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## Abstract


#### Abstract

The time series forecast is a very complex problem, consisting in predicting the behavior of a data series with only the information of the previous sequence. In this thesis, a highly comparative framework for time-series modeling and forecasting is developed to give a good models for time series to perform the prediction as well as linear predictors. For this, we are reduce errors of time series models, by used genetic algorithms GAs, one of robustness methods of optimization. GAs is inspired by natural evolution theories, apply operations of reproduction, crossover and mutation to candidate solutions according to their relative fitness scores in the successive populations of candidates Holland (1975). We choose the mean square errors MSE and Akaike criteria information AIC the objectives functions for optimization to calculate there minimums for select the optimal model. This method is based on the evolution of set of rules genetically codified, two types of coding GAs are used, the binary coded GAs (BCGA) and reel coded GAs (RCGA). In the first time we optimize MSE and AIC with BCGA, and in the second time with RCGA. By order to determine the best method, we compar between different methodologies and we contribute in this comparaive study by use a modification to errors of model given by GAs by normalized them, for have a better model and perform the forecast. The computer simulation results obtained demonstrate that GAs have the potential to become a powerful tool for time series modeling and forecasting and as well as when we use the advanced GAs. To illustrate our studies, we supports all chapters by some examples application on real time series data.
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## Résumé

La prédiction des séries chronologiques est un problème très complexe, comment prédire le comportement d'une série de données avec uniquement les informations précédentes de la séquence. Dans cette thèse, un cadre hautement comparative des méthodes, pour modeler les séries chronologiques est performer la prédiction par ces modèles notamment les modèles linéaires. Pour le faire, on a réduit les erreurs des modèles par la méthode des algorithmes génétiques (AGs), une des méthodes robuste d'optimisation. AGs étaient inspirée de la théorie de l'évolution naturelle par Holland (1975), appliquent des opérations de reproduction, de croisement et de mutation sur les solutions candidates selon leurs scores de la fonction objective relative a la population des individus. Nous utilisons la moyenne quadratiques des erreurs (MSE), le critère d'information Akaike (AIC ) et le critère de Bayes (BIC), comme des fonctions d'objectives à minimiser, puisque ils sont des critères de sélection du modèle optimal. Cette méthode est basée sur la codification, nous utilisons deux types de codage, le codage binaire (BCGA) et le codage réel (RCGA). Dans un premiers temps, on utilise la méthode BCGA pour l'optimisation et en deuxième temps, on fait l'optimisation par la méthode RCGA. Nous effectuons une étude comparative entre les différents types des AGs avec des modifications apportées sur les erreurs des modèles par la normalisation des erreurs pour avoir des modèles optimaux. Les résultats de simulation informatique obtenus démontrent que GAs ont le potentiel pour devenir un outil puissant pour les modèles des séries chronologiques et performer la prévision, notamment lorsque nous utilisons AGs avancées. Pour illustrer ces résultats d'étude nous renforçons les chapitres par des exemples d'applications sur des séries chronologiques réelles.

## Mots-clefs
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## Introduction

Time series refers to the branch of statistics where observations are collected sequentially in time. Time series modeling and forecasting have fundamental importance to various practical. Which deals with techniques developed for drawing the inference from time series(50). The primary goals of time series analysis are:

1) To set up a hypothetical statistical model to represent the series in order to obtain insights into mechanism that generate the data.
2) Once a static factory model has been formulated, to extrapolate from the in order anticipate (forecast) the future values of time series.
In many fields like it necessary to accurately predict future values of time series. The correct estimation of future of values is usually affected by complex processes like random fluctuations, sudden trend change, volatility, and noise.
Different models employed to estimate time series have been differentiated in two groups: linear and non-linear methods. The most popular linear method are based on the BoxJenkins methodology [49]. In the early days, most of the models proposed were linear regression models. Their implementation is simple, yet they are quite limited in capabilities of interpreting time series. They are not capable of dealing with nonlinear and/or nonstationary behavioral patterns. They always assume the systems from which the time series has been measured to be linear and to operate under stationary conditions (Priestley 1981; Ljung 1987; Chatfield 1989; Box and Jenkins 1994).
The main aim of time series modeling is to carefully collect and rigorously study the past observations of a time series to develop an appropriate model which describes the inherent structure of the series.
It is obvious that a successful time series forecasting depends on an appropriate model fitting.
the mean of the problem for all researchers gives the optimal model fitted for data and reduce errors between observations and generate observations in order to have a good forecast. For seasonal time series forecasting, Box and Jenkins [6] had proposed a quite successful variation of ARIMA model, viz. the Seasonal ARIMA (SARIMA) [3, 6, 23]. The popularity of the ARIMA model is mainly due to its flexibility to represent several varieties of time series with simplicity as well as the associated Box-Jenkins methodology $[3,6,8,23]$ for optimal model building process.
Genetic algorithms are based on the principle of genetics and evolution stated Charles Darwin the theory of natural evolution, and In 1975, Holland described and developed this idea in his book Adaptation in natural and artificial systems and how to apply his principles to optimization problems.

Genetic algorithms are inspired by Darwin's theory of natural evolution. GAs is a method for solving both constrained and non-constrained optimization problems based on natural selection process that mimics biological evolution.
The problem resolution of optimization consists of exploring the space of research to minimize or maximize an objected function. GAs are optimization and robust searching technique that is used to find the best search result is composed mainly of three steps: recombination crossover and mutation. By maintaining a population of a solution, GAs can be viewed as implicitly modeling of solutions seen in the search process. The aim of this thesis is to present time series modeling using GAs, a comprehensive discussion and comparison of the three widely popular approaches for time series forecasting, binary coded GAs, real ceded GAs, and Box-Jenkins approaches.

Many techniques in literature are proposed to develop this method. This thesis contains fours chapters, which are organized as follows: Chapter 1 gives an introduction to the basic concepts of time series modeling, together with some associated ideas such as stationarity, parsimony, etc.

Chapter 2 is designed to discuss the concepts of GAs, and there operators: selection, crossover, and mutation.

In Chapter 3 we have described the application of binary genetic algorithms BCGAs in time series modeling, for $A R, M A, A R M A$ and $S A R I M A$ models and comparison with Box-Jenkins approach.
Chapter 4 presents the real coded genetic algorithms RCGAs approach and comparison between three approaches RCGAs, BCGAs, and Box-Jenkins.
After completion of these four chapters, we have given a brief conclusion of our work as well as the prospective future aim in this field.

## Chapter 1

## Time series

Définition 1.0.1. A time series is a sequence of observation of any random phenomenon measured at deferent points of time $t$ and usually denoted $\left\{X_{t}\right\}_{t \in Z}$.

Example 1.0.2. The average years for Derives Energy Export For Algeria, from 1980 to 2011.

Table 1.1: Derives Energy Export For Algeria,1980 to 2011

| YEAR | Export <br> energy <br> derived $* 0^{3}$ | YEAR | Export <br> energy <br> derived $* 10^{3}$ | YEAR | Export <br> energy <br> derived <br> $* 10^{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1980 | 56344 | 1991 | 85207 | 2002 | 123001 |
| 1981 | 54041 | 1992 | 87157 | 2003 | 132714 |
| 1982 | 54520 | 1993 | 86801 | 2004 | 136891 |
| 1983 | 60496 | 1994 | 83335 | 2005 | 145274 |
| 1984 | 64241 | 1995 | 88846 | 2006 | 140716 |
| 1985 | 68043 | 1996 | 95796 | 2007 | 138405 |
| 1986 | 68730 | 1997 | 104840 | 2008 | 134724 |
| 1987 | 73940 | 1998 | 109706 | 2009 | 121949 |
| 1988 | 72933 | 1999 | 118775 | 2010 | 119751 |
| 1989 | 79478 | 2000 | 124125 | 2011 | 114158 |
| 1990 | 83978 | 2001 | 119013 |  |  |



Figure 1.1: Derives Energy Export For Algeria,1980 to 2011

### 1.1 Time series decomposition

The time series can be decomposed into four elements:

- Trend $\left(T_{t}\right)$ : Long term movements in the mean;
- Seasonal effects $\left(L_{t}\right)$ : cyclical fluctuations related to the calendar;
- Cycles $\left(C_{t}\right)$ : Other cyclical fluctuations (such as a business cycle)
- Residuals $\left(\epsilon_{t}\right)$ : Other random or systematic fluctuation.

And two models that allow as to do are:

- Additive decomposition model:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{t}=T_{t}+L_{t}+C_{t}+\epsilon_{t} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Multiplicative decomposition model:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{t}=T_{t} \times L_{t} \times C_{t} \times \epsilon_{t} . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 1.1.1. Let us show figure of two time series:
The first has Additive decomposition model and the second has Multiplicative decomposition model.

### 1.2 White noise

Définition 1.2.1. The process $\left(\epsilon_{t}\right)_{t \in Z}$ is a strong white noise if:
i) $\left(\epsilon_{t}\right)_{t \in Z}$ is a sequence of random variables i.i.d(independents and identically distributed).
ii) $\forall t \in Z, E\left(\epsilon_{t}\right)=0$, and $E\left(\epsilon_{t}^{2}\right)=\sigma^{2}$


Figure 1.2: Model decomposition

Définition 1.2.2. The process $\left(\epsilon_{t}\right)_{t \in Z}$ is a weak white noise if:
i) $\left(\epsilon_{t}\right)_{t \in Z}$ is a sequence of random variables i.d(identically distributed),
ii) $\forall\left(t, t^{\prime}\right) \in Z^{2}: t \neq t^{\prime}, \operatorname{cov}\left(\epsilon_{t}, \epsilon_{t^{\prime}}\right)=0$,
iii) $\forall t \in Z, E\left(\epsilon_{t}\right)=0$ and $E\left(\epsilon_{t}^{2}\right)=\sigma^{2}$.

### 1.3 Stationarity

Définition 1.3.1. A time series $X_{t}, t \in Z$ is strictly stationary or strongly stationary if: for $k \geq 1$ the vectors $\left(X_{t_{1}}, X_{t_{2}}, \ldots, X_{t_{k}}\right)$ and $\left(X_{t_{1+h}}, X_{t_{2+h}} \ldots ., X_{t_{k+h}}\right)$ have identical law and joint probability distribution. i.e:

Définition 1.3.2. A time series $X_{t}$ is weakly stationary or second order stationary if :
i) $E\left(X_{t}\right)=\mu ; \forall t \in Z ;$ (constant)
ii) $\operatorname{var}\left(X_{t}\right)=\sigma^{2} ; \forall t \in Z ;($ constant $)$
iii) $\forall r, s, t \in Z: \operatorname{cov}\left(X_{r+t}, X_{s+t}\right)=\operatorname{cov}\left(X_{r}, X_{s}\right)$.

Example 1.3.3. - Strong white noise is strictly stationary.

- Weak white noise is second order stationary.


### 1.3.1 Back-shift operator

The back shift operator denoted by $B$, such as $B\left(y_{t}\right)=y_{t-1}$.

Remark 1.3.4. - $B^{2}\left(y_{t}\right)=B\left(B\left(y_{t}\right)\right)=y_{t-2}$, and $B^{k}\left(y_{t}\right)=y_{t-k}$,

- $B\left(a y_{t}+b\right)=a B\left(y_{t}\right)+b=a y_{t-1}+b$, for any constant $a, b$.


### 1.3.2 Difference operator

Définition 1.3.5. The Difference operator $\nabla$ is defined for $X_{t}$ process by :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla\left(X_{t}\right)=X_{t}-X_{t-1}=(I-B) X_{t} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $I$ is identic operator and $B$ is Back-shift operator.

Remark 1.3.6. $-\nabla^{d}=(I-B)^{d}, d \in N$.

- for $d=2, \nabla^{2}=(I-B)^{2}=I-2 B+B^{2}$
- If $X_{t}=a t+b+\epsilon_{t}$, where $\epsilon_{t}$ is stationary, then $\nabla\left(X_{t}\right)=a+\left(\epsilon_{t}-\epsilon_{t-1}\right)$ (remove the trend);
- In general, the operator $\nabla^{d}$ remove the polynomials of $d$ degree.


### 1.3.3 Trend stationarity

Définition 1.3.7. A time series $X_{t}$ is said to be No-stationary (TS) or trend stationary if : $X_{t}=f(t)+y_{t}$, when $f$ is a determinists function, and $\left(y_{t}\right)_{t \in Z}$ is a stationary process .

## Example 1.3.8.

$$
X_{t}=\alpha+\beta t+\epsilon_{t},
$$

$X_{t}$ is trend stationary (TS).

$$
E\left(X_{t}\right)=\alpha+\beta t,
$$

depend on $t$.

### 1.3.4 Differences stationarity

Définition 1.3.9. A time series $X_{t}$ is No-stationary (DS) or differenciated stationary if $X_{t}$ is stationary after $d$ differentiations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{d} X_{t}=(1-B)^{d} X_{t} . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 1.3.10. Plot for time series 1.1 after differenciated:


Figure 1.3: Export Derives Energy For Algeria Deffirenced ,1980 TO 2011

Proposition 1.3.11. If $X_{t}, t \in Z$ is a stationary process, and $a_{i}, i \in Z$, is a real sequence absolutely convergent i.e $\Sigma_{i \in Z}\left|a_{i}\right|<+\infty$, then the process $y_{t}$ defined by: $y_{t}=\Sigma_{i \in Z} a_{i} X_{t-i}$, for $t \in Z$, is a stationary process.

Proof. See [10].
Remark 1.3.12. For make data stationary, we may do any of the following:
i) Re-scale it (for instance, by a logarithmic or exponential transformation ).
ii) Remove deterministic components.
iii) Difference it. That is, take $\nabla^{d}\left(X_{t}\right)$ until stationary.

So we need the test of stationary. We can use Dickey-fuller tests (DF) and Augmented Dickey-Fuller tests (ADF)

## Dickey-fuller test (DF)

Tests of Dickey-Fuller is the unit root tests when the null hypothesis is no stationarity carried out the process autoregressive one $A R(1)$ such as $\varepsilon_{t}$ is i.i.d, $\left(0, \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right)$ for the models:
i) $X_{t}=\beta_{1} X_{t-1}+c+\alpha \times t+\varepsilon_{t}$
ii) $X_{t}=\beta_{1} X_{t-1}+c+\varepsilon_{t}$
iii) $X_{t}=\beta_{1} X_{t-1}+\varepsilon_{t}$

## Augmented Dickey-Fuller test (ADF)

When $\varepsilon_{t}$ is not i.i.d, $\left(0, \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right)$ and $p \geq 2$, we need use ( ADF ), is generalized for ( DF ) and same threshold for signification to this tree models :
i) $X_{t}=\beta_{1} X_{t-1}+\Sigma_{j=1}^{p} \xi_{j} \Delta x_{t-j}+c+\alpha t+\varepsilon_{t}$
ii) $X_{t}=\beta_{1} X_{t-1}++\Sigma_{j=1}^{p} \xi_{j} \Delta x_{t-j}+c+\varepsilon_{t}$
iii) $X_{t}=\beta_{1} X_{t-1}+\Sigma_{j=1}^{p} \xi_{j} \Delta x_{t-j}+\varepsilon_{t}$
we testing by (ADF) and (DF), unit root hypothesis :

$$
H_{0}: \beta_{1}=1 ; H_{1}:\left|\beta_{1}\right|<1
$$

Remark 1.3.13. But the strategic of the tests (ADF) and (DF) is testing the general model with trend and constant model (i), if the test statics is less than critical-value and p-value less 0.05 , then we can reject null hypothesis and accept alternative hypothesis, but if the test statics is more than critical-value and p -value more 0.05 , then we can not reject null hypothesis and series is non-stationary.

### 1.4 Auto-covariance and Autocorrelation functions

### 1.4.1 Auto-covariance function (AC0VF)

Définition 1.4.1. The sequence of covariance between $X_{t}$ and $X_{t-k}$ is called the autocovariance function denoted by $\gamma_{k}, k \in Z$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{k}=\operatorname{cov}\left(X_{t}, X_{t-k}\right) . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1.4.2. For $k=0, \gamma_{0}=\operatorname{var}\left(X_{t}\right)$.
properties 1.4.3. The Auto-covariance function verifies the next properties:

- $\gamma_{0} \geq 0$
- $\left|\gamma_{k}\right| \leq \gamma_{0}$,
- $\gamma_{k}$ is a symmetry function: $\gamma_{-k}=\gamma_{k}$


### 1.4.2 Autocorrelation function (ACF)

Définition 1.4.4. The sequence denoted $\rho_{k}=\frac{\gamma_{k}}{\gamma_{0}}=\operatorname{corr}\left(X_{t}, X_{t-k}\right)$ is called autocorrelation function (ACF).
properties 1.4.5. - $\rho_{0}=1$

- $\rho_{-k}=\rho_{k}$
- $\left|\rho_{k}\right| \leq 1$

Example 1.4.6. For white noise, $E\left(\epsilon_{t}\right)=0, \gamma_{0}=\sigma^{2}$, for all $t$;

$$
\begin{gathered}
\gamma_{k}=\operatorname{corr}\left(\epsilon_{t}, \epsilon_{t-k}\right)= \begin{cases}\sigma_{t}^{2}, & \mathrm{k}=0 ; \\
0, & k \neq 0 .\end{cases} \\
\rho_{k}=\frac{\gamma_{k}}{\gamma_{0}}= \begin{cases}1, & \mathrm{k}=0 ; \\
0, & k \neq 0 .\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

### 1.4.3 Partial autocorrelation function PACF

Définition 1.4.7. We call the partial autocorrelation function of $X_{t}$ at lagk, the function

$$
\begin{cases}r(k)=\operatorname{corr}\left(X_{t}, X_{t-k} \backslash X_{t-1}, X_{t-2}, \ldots . X_{t-k+1}\right), & \text { for } k \in N^{*} ;  \tag{1.6}\\ r(1)=\rho(1), & \text { for } k=1 .\end{cases}
$$

### 1.4.4 Sample autocorrelation function SACF

Most of the analysts using sampled data, such if we suppose $X_{t}$ is stationary, for $t \in N$, we can use sample autocorrelation function (SACF) denoted $\widehat{\rho}_{k}$ by substituted $\widehat{\gamma}_{k}$ the estimate of auto-covariance function $\gamma_{k}$ in $\rho_{k}$ formula where:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\gamma}_{k}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n-k}\left(x_{t}-\bar{x}\right)\left(x_{t-k}-\bar{x}\right), t \in N \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Définition 1.4.8. The sample autocorrelation function (SACF) is defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\rho}_{k}=\frac{\widehat{\gamma}_{k}}{\widehat{\gamma}_{0}} . \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1.4.9. 1) In the SACF of the time series values $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots \ldots, X_{n}$ is either cuts off fairly quickly or dies down fairly quickly, then the time series values should be considered stationary.
2) If the SACF of the time series values $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots ., X_{n}$ dies down extremely slowly, then the time series values should be considered non-stationary.

Example 1.4.10. Example of the SACF two time series:


Figure 1.4: The sample autocorrelation function (SACF)

### 1.5 Wold decomposition

Théorème 1.5.1. Any mean zero covariance stationary process $X_{t}$ can be represented in the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{t}=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \theta_{j} \epsilon_{t-j}+\eta_{t} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where:

1) $\theta_{0}=1$, and $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \theta_{j}^{2}<\infty$,
2) $\epsilon_{t} \rightsquigarrow N\left(0, \sigma_{t}^{2}\right)$,
3) $E\left(\epsilon_{t}, \eta_{t}\right)=0, \forall s, t>0$,
4) $\epsilon_{t}$ is the error in forecasting $X_{t}$ on the basic of linear of lagged $n$ :
$\epsilon_{t}=X_{t}-E\left(X_{t} X_{t-1}, X_{t-2}, \ldots ., X_{t-n}\right)$,
5) $\eta_{t}$ is a determinist process, and it can be predicted from a linear function of logged $n$.

Proof. See [25].
Remark 1.5.2. Wold decomposition says that any covariance stationary process has a linear presentation: linear deterministic components $\left(\eta_{t}\right)$ and linear indeterministic components $\left(\epsilon_{t}\right)$.

### 1.6 Stationary time series Models

### 1.6.1 Autoregressive process AR(P)

Définition 1.6.1. The process $\left\{X_{t}\right\}_{t \in Z}$ is said to be an autoregressive process of order $p$, denoted $A R(p)$, if it is stationary and

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{t}=\sum_{i=1}^{p} \theta_{i} X_{t-i}+\epsilon_{t} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For all $t \in Z$ and $\theta_{p} \neq 0$. Where $\theta_{i}$ are reels and $\epsilon_{t}$ is white noise, his variance $\sigma^{2}$.

Remark 1.6.2. We can rewrite equality :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(B) X_{t}=\epsilon_{t} \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where the polynomial $\Phi(B)=I-\theta_{1} B-\theta_{2} B^{2}-$ $\qquad$ $-\theta_{p} B^{p}$.
In general the forme of $A R(p)$ with constant is writhen:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(B) X_{t}=\mu+\epsilon_{t}, \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mu$ is a constant.

Example 1.6.3. - For $p=1$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
A R(1): X_{t}=\theta_{1} X_{t-1}+\epsilon_{t}, t \in Z \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

- For $p=2$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
A R(2): X_{t}=\theta_{1} X_{t-1}+\theta_{2} X_{t-2}+\epsilon_{t}, t \in Z \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Sample autocorrelation function of $\operatorname{AR}(\mathbf{P})$

Proposition 1.6.4. If $\left\{X_{t}\right\}_{t \in Z}$ is the autoregressive process $A R(p)$ :
$X_{t}=\sum_{i=1}^{p} \theta_{i} X_{t-i}+\epsilon_{t}$, where $t \in Z, \theta_{i} \in R, i=\overline{1, p}$ and $\epsilon_{t}$ is white noise, then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{0}=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{1-\sum_{i=1}^{p} \theta_{i} \rho_{i}} \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{h}=\sum_{i=1}^{p} \theta_{i} \rho_{h-i} . \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{0} & =\operatorname{cov}\left(X_{t}, X_{t}\right), \\
& =\operatorname{cov}\left(X_{t}, \sum_{i=1}^{p} \theta_{i} X_{t-i}+\epsilon_{t}\right), \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{p} \theta_{i} \operatorname{cov}\left(X_{t}, X_{t-i}\right)+\operatorname{cov}\left(X_{t}, \epsilon_{t}\right), \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{p} \theta_{i} \gamma_{i}+\operatorname{cov}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{p} \theta_{i} X_{t-i}+\epsilon_{t}, \epsilon_{t}\right), \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{p} \theta_{i} \gamma_{i}+\operatorname{cov}\left(\epsilon_{t}, \epsilon_{t}\right), \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{p} \theta_{i} \gamma_{0} \rho_{i}+\sigma^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then,

$$
\gamma_{0}=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{1-\sum_{i=1}^{p} \theta_{i} \rho_{i}} .
$$

In the same : $\forall h \in N^{*}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{h} & =\operatorname{cov}\left(X_{t}, X_{t-h}\right), \\
& =\operatorname{cov}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{p} \theta_{i} X_{t-i}+\epsilon_{t}, X_{t-h}\right), \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{p} \theta_{i} \operatorname{cov}\left(X_{t-i}, X_{t-h}\right)+\operatorname{cov}\left(X_{t}, X_{t-h}\right), \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{p} \theta_{i} \gamma_{h-i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 1.6.5. We obtain the system called the Walker-equations:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\gamma_{0}=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{1-\sum_{i=1}^{P} \theta_{i} \rho_{i}} . \\
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\rho_{1} \\
\rho_{2} \\
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
\rho_{p}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & \rho_{1} & \rho_{2} & \cdot & \cdot & \rho_{p} \\
\rho_{1} & 1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\rho_{2} & \cdot & 1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 1 & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 1 & \cdot \\
\rho_{p} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
\theta_{1} \\
\theta_{2} \\
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
\theta_{p}
\end{array}\right)=R_{p} \theta
\end{gathered}
$$

Where $R_{p}$ is the matrices of correlation and $\theta=\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \ldots ., \theta_{p}\right)$
Remark 1.6.6. If the roots of characteristic polynomial $\Phi(z) ; z_{i}=\frac{1}{\lambda_{i}}, i \in\{1,2, \ldots ., p\}$ are reals and distinct, we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{h}=\sum_{i=1}^{p} c_{i} \lambda_{i}^{h} \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 1.6.7. For $A R(1)$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\gamma_{0}=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{1-\theta_{1}^{2}}  \tag{1.18}\\
\gamma_{k}=\frac{\theta_{1}^{k} \sigma^{2}}{1-\theta_{1}^{2}} \\
\rho_{k}=\theta_{1}^{k}
\end{array}\right.
$$

$k=1,2, \ldots$.
For $A R(2)$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\gamma_{0}=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{1-\theta_{1} \rho_{1}-\theta_{2} \rho_{2}}  \tag{1.19}\\
\rho_{1}=\frac{\theta_{1}}{1--\theta_{2}} \\
\rho_{2}=\frac{\theta_{2}-\theta_{2}^{2}+\theta_{1}^{2}}{1-\theta_{2}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Example 1.6.8. $A R(1): X_{t}=0.85 X_{t-1}+\epsilon_{t}, t \in Z$ $A R(2): X_{t}=0.3 X_{t-1}+0.54 X_{t-2}+\epsilon_{t}, t \in Z$


Figure 1.5: ACF and PACF for $\mathrm{AR}(1)(0.85)$


Figure 1.6: ACF and PACF for $\mathrm{AR}(2)(0.3,0.54)$
Remark 1.6.9. We can remark, for the $A R(p)$ process, the PACF is zero for all lags beyond $p$, i.e $r(k)=0$

## Autoregressive process MA(q)

Définition 1.6.10. The process $\left\{X_{t}\right\}_{t \in Z}$ is said to be moving average process $M A(q)$ of order q if:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{t}=\epsilon_{t}+\sum_{i=1}^{q} \varphi_{i} \epsilon_{t-i} \tag{1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\forall t \in Z$
where $\epsilon_{t}$ is white noise and $\varphi=\left(\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}, \ldots, \varphi_{q}\right), \varphi_{q} \neq 0$
Remark 1.6.11. - We can use notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{t}=\Theta(B) \epsilon_{t} \tag{1.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Theta(B)=I+\sum_{i=1}^{q} \varphi_{i} B^{i}$.

- The moving average process is stationary.

Example 1.6.12. For $\mathrm{q}=1$ the model $M A(1)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{t}=\epsilon_{t}+\varphi_{i} \epsilon_{t-1} \tag{1.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\mathrm{q}=2$ the model $M A(2)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{t}=\epsilon_{t}+\varphi_{1} \epsilon_{t-i}+\varphi_{2} \epsilon_{t-2} \tag{1.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 1.6.2 Sample autocorrelation function of $\mathrm{MA}(\mathrm{q})$

Proposition 1.6.13. If $\left\{X_{t}\right\}_{t \in Z}$ is the moving average process $M A$ (q):
$X_{t}=\epsilon_{t}+\sum_{i=1}^{q} \varphi_{i} \epsilon_{t-i}, \forall t \in Z$, where $\epsilon_{t}$ is white noise and $\varphi_{i} \in R, i=\overline{1, q}$, then:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\gamma_{0}=\sigma^{2}\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{q} \varphi_{i}^{2}\right)  \tag{1.24}\\
\gamma_{h}=\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
\varphi_{h}+\sum_{i=1}^{q} \varphi_{i} \varphi_{i-h} & \text { if } & h \in\{1,2, \ldots, q\} \\
0 & \text { if } & h>q
\end{array}\right. \tag{1.25}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. Let us

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{0} & =\operatorname{var}\left(\epsilon_{t}+\sum_{i=1}^{q} \varphi_{i} \epsilon_{t-i}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{cov}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{p} \theta_{i} X_{t-i}+\epsilon_{t}, X_{t-h}\right) \\
& =\sigma^{2}\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{q} \varphi_{i}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\forall h \in N:$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{h} & =\operatorname{cov}\left(X_{t}, X_{t-h}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{cov}\left(\epsilon_{t}+\sum_{i=1}^{q} \varphi_{i} \epsilon_{t-i}, \epsilon_{t-h}+\sum_{j=1}^{q} \varphi_{j} \epsilon_{t-h-j}\right) \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
\varphi_{h}+\sum_{i=1}^{q} \varphi_{i} \varphi_{i-h} & \text { if } & h \in\{1,2, \ldots ., q\} \\
0 & \text { if } & h>q
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 1.6.14. If $\left\{X_{t}\right\}_{t \in Z}$ is the moving average process of order $\mathrm{q}(M A(q))$, then his S-ACF are Zero for all lags beyond q i.e:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\rho(h) \neq 0 \quad h=q  \tag{1.26}\\
\rho(h)=0 \quad \forall h \geq q+1 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Example 1.6.15. For $q=1, M A(1)$ :

$$
\gamma_{k}=\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
\sigma^{2}\left(1+\varphi_{1}^{2}\right) & \text { for } & k=0  \tag{1.27}\\
-\varphi_{1} \sigma^{2} & \text { for } & k=1 \\
0 & \text { for } & k \geq 2
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
\rho(k)=\left\{\begin{array}{cll}
\frac{-\varphi_{1}}{1+\varphi_{1}^{2}} & \text { for } & k=1  \tag{1.28}\\
0 & \text { for } & k \geq 2
\end{array}\right.
$$

For $q=2, M A(2)$ :

$$
\rho(k)=\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{-\varphi_{1}+\varphi_{1} \varphi_{2}}{1+\varphi_{1}^{2}+\varphi_{2}^{2}} & \text { for } & k=1  \tag{1.29}\\
\frac{-\varphi_{1}}{1+\varphi_{1}^{2}+\varphi_{2}^{2}} & \text { for } & k=2 \\
0 & \text { for } & k \geq 3
\end{array}\right.
$$

Example 1.6.16. $M A(0.2): X_{t}=\epsilon_{t}+0.2 \epsilon_{t-1}$,

$$
M A(0.5,0.7): X_{t}=\epsilon_{t}+0.5 \epsilon_{t-1}+0.7 \epsilon_{t-1}
$$



Figure 1.7: ACF and PACF for $\mathrm{MA}(1)(0.85)$


Figure 1.8: ACF and PACF for $\operatorname{MA}(2)(0.5,0.7)$

### 1.6.3 Mixed Autoregressive Moving Average models ARMA(p,q)

Définition 1.6.17. We say that $\left\{X_{t}\right\}_{t \in Z}$ is a mixed autoregressive moving average, process of order $(p, q)$ denoted $\operatorname{ARMA}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q})$ if it satisfies:

- $\left\{X_{t}\right\}_{t \in Z}$ is stationary,
- It exist $\theta=\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \ldots ., \theta_{p}\right) \in R^{p}, \theta_{p} \neq 0$ and $\varphi=\left(\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}, \ldots ., \varphi_{q}\right) \in R^{q}, \varphi_{q \neq 0}$; then for all $t \in Z$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{t}-\sum_{i=1}^{p} \theta_{i} X_{t-i}=\epsilon_{t}+\sum_{i=1}^{q} \varphi_{i} \epsilon_{t-i} \tag{1.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1.6.18. Using the shift operator $B$ equation 1.30 may be rewritten as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(B) X_{t}=\Theta(B) \epsilon_{t} \tag{1.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Phi(B)=I-\sum_{i=1}^{p} \theta_{i} B^{i}$ and $\Theta(B)=I+\sum_{i=1}^{q} \varphi_{i} B^{i}$.

- $A R(p)$ is an $A R M A(p, 0)$ and $M A(q)$ is an $A R M A(0, \mathrm{q})$.
- The process is stationary if all roots of the characteristic equation

$$
y^{p}-\theta_{1} y^{p-1}-\theta_{2} y^{p-2}-\ldots . .-\theta_{p}=0
$$

lie outside the unit circle.

- The representation $\Phi(B) X_{t}=\Theta(B) \epsilon_{t}$ is:

1. Minimum: if $\Phi$ and $\Theta$ have not a commune factors,
2. Causal: if all roots of $\Phi$ are outside the unit circle,
3. Invertible: if all roots of $\Theta$ are outside the unit circle,
4. Canonic: if the representation is causal and invertible.

Example 1.6.19. Let us example of $A R M A(1,1)$ and $A R M A(1,1)$ :
$A R M A(1,1)$ model: $X_{t}=0.54 X_{t-1}+\epsilon_{t}+0.85 \epsilon_{t-1}$
$A R M A(2,1)$ model: $X_{t}=-0.25 X_{t-1}+0.60 X_{t-2}+\epsilon_{t}+0.35 \epsilon_{t-1}$

## Autocorrelation properties

Proposition 1.6.20. Let us $\left\{X_{t}\right\}_{t \in Z}$ is $A R M A(p, q)$ process, then auto-covariances function $\gamma_{h}$ satisfies:

1. $\gamma_{h}-\sum_{i=1}^{p} \theta_{i} \gamma_{h-i}=0$ for $h \geq q+1$,
2. $\gamma_{h}-\sum_{i=1}^{p} \theta_{i} \gamma_{h-i}=\sigma^{2}\left[\varphi_{h}+h_{1} \varphi_{h+1}+\ldots . .+h_{q-h} \varphi_{q}\right]$ for $0 \leq h \leq q$,

Proof. See [8]
Remark 1.6.21. The $P A C F$ of an invertible $A R M A$ model will not cut off. The following table summarized the behavior of $P A C F$ of the causal and invertible $A R M A$ models .

### 1.7 Models for non-stationary time series

### 1.7.1 Mixed Integrated Autoregressive Moving Average models ARIMA(p,q)

Définition 1.7.1. A process $\left\{X_{t}\right\}$ is said an integrated auto-regressive moving average model if $d^{t h}$ difference, $Z_{t}=\nabla^{d} X_{t}$ is stationary $A R M A$ process.

Table 1.2

| $\cdot$ | $A R(p)$ | $M A(q)$ | $A R M A(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A C F$ | Tails off | cuts off after lag q | Tails off |
| $P A C F$ | cuts off after lag q | Tails off | Tails off |

Remark 1.7.2. More generally, the $\operatorname{ARIMA}(p, d, q)$ model for $\left\{X_{t}\right\}_{t \in Z}$ is writhen as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(B)(1-B)^{d} X_{t}=\mu+\Theta(B) \epsilon_{t} \tag{1.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Phi(B)=I-\sum_{i=1}^{p} \theta_{i} B^{i}, \Theta(B)=I+\sum_{i=1}^{q} \varphi_{i} B^{i}$ and $\mu$ is a constant.

## Guide lines for determining the order of the general non-seasonal models

Table 1.3: Behavior of S-ACF and S-PACF of $\operatorname{ARIMA}(p, q)$

| Behavior of S-ACF and S-PACF | Determination of orders |
| :---: | :---: |
| The S-ACF dies extremely slowly and S-ACF for the first differential, data either cuts off fairly quickly or dies down fairly quickly | $d=1$ |
| The S-ACF for the first differential, data dies down extremely slowly and the second differential data either cuts off fairly quickly or dies down fairly quickly | $d=2$ |
| The S-ACF has spikes at lags $1,2,3, \ldots, k$, and cuts off after lags, and the S-PACF dies down | $q=k ; p=0$ |
| The S-ACF dies down and the S-PACF has spikes at lags $1,2, \ldots ., 1$, and cuts off after lag l | $p=l ; q=o$ |
| The S-ACF has spikes at lags $1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{k}$, and cuts off after lag k and the S-PACF has spikes at lags $1,2, \ldots, 1$, and cuts off after lag l | $\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{k}$ or $\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{l}$ and choose best model |
| The S-ACF dies down and the S-PACF dies down | small values for both p and q |
| The S-ACF contains small sample correlation and the S-PACF contains small sample correlation. | white noise no seasonal model |

### 1.7.2 Seasonal Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average models SARIMA(p,d,q)

Définition 1.7.3. Since, $s_{1}, s_{1}, \ldots \ldots, s_{n}$ are $n$ integers, the process $\left\{X_{t}\right\}$ is the $\operatorname{SARIMA}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{q})$ if satisfies the relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(B)\left(1-B^{s_{1}}\right)\left(1-B^{s_{2}}\right) \ldots \ldots\left(1-B^{s_{n}}\right) X_{t}=\Theta(B) \epsilon_{t} \tag{1.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \geq 0$.
where $\Phi(B)=I-\sum_{i=1}^{p} \theta_{i} B^{i}$ and $\Theta(B)=I+\sum_{i=1}^{q} \varphi_{i} B^{i}$ with $\theta_{p} \neq 0, \varphi_{p} \neq 0$ and $\epsilon_{t}$ is white noise with $\sigma^{2}$ variance.

Remark 1.7.4. - If we take $n=d, s_{1}=s_{2}=\ldots ., s_{n}=1$ the $\operatorname{SARIMA}(p, d, q)$ model is an $A R I M A(p, d, q)$ model,i.e $S A R I M A$ models are generalization of $A R I M A$ models include seasonal part.

- $\operatorname{SARIMA}(p, d, q)=A R I M A(p, d, q)(P, D, Q) S$, where $(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{q})($ non-seasonal part of the model), (P,D,Q)(seasonal part of the model) and $S$ is the number of seasons in year.
- The forms, more used are :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(B)\left(1-B^{s}\right) X_{t}=\Theta(B) \epsilon_{t} \tag{1.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(B)\left(1-B^{s}\right)\left(1-B^{d}\right) X_{t}=\Theta(B) \epsilon_{t} . \tag{1.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 1.7.5. Monthly hotel room averages time series in Kuwait for 1985-1995(see 26 ), here $S=12$ :


Figure 1.9: hotel data.train 1985 to 1995

### 1.8 Box and Jenkins methodology

In general finding models for time series is non trivial. The approach proposed by BoxJenkins come to be known us Box-Jenkins methodology to ARIMA models, when this method became highly popular in 1970 among academics. It has tree part: identification, estimation, and verification(diagnostics).

Table schematic representation of the Box-Jenkins approach source Maenadic and M.Hibou 95/45/TM. Revised version 95/33/TM.

Table 1.4: Box-Jenkins approach

| phase 1) |  | postulate general class of models |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Identification |  | identify model to be tentatively entertained |
| phase 2) |  | estimate parameters tentatively entertained model |
| Estimation and testing |  | diagnostic checking is the model adequate no or yes |
| phase 3) | $\rightarrow$ |  |

### 1.8.1 Estimation

The first determining appropriate the order of the ARMA model by examining the autocorrelation and partial autocorrelation of the stationary series. And estimate the parameters of models and after select best model with many of criteria for example:

## Information criteria

The goodness of fit of the model can be assessed with the residuals variance:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\sigma}^{2}(k)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \widehat{\epsilon}_{t}^{2} \tag{1.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n$ is the number of observation used for estimate $k$ is total number of parameters estimated (ex: $k=p+q+2$ ), $\sum_{t=1}^{n} \widehat{\epsilon}_{t}^{2}$ is the adjusted residuals at time $t$.

## Akaike information criteria (AIC)

Définition 1.8.1. The Akaike information criteria (AIC) is defined to be :

$$
\begin{equation*}
A I C(p, q)=n \times \log \left(\hat{\epsilon}_{k}^{2}\right)+2 \times(k) \tag{1.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k$ is the total number of parameters estimated

## Shwarz bayesian information criteria (BIC)

Définition 1.8.2. Shwarz bayesian information criteria is defined to be:

$$
\begin{equation*}
B I C(p, q)=n \times \log \left(\hat{\epsilon}_{k}^{2}\right)+k \times(1+\log (n)) \tag{1.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k$ is the total number of parameters estimated.

## Hannan-Quin information criteria (HQIC)

Hannan-Quin information criteria is defined to be:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H Q I C(p, q)=\log \left(\widehat{\epsilon}_{t}^{2}\right)+\frac{2 k}{n} \log (\log (n)) \tag{1.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k$ is the total number of parameters estimated.
Remark 1.8.3. - The objective is thus to choose the number of parameters which minimizes the value of the information criteria.

- Diagnostic testing in the Box-Jenkins methodology involves the statistical properties of the errors terms (normality assumption, weak white noise assumption).


### 1.8.2 Forecasting in ARMA models

Now suppose we interested in forecasting $X_{n+k}$ from observations $\left\{X_{t}, t \leq n\right\}$. Working with the Wold representation we may consider forecasts of from:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{X}_{n, k}=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} C_{k, j} \epsilon_{n-j} \tag{1.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing 1.40 with the Wold representation for $X_{n+k}$, we introduce:

$$
X_{n+k}-\widehat{X}_{n, k}=\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} C_{j} \epsilon_{n+k-j}+\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} C_{j+k}\left(C_{j+k}-C_{k, j} C_{k, j}\right) \epsilon_{n-j}
$$

Hence

$$
E\left(X_{n+k}-\widehat{X}_{n, k}\right)^{2}=\left\{\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} C_{j}^{2}+\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left(C_{j+k}-C_{k, j}\right)^{2}\right\} \sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}
$$

This expression may be minimized by setting : $C_{j+k}=C_{k, j}$ for all $j \geq 0, k \geq 0$, and then give rise to then mean squared prediction error.

## Application on ARIMA Model

Example 1.8.4. In this example, we show us the application of Box-Jenkins methodology to give ARIMA model for traffic accidents time series in France:

1) Visualize the Time Series

We visualize the times series by plotting it see figure 1.10 .

## 2) Stationarity the Series

We can check if the series is stationary or not. Dickey Fuller is one of the popular test used.
Augmented Dickey-Fuller Test
data: traffic accidents.train
Dickey-Fuller $=-2.2719$, Lag order $=0, \mathrm{p}$-value $=0.4674$
alternative hypothesis: stationary
From this test, p -value $=0.4674$, then the time series is non-stationary (i.e. explosive), we need to stationeries the series (by taking difference transformation).
data: ddataaccidts.train
Dickey-Fuller $=-5.3221$, Lag order $=0, \mathrm{p}$-value $=0.01$
alternative hypothesis: stationary


Figure 1.10: traffic accidents in France

Now the time series is stationary

## 3) Find Optimal Parameters of ARIMA Model

The value found in the previous section might be an approximate estimate and we need to explore more ( $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{q}$ ) combinations. The one with the lowest AIC should be our choice. $\operatorname{arima}(\mathrm{x}=$ ddata traffic accidents.train, order $=\mathrm{c}(0,0,1))$ :
Coefficients: sigma $^{2}$ estimated as 250332: $\log$ likelihood $=-267.22$, aic $=540.44$
Table 1.5: parameters estimation

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\text { ma1 } & \text { intercept } \\
0.2032 & 83.1014 \\
\text { s.e. } 0.1527 & 101.2803
\end{array}
$$

## 4) Residuals diagnostic



Figure 1.11: ddata of traffic accident .train residuals

## Box-Ljung test

Box.test(ddata traffic accidents.train arima residuals, lag $=25$, type $=$ "Ljung-Box" $)$
data: raffic accidents.train arima residuals
X-squared $=14.078, \mathrm{df}=25, \mathrm{p}$-value $=0.9604$

## Jarque Bera test

data: raffic accidents.train arima residuals
X-squared $=5.749, \mathrm{df}=2, \mathrm{p}$-value $=0.056445$ ) Make Predictions


Figure 1.12: Residuals diagnostic

Once we have the final ARIMA model, we are now ready to make predictions on the future time points. We can also visualize the trends to cross-validate if the model works fine.

## Forecasts from ARIMA( $0,0,1$ ) with non-zero mean



Figure 1.13: ddata traffic accident forecast

## Application on SARIMA Model

In this example, we applique the Box-Jenkins methodology for giving SARIMA model to monthly hotel room averages time series in Kuwait for 1985-1995(see [26]):

1) Visualize the Time Series in training fase

See figure 1.9

## 2) Stationarity the Series

Augmented Dickey-Fuller Test
data: hotel.data.train

Dickey-Fuller $=-2.7543$, Lag order $=15, \mathrm{p}$-value $=0.2637$
alternative hypothesis: stationary
Augmented Dickey-Fuller Test data: diff(hotel datats.train, 12) Dickey-Fuller $=-7.1603$,


Figure 1.14: hotel ddata.train 1985 TO 1995

Lag order $=0, \mathrm{p}$-value $=0.01$ alternative hypothesis: stationary
3) Find Optimal Parameters of Model

Series: data ts.train $\operatorname{ARIMA}(3,1,3)(1,1,1)[12]$ Coefficients:

| ar1 | ar2 | ar3 | ma1 | ma2 | ma3 | sar1 | sma1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.4913 | 0.5668 | -0.5573 | -1.3164 | -0.3255 | 0.6422 | -0.1726 | -0.2256 |
| s.e. 0.1417 | 0.1444 | 0.0946 | 0.3108 | 0.2903 | 0.2799 | 0.2538 | 0.2443 |

sigma ${ }^{2}$ estimated as 148.7: $\log$ likelihood=-375.11 $\mathrm{AIC}=768.22 \mathrm{AICc}=770.34 \mathrm{BIC}=791.21$
4) Residuals diagnostic


Figure 1.15: Residuals diagnostic
Box-Ljung test
data: fit model residuals
X -squared $=4.3551, \mathrm{df}=15, \mathrm{p}$-value $=0.9963$
Jarque Bera Test
data: residuals(fit model)
X-squared $=3.9052, \mathrm{df}=2, \mathrm{p}$-value $=0.1419$ then the errors are uncorrelated.
5) Make Predictions


Figure 1.16: forecast fit model
The figure of the fit model on time series observations shows us, that the fit model is better for forecasting.

## Chapter 2

## Genetic Algorithms

Genetic algorithms (GAs) are numerical optimization algorithms inspired by both natural selection and natural genetics. there represent an intelligent exploitation of random search used to solve the optimization problem. This particular description of GAs is intentionally abstract because, in some sense, the term genetic algorithm has two meaning. So in this chapter, we will spell the principals of this method.

### 2.1 Definitions and terminology

### 2.1.1 Definitions

Définition 2.1.1. (Local maximum)
We said $x_{0} \in \Omega$ is the local maximum of function $f$ if $\forall x \in \Omega, \exists \epsilon>0: f\left(x_{0}\right) \geq f(x)$, $\forall x \in R,\left|x-x_{0}\right|<\epsilon$.

Définition 2.1.2. (Local minimum)
We said $x_{0} \in \Omega$ is the local minimum of function $f$ if $\forall x \in \Omega, \exists \epsilon>0: f\left(x_{0}\right) \leq f(x), \forall x \in$ $R,\left|x-x_{0}\right|<\epsilon$.

Définition 2.1.3. (Local optimum)
We said $x_{0} \in \Omega$ is the local optimum of function $f$ if it is the either a local maximum or local minimum.

Définition 2.1.4. (Global maximum)
We said $x_{0} \in \Omega$ is the global maximum of function $f$ if $\forall x \in \Omega: f\left(x_{0}\right) \geq f(x), \forall x \in R$.
Définition 2.1.5. (Global minimum)
We said $x_{0} \in \Omega$ is the global maximum of function $f$ if $\forall x \in \Omega: f\left(x_{0}\right) \leq f(x), \forall x \in R$.
Définition 2.1.6. (Global optimum)
We said $x_{0} \in \Omega$ is the global optimum of function $f$ if it is the either a global maximum or global minimum.

Example 2.1.7. Figure of function has global minimum and local minimum


Figure 2.1: global minimum and local minimum

Définition 2.1.8. (Solution candidate)
A solution candidate is an element of problem space $\Omega$.
Définition 2.1.9. (Solution space)
We call the union of all solutions of optimization problem it's solution space $S, S \subseteq \Omega$.
Remark 2.1.10. The solution space contain and can be equal to the globally optimal set $\chi^{*}, \chi^{*} \subseteq S \subseteq \Omega$.

Définition 2.1.11. (Genotype)
We call an element in $\Omega$, a genotype.
Définition 2.1.12. (Gene)
The distinguishable unit of information in genotype that encode the phenotypical properties are called a gene.

Définition 2.1.13. (Allele)
An allele is a value of specific gene.
Définition 2.1.14. (Phenotype)
The phenotype is the population in the actual real world solution space in which solutions are represented in a way they are represented in real world situations.

Définition 2.1.15. (Individual)
Each element of set $\Omega$ is called an individual presented by some chromosomes(genomes).
Définition 2.1.16. (Population)
A population Pop is a list of individuals used during an optimization process.
Définition 2.1.17. (Generation)
Generation is a set of population at moment donned of process.

### 2.1.2 Objectif function and fitnes function

Définition 2.1.18. Let $\Omega$ is problem space and an objective function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ with $Y \subseteq R$ is a mathematical function which is subject to optimization, where $X$ is the set of possible solutions. We can call $f$ the target or score function.

Example 2.1.19. let $X=[-1,1]$ and $Y=R$

$$
f: X \rightarrow Y: f(x)=(x-1) \exp (2 x-1)+1
$$

Définition 2.1.20. The fitness function is normally used to transform the objective function values into a measure of relative fitness, thus $F(x)=g(f(x))$, where $f$ is the objective function, $g$ transform the values of the objective function to a non-negative number and $F$ is relative fitness.

Example 2.1.21. $F\left(x_{i}\right)=\frac{f\left(x_{i}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{i=n} f\left(x_{i}\right)}$ where $n$ is the population size and $x_{i}$ is the phenotype value of individual $i$.

### 2.2 Encoded

A population of individuals in maintained within $\Omega$, each representing a possible solution to a given problem. Each individual is coded as a finite length vector or variable in term of some alphabet.

Définition 2.2.1. Assume $S$ to be a set of strings, let $\Omega$ is the search space of an optimization problem, then a function : $C: \Omega \rightarrow S x \mapsto C(x)$ is called coding function.
Conversely, a function $\widetilde{C}: S \rightarrow \Omega s \mapsto \widetilde{C}(s)$ is called decoding function.
Remark 2.2.2. Moreover, the following equality is often supposed to be satisfied : Co $\widetilde{C} \equiv$ $I_{S}$.

There are many kids of individuals encoding, We introduce three popular encoding forms:

- Binary coded;
- Gray coded;
- Real coded.


### 2.2.1 Binary-coded

Binary encoding is the most common form of encoding. In this encoding, each chromosome is represented using a binary string. Each bit in the string can represent some characteristics of the solution.

Définition 2.2.3. Binary encoding represents the chromosomes by a string of bits $\{0,1\}$.
Remark 2.2.4. Holand and his students concentrated on such encodings, and GA practice has tended to follow this lead.
Precession of each element of $k$ is determined by a string of length $l_{k}$ and the desired resolution $r_{k}$. In general $r_{k}=\frac{U B-L B}{2^{k} k-1}$
where $U B$ and $L B$ specify the upper and lower limits of the rang of parameters.

Example 2.2.5. Encoding and Decoding principe

| Encoding | $\leftrightarrow$ | Decoding |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| genotype | $\leftrightarrow$ | phenotype |
| coded domain | $\leftrightarrow$ | decision domain |
| biological UGCAACCGU | $\overrightarrow{\text { expression }}$ and $\overleftarrow{\text { sequencing }}$ | "blue eye" |
| 10011110 | $\overrightarrow{\text { decodingencoding }}$ | 158 |

Remark 2.2.6. Binary encoding has problem with Hamming cliff distance. The distance between two genotypes $x_{g}$ and $y_{g}$ is defined by Hamming as $d\left(x_{g}, y_{g}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{l-1}\left|x_{g, i}-y_{g, i}\right|$, and denote the number of different alleles in the two genotypes.

### 2.2.2 Gray-coded

The Gray encoded can be constructed in two step:

1) The phenotype is encoded using binary encoding,
2) Subsequently the binary encoded string can be converted into the corresponding Gray encoded string.
If the binary string $x \in\{0,1\}^{l}=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots \ldots x_{l},\right\}$, then is converted to the corresponding Gray code $y \in\{0,1\}^{l}=\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots \ldots . y_{l},\right\}$ by mapping $\gamma: \mathbf{B}^{l} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}^{l}$

$$
y_{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
x_{i} & \text { if } & i=1 \\
x_{i-1} \oplus x_{i} & \text { other } & \text { wise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Where $\oplus$ denotes addition modulo 2 . The decoding of Gray encoded string is as follow : $x_{i}=\oplus_{i=1}^{l} x_{i-1}$, for $i \in\{2, \ldots, l\}$.
A Gray encoded string has the same length $l$ as a binary encoded string, and the encoding is redundancies-free.

Example 2.2.7. An example for using binary and Gray encoding :

| $x_{g}$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| binary | 000 | 001 | 010 | 011 | 100 | 101 | 110 | 111 |
| Gray | 000 | 001 | 011 | 010 | 110 | 111 | 101 | 100 |

### 2.2.3 Real-coded RCGA

In the RCGA a chromosome is coded as a finite length string of the real numbers corresponding to the design variable. We develop this section in the fourth chapter.

### 2.3 Genetic algorithms process

Graph of the GAs schematic process.


### 2.3.1 Initialization

Initially, many individuals solutions are randomly generated to form an initial population. The population size depends on the mature of the problem, but typically contain serval hundred or thousands of possible solutions. Traditionally, the population is generated randomly, covering the entire range of possible solutions ( space $\Omega$ ). Occasionally, the solution may be seeded in an area where optimal solutions are likely to be found.

Example 2.3.1. Generate random initial population contained between lower and upper bounds in solutions space, for example, $I=[0,14]$ and the probabilities for having the gene 0 or 1 is $p=0.5$
We generate six individuals(chromosomes) with four genes:

| Random numbers | Binary coded | Random numbers | Binary coded |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.263 | 0 | 0.509 | 1 |
| 0.684 | 1 | 0.648 | 1 |
| 0.489 | 0 | 0.656 | 1 |
| 0.010 | 0 | 0.123 | 0 |
| 0.351 | 0 | 0.745 | 1 |
| 0.779 | 1 | 0.310 | 0 |
| 0.578 | 1 | 0.407 | 0 |
| 0.292 | 0 | 0.245 | 0 |
| 0.070 | 0 | 0.876 | 1 |
| 0.331 | 0 | 0.719 | 1 |
| 0.980 | 1 | 0.426 | 0 |
| 0.672 | 1 | 0.720 | 1 |

The first generation or initial population is:

| Encoding individuals | Decoding individuals |
| :---: | :---: |
| $x_{1}=0100$ | 4 |
| $x_{2}=0110$ | 6 |
| $x_{3}=0011$ | 3 |
| $x_{4}=1110$ | 14 |
| $x_{5}=1000$ | 8 |
| $x_{6}=1101$ | 13 |

### 2.3.2 Selection

Définition 2.3.2. Selection is the process of determining the number of time, or trial, a particular individual is chosen for reproduction and, thus the number of spring an individual will produce.

Définition 2.3.3. (Fitness distribution of population) The fitness $S: R \rightarrow Z^{+}$, assigns to each fitness value $f \in R$ the number of individuals in population pop carrying this fitness value. $S$ is called the fitness distribution of population pop.

Définition 2.3.4. A selection method $G$ is a function that transform a fitness distribution $S$ into a new fitness distribution $S^{\prime}$
$S^{\prime}=G(S)$.

## Probability for Selection

The probability of choosing a certain individual is proportional to his fitness. It can be regarded as a random experiment with:

$$
P\left(x_{i}\right)=\frac{f\left(x_{i}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} f\left(x_{i}\right)}
$$

This formula makes sense if all fitness values are positive. If this not the case, a nondecreasing transformation $g: R \rightarrow R^{+}$must be applied. Then the probability can be expressed as:

$$
P\left(x_{i}\right)=\frac{g\left(f\left(x_{i}\right)\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} g\left(f\left(x_{i}\right)\right)}
$$

Remark 2.3.5. A linear transformation which offsets the objective function, such that: $F(x)=a f(x)+b$
Where $a$ is a positive scaling factor if the optimization is maximizing and negative if we are minimizing. And $b$ is used to ensure that the resulting fitness values are non-negative.

Remark 2.3.6. It possible to describe a selection method as a function transform a fitness distribution into another fitness distribution.

Définition 2.3.7. (Expected fitness distribution) $G^{*}$ denotes the expected fitness distribution after applying selection method $G$ to the fitness distribution $S$ i.e :

$$
S^{*}=G^{*}\left(S^{\prime}\right)=E(G(S))
$$

Théorème 2.3.8. The variance in obtaining the fitness distribution $S^{\prime}$ is :

$$
\sigma_{S}^{2}=S^{*}\left(1-\frac{S^{*}}{N}\right)
$$

Proof. See [11]

Remark 2.3.9. The index $S$ in $\sigma_{S}$ stand for "sampling" as it is the mean variance due to the sampling of the finite population.

## Cumulative fitness distribution

1) For discreet fitness distribution:

Définition 2.3.10. We denote $\Gamma\left(f_{i}\right)$ the cumulative fitness distribution, defined by:

$$
\Gamma\left(f_{i}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
0 & i<1  \tag{2.1}\\
\sum_{j=1}^{j=1} S\left(f_{j}\right) & 1 \leq i \leq n \\
N & i>n
\end{array}\right.
$$

Example 2.3.11. plot of cdf of discrete distribution,


Figure 2.2: Diagram cdf of discrete distribution
2) For continuous fitness distribution:

Définition 2.3.12. Let us $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{f})$ is continuous fitness distribution. We denote $\Gamma(f)$ the cumulative fitness distribution defined by:

$$
\Gamma(f)=\int_{f_{0}}^{f_{n}} S(f) d x
$$

Example 2.3.13. Gaussian distribution $F(\mu, \sigma)$ with :

$$
F(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma} \exp \left(\frac{-(x-\mu)^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}\right)
$$

Définition 2.3.14. (Average fitness) We denote $\bar{M}$, the average fitness of population before selection, and $\bar{M}^{*}$ is the expected average fitness after selection, for continuous distribution:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\bar{M}=\frac{1}{N} \int_{f_{0}}^{f_{n}} S(f) f d f  \tag{2.2}\\
\bar{M}^{*}=\frac{1}{N} \int_{f_{0}}^{f_{n}} S^{*}(f) f d f
\end{array}\right.
$$



Figure 2.3: Diagram cdf of continues distribution

Définition 2.3.15. (Fitness variance) The fitness variance $\bar{\sigma}^{2}$ denotes the variance of the fitness distribution $S(f)$ before selection, and $\left(\bar{\sigma}^{*}\right)^{2}$ denotes the variance of the fitness distribution $S^{*}(f)$ after selection:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\bar{\sigma}^{2}=\frac{1}{N} \int_{f_{0}}^{f_{n}} S(f)(f-\bar{M})^{2} d f  \tag{2.3}\\
\left(\bar{\sigma}^{*}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{N} \int_{f_{0}}^{f_{n}} S^{*}(f)\left(f-\bar{M}^{*}\right)^{2} d f
\end{array}\right.
$$

Définition 2.3.16. (Reproduction rate) The reproduction rate $\bar{R}(f)$ denote de ratio of the number of individuals with a certain fitness $f$ before and after selection:

$$
\bar{R}(f)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{S^{*}(f)}{S(f)} & S(f)>0  \tag{2.4}\\
0 & S(f)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Remark 2.3.17. A reasonable selection method should favor good individuals by assigning them a reproduction rate $\bar{R}(f)>1$, and punish bad individuals by a ratio $\bar{R}(f)<1$

During every selection phase, bad individuals will be lost and replaced by copies of better individuals.

Définition 2.3.18. (Loss of diversity) The loss of diversity $P_{d}$ is the proportion of individuals of a population that is not selected during the selection phase.

Théorème 2.3.19. If the reproduction rate $\bar{R}(f)$ increases monotonously in $f$, the class diversity of selection method is :

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{d}=\frac{1}{N}\left(\bar{\Gamma}\left(f_{z}\right)-\overline{\Gamma^{*}}\left(f_{z}\right)\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{z}$ denotes the fitness value, such that $\bar{R}(f)=1$
Proof. For all fitness values $f \in\left[f_{0}, f_{z}\right]$, the reproduction rate is less than one. Hence the number of individuals are not selected during selection is given by $\int_{f_{0}}^{f_{n}}\left(S-S^{*}\right) d x$. It follows that :

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{d} & =\frac{1}{N}\left(\int_{f_{0}}^{f_{z}}\left(S(x)-S^{*}(x)\right) d x\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{N}\left(\int_{f_{0}}^{f_{z}} S(x) d x-\frac{1}{N} \int_{f_{0}}^{f_{z}}\left(S^{*}(x) d x\right.\right. \\
& =\frac{1}{N}\left(\Gamma\left(f_{z}\right)-\Gamma^{*}\left(f_{z}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 2.3.20. - The loss of diversity should be as low as possible because a high loss of diversity increases the risk of premature of convergence. - Beker [1989], has introduced a similar measure called "reproduction rate $R R$ ". RR gives the percentage of individuals that is selected to reproduce, hence $R R=100\left(1-P_{d}\right)$.

Certain selection methods rate the fitness of each solution and preferentially select the best solutions. The most commonly used methods of selecting chromosomes for parents to crossover:

1. Roulette wheel selection
2. Elitism selection
3. Rank selection
4. Tournament selection
5. Truncation selection
6. Boltzmann selection

## Roulette Wheel selection RWS

RWS is the simplest selection approach. In this method, all the chromosomes (individuals) in the population, are placed on the (RWS) according to their fitness value. Each individual is assigned a segment of roulette. The size of each segment in the (RWS), is proportional to the value of the fitness of the individual. The virtual (RWS) is spined and the individual corresponding to the segment on the witch (RWS) stops are then selected. The process is repeated until the desired number of individuals is selected

Example 2.3.21. We take example of five individuals selection:


Figure 2.4: Roulette Wheel

## Elitism selection ES

The idea of elitism has been already introduced. When creating new population by crossover and mutation, we have a big chance, that we will loose the best chromosome. Elitism is the name of the method, which first copies the best chromosome (or a few best chromosomes) to a new population. The rest is done in a classical way. Elitism can very rapidly increase the performance of GA, because it prevents losing the best-found solution.

## Rank Selection

Rank selection ranks the population and every chromosome receives fitness from the ranking. Rank selection first ranks the population and then every chromosome receives fitness from this ranking. The worst will have fitness 1 , second worst 2 etc. and the best will have fitness N (number of chromosomes in population).
The previous selection will have problems when the fitness differs very much. For example, if the best chromosome fitness is 90 percent of all the roulette wheel then the other chromosomes will have very few chances to be selected.

## linear ranking selection LRS

The selection probability is assigned to the individual according to their rank:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{i}=\frac{1}{N}\left(\eta^{-}+\left(\eta^{+}-\eta^{-}\right) \frac{i-1}{N-1}\right), i \in\{1,2, \ldots \ldots N\} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\frac{\eta^{-}}{N}$ is the probability of the worst individual to be selected, and $\frac{\eta^{+}}{N}$ is the probability of the best individual to be selected.

Remark 2.3.22. As the population size is held constant, the condition $\eta^{+}=2-\eta^{-}$and $\eta^{-} \geq 0$ must be full filled. Not that all individuals get a different rank, i.e a different selection probability, even if the individuals have the same fitness value.

## Exponential ranking selection ERS

Exponential ranking selection probability assigned to the individual is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{i}=\frac{C^{N-i}}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} C^{N-j}}, i \in\{1,2, \ldots . . N\} . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $0<C<1$. The sum $\sum_{j=1}^{N} C^{N-j}$ normalizes the probability to ensure that $\sum_{j=1}^{N} P_{i}=1$.
As $\sum_{j=1}^{N} C^{N-j}=\frac{C^{N}-1}{C-1}$, we can rewrite the above equation by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{i}=\frac{(C-1) C^{N-i}}{C^{N}-1}, i \in\{1,2, \ldots . . N\} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 2.3.23. You can see in the following picture, how the situation changes after changing fitness to order number.

Remark 2.3.24. After this, all the chromosomes have a chance to be selected. But this method can lead to slower convergence because the best chromosomes do not differ so much from other ones.

(a). Situation before ranking

(b). Situation after ranking


Figure 2.5: Rank Selection


#### Abstract




the $[5,100]$. The final slate is reached when computation approaches zero value of $T$ i,e, the global solution is achieved at this point.

Remark 2.3.26. The selection operator is intended to improve the average quality of the population by giving individuals of higher quality a higher $t$ to be copied into next generation.

### 2.3.3 Crossover

Crossover is a genetic operator that two chromosomes parents produce a new chromosomes children. Then the new chromosomes may be better than both of the parents. After this operation, the population enriched with better(off string) individuals and the evolution process may be continued.
The pairs of individuals selected undergoing crossover with probability $P_{c}$. A random number $R_{c}$ is generated in the rang $0-1$, and the individuals under crossover if and only if $R_{c} \leq P_{c}$. Other wise the pair proceed without crossover. Typical value of $P_{c}$ are 0.4 to 0.9. Without a crossover, the average fitness of population $f_{\text {ave }}$, will climb until it equals the fitness of the best member, $f_{\max }$. After this point, it can only improve via mutation.

Remark 2.3.27. If $P_{c}=0.5$ then half the new population will be formed by selection and crossover, and half by selection alone.

Many different crossover algorithms have been devised:

- One-point crossover
- Two-point crossover
- Cut and splice
- Uniform and half-uniform crossover
- Arithmetic crossover
- Heuristic crossover


## Single-point crossover SPC

Single- point crossover proceeds by cutting the pair of selected strings at a random locus(picked by throwing a random number, $R_{L}$, between 1 and $L-1$, where $L$ is number of genes) and swapping the tails to create two child string.

Example 2.3.28. For $R_{L}=4 L=12$ and then

## Two-point crossover TPC

This operator randomly selects two crossover points within a chromosome then, interchanges the tow parents chromosomes between there pints to produce two new off spring.

Example 2.3.29. For $R_{L}=4$, then


Figure 2.6: Crossover in one point


Figure 2.7: Crossover in two points

### 2.3.4 Cut and splice crossover

Like one-point crossover, except each parent has a different cut point. Can result in variable length children.

Example 2.3.30. Different cut point crossover:


Figure 2.8: Cut and splice crossover

## Uniform crossover and half Uniform crossover

Single and multi-points crossover define cross points as places between loci where a chromosome can be split. Uniform crossover generalizes this scheme to make every locus a potential crossover point.

- The individual genes are compared between two parents.
- The gene value are swapped with a fixed probability typically 0.5
- Half uniform crossover scheme is exactly of the non-matching genes are swapped.

Example 2.3.31. Consider the following two parents crossover made and resulting offspring :


Figure 2.9: Uniform crossover

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{1}=000010000 \\
& P_{2}=110100001 \\
& C_{1}=010000000 \\
& C_{2}=100110001
\end{aligned}
$$

Here the first child1 is produced by taking bit from $P_{1}$ if the corresponding mask bit is 1 or the bit from $P_{2}$ if the corresponding mask bit is 0 .
Offspring child is created using the inverse of mask, or equivalently, swapping $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$.

### 2.3.5 Heuristic crossover HC

This crossover operator uses the fitness values of the two parents chromosomes to determine the direction of the search. The offspring are created according to the equation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { offspring } 1=\text { bestparent }+r(\text { bestparent }- \text { worstparent }) \\
& \text { offspring } 2=\text { bestparent }
\end{aligned}
$$

Where $r$ is a random number between 0 and 1 .
Remark 2.3.32. It possible that offspring1 will not be feasible. It happens if $r$ is chosen such that one, or more of its genes fall outside of the allowable upper or lower bounds. For this reason, the heuristic crossover has a user defined parameter $n$ for the number of time to try and $r$ that in a feasible chromosome. If a feasible chromosome is not produced after $n$ tries, the worst parent is returned as offspring1.

### 2.3.6 Mutation

In the natural world, several processes can case mutation, the simplest being an error during replication. After crossover, the strings are subject to mutation.
Mutation plays the role of recovering the lost genetic materials as well as for randomly distribute genetic information. And introduce the new genetic structures in the population by randomly modifying the genes of chromosomes selected with a mutation probability $P_{m}$

Example 2.3.33. An example of a mutation in the binary string:

| 1 | , | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | , | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Before mutation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |

Figure 2.10: Mutation example

### 2.3.7 Types of Mutation

There are many different forms of mutation for different kinds of representation, in this chapter, we introduce various types of mutation operators in the binary string:

### 2.3.8 Insertion mutation

It is used in permutation encoding. First of all, pick two alleles values at random. Then move the second allele to follow the first shifting the rest along to accommodate.

Example 2.3.34. In insertion mutation we show 5 follow 2:


Figure 2.11: Insertion mutation

### 2.3.9 Inversion mutation IM

Inverse mutation is used for chromosomes with permutation encoding. In order to perform inversion, pick two alleles at random and then invert the substring between them. It preserves most adjacency information and only breaks two links but it lead to the discerption and only breaks order information.

Example 2.3.35. Inversion mutation plot for offspring:


Figure 2.12: Inversion mutation

### 2.3.10 Scramble mutation $\operatorname{ScM}$

Is also used with permutation encoded chromosome. In this mutation one has to pick a subset of genes at random and then randomly rearrange the alleles in those positions. Subset does not have to be contiguous.

Example 2.3.36. Scramble mutation plot for offspring:


Figure 2.13: Scramble mutation

### 2.3.11 Swap mutation SwM

Is also used with permutation encoded chromosome. To perform swap, mutation select two alleles at random and swap their positions. It preserves most of the adjacency information but links broken disrupts order more.

Example 2.3.37. In swap mutation, we show that exchange between 2 and 6:


Figure 2.14: Swap mutation

### 2.3.12 Flip mutation FlM

Based on a generated mutation chromosome flipping of bit involve changing 0 to 1 and 1 to 0 . A parent is considered and a mutation chromosome is randomly generated. It is commonly used in binary encoding.

Example 2.3.38. the principe of this mutation is popular in this example changing 1 to 0 :


Figure 2.15: Bit flip mutation

### 2.4 Example of application

In this example we search the minimum of function $\mathrm{f}, f(x)=14 x-x^{2}$ in solutions space for example $I=[0,14]$
The first we generate a random initial population of 6 individuals with 4 bits contained between lower and upper bounds of $I$. The probabilities for have the gene 0 or 1 is $p=0.5$ and probability density function ( $p d f$ ) diagram And cumulative density function ( $c d f$ ) diagram is:

(a).probability of bits

| Random numbers | Binary coded | Random numbers | Binary coded |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.963 | 1 | 0.509 | 1 |
| 0.384 | 0 | 0.148 | 0 |
| 0.489 | 0 | 0.656 | 1 |
| 0.010 | 0 | 0.123 | 0 |
| 0.351 | 0 | 0.745 | 1 |
| 0.279 | 0 | 0.310 | 0 |
| 0.778 | 1 | 0.407 | 0 |
| 0.292 | 0 | 0.845 | 1 |
| 0.570 | 1 | 0.876 | 1 |
| 0.331 | 0 | 0.719 | 1 |
| 0.980 | 1 | 0.426 | 0 |
| 0.672 | 1 | 0.720 | 1 |

Then the first generation or initial population is:

| Encoding individuals | Decoding individuals |
| :---: | :---: |
| $x_{1}=1000$ | 8 |
| $x_{2}=0010$ | 2 |
| $x_{3}=1011$ | 11 |
| $x_{4}=1010$ | 10 |
| $x_{5}=1001$ | 9 |
| $x_{6}=1101$ | 13 |

The fitness function evaluation :

| Encoding individuals | Decoding individuals | Fitness values | proportional | probability |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x_{1}=1000$ | 8 | 48 | $\frac{48}{203}$ | 0.24 |
| $x_{2}=0010$ | 2 | 24 | $\frac{24}{203}$ | 0.12 |
| $x_{3}=1011$ | 11 | 33 | $\frac{33}{203}$ | 0.16 |
| $x_{4}=1010$ | 10 | 40 | $\frac{40}{203}$ | 0.20 |
| $x_{5}=1001$ | 9 | 45 | $\frac{45}{203}$ | 0.22 |
| $x_{6}=1101$ | 13 | 13 | $\frac{13}{203}$ | 0.06 |

Roulette wool selection, it can be:


Figure 2.16: Roulettewoolforexampple

| individuals | Lower bound | Upper bound |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x_{1}$ | 0 | 0.24 |
| $x_{2}$ | 0.24 | 0.36 |
| $x_{3}$ | 0.36 | 0.52 |
| $x_{4}$ | 0.52 | 0.72 |
| $x_{5}$ | 0.72 | 0.94 |
| $x_{6}$ | 0.94 | 1 |

The probability for crossover is $p_{c}=0.70$ and the diagram of $d c f$ is:
Selection of candidates parents for crossover:

$$
\begin{aligned}
0.153 & \rightarrow x_{1} \\
0.26 & \rightarrow x_{2} \\
0.647 & \rightarrow x_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 2.17: Diagram of parents


Figure 2.18: crossover point probability

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0.037 \rightarrow x_{1} \\
& 0.341 \rightarrow x_{2} \\
& 0.512 \rightarrow x_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

we take three random number :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0.995 \rightarrow x_{1}, x_{2} \text { no crossover } \\
& 0.071 \rightarrow x_{4}, x_{1} \text { yes crossover } \\
& 0.566 \rightarrow x_{2}, x_{3} \text { yes crossover }
\end{aligned}
$$

Crossover operation:
We take a random number for determinate the point of crossover; thus the number is 0.62 , then the point of crossover is B :

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{1}^{\prime} & =1000 \\
x_{2}^{\prime} & =0010 \\
x_{4}^{\prime} & =1010 \\
x_{1}^{\prime} & =1000 \\
x_{2}^{\prime} & =1010 \\
x_{3}^{\prime} & =0011
\end{aligned}
$$

New generation:

| individuals | Binary string | decoded string |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x_{1}$ | 1000 | 8 |
| $x_{2}$ | 0010 | 2 |
| $x_{3}$ | 1010 | 10 |
| $x_{4}$ | 1000 | 8 |
| $x_{5}$ | 1010 | 10 |
| $x_{6}$ | 0011 | 3 |

## Mutation:

The probability of mutation is $p_{m}=0.001$ We generate 6 number randomly to show ho


Figure 2.19: mutation probability
chromosome is under mutation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0.225 \\
& 0.368 \\
& 0.256 \\
& 0.237 \\
& 0.416 \\
& 0.088
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the chromosome under mutation is $x_{6}$ by change 0 by 1 , but a ho bit or gene to change in $x_{6}$. For this, we generate a number randomly: such this number is 0.538 , then the bit is B , then:

| individuals | Binary string | decoded string |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x_{6}$ before mutation | 0011 | 3 |
| $x_{6}$ after mutaion | 0111 | 7 |

If we have not the optimal solution, we start again the process with a new generation.

## Chapter 3

## Modeling linear Time Series with Binary Genetic Algorithm BCGA

In this chapter, we will applique the BCGA on linear time series models $A R(p), M A(q)$ and $\operatorname{ARIMA}(p, d ; q)$ in application study, by minimizing the mean $M S E$ square errors and Akaike information criteria $A I C$.

### 3.1 BCGA on Autoregressive models AR(P)

In the first step, we begin by application the BCGA to give $A R(P)$ model for Derive oil production time series in Algeria(1980 to 2011):
1)Visualize the Time Series


Figure 3.1: PRODUCTION DERIVE ENERGY FOR ALGERIA 1980 TO 2011
2) Stationarity the Series


Figure 3.2: acf and pacf of derive oil production time series

## Test de stationarity

Augmented Dickey-Fuller Test
data: product oil ts
Dickey-Fuller $=-3.6693$, Lag order $=1, p$-value $=0.0431$
alternative hypothesis: stationary
It's clear the Augmented Dickey-Fuller Test p-value $=0.0431$ less then 0.05 , indicate that time series is stationary.

## 3)Find Optimal Parameters of ARIMA Model with Box-Jenkins

The acf or pacf of this data indicated that one of $A R(1)$ or $A R(2)$, is the model of this time series. We check which one is optimal. arima( $\mathrm{x}=$ product oil ts, order $=\mathrm{c}(1,0,0)$, include.mean $=$ TRUE)
Coefficients:
sigma $^{2}$ estimated as 9501117: $\log$ likelihood $=-304.18$, aic $=614.35$
Table 3.1: parameters estimation

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\text { ar1 } & \text { intercept } \\
0.9832 & 41176.46 \\
\text { s.e. } 0.0218 & 15176.63
\end{array}
$$

$\operatorname{arima}(\mathrm{x}=$ product oil ts, order $=\mathrm{c}(2,0,0)$, include.mean $=$ TRUE $)$ Coefficients:
sigma $^{2}$ estimated as 8975099: $\log$ likelihood $=-303.29$, aic $=614.58$.

Table 3.2: parameters estimation

| ar1 | ar2 | intercept |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 1.2241 | -0.2468 | 41553.61 |
| s.e. 0.1784 | 0.1826 | 13761.45 |

Table 3.3: Box and Jenkins methods

| MODEL | MSE | AIC | BAIC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MOD1: AR(1) | 47604.08 | 614.35 | 618.7512 |
| MOD2: $\operatorname{AR}(2)$ | 47879.35 | 614.58 | 620.4407 |

This result on two tables show us the first model $A R(1)$ is better then $A R(2)$ because all statistics MSE, AIC and BIC of $A R(1)$ are less then of $A R(2)$.
Now we diagnostic the residuals of $\operatorname{ARIMA}(1,0,0)$
4)Residuals diagnostic


Figure 3.3: Residuals diagnostic
Box-Ljung test
data: arma product oil residuals
$X-$ squared $=6.4793, d f=15, p-$ value $=0.9705$
ThBox-Ljung test
data: arma product oil residuals
$X-$ squared $=6.4793, d f=15, p-$ value $=0.9705$
Then after this diagnostic, we can confirm that $\operatorname{ARIMA}(1,0,0)$ is fit model for data with Box-Jenkins

## BCGA application

We applique BCGA for modeling the time series by choosing the fitness functions the AIC to optimize it. And follow all BCGA steps.

## Selection

For example when we applique the binary genetic algorithms, on individuals in form $\left(\beta_{i}, c\right) \in[-1,1]^{2}$, and use roulette wheel selection then:

Table 3.4: Fitness values of individuals

| individuals | binary strings | $f\left(\beta_{i}\right)$ | $F\left(\beta_{i}\right)$ | $F\left(\beta_{i}\right) * 100$ | rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\beta_{1}=0.9140625, c=0.7890625$ | $(0.1110101,01100101)$ | $3.2264 e^{+5}$ | 0.0028150 | 0.28150 | 4 |
| $\beta_{2}=0.6796875, c=0.4453125$ | $(0.1010111,00111001)$ | $9.2731 e+006$ | 0.0809074 | 8.09074 | 3 |
| $\beta_{3}=0.171875, c=0.6953125$ | $(0.0010110,01011001)$ | $7.0765 e+007$ | 0.6174216 | 61.74216 | 1 |
| $\beta_{4}=0.4140625, c=0.2890625$ | $(0.0110101,00100101)$ | $3.4253 e+007$ | 0.2988560 | 29.88560 | 2 |



Figure 3.4: roulette wheel selection

## Crossover

The crossover operator choused in this example is a single point Crossover with probability $P_{C}=0.80$.


Figure 3.5: Single point Crossover

## Mutation

The mutation operator used is the flip mutation, where the algorithm replaces each selected entry by a random number selected uniformly from the range for that entry. In binary-coded, any bit $a \in\{0,1\}$, is replace within probability operator $p_{m}$ by his complementary $\bar{a}=1-a$ see 3.6 .


Figure 3.6: Binary Mutation

### 3.1.1 Iterative process

With a program in Matlab software for modeling our data 3.1, we have the follow result:


Figure 3.7: Comparison between for $A R(1)$ and $A R(2)$

Table 3.5: BCGAs method

| MODEL | MSE | AIC | BIC | parameters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MOD3: $\operatorname{AR}(1)$ | 1.71819 | 20.77943 | 20.77943 | $(-0.27916,0.96782)$ |
| MOD4: $\operatorname{AR}(2)$ | $4.99692 e-10$ | $-6.36511 e+02$ | $-6.29307 e+02$ | $(0.53855,0.95561,0.01280)$ |

Table 3.6: comparison between genetic algorithms and Box-jenkins models

| Method | Model | MSE | AIC | BIC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Box-jenkins | MOD1: | 47604.08 | 614.35 | 618.7512 |
| genetic algorithms | MOD4: | $4.99692 e-10$ | $-6.36511 e+02$ | $-6.29307 e+02$ |



Figure 3.8: Comparison between BCGA model and Box-Jenkins model

It's clear that the model MOD4 introduced by genetic algorithms has a fewer statistics $M S E, A I C$ and BIC, then of the model proposed by Box-Jenkins method. So we can conclude that the genetic algorithms method introduce the best model for this time series and improve the forecast.

### 3.2 BCGA on Autoregressive models MA(q)

In this example, we show the application of Box-Jenkins and BBGAs methodologies for giving $M A(p)$ model for euro hourly index data in Europe in 09/01/2017 see (www.investing.com):

## First part Box-Jenkins application:

1) Visualize the Time Series in training faze


Figure 3.9: Hourly euro Index

## 2) Stationarity the Series



Figure 3.10: acf and pacf of time series
Augmented Dickey-Fuller Test
data: euro index ts
Dickey-Fuller $=-2.6335$, Lag order $=15, p$-value $=0.3092$
alternative hypothesis: stationary
That is clear the time series non stationery, then we need differentiate data for have stationary:


Figure 3.11: diff euro index time series plot


Figure 3.12: acf and pacf of differentiate time series
Augmented Dickey-Fuller Test
data: diff euro index ts
Dickey-Fuller $=-5.9704$, Lag order $=15, \mathrm{p}$-value $=0.01$
alternative hypothesis: stationary
That is clear that differentiate data is stationery and the third spike is significate in ACF, but PACF is Tails off. Then we can say the model of difference data has an MA(3).

## 3) Find Optimal Parameters of Model

With auto.arima command in R , the better model proposed with less $A I C$ and $B I C$ is $\operatorname{ARIMA}(0,0,3)$ model, indeed:
$\operatorname{arima}(\mathrm{x}=$ diff euro index ts, order $=\mathrm{c}(0,0,3)$, include.mean $=$ TRUE $)$
Coefficients:
sigma ${ }^{2}$ estimated as 0.003966: loglikelihood $=386.67$, aic $=-765.35, B I C=-745.0304$,

Table 3.7: parameters estimation

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
\text { ma1 } & \text { ma2 } & \text { ma3 } & \text { intercept } \\
-0.0172 & -0.0209 & -0.6933 & -8 \mathrm{e}-04 \\
\text { s.e. } 0.0432 & 0.0454 & 0.0429 & 1 \mathrm{e}-03
\end{array}
$$

$M S E=-0.0009486277$
4) Residuals diagnostic

Box-Ljung test
data: diff euro index residuals
X -squared $=1.1434, \mathrm{df}=15, \mathrm{p}$-value $=1$
Jarque Bera Test
data: euro index residuals
X-squared $=260750, \mathrm{df}=15, \mathrm{p}$-value $<2.2 \mathrm{e}-16$


Figure 3.13: Residuals diagnostic


Figure 3.14: qqnorm of residuals model

## Second part: BBGAs application:

Calling now GAs for giving the model for our data. This method is described in the following steps:

1. Calculate the mean of observations $X_{t}$, i, $\bar{X}_{t}$
2. Calculate the errors $\epsilon_{t}$ by taking difference $X_{t}-\bar{X}_{t}$
3. Centering errors $\epsilon_{t}$ i.e calculate :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{t}^{\prime}=\epsilon_{t}-\bar{\epsilon}_{t}, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{\epsilon}_{t}$ is the mean of $\epsilon_{t}$

## Find Optimal Parameters of Model

Now we estimate moving average models parameters for lag 3 by BCGA, proposed by the first result of box-Jenkins technic. And we take a comparison between them.
When we follow all steps of BCGA in the same of the first application in section (1), by
estimate $M A(3)$ parameters with optimized the function $A I C$ and calculate all statistics. So, we have the following result:

Table 3.8: Comparison between BCGAs model and Box-Jenkins model

| MODEL | MSE | AIC | BIC | parameters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Box-Jenkins <br> model <br> MA(3) | -765.35 | -745.0304 | -0.00095 | $(-0.0172,-0.0209,-0.6933,-8 \mathrm{e}-04)$ |
| BCGA <br> model <br> MA(3) | $3.48301 \mathrm{e}-15$ | $-9.48190 \mathrm{e}+03$ | $-9.46794 \mathrm{e}+03$ | $(-0,00066,-0.39821,0.78180,-0.39924)$ |



Figure 3.15: Comparison between Box-Jenkins and BCGA models

Comparing between all indicators on table 3.8 for two models, and show on the figure 3.15, we conclude that the model introduces by BCGA method is better then the model introduce by Box-Jenkins method.

### 3.3 BCGA on Seasonal Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average models $\operatorname{SARIMA}(p, d, q)$, application study

This study was introduced in the article published on «international journal of statistics and economics», Vol. 18 Issue. $\mathrm{N}^{\circ} 1$ (Année 2017).pages 1-15.

## Chapter 4

## Real Genetic Algorithms RCGA and comparison study with BCGA models

### 4.1 Introduction

For continuous optimization problems, real numbers representation is a natural way represent, solutions no difference between genotypes and phenotypes. A chromosome is a vector of floating point numbers and each gene represents a variable of the problem $x \in R^{n}$. The use of real coding RCGA initially appears on the specific application, such as in (Laisias and Al.,1989) for chemistries problems, and in (Davis,1989) for the use meta operator in order to find an adequate parameters for standard GA. The main difference in implementation of RCGA and BCGA is their recombination operators( crossover and mutation operators).

### 4.2 Crossover

They have many crossover operators proposed for this type encoding:

### 4.2.1 Whole arithmetic crossover method AMXO

In Arithmetic crossover, two parents produce two offspring:
If $c^{k}=\left(c_{1}^{k}, c_{2}^{k}, \ldots ., c_{n}^{k}\right)$ for $k=1,2$. are the parents, then the children are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c h_{i}^{1}=\lambda c_{k}^{1}+(1-\lambda) c_{k}^{2}, \\
& c h_{i}^{2}=\lambda c_{k}^{2}+(1-\lambda) c_{k}^{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Where $\lambda$ is a proper fraction which can be chosen randomly.
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Remark 4.2.1. - Alternatively, the proper fraction $\lambda$ can be chosen in the following way:

$$
\lambda=\frac{f_{\max }}{f_{\max }+f_{\min }}
$$

Where $f_{\max }$ denotes the max of fitness value of chromosomes and $f_{\text {min }}$ denotes the min of fitness value of chromosomes.

- If $\lambda$ is constant, then the crossover is called uniform arithmetical crossover. Otherwise, it is known as non-uniform arithmetic crossover.


### 4.2.2 Local arithmetical crossover LAC

the same as whole arithmetical crossover, except that the value of $\lambda$ is randomly selected for each gene location.

### 4.2.3 linear crossover LC

If the parents are: $c h^{k}=\left(c_{1}^{k}, c_{2}^{k}, \ldots ., c_{n}^{k}\right)$ for $k=1,2,3$. then the children are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
c h_{i}^{1} & =\frac{1}{2} c_{i}^{1}+\frac{1}{2} c_{i}^{2}, \\
c h_{i}^{2} & =\frac{3}{2} c_{i}^{2}+-\frac{1}{2} c_{i}^{1}, \\
c h_{i}^{3} & =-\frac{1}{2} c_{i}^{1}+\frac{3}{2} c_{i}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

An offspring selection mechanism is applied, which chooses the two parents in the population.

### 4.2.4 Extended line crossover ELC

In extended line crossover, one offspring is generated from two parents. The offspring is given by:

$$
c h_{i}=\lambda c_{i}^{1}+\lambda\left(c_{i}^{2}-c_{i}^{1}\right) .
$$

where $\lambda$ is randomly chosen,( uniformly $\lambda$ in $[-0.25,1.25])$

### 4.2.5 linear BGA crossover (Schlierkamp-Veosen 1994)

Under same consideration as above :

$$
c h_{i}=\lambda c_{i}^{1}+r_{i} \gamma \Lambda
$$

Where $\Lambda=\frac{\left(c_{i}^{2}-c_{i}^{1}\right)}{\left\|\left(c_{1}-c_{2}\right)\right\|}$ the sign is chosen with a probability of 0.9 . Usually $r_{i}$ is $0.5\left(U B_{i}-\right.$ $L B_{i}$ ) and

$$
\gamma=\Sigma_{k=0}^{15} \alpha_{k} 2^{-k}
$$

where $\alpha_{k} \in\{0,1\}$ is randomly generated with $P(\alpha=1)=\frac{1}{16}$. And LB is lower bound and UB is upper bound.

### 4.2.6 Flat crossover FC

An offspring $c h=\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots ., c_{n}\right)$ is generated where $c_{i}$ is randomly (uniformly) chosen value from the set $\left[c_{i}^{1}, c_{i}^{2}\right]$.

### 4.2.7 Blend Crossover $B L X-\alpha$

The $B L X-\alpha$ crossover operator, where $\alpha$ is positive, mates two parents to produce one offspring. An offspring is the following:
ch $=\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots ., c_{n}\right)$, where $c_{i}$ is a randomly (uniformly) chosen number of interval $\left[a_{i}-d_{i} \alpha, b_{i}+d_{i} \alpha\right]$, where $a_{i}=\min \left(c_{i}^{1}, c_{i}^{2}\right), b_{i}=\max \left(c_{i}^{1}, c_{i}^{2}\right)$ and $d_{i}=\left|c_{i}^{1}, c_{i}^{2}\right|$.

Remark 4.2.2. The $B L X-0.0$ crossover is equal Flat crossover.
For the value of K, Eshelman and Schafer have used $\alpha=0.5$.

### 4.2.8 $P B X-\alpha$ crossover

In $P B X-\alpha$, two parents produce one offspring. The offspring is given by equation $c h=\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots ., c_{n}\right)$. The difference compared to $B L X-\alpha$ is that $c_{i}$ is randomly chosen number from the $\left[l_{i}, u_{i}\right]$ interval. The libris $l_{i}$ and $u_{i}$ are given by:

$$
l_{i}=\max \left(L B_{i}, c_{i}^{1}-I_{i} \alpha\right), u_{i}=\min \left(U B_{i}+I_{i} \alpha\right) \text { and } I_{i}=\left|c_{i}^{1}-c_{i}^{2}\right|
$$

### 4.2.9 Heuristic crossover operator (HX)

The HX has been applied to solve nonlinear constraint optimization problem and as well as un constrained optimization problems having various levels of difficulty. The offspring is generated in the following manner :

$$
c h_{i}=\alpha\left(c_{i}^{2}-c_{i}^{1}\right)+c_{i}^{2}
$$

where $\alpha$ is a uniformly distributed randomly number in interval $[0,1]$.
It should be noticed that the parent $c^{2}$ has fitness value not worse than that of the parent $c^{1}$. If the offspring lees outside the feasible region, a new random number is generated to produce another offspring using:

$$
c h_{i}^{2}=\left(c_{i}^{2}+\beta\left(c_{i}^{1}\right)-c_{i}^{2}\right)
$$

where $\beta$ is number, witch is generated using the laplace distribution function. The process is repeated up to $k$ times.

### 4.2.10 Parent-centric crossover PCCO

The PCCO create the offspring in the neighborhood of the female parent using a probability distribution. The male parent is considered to define the rang of probability distribution.

### 4.2.11 laplace crossover operator LX

A new crossover operator which uses Laplace distribution was introduced. This parent centric crossover operator has been named as Laplace crossover LX. The density function of Laplace distribution is:

$$
f(x)=\frac{1}{2 b} \exp \left(-\frac{|x-a|}{b}\right),-\infty<x<+\infty ;
$$

the corresponding distribution function is as follow:

$$
F(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\frac{1}{2} \exp \left(\frac{|x-a|}{b}\right) & x \leq a ; \\
1-\frac{1}{2} \exp \left(-\frac{|x-a|}{b}\right) & x>a ;
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $a \in R$, and $b>0$.
the $\alpha$ is a random number in $[0,1]$ is generated.
And a random $\beta$ is generated which follows the Laplace distribution by simply inverting the distribution function of Laplace distribution as follows:

$$
\beta= \begin{cases}a-b \ln (\alpha) & \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ a+b \ln (\alpha) & \alpha>\frac{1}{2}\end{cases}
$$

The offspring are giving by the equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c h_{i}^{1}=c_{i}^{1}+\beta\left|c_{i}^{1}-c_{i}^{2}\right| \\
& c h_{i}^{2}=c_{i}^{2}+\beta\left|c_{i}^{1}-c_{i}^{2}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Both offspring symmetrically with respect to position of the parents.

### 4.2.12 Multiple crossover MX

A multi-crossover MX formula with more than two chromosomes was proposed. It was assumed that three chromosome $c^{1}, c^{2}$ and $c^{3}$ are selected from the population randomly. let $\alpha$ is a random number selected from [0,1], if $\alpha \geq P_{c}$, then no crossover operation is performed. If $\alpha<P_{c}$, then multiple crossover formulas are the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
c h_{i}^{1} & =\left(c_{i}^{1}+r\left(2 c_{i}^{1}\right)-c_{i}^{2}-c_{i}^{3}\right) \\
c h_{i}^{2} & =\left(c_{i}^{2}+r\left(2 c_{i}^{1}\right)-c_{i}^{2}-c_{i}^{3}\right) . \\
c h_{i}^{3} & =\left(c_{i}^{3}+r\left(2 c_{i}^{1}\right)-c_{i}^{2}-c_{i}^{3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

where $r \in[0,1]$ is a random value determining the crossover grade.

### 4.3 Mutation operators in real coded genetic algorithms RCGA

Let us suppose $c=\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots . ., c_{n}\right)$ a chromosome and $c_{i} \in\left[L B_{i}, U B_{i}\right]$, a gene to be muted. Next the gene $c_{i}^{\prime}$ resulting from the application of different mutation operators is shown. Mutation is regulated with the mutation probability $P_{m}$. Let us introduce a various of mutation operators used in RCGA.

### 4.3.1 Power mutation operator PM

The mutation operators is based on power distribution :

$$
f(x)=p x^{p}, 0 \leq x \leq 1
$$

The corresponding density function is as follows:

$$
F(x)=x^{p}, 0 \leq x \leq 1
$$

where $p$ is the index of distribution. This mutation is used to create a solution $c^{\prime}$ in the vicinity of a parent solution $c$. A uniform random number $t$ between 0 and 1 created, and $s$ created which follow the mentioned distribution:

$$
c^{\prime}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
c+s(c-L B) & \text { if } \quad t<\alpha \\
c+s(U B-c) & \text { if } \quad t \geq \alpha
\end{array}\right.
$$

Where $t=\frac{c-L B_{i}}{U B_{i}-L B_{i}}, l$ and $u$ are lower and upper bound and $\alpha$ is a uniformly distributed random between [0.1]. The probability of producing a mutation solution $c^{\prime}$ on left(right) side of $c$ is proportional to distance of $c$ from $[L B, U B]$ and the muted solution is always feasible.

### 4.3.2 Boundary mutation BM

The boundary mutation is a variation of the uniform mutation. The difference is that a selected element of chromosome is replaced by the lower or upper boundary of the feasible area.

### 4.3.3 Random mutation RM

The $c_{i}$ is a random (uniform) number from domain $\left[L B_{i}, U B_{i}\right]$.

### 4.3.4 Makinen-Periaux and Toivanen mutation

It has been applied to solve some multidisciplinary shape optimization problem in aerodynamics and electromagnetic as well as a large set of constrained optimization problem (Deep and Thakar 2007).
Let us $\alpha \in[0.1]$; Then the mutation point $c^{\prime}$ is :

$$
c^{\prime}=(1-\widehat{t}) l_{i}+\widehat{t} u_{i}
$$

where

$$
\widehat{t}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
t-t\left(\frac{t-r}{t}\right)^{b} & \text { if } r<t \\
t & \text { if } \quad r=t \\
t+(1-t)\left(\frac{r-t b}{1-t}\right) & \text { if } \quad r>t
\end{array}\right.
$$

$t=\frac{c-L B_{i}}{U B_{i}-c}$.
Remark 4.3.1. This operator does not decrease as the generation in creases.

### 4.3.5 Mühlen Bein's mutation

The mutated element is given by:

$$
c_{i}^{\prime}=\left(c_{i} \mp r_{i} \gamma\right) .
$$

Where $r_{i}$ defines the mutation rang and it is normally set to $0.1\left(U B_{i}-L B_{i}\right)$. The + or sign is chosen with a probability of 0.5 and :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=\Sigma_{k=0}^{15} \alpha_{k} 2^{-k}, \alpha_{k} \in[0,1] . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Is randomly generated with $P\left(\alpha_{i}=\frac{1}{16}\right)$, value in the interval $\left[C_{i}-r_{i}, C_{i}+r_{i}\right]$, are generated using this operator, with probability of generating a neighborhood of $C_{i}$ being very high. The minimum possible proximity is produced with precision of $r_{i} 2^{-15}$.

### 4.3.6 Discrete mutation (DX)

For DX, we choose $\gamma$, where:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=\Sigma_{k=0}^{\pi} \alpha_{k} B_{m}^{k}, \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi=\frac{\log \left(r_{\text {min }}\right)}{\log \left(B_{m}\right)}, B_{m}>1, \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a parameter called the base of the mutation and $r_{\min }$ is the lower limit of the relative mutation rang.

### 4.3.7 Continuous mutation CX

The same of DX but:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=\Sigma_{k=0}^{\pi} \alpha_{k} \phi\left(B_{m}^{k}\right), \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\phi\left(z_{k}\right)$, being a triangular probability distribution, with $\frac{B_{m}^{k}-B_{m}^{k-1}}{2} \leq z_{k} \leq \frac{B_{m}^{k+1}-B_{m}^{k}}{2}$.

### 4.3.8 Creep mutation

In creep mutation a random gene is selected and its value changed with a random value between lower and upper bound.

### 4.3.9 Uniform mutation UM

The mutation operator changes the value of chosen gene with uniform random value selected between the user specified upper and lower bound, for that gene. It used in case of integer representation.

### 4.3.10 Non-uniform mutation operator NUM

The element to be mutation at $k$ th generation is denoted by $c, c_{i} \in\left[L B_{i}, U B_{i}\right]$. As before $L B_{i}$ and $U B_{i}$ are lower and upper bounds of $c_{i}$ respectively. The mutated segment is given by:

$$
c_{i}^{\prime}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
c_{i}+\Delta\left(k, U B_{i}-c_{i}\right) & \text { if } & t=0 \\
c_{i}-\Delta\left(k, c_{i}-L B_{i}\right) & \text { if } & t=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $t$ is a random digit that takes either the value 0 or 1 . The value of the function $\Delta$ is calculated by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(k, y)=y\left(1-\alpha^{\left(1-\frac{k}{T}\right)^{b}}\right) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ is a uniformly distributed random number in interval [0.1], $T$ is the maximum number of generations, $b$ is a parameter determining the degree of non-uniformity. This operation returns a value in the rang $[0, y]$, such that the probability of returning a number close to zero increase as $k$ increases. In the initial generations non-uniform mutation tends to search the space locally.

Remark 4.3.2. This method will be more used in comparison study in next section in RCGA, for this we will be showing the convergence of this operators based on the stochastic process theory in one dimensional case, i.e., $\mathrm{n}=1$. See [91]

Définition 4.3.3. Given a vector $c=\left(c_{1}, \ldots \ldots . . . c_{i}, \ldots \ldots . . c_{m}\right)$, where m is the dimension of vectors. We call $c^{\prime}$ is its neighbor, if and only if one of its component is changed and other components remain unchanged. The neighborhood N of a vector $c$ consists of all its neighbors. That is, $\mathrm{N}=\left\{c^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right.$, is a neighbor of c$\}$.

We assume that $f(x)$ has a unique minimal value at $x^{*}$. In Fig 4.1, let $x_{0}$ be one initial solution, $x_{0}^{\prime}$ another initial solution lying between $x^{*}$ and $x_{0}, \underline{x_{0}}$ a number satisfying $f\left(\underline{x_{0}}\right)=f\left(x_{0}\right)$ and $\underline{x_{0}} \neq x_{0}$, and $\epsilon$ an arbitrary small positive number. Without loss of generality, we assume that variable $x$ lies on the right side of $x^{*}$ and $\underline{x}$ lies on the left side. Based on this method, we have


Figure 4.1: Analysis on the unimodal function

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{1}= \begin{cases}x_{0} & \text { if } \zeta=0, \text { or if } \zeta=1, \text { and } x_{0}-\Delta\left(1, x_{0}-L B\right) \leq \underline{x_{0}} \\
x_{0}-\Delta\left(1, x_{0}-L B\right) & \text { if } \zeta=1 \text { and } x_{0}-\Delta\left(1, x_{0}-L B\right)>\underline{x_{0}}\end{cases}  \tag{4.6}\\
& x_{1}^{\prime}= \begin{cases}x_{0}^{\prime} & \text { if } \zeta=0, \text { or if } \zeta=1, \text { and } x_{0}^{\prime}-\Delta\left(1, x_{0}^{\prime}-L B\right) \leq \underline{x_{0}^{\prime}} \\
x_{0}^{\prime}-\Delta\left(1, x_{0}^{\prime}-L B\right) & \text { if } \zeta=1 \text { and } x_{0}^{\prime}-\Delta\left(1, x_{0}^{\prime}-L B\right)>\underline{x_{0}^{\prime}}\end{cases} \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemme 4.3.4. Let $p_{1}=P\left\{x_{1} \notin\left(x^{*}-\epsilon, x^{*}+\epsilon\right)\right\}, p_{1}^{\prime}=P\left\{x_{1}^{\prime} \notin\left(x^{*}-\epsilon, x^{*}+\epsilon\right)\right\}$, if $x^{*}<x_{0}^{\prime}<x_{0}$, then $p_{1}^{\prime}<p_{1}$. Similarly if $x_{0}<x_{0}^{\prime}<x^{*}, p_{1}^{\prime}<p_{1}$ also hold.

Proof. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{1} & =1-P\left\{x_{1} \in\left(x^{*}-\epsilon, x^{*}+\epsilon\right)\right\} \\
& =1-P\left\{\zeta=1, x^{*}-\epsilon<x_{0}-\Delta\left(1, x_{0}-L B\right)<x^{*}+\epsilon\right\} \\
& =1-\frac{1}{2} P\left\{x^{*}-\epsilon<x_{0}-\Delta\left(1, x_{0}-L B\right)<x^{*}+\epsilon\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly $p_{1}^{\prime}=1-\frac{1}{2} P\left\{x^{*}-\epsilon<x_{0}^{\prime}-\Delta\left(1, x_{0}^{\prime}-L B\right)<x^{*}+\epsilon\right\}$.
Let $q=P\left\{x^{*}-\epsilon<x_{0}-\Delta\left(1, x_{0}-L B\right)<x^{*}+\epsilon\right\}$
and $q^{\prime}=P\left\{x^{*}-\epsilon<x_{0}^{\prime}-\Delta\left(1, x_{0}^{\prime}-L B\right)<x^{*}+\epsilon\right\}$.
Thus it is enough to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q<q^{\prime} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Eq. (4.5), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
q & =P\left\{x^{*}-\epsilon<x_{0}-\Delta\left(1, x_{0}-L B\right)<x^{*}+\epsilon\right\} \\
& =P\left\{x^{*}-\epsilon<x_{0}-\left(x_{0}-L B\right)\left(1-\alpha^{\left(1-\frac{1}{T}\right)^{b}}\right)<x^{*}+\epsilon\right\} \\
& =P\left\{\left(\frac{x^{*}-L B-\epsilon}{x_{0}-L B}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}}<\alpha<\left(\frac{x^{*}-L B+\epsilon}{x_{0}-L B}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}}\right\} \\
& q=\left(\frac{x^{*}-L B+\epsilon}{x_{0}-L B}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}}-\left(\frac{x^{*}-L B-\epsilon}{x_{0}-L B}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}} \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Where $m=\left(1-\frac{1}{T}\right)^{b}$.
Similarly, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
q^{\prime}= & P\left\{x^{*}-\epsilon<x_{0}^{\prime}-\Delta\left(1, x_{0}^{\prime}-L B\right)<x^{*}+\epsilon\right\}  \tag{4.11}\\
& =\left(\frac{x^{*}-L B+\epsilon}{x_{0}^{\prime}-L B}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}}-\left(\frac{x^{*}-L B-\epsilon}{x_{0}^{\prime}-L B}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}} \tag{4.12}
\end{align*}
$$

From 4.13 4.9, and let $q$ subtracts $q^{\prime}$, we may derive Eq. 4.8 and thus the correctness of the Lemma. Since $x_{0}$ is a given initial solution (individual), we can assume that $x_{0}>x^{*}$.
Let
$p_{1}^{+}:=\mathrm{P}\left\{x_{0}\right.$ is the initial solution and $\left.x_{1} \notin\left(x^{*}-\epsilon, x^{*}+\epsilon\right)\right\}$
$p_{1}^{-}:=\mathrm{P}\left\{\underline{x_{0}}\right.$ is the initial solution and $\left.x_{1} \notin\left(x^{*}-\epsilon, x^{*}+\epsilon\right)\right\}$
Then, $p_{1}:=p_{1}^{+}$, (or $p_{1}^{-}$)
For $n \geq 2$, we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{n}^{+}:=P\left\{x_{n-1}>x^{*}, x_{n} \notin\left(x^{*}-\epsilon, x^{*}+\epsilon\right)\right\} \\
& p_{n}^{-}:=P\left\{x_{n-1}<x^{*}, x_{n} \notin\left(x^{*}-\epsilon, x^{*}+\epsilon\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, $p_{n}:=p_{n}^{+}+p_{n}^{-}$
Théorème 4.3.5. For any $\epsilon>0$, we have, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} p_{n}=0$
Proof. By the description of NUM, it is easy to know that the stochastic process $\left\{x_{i} ; i=\right.$ $0,1,2, \ldots$.$\} is a Markov process. By the property of conditional expectation, Markov$ property and Lemma 4.3 .4 , we can obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{2} & =P\left\{x_{2} \notin\left(x^{*}-\epsilon, x^{*}+\epsilon\right)\right\} \\
& =E\left(I_{x_{2} \notin\left(x^{*}-\epsilon, x^{*}+\epsilon\right.}\right) \\
& =E\left(E\left(I_{x_{2} \notin\left(x^{*}-\epsilon, x^{*}+\epsilon\right) \mid x_{1}}\right)\right) \\
& =E\left(E^{x_{1}}\left(I_{x_{2} \notin\left(x^{*}-\epsilon, x^{*}+\epsilon\right)}\right)\right. \\
& =E^{x_{1}}\left(I_{x_{2} \notin\left(x^{*}-\epsilon, x^{*}+\epsilon\right)} P\left\{x_{1} \notin\left(x^{*}-\epsilon, x^{*}+\epsilon\right)\right\}\right. \\
& \leq \max \left\{p_{1}^{+}, p_{1}^{-}\right\} p_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{3} & =P\left\{x_{3} \notin\left(x^{*}-\epsilon, x^{*}+\epsilon\right)\right\} \\
& =E\left(I_{x_{3} \notin\left(x^{*}-\epsilon, x^{*}+\epsilon\right.}\right) \\
& =E\left(E\left(I_{x_{3} \notin\left(x^{*}-\epsilon, x^{*}+\epsilon\right) \mid x_{2}}\right)\right) \\
& =E\left(E^{x_{2}}\left(I_{x_{3} \notin\left(x^{*}-\epsilon, x^{*}+\epsilon\right)}\right)\right. \\
& =E\left(E^{x_{2}}\left(I_{x_{1} \notin\left(x^{*}-\epsilon, x^{*}+\epsilon\right)}\right)\right. \\
& =E^{x_{2}}\left(I_{x_{1} \notin\left(x^{*}-\epsilon, x^{*}+\epsilon\right)} P\left\{x_{2} \notin\left(x^{*}-\epsilon, x^{*}+\epsilon\right)\right\}\right. \\
& =\max \left\{E ^ { x _ { 1 } } \left(I_{x_{1} \notin\left(x^{*}-\epsilon, x^{*}+\epsilon\right)}, E \underline{x_{1}}\left(I_{x_{1} \notin\left(x^{*}-\epsilon, x^{*}+\epsilon\right)}\right\} P_{2}\right.\right. \\
& \leq\left(\max \left\{p_{1}^{+}, p_{1}^{-}\right\}\right)^{2} p_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

By induction we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{n} & =P\left\{x_{n} \notin\left(x^{*}-\epsilon, x^{*}+\epsilon\right)\right\} \\
& \leq\left(\max \left\{p_{1}^{+}, p_{1}^{-}\right\}\right)^{n-1} p_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously, $0<p_{1}^{+}, p_{1}^{-}<1$, so $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} p_{n}=0$.
By the greedy selection of NUM, it is easy to know that

$$
p_{n}=P\left\{x_{i} \notin\left(x^{*}-\epsilon, x^{*}+\epsilon\right) ; i=0,1,2, \ldots . .\right\}
$$

So Theorem implies that for any $\epsilon>0$, we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(1-P\left\{x_{i} \notin\left(x^{*}-\epsilon, x^{*}+\epsilon\right) ; i=0,1,2, \ldots \ldots\right\}\right)=1
$$

i.e

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(P\left\{\exists i=0,1,2, \ldots . ., n, \text { s.t, } x_{i} \in\left(x^{*}-\epsilon, x^{*}+\epsilon\right)\right\}\right)=1 \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. 4.15 indicates that for any $\epsilon>0 ;\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is to enter the domain $\left(x^{*}-\epsilon, x^{*}+\epsilon\right)$ almost surely, and so $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$, converges to $x^{*}$ almost surely.

### 4.4 Comparison study between BCGA and RCGA models

In this section, we will compare between two types of GAs (BCGA ,RCGA) and BoxJenkins method, applied on monthly hotel room averages data in Kuwait figure 1.9 in chapter one. The plan of this study is:

1. Estimate the parameters of Box-Jenkins model proposed with BCGA and RCGA using same crossover operators and different mutation operators,
2. Estimate the parameters of Box-Jenkins model with BCGA and RCGA using different crossover and mutation operators,
3. comparison between all results.

The crossover and mutation operators used in this comparison are,

## First step:

- Single point crossover and two point crossover operators for RCGA and BCGA,
- Non-uniform mutation operator for all RCGA and flip mutation for all BCGA,


## Second step:

- Extended linear crossover, Laplace crossover arithmetic crossover for RCGA and two point crossover operator for all BCGA,
- Non-uniform mutation operator for RCGA and flip mutation for all BCGA,


## Third step:

- Arithmetic crossover for RCGA and two point crossover operator for BCGA,
- Makinen-Periaux and Toivanen mutation operator for RCGA and flip mutation for all BCGA,

Remark 4.4.1. 1. We use the comparison in all step with three selection methods: roulette wheel selection, tournament selection, and random selection.
2. The figure used in this study is for difference data of monthly hotel clients data in Kuwait.

So we have don't forget that the result of Box-Jenkins method in chapter one, the $\operatorname{ARIMA}(3,1,3)(1,1,1)[12]$ model is the better model for this data:

1) In the first, when we applique the RCGA and BCGA algorithms using same crossover operators and different mutation operators, we have the following result on figures and tables:

(a). Wheel roulette

(b). Tournament

(c).Random

Figure 4.2: One point crossover


Figure 4.3: Two point crossover

Table 4.1: BCGA application

| Crossover and Mutation | Selection types | BINARY CODED GENETIC ALGORITHMS(BCGA) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AIC | BIC | MSE | $A R E_{f}$ |
| Single point with flip mutation | Wheel roulette | $-1.3043 \mathrm{e}+03$ | $-1.2652 \mathrm{e}+03$ | 1.6045e-06 | 28.3232 |
|  | Tournament | $-1.1929 \mathrm{e}+03$ | $-1.1539 \mathrm{e}+03$ | 4.8847e-06 | 5.8328 |
|  | Random | $-1.0859 \mathrm{e}+03$ | $-1.0468 \mathrm{e}+03$ | $1.4250 \mathrm{e}-05$ | 55.3441 |
| Two point with flip mutation | Wheel roulette | $-1.2624 \mathrm{e}+03$ | $-1.2233 \mathrm{e}+03$ | 2.4392e-06 | 36.4903 |
|  | Tournament | $-1.2571 \mathrm{e}+03$ | $-1.2181 \mathrm{e}+03$ | 2.5707e-06 | 31.2935 |
|  | Random | $-1.0441 \mathrm{e}+03$ | $-1.0050 \mathrm{e}+03$ | $2.1648 \mathrm{e}-05$ | 51.6448 |
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Table 4.2: RCGA application

|  |  | REAL CODED GENETIC ALGORITHMS(RCGA) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crossover <br> and <br> Mutation | Selection types | AIC | BIC | $M S E$ | $A R E_{f}$ |
| Single point <br> with <br> non-uniform <br> mutation | Wheel roulette | $-1.1745 \mathrm{e}+03$ | $-1.1699 \mathrm{e}+03$ | $2.8275 \mathrm{e}-05$ | 12.8853 |
|  | Tournament | $-1.7401 \mathrm{e}+03$ | $-1.7356 \mathrm{e}+03$ | $2.0664 \mathrm{e}-07$ | 1.7230 |
| Two point <br> with | Wheel roulette | $-1.4027 \mathrm{e}+03$ | $-1.3981 \mathrm{e}+03$ | $3.8865 \mathrm{e}-06$ | 23.3916 |
| won-uniform <br> mutation | Tournament | Random | $-1.3815 \mathrm{e}+03$ | $-1.3770 \mathrm{e}+03$ | $4.6722 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
|  |  | $-1.3182 \mathrm{e}+03$ | $-1.3137 \mathrm{e}+03$ | $8.1015 \mathrm{e}-06$ | 35.4764 |

2) In the second, when we applique the RCGA and BCGA algorithms using different crossover and mutation operators, we have the following result on figures and tables:


Figure 4.4: Extended linear crossover


Figure 4.5: Laplace crossover


Figure 4.6: Arithmetic crossover


Figure 4.7: Makinen-Periaux and Toivanen mutation

Table 4.3: RCGA with various crossover and mutation operators

|  |  | REAL CODED GENETIC ALGORITHMS(RCGA) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crossover <br> and <br> Mutation | Selection types | AIC | BIC | $M S E$ | $A R E_{f}$ |
| Extended linear crossover with non-uniform mutation | Wheel roulette | $-1.3906 \mathrm{e}+03$ | $-1.3860 \mathrm{e}+03$ | $4.3176 \mathrm{e}-06$ | 19.5356 |
|  | Tournament | $-1.5341 \mathrm{e}+03$ | $-1.5296 \mathrm{e}+03$ | $1.2395 \mathrm{e}-06$ | 5.8490 |
|  | Random | $-1.4206 \mathrm{e}+03$ | $-1.4160 \mathrm{e}+03$ | 3.3263e-06 | 23.5867 |
| Laplace crossover with non-uniform mutation | Wheel roulette | $-1.7904 \mathrm{e}+03$ | $-1.7859 \mathrm{e}+03$ | $1.3345 \mathrm{e}-07$ | 21.3803 |
|  | Tournament | $-1.4714 \mathrm{e}+03$ | $-1.4668 \mathrm{e}+03$ | $2.1387 \mathrm{e}-06$ | 33.2422 |
|  | Random | $-2.9304 \mathrm{e}+03$ | $-2.9258 \mathrm{e}+03$ | $6.6117 \mathrm{e}-12$ | 0.6769 |
| Arithmetic crossover with non-uniform mutation | Wheel roulette | $-1.8661 \mathrm{e}+03$ | $-1.8615 \mathrm{e}+03$ | $6.9113 \mathrm{e}-08$ | 21.9403 |
|  | Tournament | $-2.1173 \mathrm{e}+03$ | $-2.1127 \mathrm{e}+03$ | $7.7775 \mathrm{e}-09$ | 15.2560 |
|  | Random | $-2.4454 \mathrm{e}+03$ | $-2.4409 \mathrm{e}+03$ | 4.4834e-10 | 5.1750 |
| Arithmetic crossover with Makinen -Periaux and Toivanen mutation | Wheel roulette | $-2.4010 \mathrm{e}+03$ | $-2.3965 \mathrm{e}+03$ | $6.5959 \mathrm{e}-10$ | 8.0643 |
|  | Tournament | $-1.7930 \mathrm{e}+03$ | $-1.7884 \mathrm{e}+03$ | 1.3049e-07 | 5.1825 |
|  | Random | $-1.3651 \mathrm{e}+03$ | $-1.3606 \mathrm{e}+03$ | 5.3884e-06 | 15.8515 |

It easy to show that when we use some various of crossover and mutation operator, the results are performed for RCGA then of BCGA, because all statistics indicators AIC, $B I C, M S E$ and $A R E_{f}$ are minimums in general, and errors of models are reduced. Then we can conclude that using RCGA have many advantages, Better precision( we see that after) and easy to handle a large dimensional problem.
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conclusion and perspective
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## General conclusion and perspective


#### Abstract

The main objective of time series analysis is to know the relation between observation for choosing an optimal model to forecast the future.In this thesis, we have presented and exploit one of robustness methods for optimization, is the genetic algorithms with his types BCGA and RCGA, for modeling the time series and performing the forecasting and comparing them too with Box - Jenkins method. Application of this method to linear model ARIMA and SARIMA models in the third and fourth chapter improve the model's construction and the forecast with giving us a good models comparing with the classical method. In the fourth chapter, when we let the variable in their nature called real encoded requires some various crossover and mutation operators more than BCGA operators. A new evolutionary programming algorithm crossover operators based on the non-uniform mutation(NUM) and modification in errors are proposed. A highly comparative framework for time-series analysis was developed and its broad scientific utility demonstrated. GAs with his types is generally faster and more robust then Box - Jenkins method.


