tau_2^2(t)k_2} \|\nabla z^n_2(x, k_2, t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 dk_2 + \frac{1}{2}(g_2 o \Delta v^n)(t) \\
&+ \frac{a_{02}}{2} \left(1 - \int_0^t g_2(s)ds\right) \|\nabla v^n(t)\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}(g_1 o \Delta u^n)(t) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t g_1(s)\|\Delta u^n(s)\|_2^2 ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t g_2(s)\|\Delta v^n(s)\|_2^2 ds \\
&- \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t (g'_2 o \Delta v^n(s))ds + \frac{\mu_1}{2} \int_0^t \|\nabla u^n_s(s)\|_2^2 ds + \frac{\alpha_1}{2} \int_0^t \|\nabla v^n_s(s)\|_2^2 ds \\
&+ \mu_2 \int_0^t \int_\Omega |\nabla z^n_1(x, 1, s)|^2 dxdx + \mu_2 \int_0^t \|\nabla u^n(s)\|_2^2 ds \\
&+ \alpha_2 \int_0^t \int_\Omega |\nabla z^n_2(x, 1, s)|^2 dxdx + \alpha_2 \int_0^t \|\nabla v^n(s)\|_2^2 ds \\
&\leq \int_\Omega \left(f_1(u^n, v^n)\Delta u^n - f_1(u^0, v^0)\Delta u^0\right) dx \\
&- \int_\Omega \int_0^1 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial a} f_1(u^n, v^n) u^n \Delta u^n + \frac{\partial}{\partial v} f_1(u^n, v^n) v^n \Delta u^n\right) dx ds \\
&+ \int_0^t \int_0^1 \left(\frac{\tau_2(s)}{1 - \tau_2^2(s)k_1}\right) \|\nabla z^n_1(x, k_1, s)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 dk_1 + \|\Delta u^n\|_2^2 \\
&+ \int_0^t \int_0^1 \left(\frac{\tau_2(s)}{1 - \tau_2^2(s)k_2}\right) \|\nabla z^n_2(x, k_2, s)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 dk_2 + \|\Delta v^n\|_2^2 \\
&+ \int_0^t \|\nabla u^n_s(s)\|_2^2 ds + \int_0^t \|\nabla v^n_s(s)\|_2^2 ds + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u^n\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla v^n\|_2^2.
\end{align*}
\]

(2.3.31)

Where \(c_0 = \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u^{1n}\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla v^{1n}\|_2^2 + \|\Delta u^{1n}\|_2^2 + \|\Delta v^{1n}\|_2^2\) is a positive constant. We just need to estimate the right hand terms of (2.3.31). Applying Holder’s inequality and Sobolev’s embedding theorem inequality, we infer

\[
\left|\int_\Omega f_1(u^n(t), v^n(t))\Delta u^n(t)dx\right| \leq \int_\Omega \left(\|u^n\|_{L^p}^p + \|v^n\|_{L^p}^p + \|u^n\|_{L^{\frac{p+1}{2}}}^{\frac{p+1}{2}}\|v^n\|_{L^{\frac{p+1}{2}}}^{\frac{p+1}{2}}\right) \|\Delta u^n\|_2 dx
\]

\[
\leq C \left(\|u^n\|_{L^p}^p + \|v^n\|_{L^p}^p + \|u^n\|_{L^{\frac{p+1}{2}}}^{\frac{p+1}{2}}\|v^n\|_{L^{\frac{p+1}{2}}}^{\frac{p+1}{2}}\right) \|\Delta u^n\|_2
\]

\[
\leq C \left(\|\nabla u^n\|_{L^p}^p + \|\nabla v^n\|_{L^p}^p + \|\Delta u^n\|_{L^{\frac{p+1}{2}}}^{\frac{p+1}{2}}\|\nabla v^n\|_{L^{\frac{p+1}{2}}}^{\frac{p+1}{2}}\right) \|\Delta u^n\|_2
\]

\[
\leq C \left(\|\Delta u^n\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u^n\|_{L^p}^{2p} + \|\nabla v^n\|_{L^p}^{2p} + \|\Delta u^n\|_{L^{\frac{p+1}{2}}}^{\frac{p+1}{2}}\|\nabla v^n\|_{L^{\frac{p+1}{2}}}^{\frac{p+1}{2}}\right)
\]

\[
\leq C\|\Delta u^n\|_2^2 + c.
\]
Likewise, we obtain
\[
\left| \int_{\Omega} f_2(u^n(t), v^n(t)) \Delta v^n(t) dx \right| \leq C \| \Delta v^n \|_2^2 + c. \tag{2.3.33}
\]

Now we estimate \( I = \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} f_1(u^n(t), v^n(t)) u^n(t) \Delta u^n(t) dx \), then, by (A2) and Young’s inequality, we get
\[
|I| \leq c \int_{\Omega} (|u^n|^p - 1 + |v^n|^p - 1) \| u^n \| \| \Delta u^n \| dx \leq c \| u^n \|_2 \| u^n \|_2^p \| \Delta u^n \|_{2p} + \| v^n \|_2^p \| u^n \|_2 \| \Delta u^n \|_2. \tag{2.3.34}
\]

Then
\[
|I| \leq c (\| \nabla u^n \|_2^p - 1 + \| \nabla v^n \|_2^p - 1) \| \nabla u^n \|_2 \| \Delta u^n \|_2 \leq c \| \nabla u^n \|_2 + c \| \nabla u^n \|_2. \tag{2.3.35}
\]

Then, we infer from (2.3.32) – (2.3.35) and using Gronwall’s lemma, we deduce that
\[
\| \nabla u^n(t) \|_2^2 + \| \nabla v^n(t) \|_2^2 + a_{01} \left( 1 - \int_{0}^{t} g_1(s) ds \right) \| \Delta u^n(t) \|_2^2 + a_{02} \left( 1 - \int_{0}^{t} g_2(s) ds \right) \| \Delta v^n(t) \|_2^2 \leq e^{cT} \left( \| \nabla u^n_0(t) \|_2^2 + \| \nabla v^n_0(t) \|_2^2 + \| \Delta u^n_0(t) \|_2^2 + \| \Delta v^n_0(t) \|_2^2 \right) \tag{2.3.36}
\]

we have also from (2.3.24)
\[
\| u^n_0(t) \|_2^2 + \| v^n_0(t) \|_2^2 + \| \nabla u^n(t) \|_2^2 + \| \nabla v^n(t) \|_2^2 + (g_1 \alpha u^n)(t) + \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\Omega} z^n_1(x, 1, s) dx ds + \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\Omega} z^n_2(x, 1, s) dx ds + (g_2 \alpha v^n)(t) + \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\Omega} z^n_3(x, k_2, k_2) dx ds + \int_{\Omega} F(u, v) dx \leq C_1, \tag{2.3.37}
\]

where \( C_1 \) is a positive constant depending on the parameter \( E(0) \).

**Estimate 3.** First, we estimate \( (u^n_0(0)) \) and \( (v^n_0(0)) \) in (2.3.2)-(2.3.3) and taking \( t = 0 \), we obtain
\[
\| u^n_0(0) \|_2^2 + \| v^n_0(0) \|_2^2 \leq \| a_{01} u^{0n} \|_2^2 + \mu_1 \| u^{1n} \|_2^2 + \mu_2 \| z^{0n} \|_2^2 + a_{02} \| v^{0n} \|_2^2 + \alpha_1 \| v^{1n} \|_2^2 + \alpha_2 \| z^{0n} \|_2^2 \leq a_{01} \| u^0 \|_2^2 + \mu_1 \| u^1 \|_2^2 + \mu_2 \| z^0 \|_2^2 + a_{02} \| v^0 \|_2^2 + \alpha_1 \| v^1 \|_2^2 + \alpha_2 \| z^0 \|_2^2 \leq C. \tag{2.3.38}
\]
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Where $C$ is a positive constant. Now, differentiating (2.3.2) and (2.3.3) with respect to $t$, we have

\[
\left< \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} a_{ij}(x) \left( \int_0^t g_1(t-s) \nabla u^n(t)ds \right)' , \nabla w_j \right> \Omega \\
+ \left\{ \mu_1 (u^n_t(x, t))', w_j \right\} \Omega + \left\{ a_1 (u^n_t(t), w_j) + a_1 (u^n_t(t), w_j) \right\} \Omega = 0,
\]

(2.3.39)

and

\[
\left< \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} a_{ij}(x) \left( \int_0^t g_2(t-s) \nabla v^n(t)ds \right)' , \nabla w_j \right> \Omega \\
+ \langle v^n_{tt}(t), w_j \rangle \Omega + a_2 (v^n_t(t), w_j) + \left\{ \alpha_1 (v^n_t(x, t))', w_j \right\} \Omega \\
+ \left\{ a_2 (z^n_2(x, 1, t))', w_j \right\} \Omega + \langle (Df_2(u^n(t), v^n(t)), w_j) \rangle \Omega = 0.
\]

(2.3.40)

Multiplying (2.3.39) by $u''_{n,j}(t)$ and (2.3.40) by $v''_{n,j}(t)$, summing over $j$ from 1 to $n$, it follows that

\[
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \| u''_{n,t}(t) \|^2_2 + a_1 (u^n_t(t), u^n_t(t)) + \mu_1 \| u^n_t(t) \|^2_2 + \mu_2 (u^n_t(t), z^n_1(x, 1, t)) \Omega \\
- g_1(0) \frac{d}{dt} \langle A_1 (\nabla u^n(t), \nabla u^n_t(t)) \rangle \Omega + g_1(0) a_1 (u^n_t(t), u^n_t(t)) \\
- \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t A_1 g_1(t-s) \langle \nabla u^n(s), \nabla u^n_t(t) \rangle \Omega ds + \langle Df_1(u^n(t), v^n(t)), u^n_{tt}(t) \rangle \Omega \\
- \int_0^t A_1 g_1''(t-s) \langle \nabla u^n(s), \nabla u^n_t(t) \rangle \Omega ds + g_1'(0) \langle A_1 \nabla u^n_t(t), \nabla u^n_t(t) \rangle \Omega.
\]

(2.3.41)

and

\[
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \| v''_{n,t}(t) \|^2_2 + a_2 (v^n_t(t), v^n_t(t)) + \alpha_1 \| v^n_t(t) \|^2_2 + \alpha_2 (v^n_t(t), z^n_2(x, 1, t)) \Omega \\
- g_2(0) \frac{d}{dt} \langle A_2 (\nabla v^n(t), \nabla v^n_t(t)) \rangle \Omega + g_2(0) a_2 (v^n_t(t), v^n_t(t)) \\
- \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t A_2 g_2(t-s) \langle \nabla v^n(s), \nabla v^n_t(t) \rangle \Omega ds + \langle Df_2(u^n(t), v^n(t)), v^n_{tt}(t) \rangle \Omega ds \\
- \int_0^t A_2 g_2''(t-s) \langle \nabla v^n(s), \nabla v^n_t(t) \rangle \Omega ds + g_2'(0) \langle A_2 \nabla v^n_t(t), \nabla v^n_t(t) \rangle \Omega.
\]

(2.3.42)

Differentiating (2.3.5) with respect to $t$, we get

\[
\left( \frac{\tau_2(t)}{(1-\tau_2(t))k_1} \right) \| z^n_{1t}(t) \|^2_2 + \frac{\tau_2(t)}{(1-\tau_2(t))k_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial k_1} \| z^n_{1t}(t) \|^2_2 = 0.
\]

(2.3.43)

Multiplying (2.3.43) by $z'_{1n,j}(t)$, summing over $j$ from 1 to $n$, it follows that

\[
\left( \frac{\tau_2(t)}{(1-\tau_2(t))k_1} \right)' \| z^n_{1t}(t) \|^2_2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\tau_2(t)}{(1-\tau_2(t))k_1} \right) \frac{d}{dt} \| z^n_{1t}(t) \|^2_2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dk_1} \| z^n_{1t}(t) \|^2_2 = 0.
\]

(2.3.44)
2.3 Global existence

Then, we have

\[
\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\tau_2(t)}{1-\tau_2'(t)k_1} \right)' \left\| z_{1t}(t) \right\|^2 + \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\tau_2(t)}{1-\tau_2'(t)k_1} \right) \left\| z_{1t}(t) \right\|^2 + \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\tau_2(t)}{1-\tau_2'(t)k_1} \right) \left\| z_{1t}(t) \right\|^2 = 0. \tag{2.3.45}
\]

In the same way for (2.3.6), we get

\[
\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\tau_2(t)}{1-\tau_2'(t)k_2} \right)' \left\| z_{2t}(t) \right\|^2 + \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\tau_2(t)}{1-\tau_2'(t)k_2} \right) \left\| z_{2t}(t) \right\|^2 + \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\tau_2(t)}{1-\tau_2'(t)k_2} \right) \left\| z_{2t}(t) \right\|^2 = 0. \tag{2.3.46}
\]

Taking the sum of (2.3.41), (2.3.42), (2.3.44) and (2.3.46), we obtain

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left[ \left\| u_{tt}(t) \right\|^2 + \left\| v_{tt}(t) \right\|^2 + a_1(u_t^n(t), u_t^n(t)) + a_2(v_t^n(t), v_t^n(t)) \right] \\
+ \frac{d}{dt} \left( \int_0^1 \left( \frac{\tau_2(t)}{1-\tau_2'(t)k_1} \right) \left\| z_{1t}(t) \right\|^2 dk_1 + \mu_1 \left\| u_{tt}(t) \right\|^2 + \alpha_1 \left\| v_{tt}(t) \right\|^2 \right) \\
+ \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^1 \left( \frac{\tau_2(t)}{1-\tau_2'(t)k_2} \right) \left\| z_{2t}(t) \right\|^2 dk_2 + g_1(0) a_1(u_t^n(t), u_t^n(t)) \\
+ g_2(0) a_2(v_t^n(t), v_t^n(t)) + \frac{1}{2} \left\| z_{1t}(x, 1, t) \right\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left\| z_{2t}(x, 1, t) \right\|^2 \\
= -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \left( \frac{\tau_2(t)}{1-\tau_2'(t)k_1} \right)' \left\| z_{1t}(t) \right\|^2 dk_1 + \frac{1}{2} \left\| u_{tt}(t) \right\|^2 \\
-\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \left( \frac{\tau_2(t)}{1-\tau_2'(t)k_2} \right)' \left\| z_{2t}(t) \right\|^2 dk_2 + \frac{1}{2} \left\| v_{tt}(t) \right\|^2 \tag{2.3.47}
\]

Using Holder, Young's inequalities and the same technique as in [29], we conclude the fol-
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lowing estimates

\[ g_1'(0) A_1 \langle \nabla u^n(t), \nabla u^n_i(t) \rangle_{\Omega} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} g_1'(0) a_{1ij}(x) \left\langle \frac{\partial u^n(t)}{\partial x_j}, \frac{\partial u^n_i(t)}{\partial x_i} \right\rangle \Omega \]

\[
\leq \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \frac{(g_1'(0))^2}{2\mu} \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u^n(t)}{\partial x_j} \right|^2 dx + 2\mu \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} a_{1ij}(x) \left| \frac{\partial u^n_i(t)}{\partial x_i} \right|^2 dx
\]

\[
\leq \frac{(g_1'(0))^2}{2\mu} \| \nabla u^n(t) \|_2^2 + 2\mu \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{N} \| a_{1ij} \|_\infty^2 \right) \| \nabla u^n_i(t) \|_2^2
\]

where

\[ a_{11} = \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{N} \| a_{1ij} \|_\infty^2 \right), \quad a_{22} = \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{N} \| a_{2ij} \|_\infty^2 \right). \]

And

\[ g_2'(0) A_2 \langle \nabla v^n(t), \nabla v^n_i(t) \rangle_{\Omega} \leq \frac{(g_2'(0))^2}{2\mu} \| \nabla v^n(t) \|_2^2 + 2a_{22} \mu \| \nabla v^n_i(t) \|_2^2, \]

\[
\int_0^t A_1 g_1''(t-s) \langle \nabla u^n(s), \nabla u^n_i(t) \rangle_{\Omega} ds
\]

\[
= \int_0^t \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{1ij}(x) g_1''(t-s) \langle \nabla u^n(s), \nabla u^n_i(t) \rangle_{\Omega} ds
\]

\[
\leq \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{a_{11}}{\epsilon} \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u^n(t)}{\partial x_i} \right|^2 dx + 2\epsilon \| g_1'' \|_{L^1} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \int_{\epsilon}^t \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u^n(s)}{\partial x_j} \right|^2 dx ds
\]

\[
\leq \frac{a_{11}}{\epsilon} \| \nabla u^n_i(t) \|_2^2 + 2\epsilon \| g_1'' \|_{L^1} \int_0^t \| \nabla u^n(s) \|_2^2 ds,
\]

\[
\left| \int_0^t A_2 g_2''(t-s) \langle \nabla v^n(s), \nabla v^n_i(t) \rangle_{\Omega} ds \right| \leq \frac{a_{22}}{\epsilon} \| \nabla v^n_i(t) \|_2^2
\]

\[ + 2\epsilon \| g_2'' \|_{L^1} \int_0^t \| \nabla v^n(s) \|_2^2 ds. \]

Using (A2) and the Sobolev’s embedding, gives us

\[
|\langle Df_1 u^n(t), v^n(t), u^n_i(t) \rangle_{\Omega}| \leq C \left( \| u^n \|_p + \| v^n \|_p \right) \| u^n_i \|_2 \| u^n \|_2
\]

\[ + C \left( \| u^n \|_p + \| v^n \|_p \right) \| v^n_i \|_2 \| u^n_i \|_2 \]

\[ \leq C \left( \| u^n \|_2^{2(p-1)} + \| v^n \|_2^{2(p-1)} + \| u^n_i \|_2^2 + \| v^n \|_2^2 \right) \| u^n_i \|_2 \leq \| u^n_i \|_2^2 + c, \]

where \( c \) is a positive constant. In the same way, we obtain

\[ |\langle Df_2(u^n(t), v^n(t)), u^n_i(t) \rangle_{\Omega}| \leq \| v^n_i \|_2^2 + c. \]
Replacing (2.3.48)-(2.3.53) in (2.3.47), we get

\[
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left[ \|u^n(t)\|^2 + \|v^n(t)\|^2 + a_1(u^n(t), u^n(t)) + a_2(v^n(t), v^n(t)) \right] \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^1 \left( \frac{\tau_2(t)}{1 - \tau_2(t)k_1} \right) \|z^n_{1t}(t)\|^2 \, dk_1 + \frac{1}{2} \|z^n_{1t}(x, 1, t)\|^2 \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^1 \left( \frac{\tau_2(t)}{1 - \tau_2(t)k_2} \right) \|z^n_{2t}(t)\|^2 \, dk_2 + \frac{1}{2} \|z^n_{2t}(x, 1, t)\|^2 \\
+ g_1(0)a_{11}(u^n_t(t), u^n_t(t)) + g_2(0)a_{22}(v^n_t(t), v^n_t(t)) \\
\leq c \|u^n(t)\|^2 + c \|v^n(t)\|^2 + c \left( \int_0^1 \left( \frac{\tau_2(t)}{1 - \tau_2(t)k_1} \right) \|z^n_{1t}(t)\|^2 \, dk_1 \right) \\
+ c \left( \int_0^1 \left( \frac{\tau_2(t)}{1 - \tau_2(t)k_2} \right) \|z^n_{2t}(t)\|^2 \, dk_2 \right) + 2\mu a_{22} \|\nabla u^n(t)\|^2 \\
+ \frac{(g'_1(0))^2}{2\mu} \|\nabla u^n(t)\|^2 + 2\mu a_{11} \|\nabla u^n(t)\|^2 + \frac{(g'_2(0))^2}{2\mu} \|\nabla v^n(t)\|^2 \\
+ \epsilon \|g''_1\|_{L^1} \int_0^t A_1 \nabla u^n(s) \|^2 \, ds + \frac{a_{11}}{\epsilon} \|\nabla u^n(t)\|^2 \\
+ \epsilon \|g''_2\|_{L^1} \int_0^t A_2 \nabla v^n(s) \|^2 \, ds + \frac{a_{22}}{\epsilon} \|\nabla v^n(t)\|^2 \\
- \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t A_1 \langle \nabla u^n_s(s), \nabla u^n(t) \rangle_{\Omega} \, ds + g_1(0) \frac{d}{dt} A_1 \langle \nabla u^n(t), \nabla u^n(t) \rangle_{\Omega} \\
- \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t A_2 \langle \nabla v^n_s(s), \nabla v^n(t) \rangle_{\Omega} \, ds + g_2(0) \frac{d}{dt} A_2 \langle \nabla v^n(t), \nabla v^n(t) \rangle_{\Omega}.
\]
Integrating the last inequality over \((0, t)\) and using Gronwall’s lemma, we get

\[
\begin{align*}
||u^n(t)||^2 + ||v^n(t)||^2 + a_1(u^n(0), u^n(0)) + a_2(v^n(0), v^n(0)) \\
+ \int_0^1 \left( \frac{\tau_2(t)}{1 - \tau_2(t)k_1} \right) ||z^n_{1t}(t)||^2 dk_1 + \int_0^1 \left( \frac{\tau_2(t)}{1 - \tau_2(t)k_2} \right) ||z^n_{2t}(t)||^2 dk_2 \\
\leq ||u^n(0)||^2 + ||v^n(0)||^2 + a_1(u^n(0), u^n(0)) + a_2(v^n(0), v^n(0)) \\
+ \int_0^1 \left( \frac{\tau_2(0)}{1 - \tau_2(t)k_1} \right) ||z^n_{1t}(x, k_1, 0)||^2 dk_1 + g(0)a_1(u^n(0), u^n(0)) \\
+ \int_0^1 \left( \frac{\tau_2(t)}{1 - \tau_2(t)k_2} \right) ||z^n_{2t}(x, k_2, 0)||^2 dk_2 + g(0)a_2(v^n(0), v^n(0)) \\
- \int_0^t g_1'(t-s)A_1 \langle \nabla u^n(s), \nabla u^n(t) \rangle \Omega ds - g(0)a_1(u^n(0), u^n(0)) \\
- \int_0^t g_2'(t-s)A_2 \langle \nabla v^n(s), \nabla v^n(t) \rangle \Omega ds - g(0)a_2(v^n(0), v^n(0)) \\
\quad + \left( \frac{1}{4\epsilon} + \frac{g_1'(0)^2}{4\epsilon} \right) \int_0^t ||\nabla u^n(s)||^2ds + \left( \frac{1}{4\epsilon} + \frac{g_2'(0)^2}{4\epsilon} \right) \int_0^t ||\nabla v^n(s)||^2ds \\
\quad + (\epsilon + \epsilon ||g_1||_L^2) \int_0^t ||\nabla u^n(s)||^2ds + (\epsilon + \epsilon ||g_2||_L^2) \int_0^t ||\nabla v^n(s)||^2ds \\
\quad + \int_0^t \left( \int_0^1 \left( \frac{\tau_2(s)}{1 - \tau_2(s)k_1} \right) ||z^n_{1t}(x, k_1, s)||^2 dk_1 \right) ds \\
\quad + \int_0^t \left( \int_0^1 \left( \frac{\tau_2(s)}{1 - \tau_2(s)k_2} \right) ||z^n_{2t}(x, k_2, s)||^2 dk_2 \right) ds.
\end{align*}
\]

We have to estimate the right hand side of (2.3.55)

\[
g_1(0)A_1 \langle \nabla u^n(t), \nabla u^n(t) \rangle \Omega = \sum_{i,j=1}^N g_1(0)a_{1ij}(x) \left\langle \frac{\partial u^n(t)}{\partial x_j}, \frac{\partial u^n(t)}{\partial x_i} \right\rangle \Omega \\
\leq \sum_{i,j=1}^N \frac{(g_1(0))^2}{2\mu} \left\| \frac{\partial u^n(t)}{\partial x_j} \right\|^2 dx + 2\mu \sum_{i,j=1}^N \left\| a_{1ij}(x) \frac{\partial u^n(t)}{\partial x_i} \right\|^2 dx \\
\leq \frac{(g_1(0))^2}{2\mu} \left\| \nabla u^n(t) \right\|^2 + 2\mu \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \left( \sum_{j=1}^N \left\| a_{1ij} \right\|_\infty \right) \left\| \nabla u^n(t) \right\|^2 \\
\leq \frac{(g_1(0))^2}{2\mu} \left\| \nabla u^n(t) \right\|^2 + 2a_{11}\mu \left\| \nabla u^n(t) \right\|^2.
\]

In the same way

\[
g_2(0) \langle \nabla v^n(t), \nabla v^n(t) \rangle \Omega \leq \frac{(g_2(0))^2}{2\mu} \left\| \nabla v^n(t) \right\|^2 + 2a_{22}\mu \left\| \nabla v^n(t) \right\|^2.
\]
2.3 Global existence

as previously, we can obtain

\[
\int_0^t g_1'(t-s)A_1 \langle \nabla u^n(s), \nabla u^n(t) \rangle \Omega ds \leq \epsilon \| \nabla u^n(t) \|_2^2 \\
+ \frac{a_{11}}{4 \epsilon} \| g_1 \|_{L^1} \| g_1 \|_{L^\infty} \int_0^t \| \nabla u^n(s) \|_2^2 ds,
\]

(2.3.58)

\[
\int_0^t g_2'(t-s)A_2 \langle \nabla v^n(s), \nabla v^n(t) \rangle \Omega ds \leq \epsilon \| \nabla v^n(t) \|_2^2 \\
+ \frac{a_{22}}{4 \epsilon} \| g_2 \|_{L^1} \| g_2 \|_{L^\infty} \int_0^t \| \nabla v^n(s) \|_2^2 ds.
\]

(2.3.59)

Replacing (2.3.56)-(2.3.59) in (2.3.55), after choosing \( \epsilon \) small enough and using Gronwall’s lemma, we obtain

\[
\| u^n(t) \|_2^2 + \| v^n(t) \|_2^2 + \int_0^1 \left( \frac{\tau_2(t)}{1 - \tau_2(t)k_1} \right) \| z^n_{1i}(x, k_1, t) \|_2^2 dk_1 \\
+ \int_0^1 \left( \frac{\tau_2(t)}{1 - \tau_2(t)k_2} \right) \| z^n_{2i}(x, k_2, t) \|_2^2 dk_2 + a_{01} \| \nabla u^n(t) \|_2^2 + a_{02} \| \nabla v^n(t) \|_2^2 \leq M.
\]

(2.3.60)

Where \( M \) is some positive constant. Therefore, from (2.3.24), (2.3.36) and (2.3.60), we conclude that

\[
u^n \text{ is bounded in } L^\infty(0, T; H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega)),
\]

(2.3.61)

\[
u^n \text{ is bounded in } L^\infty(0, T; H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega)),
\]

(2.3.62)

\[
u^n \text{ is bounded in } L^\infty(0, T; H^2(\Omega)),
\]

(2.3.63)

\[
u^n \text{ is bounded in } L^\infty(0, T; H^2(\Omega)),
\]

(2.3.64)

\[
u^n \text{ is bounded in } L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)),
\]

(2.3.65)

\[
u^n \text{ is bounded in } L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)),
\]

(2.3.66)

\[
z^n_1(x, 1, t) \text{ is bounded in } L^2(\Omega \times (0, T)),
\]

(2.3.67)

\[
z^n_2(x, 1, t) \text{ is bounded in } L^2(\Omega \times (0, T)),
\]

(2.3.68)

\[
ost_1(x, k_1, t) \text{ is bounded in } L^\infty(0, T; L^1(\Omega \times (0, 1)),
\]

(2.3.69)

\[
ost_2(x, k_2, t) \text{ is bounded in } L^\infty(0, T; L^1(\Omega \times (0, 1)),
\]

(2.3.70)

\[
z^n_{1i}(x, 1, t) \text{ is bounded in } L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega \times (0, T))),
\]

(2.3.71)

\[
z^n_{2i}(x, 1, t) \text{ is bounded in } L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega \times (0, T))).
\]

(2.3.72)
Applying Dunford-Pettis theorem, we deduce from (2.3.61)-(2.3.73) that there exists a subsequence \((u^n, z^n_1), (v^n, z^n_2)\) such that

\[
\begin{align*}
&u^n \rightarrow u \text{ weakly star in } L^\infty(0, T; H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega)), \quad (2.3.73) \\
v^n \rightarrow v \text{ weakly star in } L^\infty(0, T; H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega)), \quad (2.3.74) \\
&u^n_t \rightarrow u_t \text{ weakly star in } L^\infty(0, T; H^1_0(\Omega)), \quad (2.3.75) \\
v^n_t \rightarrow v_t \text{ weakly star in } L^\infty(0, T; H^1_0(\Omega)), \quad (2.3.76) \\
&u^n_{tt} \rightarrow u_{tt} \text{ weakly star in } L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)), \quad (2.3.79) \\
v^n_{tt} \rightarrow v_{tt} \text{ weakly star in } L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)), \quad (2.3.80) \\
z^n_1(x, k_1, t) \rightarrow z_1(x, k_1, t) \text{ weakly star in } L^\infty(0, T; H^1_0(\Omega; L^2(0, 1))), \quad (2.3.81) \\
z^n_2(x, k_2, t) \rightarrow z_2(x, k_2, t) \text{ weakly star in } L^\infty(0, T; H^1_0(\Omega; L^2(0, 1))), \quad (2.3.82) \\
&\tau_1(t)z^n_{1t}(x, k_1, t) \rightarrow z^n_{1t}(x, k_1, t) \text{ weakly star in } L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega \times (0, T))), \quad (2.3.83) \\
&\tau_2(t)z^n_{2t}(x, k_2, t) \rightarrow z^n_{2t}(x, k_2, t) \text{ weakly star in } L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega \times (0, T))), \quad (2.3.84) \\
z^n_{11}(x, 1, t) \rightarrow \psi_1 \text{ weakly star in } L^2(\Omega \times (0, 1)), \quad (2.3.85) \\
z^n_{22}(x, 1, t) \rightarrow \psi_2 \text{ weakly star in } L^2(\Omega \times (0, 1)). \quad (2.3.86)
\end{align*}
\]

Further, by Aubin’s lemma [30], it follows from (2.3.73)-(2.3.78) that there exists a subsequence \((u^n, v^n)\) still represented by the same notation, such that

\[
\begin{align*}
u^n \rightarrow v \text{ strongly in } L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)), & \quad (2.3.87) \\
u^n_t \rightarrow u_t \text{ strongly in } L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)), & \quad (2.3.88) \\
u^n_{tt} \rightarrow u_{tt} \text{ strongly in } L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)). & \quad (2.3.90)
\end{align*}
\]

Then

\[
\begin{align*}
u^n \rightarrow u \text{ and } v^n \rightarrow v \text{ a.e in } (0, T) \times \Omega, & \quad (2.3.89) \\
u^n_t \rightarrow u_t \text{ and } v^n_t \rightarrow v_t \text{ a.e in } (0, T) \times \Omega. & \quad (2.3.90)
\end{align*}
\]
2.3 Global existence

Analysis of the nonlinear term.

\[
\|f_1(u^n, v^n)\|_{L^2(\Omega \times (0,T))} \leq \int_0^T \int_\Omega (|u^n(s)|^p + |v^n(s)|^p) ds \, dx
\]
\[+ \int_0^T \int_\Omega (|u^n(s)|^{\frac{p-1}{2}} |v^n(s)|^{\frac{p+1}{2}}) ds \, dx
\]
\[\leq c_s^p \int_0^T \|\nabla u^n(s)\|^p ds + c_s^p \int_0^T \|\nabla v^n(s)\|^p ds,
\]
\[+ c_s^{p-1} \int_0^T \|\nabla u^n(s)\|^{\frac{p-1}{2}} ds + c_s^{p+1} \int_0^T \|\nabla v^n(s)\|^{\frac{p+1}{2}} ds
\]
\[\leq 2c_s^p T C_1^p + c_s^{p-1} T C_1^{p-1} T C_1^{p-1} + c_s^{p+1} T C_1^{p+1} T C_1^{p+1} = C.
\]

Where \(C\) is a positive constant. In the same way for \(f_2(u^n, v^n)\)

\[
\|f_2(u^n, v^n)\|_{L^2(\Omega \times (0,T))} \leq C.
\]

From the (2.3.91) and (2.3.92), we deduce that

\[
f_1(u^n, v^n) \to f_1(u, v) \text{ weakly in } L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)),
\]
\[
f_1(u^n, v^n) \to f_1(u, v) \text{ weakly in } L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)).
\]

For suitable functions \((u, v) \in (L^\infty(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega)))^2, (z_1, z_2) \in (L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega \times (0,1)))^2, \psi_1, \psi_2 \in L^2(\Omega \times (0, T)), (\chi_1, \chi_2) \in L^2(\Omega \times (0, T))^2, \xi \in L^\infty((0, T) \times \Omega)).\) We have to show that \((u, v, z_1, z_2)\) is a solution of (2.3.2) – (2.3.6). Using the embedding

\[
L^\infty(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega)) \hookrightarrow L^2(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega)); \quad H^1((0, T) \times \Omega) \hookrightarrow L^2((0, T) \times \Omega).
\]

From (2.3.63)-(2.3.64) we have that \(u^n_t\) and \(v^n_t\) are bounded in

\[
L^\infty((0, T); H_0^1(\Omega)) \hookrightarrow L^2((0, T); H_0^1(\Omega)),
\]

then \(u^n_t\) and \(v^n_t\) are bounded in

\[
L^\infty((0, T); L^2(\Omega)) \hookrightarrow L^2((0, T); L^2(\Omega)).
\]

Consequently, \(u^n, v^n\) are bounded in \(H^1((\Omega) \times (0, T)).\) Using Aubin-Lions theorem [30], we can extract a subsequence \((u^\xi)\) of \((u^n)\) and \((v^\xi)\) of \((v^n)\) such that

\[
u^\xi_t \to u_t \text{ strongly in } L^2(\Omega \times (0, T)),
\]
\[
u^\xi_t \to u_t \text{ strongly in } L^2(\Omega \times (0, T)),
\]

therefore

\[
u^\xi_t \to u_t \text{ strongly and a.e. in } (\Omega \times (0, T)).
\]
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\[ v^\xi_t \to v_t \text{ strongly and a.e. in } (\Omega \times (0, T)). \] (2.3.97)

Similarly

\[ z_1^\xi \to z_1 \text{ strongly in } L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega \times (0, 1))), \] (2.3.98)

\[ z_2^\xi \to z_2 \text{ strongly in } L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega \times (0, 1))). \] (2.3.99)

It follows at once from the convergence (2.3.73), (2.3.74), (2.3.79), (2.3.80), (2.3.83), (2.3.84), (2.3.94), and (2.3.95) for each fixed \( \vartheta \in L^2(0, T, L^2(\Omega)), \sigma \in L^2(0, T, L^2(\Omega) \times (0, 1)) \) as \( \xi \to \infty \) permits us to deduce that

\[
\int_0^T \left[ \int_\Omega u_\xi^{\xi}(t) \vartheta dx + \int_\Omega A_1 \nabla u^{\xi}(t) \nabla \vartheta dx \right] dt
+ \int_0^T \left[ \int_\Omega \int_0^t g_1(t - s)A_1 \nabla u^{\xi}(t) \nabla dsdx \right] dt
+ \int_0^T \left[ \int_\Omega \mu_1 u_\xi^{\xi}(t) \vartheta dx + \int_\Omega \mu_2 z_1^{\xi}(x, 1, t) \vartheta dx = \int_\Omega f_1(u^{\xi}(t), v^{\xi}(t)) \vartheta dx \right] dt
\to \int_0^T \left[ \int_\Omega u_\xi(t) \vartheta dx + \int_\Omega A_1 \nabla u(t) \nabla \vartheta dx \right] dt
+ \int_0^T \left[ \int_\Omega \int_0^t g_1(t - s)A_1 \nabla u(t) \nabla dsdxdt \right] dt
+ \int_0^T \left[ \int_\Omega \mu_1 u_\xi(t) \vartheta dx + \int_\Omega \mu_2 z_1(x, 1, t) \vartheta dx = \int_\Omega f_1(u(t), v(t)) \vartheta dx \right] dt,
\] (2.3.100)

and

\[
\int_0^T \left[ \int_\Omega v_\xi^{\xi}(t) \vartheta dx dt + \int_\Omega A_2 \nabla v_\xi(t) \nabla \vartheta dx \right] dt
+ \int_0^T \left[ \int_\Omega \int_0^t A_2 g_2(t - s) \nabla v_\xi(t) \nabla dsdx \right] dt
+ \int_0^T \left[ \int_\Omega \mu_1 v_\xi^{\xi}(t) \vartheta dx + \int_\Omega \mu_2 z_2^{\xi}(x, 1, t) \vartheta dx = \int_\Omega f_2(u^{\xi}(t), v^{\xi}(t)) \vartheta dx \right] dt
\to \int_0^T \left[ \int_\Omega v_\xi(t) \vartheta dx + \int_\Omega A_2 \nabla v(t) \nabla \vartheta dx \right] dt
+ \int_0^T \left[ \int_\Omega \int_0^t g_2(t - s)A_2 \nabla v(t) dsdx \right] dt
+ \int_0^T \left[ \int_\Omega \alpha_1 v_\xi(t) \vartheta dx + \int_\Omega \alpha_2 z_2(x, 1, t) \vartheta dx = \int_\Omega f_2(u(t), v(t)) \vartheta dx \right] dt.
\] (2.3.101)
2.3 Global existence

exploiting the convergence (2.3.98) and (2.3.99) we deduce

\begin{equation}
\int_0^T \int_0^1 \int_\Omega \left( \tau_2(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} z_1 + (1 - \tau_2'(t)k_1) \frac{\partial}{\partial k_1} z_1 \right) \sigma dx dk_1 dt
\end{equation}

(2.3.102)

\begin{equation}
\int_0^T \int_0^1 \int_\Omega \left( \tau_2(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} z_2 + (1 - \tau_2'(t)k_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial k_2} z_2 \right) \sigma dx dk_2 dt
\end{equation}

(2.3.103)

Uniqueness. Let \((u_1, v_1)\) and \((u_2, v_2)\) be two solutions of problem (23). Then \((w, q) = (u_1, v_1) - (u_2, v_2)\) and we put also \(\tilde{w} = u'_1(x, t - k_1\tau_2(t)) - u'_2(x, t - k_1\tau_2(t)), \tilde{q} = v'_1(x, t - k_2\tau_2(t)) - v'_2(x, t - k_2\tau_2(t))\). Multiplying the first equation in (2.3.1) by \(w'\), integrating over \(\Omega\) and using integration by parts, we get

\begin{equation}
\frac{d}{dt} \left( \|w'(t)\|_2^2 + \left( 1 - \int_0^t g_1(s) ds \right) a_1(w(t), w(t)) + (g_1 \circ w(t)) \right)
+ \mu_1 \|w'(t)\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\tilde{w}(x, 1, t)\|_2^2 + g_1(t) a_1(w(t), w(t)) - (g'_1 \circ w(t))
= -\mu_2 \int_\Omega \tilde{w}(x, 1, t) w'(t) dx + \frac{1}{2} \|w'(t)\|_2^2 + \int_\Omega [f_1(u_1, v_1) - f_1(u_2, v_2)] w'(t) dx.
\end{equation}

(2.3.104)

in the same way for second equation in (2.3.1). Multiplying the second equation in (2.3.1) by \(q'\), integrating over \(\Omega\) and using integration by parts, we get

\begin{equation}
\frac{d}{dt} \left( \|q'(t)\|_2^2 + \left( 1 - \int_0^t g_2(s) ds \right) a_2(q(t), q(t)) + (g_2 \circ q(t)) \right)
+ \alpha_1 \|q'(t)\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\tilde{q}(x, 1, t)\|_2^2 + g_2(t) a_2(q(t), q(t)) - (g'_2 \circ q(t))
= -\alpha_2 \int_\Omega \tilde{q}(x, 1, t) q'(t) dx + \frac{1}{2} \|q'(t)\|_2^2 + \int_\Omega [f_2(u_1, v_1) - f_2(u_2, v_2)] q'(t) dx.
\end{equation}

(2.3.105)

Multiplying the third equation in (2.3.1) by \(\tilde{w}\), integrating over \(\Omega \times (0, 1)\), we get

\begin{equation}
\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\tau_2(t)}{1 - \tau_2'(t)k_1} \right) \frac{d}{dt} \|\tilde{w}(t)\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dk_1} \|\tilde{w}(t)\|_2^2 = 0.
\end{equation}

(2.3.106)

Then

\begin{equation}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^1 \left( \frac{\tau_2(t)}{1 - \tau_2'(t)k_1} \|\tilde{w}(t)\|_2^2 \right) dk_1 - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \left( \frac{\tau_2(t)}{1 - \tau_2'(t)k_1} \right)' \|\tilde{w}(t)\|_2^2 dk_1
\end{equation}

(2.3.107)
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In the same way for the forth equation in (2.3.1), we get
\[
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^1 \left( \frac{\tau_2(t)}{1 - \tau_2(t)k_2} \|\tilde{q}(t)\|_2^2 \right) dk_2 - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \left( \frac{\tau_2(t)}{1 - \tau_2(t)k_2} \right)' \|\tilde{q}(t)\|_2^2 dk_2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \|\tilde{q}(x, 1, t)\|_2^2 - \|q'(t)\|_2^2 \right) = 0. \tag{2.3.108}
\]

Combining (2.3.104)-(2.3.105) and (2.3.106)-(2.3.108), we have
\[
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \|w'(t)\|_2^2 + \|q'(t)\|_2^2 + \left( 1 - \int_0^t g_1(s) ds \right) a_2(w(t), w(t)) \right) dx
+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \int_0^1 \left( \frac{\tau_2(t)}{1 - \tau_2(t)k_1} \|\tilde{w}(t)\|_2^2 \right) dk_1 + \int_0^1 \left( \frac{\tau_2(t)}{1 - \tau_2(t)k_2} \|\tilde{q}(t)\|_2^2 \right) dk_2 \right)
+ \mu_1 \|\omega(t)\|_2^2 + \alpha_1 \|q'(t)\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\tilde{q}(x, 1, t)\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{w}(x, 1, t) \|_2^2
= -\mu_2 \int_\Omega \tilde{w}(x, 1, t) w'(t) dx - \alpha_2 \int_\Omega \tilde{q}(x, 1, t) q'(t) dx + \frac{1}{2} \|w'(t)\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|q'(t)\|_2^2
+ \int_\Omega [f_1(u_1, v_1) - f_1(u_2, v_2)] w'(t) dx + \int_\Omega [f_2(u_1, v_1) - f_2(u_2, v_2)] q'(t) dx.
\]

We set
\[
Y(t) = \|w'(t)\|_2^2 + \|q'(t)\|_2^2 + \|\nabla w(t)\|_2^2 + \|\nabla q(t)\|_2^2
+ \int_0^1 \left( \frac{\tau_2(t)}{1 - \tau_2(t)k_1} \|\tilde{w}(t)\|_2^2 \right) dk_1 + \int_0^1 \left( \frac{\tau_2(t)}{1 - \tau_2(t)k_2} \|\tilde{q}(t)\|_2^2 \right) dk_2,
\]
then the equality (2.3.109) becomes
\[
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} Y(t) + \mu_1 \|\omega(t)\|_2^2 + \alpha_1 \|q'(t)\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\tilde{q}(x, 1, t)\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\tilde{w}(x, 1, t)\|_2^2
\leq \|\tilde{w}(t)\|_2 \|\nabla w(t)\|_2 + \|\tilde{q}(t)\|_2 \|\nabla q(t)\|_2 + \frac{1}{2} \|w'(t)\|_2^2
+ \|q'(t)\|_2^2 + \|\nabla w(t)\|_2^2 + \|\nabla q(t)\|_2^2,
\tag{2.3.112}
\]
hence
\[
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} Y(t) \leq Y(t). \tag{2.3.113}
\]

Integrating the last equality and using the Gronwall’s lemma we get
\[
\|w'(t)\|_2^2 + \|q'(t)\|_2^2 + a_{01} \|\nabla w(t)\|_2^2 + a_{02} \|\nabla q(t)\|_2^2
+ \int_0^1 \left( \frac{\tau_2(t)}{1 - \tau_2(t)k_1} \|\tilde{w}(t)\|_2^2 \right) dk_1 + \int_0^1 \left( \frac{\tau_2(t)}{1 - \tau_2(t)k_2} \|\tilde{q}(t)\|_2^2 \right) dk_2 = 0.
\]

This completes our proof of existence and uniqueness of the weak solution. \square
2.3 Global existence

Remark 2.3.1. By virtue of the theory of ordinary differential equations, the system (2.3.2)–(2.3.6) has local solution which is extended to a maximal interval \([0, T_k]\) with \(0 < T_k < +\infty\).

Now we will prove that the solution obtained above is global and bounded in time, for this purpose, we define

\[
I(t) = \xi_1(t)e^{-k_1\tau_2(t)}\int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{1} z_1^2(x, k_1, t)dk_1dx + (g_1 \circ u)(t) + (g_2 \circ v)(t) \\
+ \xi_2(t)e^{-k_2\tau_2(t)}\int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{1} z_2^2(x, k_2, t)dk_2dx + (p + 1) \int_{\Omega} F(u, v)dx \\
+ \left(1 - \int_{0}^{t} g_1(s)ds\right) a_1(u(t), u(t)) + \left(1 - \int_{0}^{t} g_2(s)ds\right) a_2(v(t), v(t)),
\]

and

\[
J(t) = \frac{\xi_1(t)e^{-k_1\tau_2(t)}}{2}\int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{1} z_1^2(x, k_1, t)dk_1dx + \frac{1}{2}(g_1 \circ u)(t) + \frac{1}{2}(g_2 \circ v)(t) \\
+ \frac{\xi_2(t)e^{-k_2\tau_2(t)}}{2}\int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{1} z_2^2(x, k_2, t)dk_2dx + \int_{\Omega} F(u, v)dx \\
+ \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \int_{0}^{t} g_1(s)ds\right) a_1(u(t), u(t)) + \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \int_{0}^{t} g_2(s)ds\right) a_2(v(t), v(t)).
\]

Remark 2.3.2. From the definition of \(E(t)\) by (2.3.115), we observe that

\[
E(t) = \frac{1}{2}(\|u_t(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|v_t(t)\|_{L^2}^2) + J(t).
\]

Definition 2.3.1. Let \((u_0, v_0) \in (H^1_0(\Omega))^2\), \((u_1, v_1) \in (L^2(\Omega))^2\) and \((\phi_0, \phi_1) \in (L^2(\Omega \times (0,1)))^2\). We denote by \(((u, z_1), (v, z_2))\) the solution to the problem (3.1). We define

\[
T^* = \sup\left\{T > 0, ((u, z_1), (v, z_2)) \text{ exists on } [0, T]\right\}.
\]

If \(T^* = \infty\), we say that the solution of (2.3.1) is global.

Lemma 2.3.2. Let \(((u, z_1), (v, z_2))\), be the solution of problem (2.3.1). Assume further that \(I(0) > 0\) and

\[
\alpha = \rho \left(\frac{2(p+1)}{p-1} E(0)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}\right) < 1.
\]

Then \(I(t) > 0 \forall t\).

Proof. Since \(I(0) > 0\), then there exists (by continuity of \(u(t)\)), there exists a time \(t_1 > 0\) such that

\[
I(t) \geq 0, \quad \forall t \in (0, t_1).
\]
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Let

$$\mathfrak{S} = \{ I(t_0 = 0 \text{ and } I(t) > 0, \ 0 \leq t < t_0 \}.$$  

From (2.3.16) and (2.3.17), we have for all $t \in [0, t_0]$

$$J(t) \geq \frac{p-1}{2(p+1)} \left[ l_1a_1(u(t), u(t)) + l_2a_2(v(t), u(t)) \right]$$

$$+ \frac{p-1}{2(p+1)} \left[ \xi_1(t)e^{-k_1T_2(t)} \int_0^1 \int_\Omega z_1^2(x, k_1, t)dk_1dx \right]$$

$$+ \frac{p-1}{2(p+1)} \left[ \xi_2(t)e^{-k_2T_2(t)} \int_0^1 \int_\Omega z_2^2(x, k_2, t)dk_2dx \right]$$

$$+ \frac{p-1}{2(p+1)} \left[ (g_1 \circ u)(t) + (g_2 \circ v)(t) \right] + \frac{1}{p+1} I(t)$$

$$\geq \frac{p-1}{2(p+1)} \left[ l_1a_1(u(t), u(t)) + l_2a_2(v(t), u(t)) \right].$$  

Thus by (2.3.16) and (2.3.19) and the fact that $(g_1 \circ u)(t) + (g_2 \circ v)(t) > 0$, we deduce

$$l_1a_1(u(t), u(t)) + l_2a_2(v(t), v(t)) \leq \frac{2(p+1)}{p-1} J(t) \leq \frac{2(p+1)}{p-1} E(t) \leq \frac{2(p+1)}{p-1} E(0), \ \forall t \in [0, t_0].$$  

Employing lemma 2.2.1, we obtain

$$(p+1) \int_\Omega F(u(t_0), v(t_0))dt \leq \rho \left( l_1\|\nabla u(t_0)\|^2 + l_2\|\nabla v(t_0)\|^2 \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}$$

$$\leq \rho \left( \frac{2(p+1)}{p-1} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left( l_1\|\nabla u(t_0)\|^2 + l_2\|\nabla v(t_0)\|^2 \right)$$

$$= \alpha \left( l_1\|\nabla u(t_0)\|^2 + l_2\|\nabla v(t_0)\|^2 \right)$$

$$< (l_1\|\nabla u(t_0)\|^2 + l_2\|\nabla v(t_0)\|^2)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{a_{01}}a_1(u(t_0), u(t_0)) + \frac{1}{a_{02}}a_2(v(t_0), v(t_0)).$$

By exploiting lemma 2.2.2. Hence, we conclude from (2.3.121) that $I(t) > 0$ on $[0, t_0]$ which contradicts thus $I(t) > 0$ on $[0, T]$, which completes the proof. \(\square\)

**Theorem 2.3.3.** Let $(u_0, v_0) \in (H_0^1(\Omega))^2$, $(u_1, v_1) \in (L^2(\Omega))^2$, $(\phi_0, \phi_1) \in (L^2(\Omega \times (0,1)))^2$. Suppose that (140) and $I(0) > 0$ hold. Then the solution of (2.3.1) is global and bounded.

**Proof.** To prove Theorem 2.3.3, using the definition of $T^*$, we have to verify that

$$a_1(u(t), u(t)) + a_2(v(t), v(t))$$

is uniformly bounded in time. To do this, we use (2.3.116) to get

$$E(0) \geq E(t) = J(t) + \frac{1}{2}\|u_t(t)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|v_t(t)\|^2$$

$$\geq \left( \frac{2(p+1)}{p-1} \right) \left[ a_1(u(t), u(t)) + a_2(v(t), v(t)) + \frac{1}{2}\|u_t(t)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|v_t(t)\|^2.\right.$$  
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Therefore
\[ a_1(u(t), u(t)) + a_2(v(t), v(t)) \leq CE(0), \]
where \( C \) is a positive constant, which depends only on \( p \). Thus, we obtain the global existence result. This completes the proof. \( \square \)

2.4 Asymptotic stability

In this section we prove the asymptotic stability result by constructing a suitable Lyapunov functional. Now we define the following functional
\[ L(t) = ME(t) + \epsilon \psi(t) + \varphi(t) + I(t), \tag{2.4.1} \]
\[ \psi(t) = \int_{\Omega} uu_t dx + \int_{\Omega} vv_t dx, \tag{2.4.2} \]
\[ \varphi(t) = -\int_{\Omega} u_t \int_{0}^{t} g_1(t-s)(u(t) - u(s)) ds dx - \int_{\Omega} v_t \int_{0}^{t} g_2(t-s)(v(t) - v(s)) ds dx, \tag{2.4.3} \]
\[ I(t) = \int_{t-\tau(t)}^{t} \int_{\Omega} e^{\lambda(s-t)}u_t^2(x,s) dx ds + \int_{t-\tau(t)}^{t} \int_{\Omega} e^{\lambda(s-t)}v_t^2(x,s) dx ds. \tag{2.4.4} \]

Remark 2.4.1. We can easily see that
\[ \int_{t-\tau_1(t)}^{t} \int_{\Omega} e^{\lambda(s-t)}u_t^2(x,s) dx ds \leq \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\Omega} e^{-k_1 \tau_1(t)} z_1^2(x,k_1,t) dx dk_1, \]
after using a change of variables, \( t - \tau_2(t) = s \). We use the same way for the second term in (2.4.4).

In order to show our stability result, we need the following Lemmas.

Lemma 2.4.1. ([24]) Let \(((u, z_1), (v, z_2))\) be the solution of problem (2.3.1) and assume that (140) holds. Then, for \( \gamma \geq 0 \), we have
\[ \int_{\Omega} \left( \int_{0}^{t} g_1(t-s)(u(t) - u(s)) ds \right) \gamma+1 dx \leq \left( \frac{4(p+1)E(0)}{l_{1}(p-1)} \right)^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \]
\[ \times (1 - l_1)_{\gamma+1} c_{1}^{\gamma+1}(g_1 \circ u)(t), \tag{2.4.5} \]
and
\[ \int_{\Omega} \left( \int_{0}^{t} g_2(t-s)(v(t) - v(s)) ds \right) \gamma+1 dx \leq \left( \frac{4(p+1)E(0)}{l_{2}(p-1)} \right)^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \]
\[ \times (1 - l_2)_{\gamma+1} c_{2}^{\gamma+1}(g_2 \circ v)(t). \tag{2.4.6} \]
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**Lemma 2.4.2.** ([24]) Suppose that \((A_0) - (A_5)\) hold. Let \((u_0, v_0) \in (H_0^1(\Omega))^2\), \((u_1, v_1) \in (L^2(\Omega))^2\) be given and satisfying (3.118). Then there exist two positive constants \(\eta_1\) and \(\eta_2\) such that for any \(\delta > 0\) and for all \(t \geq 0\),

\[
\int_\Omega f_1(u, v) \int_0^t g_1(t - s)(u(t) - u(s))dsdx \leq \eta_1 \delta (l_1 \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + l_2 \|\nabla v\|_2^2) + \frac{(1 - l_1)c_s^2}{4\delta}(g_2 \circ u)(t),
\]

(2.4.7)

and

\[
\int_\Omega f_2(u, v) \int_0^t g_2(t - s)(v(t) - v(s))dsdx \leq \eta_2 \delta (l_1 \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + l_2 \|\nabla v\|_2^2) + \frac{(1 - l_2)c_s^2}{4\delta}(g_2 \circ v)(t).
\]

(2.4.8)

**Lemma 2.4.3.** There exists two positive constants \(\lambda_1, \lambda_2\) depending on \(\epsilon\) and \(M\) such that for all \(t > 0\)

\[
\lambda_1 E(t) \leq L(t) \leq \lambda_2 E(t),
\]

(2.4.9)

for \(M\) sufficiently large.

**Proof.** Thank’s to the Holder and Young’s inequalities, we have

\[
|\psi(t)| \leq \omega \|u\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{4\omega} \|u_t\|_2^2 + \omega \|v\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{4\omega} \|v_t\|_2^2,
\]

(2.4.10)

and

\[
\varphi(t) = | - \int_\Omega u_t \int_0^t g_1(t - s)(u(t) - u(s))dsdx

- \int_\Omega v_t \int_0^t g_2(t - s)(v(t) - v(s))dsdx |

\leq \frac{1}{2} \|u_t\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega \left( \int_0^t g_1(t - s)(u(t) - u(s))ds \right)^2 dx

+ \frac{1}{2} \|v_t\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega \left( \int_0^t g_2(t - s)(v(t) - v(s))ds \right)^2 dx

\leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \|u_t\|_2^2 + (1 - l_1)c_s^2 \int_0^t g_1(t - s)a_1(u(t) - u(s), u(t) - u(s))ds \right)

+ \frac{1}{2} \left( \|v_t\|_2^2 + (1 - l_2)c_s^2 \int_0^t g_2(t - s)a_2(v(t) - v(s), v(t) - v(s))ds \right)

\leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \|u_t\|_2^2 + (1 - l_1)c_s^2 \left( \frac{2\beta E(0)}{l_1} \right) (g_1ou)(t) \right)

+ \frac{1}{2} \left( \|v_t\|_2^2 + (1 - l_2)c_s^2 \left( \frac{2\beta E(0)}{l_2} \right) (g_2ov)(t) \right).
\]

(2.4.11)
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It follows from (2.4.4) that \( \forall c > 0 \)

\[
|I(t)| \leq \left| \xi_1(t) \int_0^1 e^{-k_1 \tau_2(t)} z_1^2(x, k_1, t) \, dk_1 \, dx \right| \\
+ \left| \xi_2(t) \int_0^1 e^{-k_2 \tau_2(t)} z_2^2(x, k_2, t) \, dk_2 \, dx \right| \\
\leq c \xi_1(t) e^{-k_1 \tau_2(t)} \int_0^1 z_1^2(x, k_1, t) \, dk_1 \, dx \\
+ c \xi_2(t) e^{-k_2 \tau_2(t)} \int_0^1 z_2^2(x, k_2, t) \, dk_2 \, dx.
\]

(2.4.12)

Hence, combining (2.4.10)-(2.4.12). This yields

\[
|L(t) - ME(t)| = \psi(t) + \varphi(t) + \epsilon I(t) \leq \epsilon \omega c_2 a_1(u(t), u(t)) \\
+ \epsilon \omega c_2 a_2(v(t), v(t)) + \left( \frac{\epsilon}{4\omega} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \| u_t \|^2 + \left( \frac{\epsilon}{4\omega} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \| v_t \|^2 \\
+ c_1 \xi_1(t) e^{-k_1 \tau_2(t)} \int_0^1 z_1^2(x, k_1, t) \, dk_1 \, dx + \frac{(1 - l_1)c_2}{2} \left( \frac{2\beta E(0)}{l_1} \right) (g_1 u)(t) \tag{2.4.13}
\]

\[
+ c_2 \xi_2(t) e^{-k_2 \tau_2(t)} \int_0^1 z_2^2(x, k_2, t) \, dk_2 \, dx + \frac{(1 - l_2)c_4}{2} \left( \frac{2\beta E(0)}{l_2} \right) (g_2 u)(t).
\]

Where \( c_1 = \epsilon \omega c_2, c_2 = \epsilon \omega c_2, c_3 = \left( \frac{\epsilon}{4\omega} + \frac{1}{2} \right), c_4 = \left( \frac{\epsilon}{4\omega} + \frac{1}{2} \right), c_5 = \frac{(1 - l_1)c_2}{2} \left( \frac{2\beta E(0)}{l_1} \right), \)

\( c_6 = \frac{(1 - l_2)c_4}{2} \left( \frac{2\beta E(0)}{l_2} \right), c_7 = c_8 = c. \) Finally we obtain

\[
|L(t) - ME(t)| \leq c_9 E(t),
\]

(2.4.14)

where \( c_9 = \max(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5, c_6, c_7, c_8). \) Thus, from the definition of \( E(t) \) and selecting \( M \) sufficiently large to get

\[
\lambda_2 E(t) \leq L(t) \leq \lambda_1 E(t). \tag{2.4.15}
\]

Where \( \lambda_1 = (M - c_9), \lambda_2 = (M + c_9). \) This completes the proof.

**Lemma 2.4.4.** The functional defined in (2.4.4) satisfies

\[
\frac{dI(t)}{dt} \leq \frac{\xi_1(t)}{2\tau_0} \| u_t \|^2 - \frac{\xi_1(t)}{2\tau_1} \int_0^1 z_1^2(x, 1, t) \, dx + \frac{\xi_2(t)}{2\tau_0} \| v_t \|^2 \\
- \frac{\xi_2(t)}{2\tau_1} \int_0^1 z_2^2(x, 1, t) \, dx - \xi_2(t) k_2 e^{-\tau_2(t)k_2} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 z_2^2(x, k_2, t) \, dk_2 \, dx \\
- \frac{\xi_1(t)}{2\tau_1} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 z_1^2(x, 1, t) \, dk_1 \, dx.
\]

(2.4.16)

Where \( \tau_0, \tau_1 \) are positive constants.
Proof. Taking derivative of (2.4.4) and using the same technique as (2.3.18) produces

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dI(t)}{dt} &= \frac{d}{dt} \left[ \xi_1(t) e^{-k_1 \tau_2(t)} \int_{0}^{1} z_1^2(x, k_1, t) dk_1 dx \right] \\
+ \frac{d}{dt} \left[ \xi_2(t) e^{-k_2 \tau_2(t)} \int_{0}^{1} z_2^2(x, k_2, t) dk_2 dx \right] \\
= \xi_1'(t) e^{-\tau_2(t)k_1} \int_{0}^{1} z_1^2(x, k_1, t) dk_1 dx - \xi_1(t) k_1 e^{-\tau_2(t)k_1} \tau_2'(t) \int_{0}^{1} z_1^2(x, k_1, t) dk_1 dx \\
+ \xi_2'(t) e^{-\tau_2(t)k_2} \int_{0}^{1} z_2^2(x, k_2, t) dk_2 dx - \xi_2(t) k_2 e^{-\tau_2(t)k_2} \tau_2'(t) \int_{0}^{1} z_2^2(x, k_2, t) dk_2 dx \\
+ \frac{1}{\tau_2(t)} e^{-\tau_2(t)k_1} \tau_2(t) \xi_1(t) \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d}{dt} z_1^2(x, k_1, t) dk_1 dx \\
+ \frac{1}{\tau_2(t)} e^{-\tau_2(t)k_2} \tau_2(t) \xi_2(t) \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d}{dt} z_2^2(x, k_2, t) dk_2 dx \\
= \xi_1'(t) e^{-\tau_2(t)k_1} \int_{0}^{1} z_1^2(x, k_1, t) dk_1 dx - \xi_1(t) k_1 e^{-\tau_2(t)k_1} \tau_2'(t) \int_{0}^{1} z_1^2(x, k_1, t) dk_1 dx \\
+ \xi_2'(t) e^{-\tau_2(t)k_2} \int_{0}^{1} z_2^2(x, k_2, t) dk_2 dx - \xi_2(t) k_2 e^{-\tau_2(t)k_2} \tau_2'(t) \int_{0}^{1} z_2^2(x, k_2, t) dk_2 dx \\
+ \frac{1}{\tau_2(t)} e^{-\tau_2(t)k_1} \xi_1(t) \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial k_1} (1 - \tau_2'(t)k_1) z_1^2(x, k_1, t) dk_1 dx \\
+ \frac{1}{\tau_2(t)} e^{-\tau_2(t)k_2} \xi_2(t) \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial k_2} (1 - \tau_2'(t)k_2) z_2^2(x, k_2, t) dk_2 dx \\
\leq -\xi_1(t) k_1 e^{-\tau_2(t)k_1} \tau_2(t) \int_{0}^{1} z_1^2(x, k_1, t) dk_1 dx - \xi_2(t) k_1 e^{-\tau_2(t)k_1} \tau_2(t) \int_{0}^{1} z_2^2(x, k_1, t) dk_1 dx \\
+ \frac{1}{\tau_2(t)} \xi_1(t) \int_{0}^{1} \left[ z_1^2(x, 0, t) - z_1^2(x, 1, t) \right] dx + \xi_1(t) \frac{\beta}{\tau_2(t)} \int_{0}^{1} z_1^2(x, 1, t) dx \\
+ \frac{1}{\tau_2(t)} \xi_2(t) \int_{0}^{1} \left[ z_2^2(x, 0, t) - z_2^2(x, 1, t) \right] dx + \xi_2(t) \frac{\beta}{\tau_2(t)} \int_{0}^{1} z_2^2(x, 1, t) dx \\
\leq \frac{\xi_1(t)}{2 \tau_0} \| u_t \|_2^2 - \xi_1(t) \frac{c}{2 \tau_1} \int_{0}^{1} z_1^2(x, 1, t) dx \\
- \xi_1(t) k_1 e^{-\tau_2(t)k_1} \tau_0 \int_{0}^{1} z_1^2(x, k_1, t) dk_1 dx + \frac{\xi_2(t)}{2 \tau_0} \| v_t \|_2^2 \\
- \xi_2(t) \frac{c}{2 \tau_1} \int_{0}^{1} z_2^2(x, 1, t) dx - \xi_2(t) k_2 e^{-\tau_2(t)k_2} \tau_0 \int_{0}^{1} z_2^2(x, k_2, t) dk_2 dx.
\end{align*}
\]

(2.4.17)

This ends the proof. \qed
2.4 Asymptotic stability

Lemma 2.4.5. The functional defined in (2.4.2) satisfies

\[
\frac{d\psi(t)}{dt} \leq \left(1 + \frac{\mu_1}{4\beta}\right) \|u_t\|_2^2 + \left(1 + \frac{\alpha_1}{4\beta}\right) \|v_t\|_2^2 - (p + 1) \int_{\Omega} F(u(t), v(t))dx
\]

\[
+ \left[\mu_1 \left(1 + \frac{2\alpha_2}{a_0}\right) - l_1\right] a_1(u(t), u(t)) + \left[\alpha_2 \left(1 + \frac{2\beta_2}{a_0}\right) - l_2\right] a_2(v(t), v(t)) - \epsilon_1 \int_{\Omega} F_u(u(t), v(t)) + \epsilon_2 \int_{\Omega} F_v(u(t), v(t))
\]

\[
+ \frac{\mu_1}{4\beta} \|z_1(x, 1, t)\|_2^2 + \frac{\alpha_2}{4\beta} \|z_2(x, 1, t)\|_2^2 + \frac{N}{4a_0\mu} (1 - l_1)(g_1 \circ u)(t)
\]

follows [31], yields

\[
\int_{\Omega} A_1 \int_0^t g_1(t-s) (\nabla u(t) \nabla u(s))dsdx
\]

\[
= \sum_{i,j=1}^N \int_0^t g_1(t-s) \int_{\Omega} a_{1ij}(x) \frac{\partial u(t)}{\partial x_j} \left( \frac{\partial u(s)}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial u(t)}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial u(t)}{\partial x_i} \right) dxds
\]

\[
= \sum_{i,j=1}^N \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} a_{1ij}(x) \frac{\partial u(t)}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial u(t)}{\partial x_i} dsdx
\]

\[
+ \sum_{i,j=1}^N \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \left( g_1(t-s) a_{1ij}(x) \frac{\partial u(t)}{\partial x_j} \left( \frac{\partial u(s)}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial u(t)}{\partial x_i} \right) \right) dsdx
\]

\[
\leq (1 - l_1) a_1(u(t), u(t)) + \mu \sum_{i,j=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \left( a_{1ij}(x) \frac{\partial u(s)}{\partial x_j} \right)^2 dx
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{i,j=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \left( \int_0^t g_1(t-s) \left( \frac{\partial u(s)}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial u(t)}{\partial x_i} \right) ds \right)^2 dx
\]

\[
\leq \left[(1 - l_1) + \frac{\mu a_{11}}{a_{01}} \right] a_1(u(t), u(t)) + \frac{N}{4a_0\mu} (1 - l_1)(g_1 \circ u)(t),
\]

in the same way

\[
\int_{\Omega} A_2 \int_0^t g_2(t-s) (\nabla v(t) \nabla v(s))dsdx \leq \left[1 - l_2 + \frac{\mu a_{22}}{a_{02}} \right] a_{22}(u(t), u(t)) + \frac{N}{4a_0\mu} (1 - l_2)(g_2 \circ v)(t),
\]
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for the seventh, eight, ninth and tenth term in (2.4.19), Holder, Young's inequalities to get

\[ \left| \int_{\Omega} u_t u dx \right| \leq \frac{\beta c^2}{a_{01}} a_1(u(t), u(t)) + \frac{1}{4\beta} \| u_t \|_2^2, \quad (2.4.22) \]

\[ \left| \int_{\Omega} v_t v dx \right| \leq \frac{\beta c^2}{a_{02}} a_2(v(t), v(t)) + \frac{1}{4\beta} \| v_t \|_2^2, \quad (2.4.23) \]

\[ \left| \int_{\Omega} z_1(x, 1, t) u dx \right| \leq \frac{\beta c^2}{a_{01}} a_1(u(t), u(t)) + \frac{1}{4\beta} \int_{\Omega} z_1^2(x, 1, t) dx, \quad (2.4.24) \]

\[ \left| \int_{\Omega} z_2(x, 1, t) v dx \right| \leq \frac{\beta c^2}{a_{02}} a_2(v(t), v(t)) + \frac{1}{4\beta} \int_{\Omega} z_2^2(x, 1, t) dx. \quad (2.4.25) \]

Inserting (2.4.20)-(2.4.25), we get finally

\[ \frac{d\psi(t)}{dt} \leq \left( 1 + \frac{\mu_1}{4\beta} \right) \| u_t \|_2^2 + \left( 1 + \frac{\alpha_1}{4\beta} \right) \| v_t \|_2^2 - (p + 1) \int \Omega F(u(t), v(t)) dx 
+ \left[ \mu_1 \left( 1 + \frac{2\beta c^2}{a_{01}} \right) - l_1 \right] a_1(u(t), u(t)) + \left[ \alpha_2 \left( 1 + \frac{2\beta c^2}{a_{01}} \right) - l_2 \right] a_2(v(t), v(t)) 
+ \frac{\mu_1}{4\beta} \| z_1(x, 1, t) \|_2^2 + \frac{\alpha_2}{4\beta} \| z_2(x, 1, t) \|_2^2 + \frac{N}{4a_{01} \mu} (1 - l_1)(g_1 \circ u)(t) 
+ \frac{N}{4a_{02} \mu} (1 - l_2)(g_2 \circ v)(t). \quad (2.4.26) \]

Lemma 2.4.6. The functional defined in (2.4.3) satisfies

\[ \frac{d\varphi(t)}{dt} \leq (\beta + \mu_1 - g_{10}) \| u_t \|_2^2 + (\beta + \alpha_1 - g_{20}) \| v_t \|_2^2 
+ \left\{ \frac{\beta}{a_{01}} + \frac{\alpha_1 \beta}{a_{01}} (1 - l_1^2) + \left( \frac{2\lambda}{a_{01}} - \frac{2\alpha_1 \beta}{a_{01}} \right) \right\} a_1(u(t), u(t)) 
+ \left\{ \frac{\beta}{a_{02}} + \frac{\alpha_2 \beta}{a_{02}} (1 - l_2^2) + \left( \frac{2\lambda}{a_{02}} - \frac{2\alpha_2 \beta}{a_{02}} \right) \right\} a_2(v(t), v(t)) 
+(1 - l_1) \left\{ \frac{1}{a_{01} \beta} \left( \frac{1}{4} + 2\beta a_{11} + \frac{N}{4} \right) + \frac{c_2^2}{4\beta} \right\} + (1 - l_1)c_2^2(\mu_1 + \mu_2) g_1 \circ u)(t) 
+(1 - l_2) \left\{ \frac{1}{a_{02} \beta} \left( \frac{1}{4} + 2\beta a_{22} + \frac{N}{4} \right) + \frac{c_2^2}{4\beta} \right\} + (1 - l_2)c_2^2(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) g_2 \circ v)(t) 
+ \mu_2 \| z_1(x, 1, t) \|_2^2 + \alpha_2 \| z_2(x, 1, t) \|_2^2 + \frac{g_{1(0)} c_2^2}{4\beta} (-g'_1 \circ u)(t) + \frac{g_{2(0)} c_2^2}{4\beta} (-g'_2 \circ v)(t). \quad (2.4.27) \]
2.4 Asymptotic stability

Proof. Taking derivative of (2.4.3) and using (2.3.1), we obtain

\[
\frac{d\varphi(t)}{dt} = -\int_0^t \int_\Omega u_t f_1(u(t), v(t)) \, ds \, dx - \int_0^t \int_\Omega u_t f_2(u(t), v(t)) \, ds \, dx - \int_0^t \int_\Omega \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{1ij} \frac{\partial u(t)}{\partial x_j} \left( \int_0^t g_1(t-s) \left( \frac{\partial u(t)}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial u(s)}{\partial x_i} \right) \, ds \right) \right) \, dx \\
- \int_0^t \int_\Omega \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{2ij} \frac{\partial v(t)}{\partial x_j} \left( \int_0^t g_2(t-s) \left( \frac{\partial v(t)}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial v(s)}{\partial x_i} \right) \, ds \right) \right) \, dx \\
+ \sum_{i,j=1}^N \int_\Omega \frac{\partial u(t)}{\partial x_j} \left( \int_0^t g_1(t-s) \left( \frac{\partial u(t)}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial u(s)}{\partial x_i} \right) \, ds \right) \, dx \\
+ \sum_{i,j=1}^N \int_\Omega \frac{\partial v(t)}{\partial x_j} \left( \int_0^t g_2(t-s) \left( \frac{\partial v(t)}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial v(s)}{\partial x_i} \right) \, ds \right) \, dx \\
- \int_\Omega \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{1ij} \frac{\partial u(t)}{\partial x_j} \left( \int_0^t g_1(t-s) \left( \frac{\partial u(t)}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial u(s)}{\partial x_i} \right) \, ds \right) \right) \, dx \\
- \int_\Omega \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{2ij} \frac{\partial v(t)}{\partial x_j} \left( \int_0^t g_2(t-s) \left( \frac{\partial v(t)}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial v(s)}{\partial x_i} \right) \, ds \right) \right) \, dx \\
- \int_\Omega \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{1ij} \frac{\partial u(t)}{\partial x_j} \left( \int_0^t g_1(t-s) \left( \frac{\partial u(t)}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial u(s)}{\partial x_i} \right) \, ds \right) \right) \, dx \\
- \int_\Omega \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{2ij} \frac{\partial v(t)}{\partial x_j} \left( \int_0^t g_2(t-s) \left( \frac{\partial v(t)}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial v(s)}{\partial x_i} \right) \, ds \right) \right) \, dx \\
+ \sum_{i,j=1}^N \int_\Omega \frac{\partial u(t)}{\partial x_j} \left( \int_0^t g_1(t-s) \left( \frac{\partial u(t)}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial u(s)}{\partial x_i} \right) \, ds \right) \, dx \\
+ \sum_{i,j=1}^N \int_\Omega \frac{\partial v(t)}{\partial x_j} \left( \int_0^t g_2(t-s) \left( \frac{\partial v(t)}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial v(s)}{\partial x_i} \right) \, ds \right) \, dx.
\]  
(2.4.28)

Using Young’s inequality and the embedding $H^1_0(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^2(\Omega)$, we infer

\[
\sum_{i,j=1}^N \int_\Omega a_{1ij} \frac{\partial u(t)}{\partial x_j} \left( \int_0^t g_1(t-s) \left( \frac{\partial u(t)}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial u(s)}{\partial x_i} \right) \, ds \right) \, dx \\
+ \sum_{i,j=1}^N \int_\Omega a_{2ij} \frac{\partial v(t)}{\partial x_j} \left( \int_0^t g_2(t-s) \left( \frac{\partial v(t)}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial v(s)}{\partial x_i} \right) \, ds \right) \, dx \\
\leq \frac{\beta}{\alpha_1} a_1(u(t), u(t)) + \frac{\beta}{\alpha_2} a_2(v(t), v(t)) + \frac{(1-\lambda_1)}{4\alpha_1\beta_1} (g_1'u)(t) + \frac{(1-\lambda_2)}{4\alpha_2\beta_2} (g_2''v)(t),
\]  
(2.4.29)
Global existence and Asymptotic stability for a coupled viscoelastic wave equation with a time-varying delay term

and

\[
\begin{align*}
&\left| \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \left( \int_{0}^{t} g_{1}(t-s) \frac{\partial u(s)}{\partial x_i} ds \right) \left( \int_{0}^{t} g_{1}(t-s) \left( \frac{\partial u(t)}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial u(s)}{\partial x_i} \right) ds \right) dx \right| \\
&+ \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \left( \int_{0}^{t} g_{2}(t-s) \frac{\partial v(s)}{\partial x_i} ds \right) \left( \int_{0}^{t} g_{2}(t-s) \left( \frac{\partial v(t)}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial v(s)}{\partial x_i} \right) ds \right) dx \\
&\leq \beta \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \left( \int_{0}^{t} g_{1}(t-s) \frac{\partial u(s)}{\partial x_i} ds \right)^2 dx \\
&+ \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \left( \int_{0}^{t} g_{1}(t-s) \left( \frac{\partial u(t)}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial u(s)}{\partial x_i} \right) ds \right)^2 dx \\
&+ \beta \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \left( \int_{0}^{t} g_{2}(t-s) \frac{\partial v(s)}{\partial x_i} ds \right)^2 dx \\
&+ \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \left( \int_{0}^{t} g_{2}(t-s) \left( \frac{\partial v(t)}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial v(s)}{\partial x_i} \right) ds \right)^2 dx \\
&\leq \frac{g_{11} \beta}{a_{1}} (1-l_{1}) a_{1}(u(t), u(t)) + \frac{g_{22} \beta}{a_{2}} (1-l_{2}) a_{2}(v(t), v(t)) \\
&+ \left[ \frac{2}{a_{1}} \right] \left( (2.4.34) \right) \left( g_{1} \circ u \right)(t) + \left[ \frac{1-l_{1}}{a_{2}} \right] \left( 2 \beta a_{22} + \frac{N}{43} \right) \left( g_{2} \circ v \right)(t).
\end{align*}
\]

From the lemma 2.4.2, we deduce

\[
\int_{\Omega} f_{1}(u, v) \int_{0}^{t} g_{1}(t-s)(u(t) - u(s)) ds dx \leq \lambda \delta \left( l_{1} \left\| \nabla u \right\|_{2}^{2} + l_{2} \left\| \nabla v \right\|_{2}^{2} \right) \tag{2.4.31}
\]

\[
+ \left( \frac{1-l_{1}}{45} \right) \left( g_{2} \circ u \right)(t) \leq \frac{\lambda \delta}{a_{1}} a_{1}(u(t), u(t)) + \frac{\lambda \delta}{a_{2}} a_{2}(v(t), v(t)) + \left( \frac{1-l_{1}}{45} \right) \left( g_{1} \circ u \right)(t),
\]

also

\[
\int_{\Omega} f_{2}(u, v) \int_{0}^{t} g_{2}(t-s)(v(t) - v(s)) ds dx \leq \lambda \delta \left( l_{1} \left\| \nabla u \right\|_{2}^{2} + l_{2} \left\| \nabla v \right\|_{2}^{2} \right) \tag{2.4.32}
\]

\[
+ \left( \frac{1-l_{2}}{45} \right) \left( g_{2} \circ v \right)(t) \leq \frac{\lambda \delta}{a_{2}} a_{2}(v(t), v(t)) + \frac{\lambda \delta}{a_{1}} a_{1}(u(t), u(t)) + \left( \frac{1-l_{2}}{45} \right) \left( g_{2} \circ v \right)(t).
\]

Since \( g_{1}, g_{2} \) are positive, continuous and \( g_{1}(0) > 0, g_{2}(0) > 0 \) for any \( t_{0} \), we have

\[
\int_{0}^{t} g_{1}(s) ds \geq \int_{0}^{t_{0}} g_{1}(s) ds = g_{10}, \quad \forall t \geq t_{0}, \tag{2.4.33}
\]

\[
\int_{0}^{t} g_{2}(s) ds \geq \int_{0}^{t_{0}} g_{2}(s) ds = g_{20}, \quad \forall t \geq t_{0}, \tag{2.4.34}
\]

then we use (2.4.33) and (2.4.34) to get

\[
\int_{\Omega} u_{t} \int_{0}^{t} g_{1}(t-s)(u(t) - u(s)) ds dx - \left( \int_{0}^{t} g_{1}(s) ds \right) \int_{\Omega} u_{t}^{2} dx \\
\leq \beta \left\| u_{t} \right\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{g_{1}(0) \epsilon^{2}}{45} \left( -g_{1} \circ u \right)(t) - g_{10} \left\| u_{t} \right\|_{2}^{2}. \tag{2.4.35}
\]
2.4 Asymptotic stability

and

\[
\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \left( \int_{\Omega} v_t \int_0^t g_2(t-s)(v(t) - v(s)) ds dx - \left( \int_0^t g_2(s) ds \right) \int_0^t v_t^2 dx \right) \leq \beta \| u_t \|_2^2 + \frac{g_2(0) c_s^2}{4 \delta} (-g_2' \circ v)(t) - g_20 \| v_t \|_2^2.
\]  

(2.4.36)

From the lemma 2.4.1 by taking \( \gamma = 0 \), we have, for \( \delta > 0 \),

\[
- \int_\Omega \mu_1 u_t \int_0^t g_1(t-s)(u(t) - u(s)) ds dx \leq \mu_1 \| u_t \|_2^2 + \mu_1(1-l_1)^2 c_s^2(g_1 \circ u)(t),
\]

(2.4.37)

\[
- \int_\Omega \alpha_2 v_t \int_0^t g_2(t-s)(v(t) - v(s)) ds dx \leq \alpha_2 \| v_t \|_2^2 + \alpha_2(1-l_2)^2 c_s^2(g_2 \circ v)(t),
\]

(2.4.38)

\[
- \int_\Omega \mu_2 z_1(x,1,t) \int_0^t g_1(t-s)(u(t) - u(s)) ds dx \leq \mu_2 \int_\Omega z_1^2(x,1,t) dx + \mu_2(1-l_1)^2 c_s^2(g_1 \circ u)(t),
\]

(2.4.39)

and

\[
- \int_\Omega \alpha_2 z_2(x,1,t) \int_0^t g_2(t-s)(v(t) - v(s)) ds dx \leq \alpha_2 \int_\Omega z_2^2(x,1,t) dx + \alpha_2(1-l_1)^2 c_s^2(g_2 \circ v)(t).
\]

(2.4.40)

A substitution of (2.4.36)-(2.4.40) into (2.4.28) yields

\[
\frac{d\phi(t)}{dt} \leq (\beta + \mu_1 - g_{10}) \| u_t \|_2^2 + (\beta + \alpha_1 - g_{20}) \| v_t \|_2^2
\]

\[+
\left\{ \frac{\beta}{\alpha_0^1} + \frac{a_{11} \beta}{\alpha_0^1} (1-l_1^2) + \left( \frac{2 \lambda}{\alpha_0^1} - \frac{2 a_{11} \beta}{\alpha_0^1} \right) \right\} a_1(u(t), u(t))
\]

\[+
\left\{ \frac{\beta}{\alpha_0^2} + \frac{a_{22} \beta}{\alpha_0^2} (1-l_2^2) + \left( \frac{2 \lambda}{\alpha_0^2} - \frac{2 a_{22} \beta}{\alpha_0^2} \right) \right\} a_2(v(t), v(t))
\]

\[+(1-l_1) \left\{ \left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha_0^1} \left( \frac{1}{4} + 2 \beta a_{11} + \frac{N}{4} \right) + \frac{c_1^2}{4 \delta} \right\} + (1-l_1) c_s^2(\mu_1 + \mu_2) \right\} g_1 \circ u(t)
\]

\[+(1-l_2) \left\{ \left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha_0^2} \left( \frac{1}{4} + 2 \beta a_{22} + \frac{N}{4} \right) + \frac{c_2^2}{4 \delta} \right\} + (1-l_2) c_s^2(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \right\} g_2 \circ v(t)
\]

\[+ \mu_2 \| z_1(x,1,t) \|_2^2 + \alpha_2 \| z_2(x,1,t) \|_2^2 + \frac{g_2(0) c_s^2}{4 \delta} (-g_2' \circ u)(t) + \frac{g_2(0) c_s^2}{4 \delta} (-g_2' \circ v)(t).
\]

(2.4.41)

\[\square\]

**Theorem 2.4.7.** Let \((u_0, v_0) \in (H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega))^2, (u_1, v_1) \in (H_0^1(\Omega))^2\) be given. Assume that \((A_0) - (A_5)\) hold. Then, for each \(t_0 > 0\), there exist strictly positive constants \(K\) and \(k\) such that the solution of (2.4.1) satisfies

\[E(t) \leq Ke^{-\alpha \int_0^t \zeta(s) ds}, \quad \text{for } t \geq t_0.
\]

(2.4.42)
Proof. Taking a derivative of (2.4.1) and using the lemmas 2.4.4, 2.4.5 and 2.4.6, we infer

\[
\frac{dL(t)}{dt} \leq - \left( a_1 M - \epsilon \left( 1 + \frac{\mu_1}{4\beta} - \frac{\xi_1(t)}{2\tau_0} \right) + g_{10} - \mu_1 - \beta \right) \| u_t \|_2^2
\]
\[
- \left( a_2 M - \epsilon \left( 1 + \frac{\alpha_1}{4\beta} - \frac{\xi_2(t)}{2\tau'_{0}} \right) + g_{20} - \alpha_1 - \beta \right) \| v_t \|_2^2
\]
\[
- \left\{ \frac{a_{11}\beta}{a_{01}} (1 + l_1^2) \right\} - \frac{\beta}{a_{01}} - 2\lambda \delta - \epsilon \left\{ \mu_2 \left( 1 + \frac{2\beta c_s^2}{a_{01}} \right) + l_1 \right\} a_1(u(t), u(t))
\]
\[
- \left\{ \frac{a_{22}\beta}{a_{01}} (1 + l_2^2) \right\} - \frac{\beta}{a_{02}} - 2\lambda \delta - \epsilon \left\{ \alpha_2 \left( 1 + \frac{2\beta c_s^2}{a_{02}} \right) + l_2 \right\} a_2(v(t), v(t))
\]
\[
+ (1 - l_1) \left\{ \frac{1}{a_{01}} \left( 1 + \frac{2\beta a_{11}}{4N} + \frac{\epsilon}{4\mu} + \frac{c_s^2}{4\delta} \right) \right\} (g_1 \circ u)(t)
\]
\[
+ (1 - l_1)^2 (\mu_1 + \mu_2) (g_1 \circ u)(t) - (Ma_3 + \mu_1) \| z_1(x, 1, t) \|_2^2
\]
\[
+ (1 - l_2) \left\{ \frac{1}{a_{02}} \left( 1 + \frac{2\beta a_{22}}{4N} + \frac{\epsilon}{4\mu} + \frac{c_s^2}{4\delta} \right) \right\} (g_2 \circ v)(t)
\]
\[
+ (1 - l_2)^2 (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) (g_2 \circ v)(t) + \left( \frac{M}{2} - \frac{g_2(0)c_s^2}{4\beta} \right) (g_2^2 v)(t)
\]
\[
+ \left( \epsilon \left( \frac{\mu_2}{4\beta} - \frac{\xi_1(t)}{2\tau_1} \right) \right) \| z_1(x, 1, t) \|_2^2 - \epsilon (p + 1) \int_{\Omega} F(u(t), v(t)) dx
\]
\[
- \left( (Ma_4 + \alpha_1) - \epsilon \left( \frac{\alpha_2}{4\beta} - \frac{\xi_2(t)}{2\tau'_{1}} \right) \right) \| z_2(x, 1, t) \|_2^2
\]
\[
- \epsilon \xi_1(t) k_1 \tau_0 \tau_{2(t)} k_1 \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 z_1^2(x, k_1, t) dk_1 dx + \left( \frac{M}{2} - \frac{g_1(0)c_s^2}{4\beta} \right) (g_1 \circ u)(t)
\]
\[
- \epsilon \xi_2(t) k_1 \tau_0 \tau_{2(t)} k_2 \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 z_2^2(x, k_2, t) dk_2 dx.
\]

At this point, we choose \( M \) so large such that

\[
\eta_1 = \left( a_1 M - \epsilon \left( 1 + \frac{\mu_1}{4\beta} - \frac{\xi_1(t)}{2\tau_0} \right) + g_{10} - \mu_1 - \beta \right) > 0,
\]
\[
\eta_2 = \left( a_2 M - \epsilon \left( 1 + \frac{\alpha_1}{4\beta} - \frac{\xi_2(t)}{2\tau'_{0}} \right) + g_{20} - \alpha_1 - \beta \right) > 0,
\]
\[
\eta_3 = \left( \frac{M}{2} - \frac{g_1(0)c_s^2}{4\beta} \right) > 0,
\]
\[
\eta_4 = \left( \frac{M}{2} - \frac{g_2(0)c_s^2}{4\beta} \right) > 0.
\]

Then we choose \( \epsilon \) sufficiently small such that

\[
\eta_5 = \left\{ \frac{a_{11}\beta}{a_{01}} (1 + l_1^2) \right\} - \frac{\beta}{a_{01}} - 2\lambda \delta - \epsilon \left\{ \mu_2 \left( 1 + \frac{2\beta c_s^2}{a_{01}} \right) + l_1 \right\} > 0,
\]
2.4 Asymptotic stability

\[ \eta_6 = \left\{ \frac{a_{22} \beta}{a_{01}} (1 + l_2^2) - \beta \frac{a_{32}}{a_{02}} - \frac{2\lambda\delta}{a_{02}} - \epsilon \left\{ \alpha_2 \left( 1 + \frac{2\beta c_s^2}{a_{02}} \right) + l_2 \right\} \right\} > 0, \]

and (2.4.43) remains valid. Hence for all \( t \geq t_0 \), we arrive at

\[
\frac{dL(t)}{dt} \leq -\eta_1 \|u_t\|^2 - \eta_2 \|v_t\|^2 - \eta_5 (g_1'u)(t) + \eta_4 (g_2'v)(t) - \epsilon(p + 1) \int_{\Omega} F(u(t), v(t))dx - \eta_5 a_1(u(t), u(t)) - \eta_6 a_2(v(t), v(t)) + \eta_7 (g_1 \circ u)(t) + \eta_6 (g_2 \circ v)(t) - \eta_9 \|z_1(x, 1, t)\|^2 - \eta_{10} \|z_2(x, 1, t)\|^2
\]

(2.4.44)

which yields

\[
\frac{dL(t)}{dt} \leq -\eta_4 E(t) + \eta_5 (g_1 \circ u)(t) + g_2 \circ v(t), \quad \forall t \geq t_0,
\]

(2.4.45)

where \( \eta, i = 5, 6, 7, \ldots \) are some positive constants. Multiplying the above inequality by \( \zeta = \min\{\zeta_1, \zeta_2\} \) and exploiting \((A_0)\), we get, for all \( t \geq t_0 \)

\[ \zeta(t) L'(t) \leq -\eta_4 \zeta(t) E(t) + \zeta(t) \eta_5 ((g_1 \circ u)(t) + g_2 \circ v(t)). \]

Since \( g_1'(t) \leq -\zeta(t) g_1(t) \) and \( g_2'(t) \leq -\zeta(t) g_2(t) \) and using the fact that

\[ -(g_1' \circ u(t) + g_2' \circ v(t)) \leq -2E'(t), \]

by (2.3.24), we get

\[
\zeta(t) L'(t) \leq -\eta_4 \zeta(t) E(t) - \eta_5 (g_1' \circ u)(t) + g_2' \circ v(t) \]

(2.4.46)

\[ \leq -\eta_4 \zeta(t) E(t) - 2\eta_5 E'(t), \forall t \geq t_0. \]

Define \( \chi(t) = \zeta(t) L(t) + 2\eta_5 E(t) \), which is equivalent to \( E(t) \) and \( \zeta'(t) \leq 0, \forall t \geq 0 \), we obtain

\[ \chi'(t) \leq \zeta'(t) L(t) - \eta_4 \zeta(t) E(t) \]

\[ \leq -\alpha \zeta(t) E(t), \quad \forall t \geq t_0. \]

(2.4.47)

Integrating the last inequality over \((t_0, t)\), we conclude that

\[ \chi(t) \leq \chi(0) e^{-\alpha \int_{t_0}^{t} \zeta(s)ds}. \]

(2.4.48)

Then, the equivalent relation between \( \chi(t) \) and \( E(t) \) yields

\[ E(t) \leq K e^{-\alpha \int_{t_0}^{t} \zeta(s)ds}. \]

(2.4.49)

This completes the proof. \( \square \)
Remark 2.4.2. We illustrate the energy decay rate given by Theorem 4.1 through the following examples which are introduced in [23, 24].

1. If \( g_1(t) = a_1 e^{-b_1(1+t)^{\nu_1}} \), \( g_2(t) = \frac{a_2}{(1+t)^{\nu_2}} \), for \( a_i > 0 \) and \( \nu_i > 0 \), then \( \zeta_1(t) = b_1 \nu_1 (1+t)^{\nu_1-1} \) and \( \zeta_2(t) = \frac{\nu_2}{1+t} \) satisfy the condition (2.1). Thus (2.4.49) gives the estimate

\[
E(t) \leq K(1 + t)^{-\alpha}
\]

2. If \( g_1(t) = a_1 e^{-(1+t)^{\nu_1}} \), \( g_2(t) = a_2 e^{-(1+t)^{\nu_2}} \) for \( a_i, \nu_i > 0 (i = 1, 2) \), then \( \zeta_i(t) = \nu_i (1 + t)^{\nu_i-1} \) satisfies the condition (2.1). Thus (2.4.49) gives the estimate

\[
E(t) \leq K e^{-\alpha(1+t)^{\min(1, \nu_1, \nu_2)}}
\]

3. If \( g_1(t) = a_1 e^{-(\ln(1+t))^{\nu_1}} \), \( g_2(t) = a_2 e^{-(\ln(1+t))^{\nu_2}} \) for \( a_i > 0 \) and \( \nu_i > 1 (i = 1, 2) \), then \( \zeta_i(t) = \frac{\nu_i (\ln(1+t))^{\nu_i-1}}{1+t} (i = 1, 2) \) satisfies the condition (2.1). Thus (2.4.49) gives the estimate

\[
E(t) \leq K e^{-\alpha(\ln(1+t))^{\min(\nu_1, \nu_2)}}
\]
Chapter 3

Decay Property For Solutions In Elastic Solids Without Mechanical Damping

3.1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider the one-dimensional linear system of a homogeneous and isotropic elastic solid with the heat conduction given by:

\[
\begin{align*}
\rho_1 u_{tt} - \mu u_{xx} - b \varphi_x &= 0 \\
\rho \kappa \varphi_{tt} - \alpha \varphi_{xx} + bu_x + a \varphi + \beta \theta_x &= 0 \\
\theta_{tt} - \delta \theta_{xx} + \gamma \varphi_{tt} - k \theta_{txx} &= 0
\end{align*}
\]

(3.1.1)

with the initial data

\[
(u, u_t, \varphi, \varphi_t, \theta, \theta_t)(x, 0) = (u_0, u_1, \varphi_0, \varphi_1, \theta_0, \theta_1),
\]

(3.1.2)

where $u$ is the longitudinal displacement, $\varphi$ is the volume fraction, $\rho_1 > 0$, $\rho > 0$ are the mass density, $\kappa > 0$ is the equilibrated inertia and $\mu$, $\alpha$, $a$ are the constitutive constants which are positive and satisfy

\[
\mu a > b^2.
\]

(3.1.3)

To motivate our work, let us start with the linear theory of elastic materials that has been established by Cowin and Nunziato [40]. The one-dimensional porous-elastic has the form

\[
\begin{align*}
\rho_1 u_{tt} - \mu u_{xx} - b \varphi_x &= 0 \\
\rho \kappa \varphi_{tt} - \alpha \varphi_{xx} + bu_x + a \varphi + \tau \varphi_t &= 0
\end{align*}
\]

(3.1.4)
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with initial conditions and mixed boundary conditions and showed that the damping in the porous equation \((\tau \varphi_t)\) is not strong enough to obtain an exponential decay. Only the slow decay has been proved. Many authors considered the linear elastic materials problem as in (3.1.4) where the decay of solutions to the problems in elasticity have been investigated in previous works, see ([38],[39],[40],[41],[42]).

Very Recently, L. Djouamai and B. Said-Houari [44] considered the same problem as above

\[
\begin{align*}
\rho_1 u_{tt} - \mu u_{xx} - b \varphi_x &= 0 \\
\rho_\kappa \varphi_{tt} - \alpha \varphi_{xx} + b u_x + a \varphi + \tau \varphi_t &= 0
\end{align*}
\]

and proved that a linear porous dissipation leads to decay rates of regularity-loss of the solution. They showed the decay estimates with the very restriction on the initial data and also proved that any additional mechanical damping is enough to stabilize the system. Motivated by the previous works, in the present paper, we consider the decay rates for the solution of (3.1.1), from which the estimates in [44] are only particular cases. In order to prove our result, we apply the energy method in the Fourier space to obtain the pointwise estimate for the Fourier image. Our aim is attained by combining the pointwise estimate, Plancherel theorem and some integral estimates.

We introduce the notation used in this chapter. Throughout this work, \(\| \cdot \|_{L^q}\) and \(\| \cdot \|_{H^1}\) stand for the \(L^q(\mathbb{R})\)-norm \((2 \leq q \leq \infty)\) and the \(H^1(\mathbb{R})\)-norm. We denote by \(\hat{f}\) the Fourier transform of \(f\) :

\[
\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)e^{-i\xi x}dx, \quad f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(\xi)e^{i\xi x}d\xi,
\]

and \(c_n, \ c(\epsilon_n), \ \delta_n\) for \(n = 1, 2, \ldots\) are all positive constants.

### 3.2 The energy method in the Fourier space

In order to exhibit the dissipative nature of system (3.1.1) and following Reference [51], we use the following transformation

\[
\tilde{\theta}(x, t) = \int_0^t \theta(x, s)ds + \chi(x), \quad (3.2.1)
\]

with a function \(\chi = \chi(x)\) satisfying

\[
\delta \chi'' = \theta_1 - k\theta_0'' + \gamma \varphi_1'.
\]
3.2 The energy method in the Fourier space

Then, we get from (3.1.1) (by writing, for simplicity $\theta$ instead of $\tilde{\theta}$)

\[
\begin{aligned}
\rho_1 u_{tt} - \mu u_{xx} - b\varphi_x &= 0 \\
\rho\kappa \varphi_{tt} - \alpha \varphi_{xx} + b u_x + a\varphi + \beta \theta_t x &= 0 \\
\theta_{tt} - \delta \theta_{xx} + \gamma \varphi_{tx} - k\theta_{txx} &= 0
\end{aligned}
\]  

(3.2.2)

with the initial data

\[
(u, u_t, \varphi, \varphi_t, \theta, \theta_t)(x, 0) = (\bar{u}, \bar{u}_t, \bar{\varphi}, \bar{\varphi}_t, \bar{\theta}, \bar{\theta}_t)(x, 0).
\]  

(3.2.3)

Our goal in this section is to apply the energy method in the Fourier space and prove the decay rate of the Fourier image of the solution of (3.2.2). Let us first transform our problem to a first order system, by introducing the new variables:

\[
\begin{aligned}
v &= u_x, \quad h = u_t, \quad z = \varphi_x, \quad y = \varphi_t, \quad \eta = \theta_t, \quad \omega = \theta_x,
\end{aligned}
\]  

(3.2.4)

then the above system takes the form

\[
\begin{aligned}
\rho_1 h_t - \mu v_x - b z &= 0 \\
\rho_2 y_t - \alpha z_x + bu + a\varphi + \beta \eta_x &= 0 \\
\rho_3 \eta_t - \delta \omega_x + \gamma y_x - k\eta_{xx} &= 0 \\
\omega_t - \eta_x &= 0 \\
z_t - y_x &= 0 \\
v_t - h_x &= 0 \\
\varphi_t - y &= 0
\end{aligned}
\]  

(3.2.5)

with the initial data

\[
(h, y, \eta, \omega, z, v, \varphi)(x, 0) = (h_0, y_0, \eta_0, \omega_0, z_0, v_0, \varphi_0).
\]  

(3.2.6)

The system (3.2.5) is a hyperbolic-parabolic system and can be written in the matrix form

\[
\begin{aligned}
U_t + AU_x + LU = BU_{xx}
\end{aligned}
\]  

(3.2.7)

with $U = (h, y, \eta, \omega, z, v, \varphi)^T$, $U_0 = (h_0, y_0, \eta_0, \omega_0, z_0, v_0, \varphi_0)^T$ and $A, L$ and $B$ are matrices defined as follows

\[
A = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\mu & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \beta & 0 & -\alpha & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \gamma & 0 & -\delta & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]
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\[
L = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -b & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b & a \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
B = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-k & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Indeed, taking the Fourier transform of (3.2.7), we get

\[
\begin{cases}
\hat{U}_t + iA\xi\hat{U} + L\hat{U} = -B\xi^2\hat{U} \\
\hat{U}(x, 0) = \hat{U}_0
\end{cases}
\] (3.2.8)

then (3.2.8) takes the form:

\[
\begin{cases}
\hat{U}_t(\xi, t) = \Lambda(\xi)\hat{U}(\xi, t) \\
\hat{U}(x, 0) = \hat{U}_0
\end{cases}
\] (3.2.9)

where \(\Lambda(\xi) = -L - i\xi A - \xi^2 B\). Consequently, solving the above first order ordinary differential equation, we get

\[
\hat{U}(\xi, t) = e^{\Lambda(\xi)t}\hat{U}_0(\xi),
\] (3.2.10)

computing the term \(e^{\Lambda(\xi)t}\) is a challenging problem and in many situations this cannot be done. Consequently, in order to show the asymptotic behavior of the solution, it suffices to find a function \(\zeta(\xi)\) such that

\[
|e^{\Lambda(\xi)t}| \leq Ce^{-\zeta(\xi)t}.
\] (3.2.11)

Taking the Fourier transform of the system (3.2.5) with respect to \(x\) yields

\[
\begin{cases}
\rho_1\hat{h}_t - i\xi\mu\hat{\nu} - b\hat{z} = 0 \\
\rho_2\hat{y}_t - i\alpha\xi^2\hat{\nu} + b\hat{\nu} + a\hat{\phi} + i\beta\hat{\eta} = 0 \\
\rho_3\hat{\phi}_t - i\xi\hat{\phi} + i\xi\gamma\hat{\nu} + k\xi^2\hat{\eta} = 0 \\
\hat{\omega}_t - i\xi\hat{\eta} = 0 \\
\hat{\nu}_t - i\xi\hat{\phi} = 0 \\
\hat{\phi}_t - \hat{\nu} = 0
\end{cases}
\] (3.2.12)
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with the initial data

\[(\hat{h}, \hat{y}, \hat{\eta}, \hat{\omega}, \hat{z}, \hat{\nu}, \hat{\phi})(\xi, 0) = (\hat{h}_0, \hat{y}_0, \hat{\eta}_0, \hat{\omega}_0, \hat{z}_0, \hat{\nu}_0, \hat{\phi}_0)(\xi).\]  

(3.2.13)

The energy functional associated to the system (3.2.5) is defined as

\[\hat{E}(\xi, t) = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ |\hat{h}|^2 + |\hat{y}|^2 + |\hat{\eta}|^2 + |\hat{\omega}|^2 + |\hat{z}|^2 + |\hat{\nu}|^2 + |\hat{\phi}|^2 + 2bRe(\hat{\nu}\hat{\phi}) \right\}.\]  

(3.2.14)

Lemma 3.2.1. Let \(\hat{U}(\xi, t) = (\hat{h}, \hat{y}, \hat{\eta}, \hat{\omega}, \hat{z}, \hat{\nu}, \hat{\phi})(\xi, t)\) be the solution of (3.2.12), then for any \(t \geq 0\), the identity

\[\frac{d}{dt} \hat{E}(\xi, t) = -\beta k\xi^2 |\hat{\eta}|^2\]  

(3.2.15)

is fulfilled. Also, assume that (3.1.3) holds, then there exist two positive constants \(c_0\) and \(c_1\) that satisfy the following inequality

\[c_0 \left| \hat{U}(\xi, t) \right|^2 \leq \hat{E}(\xi, t) \leq c_1 \left| \hat{U}(\xi, t) \right|^2.\]  

(3.2.16)

Proof. Multiplying the first equation in (3.2.12) by \(\hat{\bar{h}}\), the second equation by \(\hat{\bar{y}}\), the third equation by \(\hat{\bar{\eta}}\), the fourth equation by \(\hat{\bar{\omega}}\), the fifth equation by \(\hat{\bar{z}}\), the sixth by \(\hat{\bar{\nu}}\), and the seventh equation by \(\hat{\bar{\phi}}\), we get

\[\frac{d}{dt} \left\{ |\hat{h}|^2 + |\hat{y}|^2 + |\hat{\eta}|^2 + |\hat{\omega}|^2 + |\hat{z}|^2 + |\hat{\nu}|^2 + |\hat{\phi}|^2 \right\} + bRe(\hat{\nu}\hat{\bar{y}} - \hat{\bar{z}}\hat{h}) + k\beta\xi^2 |\hat{\eta}|^2 = 0\]  

(3.2.17)

recalling that as in [44], \(\hat{\nu} = i\xi \hat{u}, \hat{\bar{h}} = \hat{u}_t, \hat{\bar{z}} = i\xi \hat{\phi}, \hat{\bar{y}} = \hat{\phi}_t\), we get

\[Re(\hat{\nu}\hat{\bar{y}} - \hat{\bar{z}}\hat{h}) = Re(i\xi \hat{u}_t \hat{\phi}_t - i\xi \hat{\phi}_t \hat{u}_t)\]

\[= \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ Re(i\xi \hat{u}_t \hat{\phi}_t) \right\} = \frac{d}{dt} Re(\hat{\nu}\hat{\phi}).\]  

(3.2.18)

Inserting (3.2.18) into (3.2.17), so the assertion (3.2.15) holds.

In order to prove (3.2.16), we use Young’s inequality for the last term in (2.18) for any \(\epsilon > 0\)

\[|2bRe(\hat{\nu}\hat{\phi})| \leq 2b\epsilon |\hat{\nu}|^2 + 2b\frac{1}{4\epsilon} |\hat{\phi}|^2,\]  

(3.2.19)

inserting (3.2.19) into (3.2.14), we obtain (3.2.16) with

\[c_0 = \frac{1}{2} \min \left\{ 1, (1 - 2b\epsilon), (1 - 2b\frac{1}{4\epsilon}) \right\} > 0,\]

\[c_1 = \frac{1}{2} \max \left\{ 1, (1 + 2b\epsilon), (1 + 2b\frac{1}{4\epsilon}) \right\} > 0.\]

Hence the proof is completed. \(\square\)
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**Proposition 3.2.2.** Let $\hat{U}(\xi, t) = (\hat{h}, \hat{y}, \hat{\eta}, \hat{\omega}, \hat{\psi}, \hat{\varphi})(\xi, t)$ be the solution of the system (3.2.12). Assume that (3.1.3) holds. Then for any $t \geq 0$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$, we have the following pointwise estimates

$$|\hat{U}(\xi, t)|^2 \leq Ce^{-c\zeta(\xi)t} |\hat{U}(\xi, 0)|^2,$$

where

$$\zeta(\xi) = \frac{\xi^2}{1 + \xi^4}.$$  

(3.2.20)

Here $C$ and $c$ are two positive constants.

**Proof.** Multiplying first equation in (3.2.12) by $-\bar{\hat{z}}$ and fifth equation by $\rho_1 \bar{\hat{h}}$, we get

$$-\rho_1 \bar{\hat{h}} \bar{\hat{z}} + i\xi \hat{\psi} \bar{\hat{z}} + b|\hat{z}|^2 = 0$$

(3.2.22)

and

$$-\rho_1 \bar{\hat{z}} \bar{\hat{h}} + \rho_1 i\xi \bar{\hat{y}} \bar{\hat{h}} = 0$$

(3.2.23)

Adding the above two equations and taking the real parts, we obtain

$$-\frac{d}{dt} \text{Re}(\rho_1 \bar{\hat{h}} \bar{\hat{z}}) - \text{Re}(\rho_1 \mu i\xi \hat{\psi} \bar{\hat{z}}) + b|\hat{z}|^2 + \text{Re}(\rho_1 i\xi \bar{\hat{y}} \bar{\hat{h}}) = 0,$$

(3.2.24)

by using Young’s inequality, we get

$$\text{Re}(\rho_1 \mu i\xi \hat{\psi} \bar{\hat{z}}) \leq c(\epsilon_1)\xi^2 |\hat{z}|^2 + \epsilon_1 \frac{\xi^2}{1 + \xi^2} |\hat{\psi}|^2$$

(3.2.25)

$$\text{Re}(\rho_1 i\xi \bar{\hat{y}} \bar{\hat{h}}) \leq c(\epsilon_1)\frac{\xi^2}{1 + \xi^2} |\bar{\hat{y}}|^2 + \epsilon_1 \xi^2 (1 + \xi^2) |\bar{\hat{h}}|^2,$$

(3.2.26)

inserting (3.2.25)-(3.2.26) in (3.2.24), we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} F_1(\xi, t) + b|\hat{z}|^2 \leq c(\epsilon_1)\xi^2 |\hat{z}|^2 + \epsilon_1 \frac{\xi^2}{1 + \xi^2} |\hat{\psi}|^2$$

$$+ c(\epsilon_1)\frac{\xi^2}{1 + \xi^2} |\bar{\hat{y}}|^2 + \epsilon_1 \xi^2 (1 + \xi^2) |\bar{\hat{h}}|^2,$$

(3.2.27)

noting that $F_1(\xi, t) = -\text{Re}(\epsilon_1 \bar{\hat{h}} \bar{\hat{z}})$. Now, by the same procedure multiplying the second equation by $\bar{\hat{h}} \bar{\hat{\varphi}}$ and sixth equation by $\rho_2 \bar{\hat{y}} \bar{\hat{h}}$, we get

$$\rho_2 \bar{\hat{y}} \bar{\hat{\varphi}} - i\alpha \bar{\hat{z}} \bar{\hat{\varphi}} + \rho_2 |\hat{\varphi}|^2 + a \phi \bar{\hat{\varphi}} + i\xi \bar{\hat{\psi}} \bar{\hat{\varphi}} = 0$$

(3.2.28)

and

$$\rho_2 \bar{\hat{z}} \bar{\hat{\varphi}} - i\xi \bar{\hat{h}} \bar{\hat{\varphi}} = 0,$$

(3.2.29)
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summing up (3.2.28)-(3.2.29) and taking the real parts, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} F_2(\xi, t) - Re(i\alpha \ddot{z}\ddot{v}) + \rho_2|\dot{v}|^2 + Re(\dot{\phi}\ddot{v}) + Re(i\xi \beta \ddot{\eta}\ddot{v}) - Re(i\xi \rho_2 \dot{h} \ddot{y}) = 0, \quad \text{(3.2.30)}$$

such that $F_2(\xi, t) = Re(\dot{y}\ddot{v})$. By exploiting the Young’s inequality:

$$Re(i\alpha \ddot{z}\ddot{v}) \leq c(\epsilon_2)\xi^2 |\dot{z}|^2 + \epsilon_2 \frac{\xi^2}{\xi^2 + 1} |\dot{v}|^2; \quad \text{(3.2.31)}$$

$$Re(\dot{\phi}\ddot{v}) \leq c(\epsilon_2) \frac{\xi^2}{\xi^2 + 1} |\dot{\phi}|^2 + \xi^2 \epsilon_2 |\dot{\phi}|^2; \quad \text{(3.2.32)}$$

and inserting (3.2.31)-(3.2.32) into (3.2.30) this produces

$$\frac{d}{dt} F_3(\xi, t) + \xi^2 C(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \alpha)|\dot{z}|^2 - \xi^2 |\dot{y}|^2 \leq \epsilon_2 \frac{\xi^2}{\xi^2 + 1} |\dot{\phi}|^2 + \epsilon_3 \frac{\xi^2}{\xi^2 + 1} |\dot{\eta}|^2; \quad \text{(3.2.33)}$$

such that $F_3(\xi, t) = Re(\rho_2 \dot{y}\ddot{v})$. Multiplying the second equation by $\ddot{\varphi}$ and seventh by $\rho_2 \ddot{y}$

$$\rho_2 \ddot{y} \ddot{\varphi} - i\xi\alpha \ddot{z}\ddot{\varphi} + b\dot{\varphi} + a|\dot{\varphi}|^2 + i\xi \beta \ddot{\eta}\ddot{\varphi} = 0, \quad \text{(3.2.34)}$$

$$\rho_2 \dot{\varphi}\ddot{y} - \rho_2 |\dot{y}|^2 = 0. \quad \text{(3.2.35)}$$

Adding the above two equations and taking the real parts, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} F_4(\xi, t) + a|\dot{\varphi}|^2 - \rho_2 |\dot{y}|^2 - Re(\alpha i\xi \ddot{z}\ddot{\varphi}) + Re(\ddot{b}\ddot{\varphi}) + Re(i\xi \beta \ddot{\eta}\ddot{\varphi}) = 0. \quad \text{(3.2.36)}$$

Using Young’s inequality for the forth, fifth and sixth terms, we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} F_4(\xi, t) + (a - \epsilon_7)|\dot{\varphi}|^2 - \rho_2 |\dot{y}|^2 \leq \xi^2 |\dot{\varphi}|^2 + \frac{\xi^2}{\xi^2 + 1} |\dot{z}|^2 + c(\epsilon_7) |\dot{v}|^2$$

$$+ \xi^2 |\dot{\eta}|^2 + \frac{\xi^2}{\xi^2 + 1} |\dot{\phi}|^2 \quad \text{(3.2.37)}$$

such that $F_4(\xi, t) = Re(\rho_2 \dot{y}\ddot{\varphi})$. Multiplying the first equation in (3.2.12) by $(-i\xi \rho_1 \ddot{\varphi})$ and the sixth equation by $(i\xi \rho_1 \ddot{h})$, we obtain

$$-i\xi \rho_1 \ddot{h}\ddot{\varphi} + \xi^2 \rho_1 |\dot{\varphi}|^2 + i\xi \rho_1 b\ddot{z}\ddot{\varphi} = 0, \quad \text{(3.2.38)}$$

$$i\xi \rho_1 \ddot{v}\ddot{h} + \xi^2 \rho_1 |\dot{h}|^2 = 0, \quad \text{(3.2.39)}$$

adding (3.2.38)-(3.2.39), we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} F_5(\xi, t) + \xi^2 \rho_1 |\dot{\varphi}|^2 + \xi^2 \rho_1 |\dot{h}|^2 + Re(i\xi \rho_1 b\ddot{z}\ddot{\varphi}) = 0. \quad \text{(3.2.40)}$$
Young’s inequality for the forth term produces

\[ \frac{d}{dt} F_5(\xi, t) + \xi^2 (\rho_1 \mu - c(\epsilon_6)) |\dot{\xi}|^2 \leq \xi^2 \rho_1 |\dot{h}|^2 + c_6 \frac{\xi^2}{\xi^2 + 1} |\dot{\xi}|^2, \]  

(3.2.41)

where \( F_5(\xi, t) = Re(\rho_1 i \xi \ddot{h}) \). Now, multiplying the forth equation in (2.12) by \((-\rho_2 i \xi \ddot{\xi})\) and the fifth equation by \((-\rho_3 i \xi \ddot{\xi})\), we have

\[ -\rho_2 \xi \ddot{\xi} + \xi \rho_2 \alpha |\ddot{\xi}|^2 - ib \xi \rho_2 \dot{\ddot{\xi}} - ai \xi \rho_2 \dot{\dot{\xi}} - i \xi^2 \rho_3 \ddot{\xi} = 0, \]  

(3.2.42)

\[ \xi \rho_2 i \xi \ddot{\xi} - \rho_3 \xi^2 |\dot{\xi}|^2 = 0, \]  

(3.2.43)

summing up (3.2.42)-(3.2.43) and using Young’s inequality, we get

\[ \frac{d}{dt} F_6(\xi, t) + \xi^2 (\alpha_2 - 1 - 2\delta_4) |\ddot{\xi}|^2 - \xi^2 \rho_2 |\dot{y}|^2 + \xi^2 |\dot{\theta}|^2 \leq \frac{\xi^2}{\xi^2 + 1} |\dot{\xi}|^2, \]  

(3.2.44)

such that \( F_6(\xi, t) = Re(\rho_2 i \xi \ddot{\xi}) \). By the same procedure, multiplying the forth equation in (3.2.12) by \((-\rho_3 i \xi \ddot{\xi})\) and the third equation by \((-\rho_3 i \xi \ddot{\xi})\), we obtain

\[ -\rho_3 i \xi \ddot{\xi} - \rho_3 \xi^2 |\dot{\xi}|^2 = 0, \]  

(3.2.45)

\[ \rho_3 i \xi \dot{\ddot{\xi}} + \xi^2 \delta |\dot{\omega}|^2 - \xi^2 \gamma \ddot{\omega} + ki \xi^3 \dot{\ddot{\omega}} = 0, \]  

(3.2.46)

summing up (3.2.45)-(3.2.46) and using Young’s inequality and taking the real parts, we get

\[ \frac{d}{dt} F_7(\xi, t) + \xi^2 (\delta - c(\epsilon_7) \gamma - \epsilon_8) |\dot{\omega}|^2 + \xi^2 \epsilon_7 |\dot{y}|^2 \leq c(\epsilon_8) \frac{\xi^2}{\xi^2 + 1} |\dot{\xi}|^2, \]  

(3.2.47)

where \( F_7(\xi, t) = Re(\rho_3 i \xi \ddot{\xi} \ddot{\xi}) \). Now we define the Lyapunov functional

\[ \hat{E}(t) = (1 + \xi^2 + \xi^4) \hat{E}(\xi, t) + \frac{1}{\xi^4 + 1} \{ F_1 + F_2 \} + \frac{\xi^2}{\xi^4 + 1} \{ F_3 + F_4 + F_5 + F_6 + F_7 \}. \]  

(3.2.48)

Taking the derivative of (3.2.48) with respect to \( t \) and by using the formulas (3.2.27), (3.2.30) (3.2.33), (3.2.37), (3.2.41), (3.2.44), (3.2.47) and invoking the fact that \( \frac{\xi^4}{(\xi^2 + 1)} \leq \frac{\xi^4}{(\xi^2 + 1)} \), we arrive at

\[ \frac{d}{dt} \hat{E}(t) + \frac{\xi^4}{\xi^4 + 1} \{ c(\epsilon_1) - \alpha - c(\epsilon_2) - c(\epsilon_3) - c(\epsilon_4) - \epsilon_6 - c(\epsilon_9) \} |\ddot{\xi}|^2 \]

\[ + \frac{\xi^4}{\xi^4 + 1} \{ \epsilon_1 - \delta_3 + c(\epsilon_6) + (\rho_2 - \epsilon_10) + \epsilon_9 + c(\epsilon_11) \} |\dot{\ddot{\xi}}|^2 \]

\[ + \frac{\xi^2}{\xi^4 + 1} \{ \delta_4 - c(\epsilon_1) + \epsilon_7 + \epsilon_{12} \} |\dot{\dot{\xi}}|^2 + \frac{\xi^4}{\xi^4 + 1} \{ c(\epsilon_{12}) - \alpha_4 - \epsilon_1 \} |\dot{h}|^2 \]

\[ + \frac{\xi^4}{\xi^4 + 1} \{ c(\epsilon_{10}) - \epsilon_2 \} |\dot{\theta}|^2 + \frac{\xi^4}{\xi^4 + 1} \{ c(\epsilon_7) \gamma - \alpha + \epsilon_8 \} |\dot{\omega}|^2 \]

\[ \leq \xi^2 (1 + \xi^2 + \xi^4) \{ c(\epsilon_8) - \epsilon_{11} - \epsilon_4 - \beta k \} |\dot{\xi}|^2. \]
3.2 The energy method in the Fourier space

On the other hand, it is straightforward, we may find $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$ such that
\[
\lambda_1 \xi^2 (1 + \xi^2 + \xi^4) \hat{E}(\xi, t) \leq \hat{E}(\xi, t) \leq \lambda_2 \xi^2 (1 + \xi^2 + \xi^4) \hat{E}(\xi, t), \quad t \geq 0. \tag{3.2.50}
\]
Consequently, having fixed the constants as above, we deduce that there exists a positive constants $\gamma_0 > 0$, such that
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \hat{L}(\xi, t) + \gamma_0 \phi(\xi, t) \leq 0, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \tag{3.2.51}
\]
where
\[
\phi(\xi, t) \geq \frac{\xi^2}{\xi^4 + 1} \left\{ |\hat{\varepsilon}|^2 + |\hat{\nu}|^2 + |\hat{y}|^2 + |\hat{h}|^2 + |\hat{\varphi}|^2 + |\hat{\omega}|^2 \right\}, \tag{3.2.52}
\]
exploiting (3.2.51), (3.2.52) and (3.2.50), we get
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \hat{L}(\xi, t) + \frac{\gamma_0}{\lambda_2} \zeta(\xi) \hat{E}(\xi, t) \leq 0, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \tag{3.2.53}
\]
where $\zeta(\xi)$ is defined in (3.2.21). Integrating (3.2.53) with respect to $t$, we find
\[
\hat{E}(\xi, t) \leq \hat{E}(\xi, 0) e^{\frac{\gamma_0}{\lambda_2} \zeta(\xi) t} \quad \forall t \geq 0, \tag{3.2.54}
\]
exploiting (3.2.50) once again, then the first estimate in (3.2.20) holds. \hfill \square

Theorem 3.2.3. Let $s$ be a nonnegative integer and $\hat{U}_0 = (\hat{h}_0, \hat{y}_0, \hat{\eta}_0, \hat{\omega}_0, \hat{z}_0, \hat{\nu}_0, \hat{\varphi}_0)^T \in H^s(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Assume that (1.3) holds. Then the solution $\hat{U} = (\hat{h}, \hat{y}, \hat{\eta}, \hat{\omega}, \hat{z}, \hat{\nu}, \hat{\varphi})^T$ of the system (3.2.12) satisfies the following decay estimates:
\[
\|\partial^k_x U(t)\|_{L^2} \leq C(1 + t)^{\frac{1}{2} - k}\|\partial^k_x U_0\|_{L^1} + C(1 + t)^{-l}\|\partial^{k+l} U_0\|_{L^2} \tag{3.2.55}
\]
for $k + l \leq s$. Here $C$ is a positive constant.

Proof. First, we can see that the functions $\zeta(\xi)$ satisfy
\[
\zeta(\xi) \geq \begin{cases} 
 c_1 \xi^2 & \text{if } |\xi| \leq 1, \\
 c_2 \xi^{-2} & \text{if } |\xi| \geq 1.
\end{cases}
\]
Making use of the Plancherel theorem, observing that $|\hat{U}(\xi, t)|^2$ and $\hat{E}(\xi, t)$ are equivalent, we get
\[
\|\partial^k_x U(t)\|_{L^2} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\xi|^{2k} |\hat{U}(\xi, t)|^2 d\xi \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\xi|^{2k} e^{-C_4 \zeta(\xi)|t|} |\hat{U}(\xi, 0)|^2 d\xi
\]
\[
= C \int_{|\xi| \leq 1} |\xi|^{2k} e^{-C_4 \zeta(\xi)|t|} |\hat{U}(\xi, 0)|^2 d\xi + C \int_{|\xi| \geq 1} |\xi|^{2k} e^{-C_4 \zeta(\xi)|t|} |\hat{U}(\xi, 0)|^2 d\xi = I_1 + I_2. \tag{3.2.56}
\]
For the first integral, we have

\[ I_1 \leq \sup_{|\xi| \leq 1} \left\{ \left| \hat{U}(\xi, 0) \right|^2 \right\} \int_{|\xi| \leq 1} C|\xi|^{2k} e^{-c\xi^2 t} d\xi \leq C\|\hat{U}_0(t)\|_{L^\infty}^2 \int_{|\xi| \leq 1} C|\xi|^{2k} e^{-c\xi^2 t} d\xi. \tag{3.2.57} \]

Using the inequality

\[ \int_0^1 C|\xi|^\sigma e^{-c\xi^2 t} d\xi \leq C(1 + t)^{-\frac{\sigma + 1}{2}}, \tag{3.2.58} \]

we deduce that

\[ I_1 \leq C(1 + t)^{-\frac{k}{2}} \|\hat{U}_0(t)\|^2_{L^1}, \tag{3.2.59} \]

exploiting the inequality

\[ \sup_{|\xi| \geq 1} \left\{ |\xi|^{-2l} e^{-c\xi^2 t} \right\} \leq C(1 + t)^{-l}, \tag{3.2.60} \]

we obtain

\[ I_2 \leq C \sup_{|\xi| \geq 1} \left\{ |\xi|^{-2l} e^{-c\xi^2 t} \right\} \int_{|\xi| \geq 1} C|\xi|^{2(k+1)} \left| \hat{U}(\xi, 0) \right|^2 d\xi \leq C(1 + t)^{-l} \|\partial_x^{k+1} U_0\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{3.2.61} \]

Combining (3.2.57) and (3.2.61), we get the desired result. Hence the proof is completed. \qed
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