

N° d'ordre :

REPUBLIQUE ALGERIENNE DEMOCRATIQUE & POPULAIRE
 MINISTERE DE L'ENSEIGNEMENT SUPERIEUR & DE LA RECHERCHE
 SCIENTIFIQUE



UNIVERSITE DJILLALI LIABES
 FACULTE DES SCIENCES EXACTES
 SIDI BEL ABBES

THESE DE DOCTORAT

Présenté par

Ferhat Mohamed

Spécialité : MATHÉMATIQUES

Option : EQUATIONS AUX DERIVEES PARTIELLES

Intitulé

Existence globale et stabilisation des équations aux dérivées
 partielles

Soutenu le : 29-11-2016

Devant le jury composé de :

Président *Mr ABBES BENAÏSSA*: Professeur à l'Université de Sidi Bel Abbès.

Examineurs : *Melle Mama. Abdelli* : MCA (Université de Mascara)

Mr D. Behloul : Professeur à l'USTHB.

Mr K. Belghaba : Professeur à l'université d'Oran

Mr Mechab Mustapha, Professeur à l'université de Sidi Bel Abbès

Encadreur : *Mr Ali HAKEM* : Professeur à l'Université de Sidi Bel Abbès.

Contents

- 1 Preliminary** **7**
- 1.1 Banach Spaces-Definition and properties 7
 - 1.1.1 The weak and weak star topologies 8
 - 1.1.2 Hilbert spaces 10
- 1.2 Functional Spaces 10
 - 1.2.1 The $L^p(\Omega)$ spaces 10
 - 1.2.2 Some integral inequalities 11
 - 1.2.3 The $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ spaces 12
 - 1.2.4 The $L^p(0, T, X)$ spaces 15
 - 1.2.5 Some Algebraic inequalities 17
- 1.3 Integral Inequalities 17
 - 1.3.1 A result of exponential decay 17
 - 1.3.2 A result of polynomial decay 18
 - 1.3.3 New integral inequalities of P. Martinez 20
 - 1.3.4 Generalized inequalities of A. Guesmia 21
- 1.4 Existence Methods 27
 - 1.4.1 Faedo-Galerkin’s approximations 27
 - 1.4.2 A priori estimation and convergence 28
 - 1.4.3 Gronwall’s lemma 28
 - 1.4.4 Semigroups approach 29
- 2 Global existence and asymptotic behavior for a coupled system of viscoelastic wave equations with a delay term** **31**
- 2.0.5 Introduction 31
- 2.0.6 Preliminary Results 33
- 2.0.7 Global existence 35
- 2.0.8 Asymptotic behavior 50
- 3 Asymptotic behavior for a weak viscoelastic wave equations with a dynamic boundary and time varying delay term** **57**
- 3.1 Introduction 57
- 3.2 Preliminary Results 60
- 3.3 Asymptotic Behavior 64

4	Global existence and energy decay of solutions to a bresse system with delay terms and infinite memories	73
4.1	Introduction	73
4.2	Preliminary Results	75
4.3	Well-posedness	76
4.4	Asymptotic Stability	86
5	A general decay result in a quasilinear parabolic system with viscoelastic term	97
5.1	Introduction	97
5.2	Preliminary Results	98
5.3	Asymptotic behavior	100

Notations

Ω : Bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N .

Γ : Topological boundary of Ω .

$x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)$: Generic point of \mathbb{R}^N .

$dx = dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_N$: Lebesgue measuring on Ω .

∇u : Gradient of u .

Δu : Laplacien of u .

f^+, f^- : $\max(f, 0), \max(-f, 0)$.

a.e: Almost everywhere.

p' : Conjugate of p , i.e $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$.

$D(\Omega)$: Space of differentiable functions with compact support in Ω .

$D'(\Omega)$: Distribution space.

$C^k(\Omega)$: Space of functions k-times continuously differentiable in Ω .

$C_0(\Omega)$: Space of continuous functions null board in Ω .

$L^p(\Omega)$: Space of functions p-th power integrated on Ω with measure of dx .

$$\|f\|_p = \left(\int_{\Omega} |f(x)|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

$W^{1,p}(\Omega) = \{u \in L^p(\Omega), \nabla u \in (L^p(\Omega))^N\}$.

$W^{1,p}(\Omega)$: The closure of $D(\Omega)$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

$$\|u\|_{1,p} = (\|u\|_p^p + \|\nabla u\|_p^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

$W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$: The closure of $D(\Omega)$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

$W_0^{-1,p'}(\Omega)$: The dual space of $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

H: Hilbert space.

$$H_0^1 = W_0^{1,2}(\Omega).$$

If X is a Banach space

$$L^p(0, T; X) = \left\{ f : (0, T) \rightarrow X \text{ is measurable; } \int_0^T \|f(t)\|_X^p dt < \infty \right\}.$$

$$L^\infty(0, T; X) = \left\{ f : (0, T) \rightarrow X \text{ is measurable; } \text{ess - sup}_{t \in (0, T)} \|f(t)\|_X \right\}.$$

$C^k([0, T]; X)$: Space of functions k-times continuously differentiable for $[0, T] \rightarrow X$.

$D([0, T]; X)$: Space of functions continuously differentiable with compact support in $[0, T]$.

$B_X = \{x \in X; \|x\| \leq 1\}$: unit ball.

REMERCIEMENTS

Tout le mérite de ce travail revient aux Professeurs, Messieurs Ali Hakem et Abbès Benaïssa en qui je reconnais maîtrise et compétence dans ce domaine d'EDP, leur habileté, clairvoyance font d'eux des encadreurs de référence.

Des années de travail laborieux, des discussions fructueuses m'ont été très bénéfiques sur autant de domaines que l'analyse fonctionnelle, les EDP, la stabilisation des systèmes distribués,...ainsi trouve t-il mes expressions de remerciements et de gratitude les plus sincères.

Mes remerciements vont aussi à Monsieur Abbès Benaïssa de l'honneur qu'il m'a fait en président le jury de cette thèse ainsi qu'à Messieurs, K.Belghaba, Abdeli Mama et Mechab Mustapha , pour avoir accepté de faire partie de mon jury. Je les remercie pour l'intérêt qu'ils ont porté à mon travail.

Je tiens, à exprimer mes remerciements les plus chaleureux à tous mes collègues du département de mathématiques et informatique de Université d'Oran

En dernier lieu, je tiens à remercier vivement toute ma famille pour leur soutien constant. Je tiens à exprimer toute ma redevance à mes parents, mes frères et soeurs pour leurs soutiens durant ces années. Je dédie à vous tous ce modeste travail.

Merci à toute personne ayant contribué à l'aboutissement de ce travail.

Introduction

Stabilisation of somme class of partial differential equations

This thesis is devoted to the study of global existence , asymptotic behavior in time of solutions to nonlinear evolutions equations and systems of hyperbolic, parabolic type . The decreasing of classical energy plays a crucial role in the study of global existence and in stabilisation of various systems.

In this thesis, the main objective is to give a global existence and stabilisation results. This work consists in four chapter, the first one for wave equations with delay term.

The second one, for wave equations with a dynamic boundary and time varying delay term in the presence of a memory term.

The third one, we deal with bresse system with delay terms and infinite memories.

The last one is devoted to quasilinear parabolic system with viscoelastic term.

The purpose of stabilisation is to attenuate the vibrations by feedlack, it consists to guarantee the decay of the energy of solutions towards 0 in away, more less fast.

More precisely, we are interested to determine the asymptotic behavior of the energy denoted by $E(t)$ and to give an estimate of the decay rate of the energy.

There are several type of stabilisation

- 1) Strong stabilization: $E(t) \rightarrow 0$, as $t \rightarrow \infty$.
- 2) Logarithmic stabilization: $E(t) \leq c(\log(t))^{-\delta}$, $\forall t > 0$, $(c, \delta > 0)$.
- 3) Polynomial stabilization: $E(t) \leq ct^{-\delta}$, $\forall t > 0$, $(c, \delta > 0)$
- 4) Uniform stabilization: $E(t) \leq ce^{-\delta t}$, $\forall t > 0$, $(c, \delta > 0)$.

Chapter 1

Preliminary

In this chapter we will introduce and state without proofs some important materials needed in the proof of our results,

1.1 Banach Spaces-Definition and properties

We first review some basic facts from calculus in the most important class of linear spaces” Banach spaces”.

Definition 1.1.1 . A Banach space is a complete normed linear space X . Its dual space X' is the linear space of all continuous linear functional $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Proposition 1.1.1 ([34]) X' equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{X'}$ defined by

$$(1.1) \quad \|f\|_{X'} = \sup\{|f(u)| : \|u\| \leq 1\},$$

is also a Banach space.

We shall denote the value of $f \in X'$ at $u \in X$ by either $f(u)$ or $\langle f, u \rangle_{X', X}$.

Remark 1.1.1 From X' we construct the bidual or second dual $X'' = (X')'$. Furthermore, with each $u \in X$ we can define $\varphi(u) \in X''$ by $\varphi(u)(f) = f(u)$, $f \in X'$, this satisfies clearly $\|\varphi(x)\| \leq \|u\|$. Moreover, for each $u \in X$ there is an $f \in X'$ with $f(u) = \|u\|$ and $\|f\| = 1$, so it follows that $\|\varphi(x)\| = \|u\|$.

Definition 1.1.2 . Since φ is linear we see that

$$\varphi : X \rightarrow X'',$$

is a linear isometry of X onto a closed subspace of X'' , we denote this by

$$X \hookrightarrow X''.$$

Definition 1.1.3 . If φ is onto X'' we say X is reflexive, $X \cong X''$.

Theorem 1.1.1 ([81]). *Let X be Banach space. Then, X is reflexive, if and only if,*

$$B_X = \{x \in X : \|x\| \leq 1\},$$

is compact with the weak topology $\sigma(X, X')$. (See the next subsection for the definition of $\sigma(X, X')$).

Definition 1.1.4 . *Let X be a Banach space, and let $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in X . Then u_n converges strongly to u in X if and only if*

$$\lim \|u_n - u\|_X = 0,$$

and this is denoted by $u_n \rightarrow u$, or $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = u$.

Definition 1.1.5 *The Banach space E is said to be separable if there exists a countable subset D of E which is dense in E , i.e. $\overline{D} = E$.*

Proposition 1.1.2 *If E is reflexive and if F is a closed vector subspace of E , then F is reflexive.*

Corollary 1.1.1 *The following two assertions are equivalent: (i) E is reflexive; (ii) E' is reflexive.*

1.1.1 The weak and weak star topologies

Let X be a Banach space and $f \in X'$. Denote by

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi_f : X &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ x &\rightarrow \varphi_f(x), \end{aligned}$$

when f cover X' , we obtain a family $(\varphi_f)_{f \in X'}$ of applications to X in \mathbb{R} .

Definition 1.1.6 *The weak topology on X , denoted by $\sigma(X, X')$, is the weakest topology on X for which every $(\varphi_f)_{f \in X'}$ is continuous.*

We will define the third topology on X' , the weak star topology, denoted by $\sigma(X', X)$. For all $x \in X$. Denote by

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi_x : X' &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ f &\rightarrow \varphi_x(f) = \langle f, x \rangle_{X', X}, \end{aligned}$$

when x cover X , we obtain a family $(\varphi_x)_{x \in X}$ of applications to X' in \mathbb{R} .

Definition 1.1.7 . *The weak star topology on X' is the weakest topology on X' for which every $(\varphi_x)_{x \in X}$ is continuous.*

Remark 1.1.2 ([81]) *Since $X \subset X''$, it is clear that, the weak star topology $\sigma(X', X)$ is weakest then the topology $\sigma(X', X'')$, and this later is weakest then the strong topology.*

Definition 1.1.8 *A sequence (u_n) in X is weakly convergent to x if and only if*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(u_n) = f(u),$$

for every $f \in X'$, and this is denoted by $u_n \rightharpoonup u$

Remark 1.1.3 ([81])

1. *If the weak limit exist, it is unique.*
2. *If $u_n \rightarrow u \in X$ (strongly), then $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ (weakly).*
3. *If $\dim X < +\infty$, then the weak convergent implies the strong convergent.*

Proposition 1.1.3 *On the compactness in the three topologies in the Banach space X :*

1. *First, the unit ball*

$$(1.4) \quad B' \equiv \{x \in X : \|x\| \leq 1\},$$

in X is compact if and only if $\dim(X) < \infty$.

2. *Second, the unit ball B' in X' (The closed subspace of a product of compact spaces) is weakly compact in X' if and only if X is reflexive.*
3. *Third, B' is always weakly star compact in the weak star topology of X' .*

Proposition 1.1.4 ([81]) *Let (f_n) be a sequence in X' . We have:*

1. $[f_n \rightharpoonup^* f \text{ in } \sigma(X', X)] \Leftrightarrow [f_n(x) \rightharpoonup^* f(x), \forall x \in X]$.
2. *If $f_n \rightarrow f$ (strongly), then $f_n \rightharpoonup f$, in $\sigma(X', X'')$,
If $f_n \rightharpoonup f$ in $\sigma(X', X'')$, then $f_n \rightharpoonup^* f$, in $\sigma(X', X)$.*
3. *If $f_n \rightharpoonup^* f$ in $\sigma(X', X)$, then $\|f_n\|$ is bounded and $\|f\| \leq \liminf \|f_n\|$.*
4. *If $f_n \rightharpoonup^* f$ in $\sigma(X', X)$, and $x_n \rightarrow x$ (strongly) in X , then $f_n(x_n) \rightarrow f(x)$.*

1.1.2 Hilbert spaces

Now, we give some important results on these spaces here.

Definition 1.1.9 *A Hilbert space H is a vectorial space supplied with inner product $\langle u, v \rangle$ such that $\|u\| = \sqrt{\langle u, u \rangle}$ is the norm which let H complete.*

Theorem 1.1.2 (Riesz). *If $(H; \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is a Hilbert space, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ being a scalar product on H , then $H' = H$ in the following sense: to each $f \in H'$ there corresponds a unique $x \in H$ such that $f = \langle x, \cdot \rangle$ and $\|f\|'_H = \|x\|_H$.*

Remark 1.1.4 : *From this theorem we deduce that $H'' = H$. This means that a Hilbert space is reflexive.*

Theorem 1.1.3 ([81]). *Let $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a bounded sequence in the Hilbert space H , it posses a subsequence which converges in the weak topology of H .*

Theorem 1.1.4 ([81]). *In the Hilbert space, all sequence which converges in the weak topology is bounded.*

Theorem 1.1.5 ([81]). *Let $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence which converges to u , in the weak topology and $(v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an other sequence which converge weakly to v , then*

$$(1.5) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle v_n, u_n \rangle = \langle v, u \rangle$$

Theorem 1.1.6 ([81]). *Let X be a normed space, then the unit ball*

$$(1.6) \quad B' \equiv \{x \in X : \|x\| \leq 1\},$$

of X' is compact in $\sigma(X', X)$.

1.2 Functional Spaces

1.2.1 The $L^p(\Omega)$ spaces

Definition 1.2.1 *Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, and let Ω be an open domain in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Define the standard Lebesgue space $L^p(\Omega)$, by*

$$(1.7) \quad L^p(\Omega) = \left\{ f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ is measurable and } \int_{\Omega} |f(x)|^p dx < \infty \right\}.$$

Notation 1.2.1 *For $p \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$, denote by*

If $p = \infty$, we have

$$\begin{cases} L^\infty(\Omega) = \{f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ is measurable and there exists a constant } C \text{ such that} \\ |f(x)| \leq C \text{ a.e in } \Omega\} \end{cases}$$

Also, we denote by

$$(1.8) \quad \|f\|_\infty = \inf\{C, |f(x)| \leq C \text{ a.e in } \Omega\}.$$

Notation 1.2.2 For $p \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we denote by q the conjugate of p i.e. $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

Theorem 1.2.1 ([81]) $L^p(\Omega)$ is a Banach space, for all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$

Remark 1.2.1 In particular, when $p = 2$, $L^2(\Omega)$ equipped with the inner product

$$(1.9) \quad \langle f, g \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} = \int_{\Omega} f(x)g(x)dx,$$

is a Hilbert space.

Theorem 1.2.2 ([81]) For $1 < p < \infty$, $L^p(\Omega)$ is reflexive space.

1.2.2 Some integral inequalities

We will give here some important integral inequalities. These inequalities play an important role in applied mathematics and also, it is very useful in our next chapters.

Theorem 1.2.3 ([34], Holder's inequality) Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Assume that $f \in L^p(\Omega)$ and $g \in L^q(\Omega)$, then, $fg \in L^1(\Omega)$ and

$$\int_{\Omega} |fg|dx \leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_q.$$

Lemma 1.2.1 ([81], Young's inequality) Let $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ and $g \in L^q(\mathbb{R})$ with $1 < p < \infty$ and $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} - 1 \geq 0$. Then $f * g \in L^r(\mathbb{R})$ and

$$\|f * g\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R})} \leq \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \|g\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Lemma 1.2.2 ([81]) Let $1 \leq p \leq r \leq q$, $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{\alpha}{p} + \frac{1-\alpha}{q}$, and $1 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. Then

$$\|u\|_{L^r} \leq \|u\|_{L^p}^\alpha \|u\|_{L^q}^{1-\alpha}.$$

Lemma 1.2.3 ([81]) If $\mu(\Omega) < \infty$, $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$, then $L^q \hookrightarrow L^p$, and

$$\|u\|_{L^p} \leq \mu(\Omega)^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} \|u\|_{L^q}.$$

1.2.3 The $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ spaces

Proposition 1.2.1 *Let Ω be an open domain in \mathbb{R}^N . Then the distribution $T \in D'(\Omega)$ is in $L^p(\Omega)$ if there exists a function $f \in L^p(\Omega)$ such that*

$$\langle T, \varphi \rangle = \int_{\Omega} f(x)\varphi(x)dx, \text{ for all } \varphi \in D(\Omega),$$

where $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, and it's well-known that f is unique.

Now, we will introduce the Sobolev spaces: The Sobolev space $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ is defined to be the subset of L^p such that function f and its weak derivatives up to some order k have a finite L^p norm, for given $p \geq 1$.

$$W^{k,p}(\Omega) = \{f \in L^p(\Omega); D^{\alpha}f \in L^p(\Omega). \forall \alpha; |\alpha| \leq k\},$$

With this definition, the Sobolev spaces admit a natural norm,

$$f \longrightarrow \|f\|_{W^{k,p}(\Omega)} = \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|D^{\alpha}f\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p \right)^{1/p}, \text{ for } p < +\infty$$

and

$$f \longrightarrow \|f\|_{W^{k,\infty}(\Omega)} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|D^{\alpha}f\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}, \text{ for } p = +\infty$$

Space $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{W^{k,p}}$ is a Banach space. Moreover is a reflexive space for $1 < p < \infty$ and a separable space for $1 \leq p < \infty$. Sobolev spaces with $p = 2$ are especially important because of their connection with Fourier series and because they form a Hilbert space. A special notation has arisen to cover this case:

$$W^{k,2}(\Omega) = H^k(\Omega)$$

the H^k inner product is defined in terms of the L^2 inner product:

$$(f, g)_{H^k(\Omega)} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} (D^{\alpha}f, D^{\alpha}g)_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

The space $H^m(\Omega)$ and $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ contain $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\overline{\Omega})$ and $\mathcal{C}^m(\overline{\Omega})$. The closure of $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ for the $H^m(\Omega)$ norm (respectively $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ norm) is denoted by $H_0^m(\Omega)$ (respectively $W_0^{k,p}(\Omega)$).

Now, we introduce a space of functions with values in a space X (a separable Hilbert space).

The space $L^2(a, b; X)$ is a Hilbert space for the inner product

$$(f, g)_{L^2(a,b;X)} = \int_a^b (f(t), g(t))_X dt$$

We note that $L^\infty(a, b; X) = (L^1(a, b; X))'$.

Now, we define the Sobolev spaces with values in a Hilbert space X

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \in [1, \infty]$, we set:

$$W^{k,p}(a, b; X) = \left\{ v \in L^p(a, b; X); \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \in L^p(a, b; X). \quad \forall i \leq k \right\},$$

The Sobolev space $W^{k,p}(a, b; X)$ is a Banach space with the norm

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{W^{k,p}(a,b;X)} &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^k \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \right\|_{L^p(a,b;X)}^p \right)^{1/p}, \quad \text{for } p < +\infty \\ \|f\|_{W^{k,\infty}(a,b;X)} &= \sum_{i=0}^k \left\| \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_i} \right\|_{L^\infty(a,b;X)}, \quad \text{for } p = +\infty \end{aligned}$$

The spaces $W^{k,2}(a, b; X)$ form a Hilbert space and it is noted $H^k(0, T; X)$. The $H^k(0, T; X)$ inner product is defined by:

$$(u, v)_{H^k(a,b;X)} = \sum_{i=0}^k \int_a^b \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial v}{\partial x^i} \right)_X dt.$$

Theorem 1.2.4 *Let $1 \leq p \leq n$, then*

$$W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

where p^* is given by $\frac{1}{p^*} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{n}$ (where $p = n, p^* = \infty$). Moreover there exists a constant $C = C(p, n)$ such that

$$\|u\|_{L^{p^*}} \leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad \forall u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Corollary 1.2.1 *Let $1 \leq p < n$, then*

$$W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^q(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad \forall q \in [p, p^*]$$

with continuous imbedding.

For the case $p = n$, we have

$$W^{1,n}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^q(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad \forall q \in [n, +\infty[$$

Theorem 1.2.5 *Let $p > n$, then*

$$W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

with continuous imbedding.

Corollary 1.2.2 *Let Ω a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n of C^1 class with $\Gamma = \partial\Omega$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. We have*

- if $1 \leq p < \infty$, then $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \subset L^{p^*}(\Omega)$ where $\frac{1}{p^*} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{n}$.*
- if $p = n$, then $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \subset L^q(\Omega), \forall q \in [p, +\infty[$.*
- if $p > n$, then $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \subset L^\infty(\Omega)$*

with continuous imbedding.

Moreover, if $p > n$, we have: $\forall u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$,

$$|u(x) - u(y)| \leq C|x - y|^\alpha \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \text{ a.e } x, y \in \Omega$$

with $\alpha = 1 - \frac{n}{p} > 0$ and C is a constant which depend on p, n and Ω . In particular $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \subset C(\overline{\Omega})$.

Corollary 1.2.3 *Let Ω a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n of C^1 class with $\Gamma = \partial\Omega$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. We have*

- if $p < n$, then $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \subset L^q(\Omega) \forall q \in [1, p^*[$ where $\frac{1}{p^*} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{n}$.*
- if $p = n$, then $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \subset L^q(\Omega), \forall q \in [p, +\infty[$.*
- if $p > n$, then $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \subset C(\overline{\Omega})$*

with compact imbedding.

Remark 1.2.2 *We remark in particular that*

$$W^{1,p}(\Omega) \subset L^q(\Omega)$$

with compact imbedding for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and for $p \leq q < p^$.*

Corollary 1.2.4

- if $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{m}{n} > 0$, then $W^{m,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ where $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{m}{n}$.*
- if $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{m}{n} = 0$, then $W^{m,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^q(\mathbb{R}^n), \forall q \in [p, +\infty[$.*
- if $\frac{1}{p} - \frac{m}{n} < 0$, then $W^{m,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$*

with continuous imbedding.

Lemma 1.2.4 *(Sobolev-poincarés inequality)*

$$\text{If } 2 \leq q \leq \frac{2n}{n-2}, n \geq 3$$

$$q \geq 2, n = 1, 2,$$

then

$$\|u\|_q \leq C(q, \Omega) \|\nabla u\|_2,$$

for all $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$.

Remark 1.2.3 For all $\varphi \in H^2(\Omega)$, $\Delta\varphi \in L^2(\Omega)$ and for Γ sufficiently smooth, we have

$$\|\varphi(t)\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \leq C \|\Delta\varphi(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

Proposition 1.2.2 ([81], Green's formula) For all $u \in H^2(\Omega)$, $v \in H^1(\Omega)$ we have

$$-\int_{\Omega} \Delta u v dx = \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla v dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \eta} v d\sigma,$$

where $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \eta}$ is a normal derivation of u at Γ .

1.2.4 The $L^p(0, T, X)$ spaces

Let X be a Banach space, denote by $L^p(0, T, X)$ the space of measurable functions

Definition 1.2.2

$$(1.10) \quad \begin{aligned} f :]0, T[&\rightarrow X \\ t &\rightarrow f(t). \end{aligned}$$

such that

$$(1.11) \quad \left(\int_0^T \|f(t)\|_X^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \|f\|_{L^p(0, T, X)} < \infty, \text{ for } 1 \leq p < \infty.$$

If $p = \infty$,

$$(1.12) \quad \|f\|_{L^p(0, T, X)} = \sup_{t \in]0, T[} \text{ess}\|f(t)\|_X.$$

Theorem 1.2.6 ([81]) The space $L^p(0, T, X)$ is complete.

We denote by $D'(0, T, X)$ the space of distributions in $]0, T[$ which take its values in X , and let us define

$$D'(0, T, X) = \mathcal{L}(D]0, T[, X),$$

where $\mathcal{L}(\phi, \varphi)$ is the space of the linear continuous applications of ϕ to φ . Since $u \in D'(0, T, X)$, we define the distribution derivation as

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(\varphi) = -u \left(\frac{d\varphi}{dt} \right), \quad \forall \varphi \in D(]0, T[),$$

and since $u \in L^p(0, T, X)$, we have

$$u(\varphi) = \int_0^T u(t)\varphi(t)dt, \quad \forall \varphi \in D(]0, T[),$$

We will introduce some basic results on the $L^p(0, T, X)$ space. These results, will be very useful in the other chapters of this thesis

Lemma 1.2.5 ([81]) Let $f \in L^p(0, T, X)$ and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \in L^p(0, T, X)$, ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$), then, the function f is continuous from $[0, T]$ to X . i.e. $f \in C^1(0, T, X)$.

Lemma 1.2.6 ([81]) Let $\varphi =]0, T[\times \Omega$ an open bounded domain in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$, and let g_μ, g are two functions in $L^q(]0, T[, L^q(\Omega))$, $1 < q < \infty$ such that

$$(1.13) \quad \|g_\mu\|_{L^q(]0, T[, L^q(\Omega))} \leq C, \forall \mu \in \mathbb{N}$$

and

$$g_\mu \rightarrow g \quad \text{in} \quad \varphi,$$

then

$$g_\mu \rightarrow g \quad \text{in} \quad L^q(\varphi).$$

Theorem 1.2.7 ([81]) $L^p(0, T, X)$ equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^p(]0, T[, X)}$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ is a Banach space.

Proposition 1.2.3 ([81]) Let X be a reflexive Banach space, X' it's dual, and $1 \leq p, q < \infty$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Then the dual of $L^p(0, T, X)$ is identify algebraically and topologically with $L^q(0, T, X')$.

Proposition 1.2.4 ([81]) Let X, Y be to Banach space, $X \subset Y$ with continuous embedding, then we have

$$L^p(0, T, X) \subset L^p(0, T, Y)$$

with continuous embedding

The following compactness criterion will be useful for nonlinear evolution problem, especially in the limit of the nonlinear terms.

Proposition 1.2.5 ([82]) Let B_0, B, B_1 be Banach spaces with $B_0 \subset B \subset B_1$, assume that the embedding $B_0 \hookrightarrow B$ is compact and $B \hookrightarrow B_1$ are continuous. Let $1 < p, q < \infty$, assume further that B_0 and B_1 are reflexive.

Define

$$(1.14) \quad W \equiv \{u \in L^p(0, T, B_0) : u' \in L^q(0, T, B_1)\}.$$

Then, the embedding $W \hookrightarrow L^p(0, T, B)$ is compact.

1.2.5 Some Algebraic inequalities

Since our study based on some known algebraic inequalities, we want to recall few of them here

Lemma 1.2.7 ([81] *The Cauchy-Schwartz inequality*) *Every inner product satisfies the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality*

$$(1.15) \quad \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle \leq \|x_1\| \|x_2\|.$$

The equality sign holds if and only if x_1 and x_2 are dependent.

Lemma 1.2.8 ([81] *Young's inequalities*) *For all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^+$, we have*

$$(1.16) \quad ab \leq \alpha a^2 + \frac{1}{4\alpha} b^2$$

where α is any positive constant.

Lemma 1.2.9 ([81]) *For $a, b \geq 0$, the following inequality holds*

$$(1.17) \quad ab \leq \frac{a^p}{p} + \frac{b^q}{q}$$

where, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

1.3 Integral Inequalities

We will recall some fundamental integral inequalities introduced by A. Haraux, V. Komornik and A.Guesmia to estimate the decay rate of the energy.

1.3.1 A result of exponential decay

The estimation of the energy decay for some dissipative problems is based on the following lemma:

Lemma 1.3.1 ([20]) *Let $E : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a non-increasing function and assume that there is a constant $A > 0$ such that*

$$(1.18) \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad \int_t^{+\infty} E(\tau) d\tau \leq \frac{1}{A} E(t).$$

Then we have

$$(1.19) \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad E(t) \leq E(0) e^{1-At}.$$

Proof of Lemma 1.3.1.

The inequality (1.19) is verified for $t \leq \frac{1}{A}$, this follows from the fact that E is a decreasing function. We prove that (1.19) is verified for $t \geq \frac{1}{A}$. Introduce the function

$$h : \mathbb{R}_+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+, \quad h(t) = \int_t^{+\infty} E(\tau) d\tau.$$

It is non-increasing and locally absolutely continuous. Differentiating and using (1.18) we find that

$$\forall t \geq 0, \quad h'(t) + Ah(t) \leq 0.$$

Let

$$(1.20) \quad T_0 = \sup\{t, h(t) > 0\}.$$

For every $t < T_0$, we have

$$\frac{h'(t)}{h(t)} \leq -A,$$

thus

$$(1.21) \quad h(t) \leq e^{-At} \leq \frac{1}{A} E(0) e^{-At}, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t < T_0.$$

Since $h(t) = 0$ if $t \geq T_0$, this inequality holds in fact for every $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. As E is positive and decreasing, we deduce that

$$\forall t \geq \varepsilon, \quad E(t) \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{t-\varepsilon}^t E(\tau) d\tau \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} h(t-\varepsilon) \leq \frac{1}{A\varepsilon} E(0) e^{\varepsilon t} e^{-At}.$$

Choosing $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{A}$, we obtain

$$\forall t \geq 0, \quad E(t) \leq E(0) e^{1-At}.$$

The proof of Lemma 1.3.1 is now completed.

1.3.2 A result of polynomial decay

Lemma 1.3.2 ([20]) *Let $E : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ ($\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, +\infty)$) be a non-increasing function and assume that there are two constants $q > 0$ and $A > 0$ such that*

$$(1.22) \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad \int_t^{+\infty} E^{q+1}(\tau) d\tau \leq \frac{1}{A} E^q(0) E(t).$$

Then we have:

$$(1.23) \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad E(t) \leq E(0) \left(\frac{1+q}{1+Aqt} \right)^{1/q}.$$

Remark 1.3.1 It is clear that Lemma 1.3.1, is similar to Lemma 1.3.2 in the case of $q = 0$.

Proof of Lemma 1.3.2.

If $E(0) = 0$, then $E \equiv 0$ and there is nothing to prove. Otherwise, replacing the function E by the function $\frac{E}{E(0)}$ we may assume that $E(0) = 1$.

Introduce the function

$$h : \mathbb{R}_+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+, \quad h(t) = \int_t^{+\infty} E(\tau) d\tau.$$

It is non-increasing and locally absolutely continuous. Differentiating and using (1.22) we find that

$$\forall t \geq 0, \quad -h' \geq (Ah)^{1+q}.$$

where

$$T_0 = \sup\{t, h(t) > 0\}.$$

Integrating in $[0, t]$ we obtain that

$$\forall 0 \leq t < T_0, h(t)^{-q} - h(0)^{-q} \geq \sigma \omega^{1+q} t,$$

hence

$$(1.24) \quad 0 \leq t < T_0, \quad h(t) \leq (h^{-q}(0) + qA^{1+q}t)^{-1/q}.$$

Since $h(t) = 0$ if $t \geq T_0$, this inequality holds in fact for every $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Since

$$h(0) \leq \frac{1}{A} E(0)^{1+q} = \frac{1}{A},$$

by (1.22), the right-hand side of (1.24) is less than or equal to:

$$(1.25) \quad (h^{-q}(0) + qA^{1+q}t)^{-1/q} \leq \frac{1}{A} (1 + Aqt)^{-1/q}.$$

From other hand, E being nonnegative and non-increasing, we deduce from the definition of h and the above estimate that:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall s \geq 0, \quad E \left(\frac{1}{A} + (q+1)s \right)^{q+1} &\leq \frac{1}{\frac{1}{A} + q + 1} \int_s^{\frac{1}{A} + (q+1)s} E(\tau)^{q+1} d\tau \\ &\leq \frac{A}{1 + Aqs} h(s) \leq \frac{A}{1 + Aqs} \frac{1}{A} (1 + Aqs)^{-\frac{1}{q}}, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\forall S \geq 0, \quad E \left(\frac{1}{A} + (q+1)S \right) \leq \frac{1}{(1 + AqS)^{1/q}}.$$

Choosing $t = \frac{1}{A} + (1+q)s$ then the inequality (1.23) follows. Note that letting $q \rightarrow 0$ in this theorem we obtain (1.23).

1.3.3 New integral inequalities of P. Martinez

The above inequalities are verified only if the energy function is integrable, we will try to resolve this problem by introducing some weighted integral inequalities, so we can estimate the decay rate of the energy when it is slow.

Lemma 1.3.3 ([20]) *Let $E : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a non-increasing function and $\phi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ an increasing C^1 function such that*

$$(1.26) \quad \phi(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(t) \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{when} \quad t \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Assume that there exist $q \geq 0$ and $A > 0$ such that

$$(1.27) \quad \int_S^{+\infty} E(t)^{q+1} \phi'(t) dt \leq \frac{1}{A} E(0)^q E(S), \quad 0 \leq S < +\infty.$$

then we have

$$\text{if } q > 0, \quad \text{then } E(t) \leq E(0) \left(\frac{1+q}{1+qA\phi(t)} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

$$\text{if } q = 0, \quad \text{then } E(t) \leq E(0) e^{1-A\phi(t)}, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Proof of Lemma 1.3.3.

This Lemma is a generalization of Lemma 1.3.1, Let $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be defined by $f(x) := E(\phi^{-1}(x))$, (we notice that ϕ^{-1} has a meaning by the hypotheses assumed on ϕ). f is non-increasing, $f(0) = E(0)$ and if we set $x := \phi(t)$ we obtain f is non-increasing, $f(0) = E(0)$ and if we set $x := \phi(t)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\phi(S)}^{\phi(T)} f(x)^{q+1} dx &= \int_{\phi(S)}^{\phi(T)} E(\phi^{-1}(x))^{q+1} dx = \int_S^T E(t)^{q+1} \phi'(t) dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{A} E(0)^q E(S) \\ &= \frac{1}{A} E(0)^q f(\phi(S)), \quad 0 \leq S < T < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Setting $s := \phi(S)$ and letting $T \rightarrow +\infty$, we deduce that

$$\forall s \geq 0, \quad \int_s^{+\infty} f(x)^{q+1} dx \leq \frac{1}{A} E(0)^q f(s).$$

Thanks to Lemma 1.3.1, we deduce the desired results.

1.3.4 Generalized inequalities of A. Guesmia

Lemma 1.3.4 ([20]) *Let $E : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ differentiable function, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\Psi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ convex and increasing function such that $\Psi(0) = 0$. Assume that*

$$\int_s^{+\infty} \Psi(E(t)) dt \leq E(s), \quad \forall s \geq 0.$$

$$E'(t) \leq \lambda E(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Then E satisfies the estimate

$$E(t) \leq e^{\tau_0 \lambda T_0} d^{-1} \left(e^{\lambda(t-h(t))} \Psi \left(\psi^{-1} \left(h(t) + \psi(E(0)) \right) \right) \right), \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(t) &= \int_t^1 \frac{1}{\Psi(s)} ds, \quad \forall t > 0, \\ d(t) &= \begin{cases} \Psi(t) & \text{if } \lambda = 0, \\ \int_0^t \frac{\Psi(s)}{s} ds & \text{if } \lambda > 0, \end{cases} \quad \forall t \geq 0, \\ h(t) &= \begin{cases} K^{-1}(D(t)), & \text{if } t > T_0, \\ 0 & \text{if } t \in [0, T_0], \end{cases} \\ K(t) &= D(t) + \frac{\psi^{-1}(t + \psi(E(0)))}{\Psi(\psi^{-1}(t + \psi(E(0))))} e^{\lambda t}, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \\ D(t) &= \int_0^t e^{\lambda s} ds, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \\ T_0 &= D^{-1} \left(\frac{E(0)}{\Psi(E(0))} \right), \quad \tau_0 = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } t > T_0, \\ 1, & \text{if } t \in [0, T_0]. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Remark 1.3.2 If $\lambda = 0$ (that is E is non increasing), then we have

$$(1.28) \quad E(t) \leq \psi^{-1} \left(h(t) + \psi(E(0)) \right), \quad \forall t \geq 0$$

where $\psi(t) = \int_t^1 \frac{1}{\Psi(s)} ds$ for $t > 0$, $h(t) = 0$ for $0 \leq t \leq \frac{E(0)}{\Psi(E(0))}$ and

$$h^{-1}(t) = t + \frac{\psi^{-1} \left(t + \psi(E(0)) \right)}{\Psi \left(\psi^{-1} \left(t + \psi(E(0)) \right) \right)}, \quad t > 0.$$

This particular result generalizes the one obtained by Martinez ([20]) in the particular case of

$\Psi(t) = dt^{p+1}$ with $p \geq 0$ and $d > 0$, and improves the one obtained by Eller, Lagnese and Nicaise ([32]).

Proof of Lemma 1.3.4.

Because $E'(t) \leq \lambda E(t)$ imply $E(t) \leq e^{\lambda(t-t_0)}E(t_0)$ for all $t \geq t_0 \geq 0$, then, if $E(t_0) = 0$ for some $t_0 \geq 0$, then $E(t) = 0$ for all $t \geq t_0$, and then there is nothing to prove in this case. So we assume that $E(t) > 0$ for all $t \geq 0$ without loss of generality. Let:

$$L(s) = \int_s^{+\infty} \Psi(E(t)) dt, \quad \forall s \geq 0.$$

We have, $L(s) \leq E(s)$, for all $s \geq 0$. The function L is positive, decreasing and of class $C^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ satisfying

$$-L'(s) = \Psi(E(s)) \geq \Psi(L(s)), \quad \forall s \geq 0.$$

The function ψ is decreasing, then

$$\left(\psi(L(s))\right)' = \frac{-L'(s)}{\Psi(L(s))} \geq 1, \quad \forall s \geq 0.$$

Integration on $[0, t]$, we obtain

$$(1.29) \quad \psi(L(t)) \geq t + \psi(E(0)), \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Since Ψ is convex and $\Psi(0) = 0$, we have

$$\Psi(s) \leq \Psi(1)s, \quad \forall s \in [0, 1] \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi(s) \geq \Psi(1)s, \quad \forall s \geq 1,$$

then $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \psi(t) = +\infty$ and $[\psi(E(0)), +\infty[\subset \text{Image}(\psi)$. Then (1.29) imply that

$$(1.30) \quad L(t) \leq \psi^{-1}\left(t + \psi(E(0))\right), \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Now, for $s \geq 0$, let

$$f_s(t) = e^{-\lambda t} \int_s^t e^{\lambda \tau} d\tau, \quad \forall t \geq s.$$

The function f_s is increasing on $[s, +\infty[$ and strictly positive on $]s, +\infty[$ such that

$$f_s(s) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad f_s'(t) + \lambda f_s(t) = 1, \quad \forall t \geq s \geq 0,$$

and the function d is well defined, positive and increasing such that:

$$d(t) \leq \Psi(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda t d'(t) = \lambda \Psi(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\tau \left(f_s(\tau) d(E(\tau)) \right) &= f_s'(\tau) d(E(\tau)) + f_s(\tau) E'(\tau) d'(E(\tau)) \\ &\leq \left(1 - \lambda f_s(\tau) \right) \Psi(E(\tau)) + \lambda f_s(\tau) \Psi(E(\tau)) \\ &= \Psi(E(\tau)), \quad \forall \tau \geq s \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating on $[s, t]$, we obtain

$$(1.31) \quad L(s) \geq \int_s^t \Psi(E(\tau)) d\tau \geq f_s(t)d(E(t)), \quad \forall t \geq s \geq 0.$$

Since $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} d(s) = +\infty$, $d(0) = 0$ and d is increasing, then (1.30) and (1.31) imply

$$(1.32) \quad E(t) \leq d^{-1} \left(\inf_{s \in [0, t[} \frac{\psi^{-1}(s + \psi(E(0)))}{f_s(t)} \right), \quad \forall t > 0.$$

Now, let $t > T_0$ and

$$J(s) = \frac{\psi^{-1}(s + \psi(E(0)))}{f_s(t)}, \quad \forall s \in [0, t[.$$

The function J is differentiable and we have

$$J'(s) = f_s^{-2}(t) \left[e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \psi^{-1}(s + \psi(E(0))) - f_s(t) \Psi(\psi^{-1}(s + \psi(E(0)))) \right].$$

Then

$$J'(s) = 0 \Leftrightarrow K(s) = D(t) \quad \text{and} \quad J'(s) < 0 \Leftrightarrow K(s) < D(T).$$

Since $K(0) = \frac{E(0)}{\Psi(E(0))}$, $D(0) = 0$ and K and D are increasing (because ψ^{-1} is decreasing and $s \mapsto \frac{s}{\Psi(s)}$, $s > 0$, is non increasing thanks to the fact that Ψ is convex). Then, for $t > T_0$,

$$\inf_{s \in [0, t[} J(s) = J(K^{-1}(D(t))) = J(h(t)).$$

Since h satisfies $J'(h(t)) = 0$, we conclude from (1.32) our desired estimate for $t > T_0$. For $t \in [0, T_0]$, we have just to note that $E'(t) \leq \lambda E(t)$ and the fact that $d \leq \Psi$ implies

$$E(t) \leq e^{\lambda t} E(0) \leq e^{\lambda T_0} E(0) \leq e^{\lambda T_0} \Psi^{-1}(e^{\lambda T_0} \Psi(E(0))) \leq e^{\lambda T_0} d^{-1}(e^{\lambda T_0} \Psi(E(0))).$$

Remark 1.3.3 Under the hypotheses of Lemma 1.3.4, we have $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} E(t) = 0$. Indeed, we have just to choose $s = \frac{1}{2}t$ in (1.32) instead of $h(t)$ and note that $d^{-1}(0) = 0$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \psi^{-1}(t) = 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} f_{\frac{1}{2}t}(t) > 0$.

Lemma 1.3.5 ([Guesmia 20]) Let $E : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a differentiable function, $a : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+*}$ and $\lambda : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ two continuous functions. Assume that there exist $r \geq 0$ such that

$$(1.33) \quad \int_s^{+\infty} E^{r+1}(t) dt \leq a(s)E(s), \quad \forall s \geq 0$$

$$(1.34) \quad E'(t) \leq \lambda(t)E(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0$$

Then E verifies ,for all $t \geq 0$,

$$E(t) \leq \frac{E(0)}{\omega(0)} \omega(h(t)) \exp(\tilde{\lambda}(t) - \tilde{\lambda}(h(t))) \exp\left(-\int_0^{h(t)} \omega(\tau) d\tau\right), \text{ if } r = 0$$

and

$$E(t) \leq \omega(h(t)) \exp(\tilde{\lambda}(t) - \tilde{\lambda}(h(t))) \left[\left(\frac{\omega(0)}{E(0)} \right)^r + r \int_0^{h(t)} \omega(\tau)^{r+1} d\tau \right]^{-1/r} \text{ if } r > 0$$

$$\text{where } \tilde{\lambda}(t) = \int_0^t \lambda(\tau) d\tau$$

Proof of Lemma 1.3.5.

If $E(s) = 0$ or $a(s) = 0$ for one $s \geq 0$,the first inequality implies $E(t) = 0$ for $t \geq s$,we suppose then that $E(t) > 0$ and $a(t) > 0$ for $t \geq 0$

Put $\omega = \frac{1}{a}$ and $\Psi(s) = \int_s^{+\infty} E^{r+1}(t) dt$; we have

$$(1.35) \quad \Psi(s) \leq \frac{1}{\omega(s)} E(s), \quad \forall s \geq 0.$$

the function Ψ is decreasing ,positive and of class C^1 on \mathbb{R}^+ and verifies:

$$\Psi'(s) = -E^{r+1}(s) \leq -(\omega(s)\Psi(s))^{r+1}, \quad \forall s \geq 0$$

then

$$(1.36) \quad \Psi(s) \leq \Psi(0) \exp\left(\int_0^s \omega(\tau) d\tau\right) \leq \frac{E(0)}{\omega(0)} \exp\left(\int_0^s \omega(\tau) d\tau\right) \quad \text{if } r = 0$$

$$(1.37) \quad \Psi(s) \leq \left(\left(\frac{\omega(0)}{E(0)} \right)^r + \int_0^s (\omega(\tau))^{r+1} d\tau \right)^{-1/r} \quad \text{if } r > 0$$

Now we put for all $s \geq 0$,

$$(1.38) \quad f_s(t) = \exp(-(r+1)\tilde{\lambda}(t)) \int_s^t \exp((r+1)\tilde{\lambda}(\tau)) d\tau, \quad \forall t \geq s$$

where $f_s(s) = 0$ and $f'_s(t) + (r+1)\lambda(t)f_s(t) = 1$, $\forall t \geq s \geq 0$.

Under the second hypothesis in the lemma, we deduce

$$(1.39) \quad E^{r+1}(t) \geq \partial_t(f_s(t)E^{r+1}(t)); \forall t \geq s \geq 0$$

hence

$$(1.40) \quad \Psi(s) \geq \int_s^{g(s)} E^{r+1}(t) dt \geq f_s(g(s))E^{r+1}(g(s)); \forall s \geq 0$$

where $g : \mathbb{R}^+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+*}$ with $I_s(g(s)) = 0$, I_s is defined by

$$I_s(t) = (\omega(s))^{r+1} \int_s^t \exp((r+1)\tilde{\lambda}(\tau)) d\tau$$

Let $t > g(0)$ and $s = h(t)$ with

$$h(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } t \in [0, g(0)] \\ \max g^{-1}(t) & \text{if } t \in]g(0), +\infty[\end{cases}$$

Hence we have $g(s) = t$ and we deduce from (1.40) that, for all $t \geq g(0)$,

$$\Psi(h(t)) \geq f_{h(t)}(t)E^{r+1}(t) = \left(\exp(-(r+1)\tilde{\lambda}(t)) \int_{h(t)}^t \exp((r+1)\tilde{\lambda}(\tau)) d\tau \right) E^{r+1}(t)$$

We conclude from (1.36) and (1.37) that, for all $t > g(0)$,

$$E(t) \leq \frac{E(0)}{\omega(0)} \exp(\tilde{\lambda}(t)) \left(\int_{h(t)}^t \exp(\tilde{\lambda}(\tau)) d\tau \right)^{-1} \exp\left(-\int_0^{h(t)} \omega(\tau) d\tau\right) \text{ if } r = 0$$

and

$$E(t) \leq \exp(\tilde{\lambda}(t)) \left(\int_{h(t)}^t \exp((r+1)\tilde{\lambda}(\tau)) d\tau \right)^{\frac{-1}{r+1}} \times \\ \left(\left(\frac{\omega(0)}{E(0)} \right)^r + r \int_0^{h(t)} (\omega(\tau))^{r+1} d\tau \right)^{\frac{-1}{r(r+1)}} \text{ if } r > 0$$

The fact that $I_{h(t)}^t = I_s(g(s)) = 0$, we obtain the result of the lemma for $t > g(0)$.
If $t \in [0, g(0)]$ the second inequality of the lemma implies that

$$E(t) \leq E(0) \exp(\tilde{\lambda}(t))$$

Since $h(t) = 0$ on $[0, g(0)]$, $E(0) \exp(\tilde{\lambda}(t))$ is identically equal to the left hand side of the results of the lemma. That concludes the proof.

Lemma 1.3.6 ([Guesmia 20]) *Let $E : \mathbb{R}^+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a differentiable function, $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{+*}$ and $a_3, \lambda, r, p \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that*

$$a_3 \lambda (r+1) < 1$$

and for all $0 \leq s \leq T < +\infty$,

$$\int_s^T E^{r+1}(t) dt \leq a_1(s)E(s) + a_2 E^{p+1}(s) + a_3 E^{r+1}(T),$$

$$E'(t) \leq \lambda E(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0$$

Then there exist two positive constants ω and c such that ,for all $t \geq 0$,

$$E(t) \leq ce^{-\omega t}, \text{ if } r = 0$$

$$E(t) \leq c(1+t)^{-1/r}, \text{ if } r > 0 \text{ and } \lambda = 0$$

$$E(t) \leq c(1+t)^{\frac{-1}{r(r+1)}}, \text{ if } r > 0 \text{ and } \lambda > 0$$

Proof of Lemma 1.3.6.

We show that E verifies the inequality (1.33).Applying the lemma (1.3.5),we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_3 E^{r+1}(T) &= a_3 \int_s^T E^{r+1}(t) dt + a_3 E^{r+1}(s) \\ &\leq a_3(r+1) \int_s^T \lambda E^{r+1}(t) dt + a_3 E^{r+1}(s) \end{aligned}$$

Under (1.33),we obtain:

$$(1.41) \quad \int_s^{+\infty} E^{r+1}(t) dt \leq b(s)E(s), \quad \forall s \geq 0$$

where

$$b(s) = \frac{a_1 + a_2 E^p(s) + a_3 E^r(s)}{1 - a_3 \lambda (r+1)}, \quad \forall s \geq 0$$

We consider the function f_0 defined in (1.38)and integrating on $[0, s]$ the inequality

$$E^{r+1}(t) \geq \partial_t(f_0(t)E^{r+1}(t)), \quad \forall t \geq 0$$

we obtain under (1.41)

$$b(0)E(0) \geq \int_0^s E^{r+1}(t) dt \geq f_0(s)E^{r+1}(s), \quad \forall s \geq 0$$

then

$$E(s) \leq \left(\frac{b(0)E(0)}{f_0(s)} \right)^{\frac{1}{r+1}}, \quad \forall s \geq 0$$

on the other hand, the conditions of the lemma implies that

$$E(s) \leq E(0) \exp(\tilde{\lambda}(s)) \quad \forall s \geq 0$$

Hence

$$E(s) \leq \min \left\{ E(0) \exp(\tilde{\lambda}(s)), \left(\frac{b(0)E(0)}{f_0(s)} \right)^{\frac{1}{r+1}} \right\} = d(s) \quad \forall s \geq 0$$

d is continuous and positive and

$$b(s) \leq \frac{a_1 + a_2(d(s))^p + a_3(d(s))^r}{1 - a_3\lambda(r+1)}, \quad \forall s \geq 0$$

Hence we can conclude from (1.41) the first inequality (1.33) of the lemma (1.3.5) with

$$a(s) = \frac{a_1 + a_2(d(s))^p + a_3(d(s))^r}{1 - a_3\lambda(r+1)}, \quad \forall s \geq 0.$$

This completes the proof.

1.4 Existence Methods

1.4.1 Faedo-Galerkin's approximations

We consider the Cauchy problem abstract's for a second order evolution equation in the separable Hilbert space with the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and the associated norm $\|\cdot\|$.

$$(P) \quad \begin{cases} u''(t) + A(t)u(t) = f(t), & t \in [0, T] \\ (x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad u'(x, 0) = u_1(x); \end{cases}$$

where u and f are unknown and given function, respectively, mapping the closed interval $[0, T] \subset \mathbb{R}$ into a real separable Hilbert space H , $A(t)$ ($0 \leq t \leq T$) are linear bounded operators in H acting in the energy space $V \subset H$.

Assume that $\langle A(t)u(t), v(t) \rangle = a(t; u(t), v(t))$, for all $u, v \in V$; where $a(t; \cdot, \cdot)$ is a bilinear continuous in V .

The problem (P) can be formulated as: Found the solution $u(t)$ such that

$$(\tilde{P}) \quad \begin{cases} u \in C([0, T]; V), u' \in C([0, T]; H) \\ \langle u''(t), v \rangle + a(t; u(t), v) = \langle f, v \rangle \text{ in } D'([0, T]) \\ u_0 \in V, \quad u_1 \in H; \end{cases}$$

This problem can be resolved with the approximation process of Faedo-Galerkin.

Let V_m a sub-space of V with the finite dimension d_m , and let $\{w_{jm}\}$ one basis of V_m such that .

1. $V_m \subset V$ ($\dim V_m < \infty$), $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$
2. $V_m \rightarrow V$ such that, there exist a dense subspace ϑ in V and for all $v \in \vartheta$ we can get sequence $\{u_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \in V_m$ and $u_m \rightarrow u$ in V .
3. $V_m \subset V_{m+1}$ and $\overline{\cup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} V_m} = V$.

we define the solution u_m of the approximate problem

$$(P_m) \quad \begin{cases} u_m(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{d_m} g_j(t)w_{jm} \\ u_m \in C([0, T]; V_m), u'_m \in C([0, T]; V_m) \quad , u_m \in L^2(0, T; V_m) \\ \langle u''_m(t), w_{jm} \rangle + a(t; u_m(t), w_{jm}) = \langle f, w_{jm} \rangle, \quad 1 \leq j \leq d_m \\ u_m(0) = \sum_{j=1}^{d_m} \xi_j(t)w_{jm} \quad , \quad u'_m(0) = \sum_{j=1}^{d_m} \eta_j(t)w_{jm} \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{d_m} \xi_j(t)w_{jm} &\longrightarrow u_0 \text{ in } V \text{ as } m \longrightarrow \infty \\ \sum_{j=1}^{d_m} \eta_j(t)w_{jm} &\longrightarrow u_1 \text{ in } V \text{ as } m \longrightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of the theory of ordinary differential equations, the system (P_m) has unique local solution which is extend to a maximal interval $[0, t_m[$ by Zorn lemma since the non-linear terms have the suitable regularity. In the next step, we obtain a priori estimates for the solution, so that can be extended outside $[0, t_m[$, to obtain one solution defined for all $t > 0$.

1.4.2 A priori estimation and convergence

Using the following estimation

$$\|u_m\|^2 + \|u'_m\|^2 \leq C \left\{ \|u_m(0)\|^2 + \|u'_m(0)\|^2 + \int_0^T \|f(s)\|^2 ds \right\} ; \quad 0 \leq t \leq T$$

and the Gronwall lemma we deduce that the solution u_m of the approximate problem (P_m) converges to the solution u of the initial problem (P) . The uniqueness proves that u is the solution.

1.4.3 Gronwall's lemma

Lemma 1.4.1 *Let $T > 0$, $g \in L^1(0, T)$, $g \geq 0$ a.e and c_1, c_2 are positives constants. Let $\varphi \in L^1(0, T)$ $\varphi \geq 0$ a.e such that $g\varphi \in L^1(0, T)$ and*

$$\varphi(t) \leq c_1 + c_2 \int_0^t g(s)\varphi(s)ds \quad \text{a.e in } (0, T).$$

then, we have

$$\varphi(t) \leq c_1 \exp \left(c_2 \int_0^t g(s)ds \right) \quad \text{a.e in } (0, T).$$

1.4.4 Semigroups approach

Definition 1.4.1 ([83]). Let X be a Banach space. A one parameter family $T(t)$ for $0 \leq t < \infty$ of bounded linear operators from X into X is a semigroup bounded linear operator on X if

- $T(0) = I$, (I is the identity operator on X).
- $T(t + s) = T(t).T(s)$ for every $t, s \geq 0$ (the semigroup property).

A semigroup of bounded linear operators, $T(t)$, is uniformly continuous if

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|T(t) - I\| = 0.$$

The linear operator A defined by

$$D(A) = \left\{ x \in X; \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{T(t)x - x}{t} \text{ exists} \right\}$$

and

$$Ax = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{T(t)x - x}{t} = \left. \frac{d^+T(t)x}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \quad \forall x \in D(A)$$

is the infinitesimal generator of the semigroup $T(t)$, $D(A)$ is the domain of A .

Theorem 1.4.1 ([83])(Lumer-Phillips). Let A be a linear operator with dense domain $D(A)$ in X

- If A is dissipative and there is a $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that the range, $R(\lambda_0 I - A) = X$, then A is the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 semigroup of contraction on X .
- If A is the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 semigroup of contractions on X then $R(\lambda_0 I - A) = X \quad \forall \lambda > 0$ and A is dissipative.

Remark 1.4.1 In the last chapter we will give an example for illustration

Chapter 2

Global existence and asymptotic behavior for a coupled system of viscoelastic wave equations with a delay term

2.0.5 Introduction

In this chapter we consider a coupled system of viscoelastic wave equations with a delay term, firstly global existence of the solutions is proved by Faedo-Galerkin's procedure. Furthermore, we study the asymptotic behavior in using multiplier technique introduced by A. Guessmia [20]. We consider the following problem :

$$(2.1) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_{tt} - \Delta u - \Delta u_{tt} + \int_0^t g_1(t-s)\Delta u(s)ds \\ + \sum_{i=1}^2 \mu_i u_t(x, t - \tau(i)) + f_1(u, v) = 0, \\ v_{tt} - \Delta v - \Delta v_{tt} + \int_0^t g_2(t-s)\Delta v(s)ds \\ + \sum_{i=1}^2 \alpha_i v_t(x, t - \tau(i)) + f_2(u, v) = 0, \\ u(x, t) = 0, \quad v(x, t) = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma \times (0, +\infty), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad v(x, 0) = v_0(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \\ u_t(x, t - \tau(2)) = \phi_0(x, t - \tau(2)), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t \in (0, \tau_2), \\ v_t(x, t - \tau(2)) = \phi_1(x, t - \tau(2)), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t \in (0, \tau_2), \quad \tau(1) = 0, \quad \tau(2) = \tau_2. \end{array} \right.$$

Where Ω is a bounded domain in $R^n, n \in N^*$, with a smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, and $g_1, g_2 : R^+ \rightarrow R^+, \phi_i(.,.) : R^2 \rightarrow R, i = 1, 2$, are given functions which will be specified later, $\tau_2 > 0$ is a time delay, where $\mu_1, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \mu_2$ are positive real numbers and the initial data

$(u_0, u_1, f_0), (v_0, v_1, f_1)$ belonging to a suitable space. Problems of this type arise in material science and physics.

Recently, the authors of [2] considered the following coupled system of quasilinear viscoelastic equation in canonical form without delay terms

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{cases} |u_t|^\rho u_{tt} - \Delta u - \gamma_1 \Delta u_{tt} + \int_0^t g_1(t-s) \Delta u(s) ds + f_1(x, u) = 0, & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, +\infty), \\ |v_t|^\rho v_{tt} - \Delta v - \gamma_2 \Delta v_{tt} + \int_0^t g_2(t-s) \Delta v(s) ds + f_2(x, u) = 0, & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, +\infty), \end{cases}$$

where Ω is a bounded domain in R^n ($n \geq 1$) with a smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \geq 0$ are constants and ρ is a real number such that $0 < \rho < \frac{2}{(n-2)}$ if $n \geq 3$ or $\rho > 0$ if $n = 1, 2$. The functions u_0, u_1, v_0 and v_1 are given initial data. The relaxations functions g_1 and g_2 are continuous functions and $f_1(u, v), f_2(u, v)$ represent the nonlinear terms. The authors proved the energy decay result using the perturbed energy method.

Many authors considered the initial boundary value problem as follows

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{cases} u_{tt} - \Delta u + \int_0^t g_1(t-s) \Delta u(s) ds + h_1(u_t) = f_1(x, u), & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, +\infty), \\ v_{tt} - \Delta v + \int_0^t g_2(t-s) \Delta v(s) ds + h_2(v_t) = f_2(x, u), & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, +\infty), \end{cases}$$

when the viscoelastic terms g_i ($i = 1, 2$) are not taken into account in (2.3), Agre and Rammaha [4] obtained several results related to local and global existence of a weak solution, they showed that any weak solution blow-up in finite time with negative initial energy. Later Said-Houari [6] extended this blow up result to positive initial energy. Conversely, in the presence of the memory term ($g_i \neq 0$ ($i = 1, 2$)), there are numerous results related to the asymptotic behavior and blow up of solutions of viscoelastic systems. For example, Liang and Gao [7] studied problem (2.3) with $h_1(u_t) = -\Delta u_t$, $h_2(v_t) = -\Delta v_t$. They obtained that, under suitable conditions on the functions $g_i, f_i, i = 1, 2$, and certain initial data in the stable set, the decay rate of the energy functions is exponential. On the contrary, for certain initial data in the unstable set, there are solutions with positive initial energy that blow-up in finite time. For $h_1(u_t) = |u_t|^{m-1} u_t$ and $h_2(v_t) = |v_t|^{r-1} v_t$. Hun and Wang [8] established several results related to local existence, global existence and finite time blow-up (the initial energy $E(0) < 0$). On the other hand, Messaoudi and Tatar [9] considered the following problem

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{cases} u_{tt} - \Delta u + \int_0^t g_1(t-s) \Delta u(s) ds + f_1(x, u) = 0, & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, +\infty), \\ v_{tt} - \Delta v + \int_0^t g_2(t-s) \Delta v(s) ds + f_2(x, u) = 0, & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, +\infty), \end{cases}$$

where the functions f_1 and f_2 satisfy the following assumptions

$$\begin{cases} |f_1(u, v)| \leq d(|u|^{\beta_1} + |v|^{\beta_2}), \\ |f_2(u, v)| \leq d(|u|^{\beta_3} + |v|^{\beta_4}), \end{cases}$$

for some constant $d > 0$ and $\beta_i \geq 0, \beta_i \leq \frac{n}{(n-2)}, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. They obtained that the solution goes to zero with an exponential or polynomial rate, depending on the decay rate of the relaxation functions $g_i, i = 1, 2$.

Muhammad I.M [26] considered the following problem

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{cases} u_{tt} - \Delta u + \int_0^t g_1(t-s)\Delta u(s)ds + f_1(v, u) = 0, & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, +\infty), \\ v_{tt} - \Delta v + \int_0^t g_2(t-s)\Delta v(s)ds + f_2(v, u) = 0, & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, +\infty), \end{cases}$$

and proved the well-posedness and energy decay result for wider class of relaxation functions. In the present paper, we analyze the influence of the viscoelastic terms, damping terms and delay terms on the solutions to (2.1). Under suitable assumptions on the functions $g_i(\cdot), f_i(\cdot, \cdot)(i = 1, 2)$, the initial data and the parameters in the equations, we establish several results concerning global existence, asymptotic behavior and boundedness of solutions to (2.1).

2.0.6 Preliminary Results

In this subsection, we present some material for the proof of our result. For the relaxation function g_i we assume

(A₁) : The relaxations functions g_1 and g_2 are of class C^1 and satisfy, for $s \geq 0$

$$\begin{cases} g_1(s) \geq 0, \quad 1 - \int_0^\infty g_1(s)ds = l_1 > 0, \\ g_2(s) \geq 0, \quad 1 - \int_0^\infty g_2(s)ds = l_2 > 0, \\ g_1'(t) \leq -rg_1(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0, \\ g_2'(t) \leq -rg_2(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

and $g_2'(s) \leq 0$ and $g_1'(s) \leq 0$.

We take f_1, f_2 as in [10]

$$(2.6) \quad f_1(u, v) = a|u + v|^{p-1}(u + v) + b|u|^{\frac{p-3}{2}}|v|^{\frac{p+1}{2}}u,$$

$$(2.7) \quad f_2(u, v) = a|u + v|^{p-1}(u + v) + b|v|^{\frac{p-3}{2}}|u|^{\frac{p+1}{2}}v.$$

With $a, b > 0$ Further, one can easily verify that

$$uf_1(u, v) + vf_2(u, v) = (p + 1)F(u, v), \forall (u, v) \in R^2.$$

Where

$$F(u, v) = \frac{1}{(p+1)}(a|u+v|^{p+1} + 2b|uv|^{\frac{p+1}{2}}), \quad f_1(u, v) = \frac{\partial F}{\partial u}, \quad f_2(u, v) = \frac{\partial F}{\partial v}.$$

(A₂) : there exists $c_0, c_1 > 0$, such that

$$c_0(|u|^{p+1} + |v|^{p+1}) \leq F(u, v) \leq c_1(|u|^{p+1} + |v|^{p+1}), \forall (u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

and

$$\left| \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial u}(u, v) \right| + \left| \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial v}(u, v) \right| \leq C(|u|^{p-1} + |v|^{p-1}), \quad i = 1, 2 \quad \text{where } 1 \leq p < 6$$

(A₃) :

$$(2.8) \quad \text{if } n = 1, 2; \quad p \geq 3 \quad \text{if } n = 3; \quad p = 3$$

Lemma 2.0.2 (Sobolev-Poincaré inequality). Let $2 \leq m \leq \frac{2n}{n-2}$. The inequality

$$\|u\|_m \leq c_s \|\nabla u\|_2 \quad \text{for } u \in H_0^1(\Omega),$$

holds with some positive constant c_s .

Lemma 2.0.3 ([11]). For any $g \in C^1$ and $\phi \in H^1(0, T)$, we have

$$\int_0^t \int_{\Omega} g(t-s) \phi \phi_t dx ds = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left((g\phi)(t) + \int_0^t g(s) ds \|\phi\|_2^2 \right) - g(t) \|\phi\|_2^2 + (g' \phi)(t),$$

where

$$(g\phi)(t) = \int_0^t g(t-s) \int_{\Omega} |\phi(s, x) - \phi(t, x)|^2 dx ds.$$

Lemma 2.0.4 ([11]). Suppose that (2.8) holds. Then there exists $\rho > 0$ such that for any $(u, v) \in H_0^1(\Omega)^2$, we have

$$\|u+v\|_{\frac{p+1}{2}}^{p+1} + 2\|uv\|_{\frac{p+1}{2}}^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \leq \rho(l_1 \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + l_2 \|\nabla v\|_2^2)^{\frac{p+1}{2}}.$$

Lemma 2.0.5 . Guessmia ([20]) $E : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a differentiable function $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{+*}$ and $a_3, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$a_3 \lambda (r+1) < 1,$$

and for all $0 \leq S \leq T < +\infty$,

$$\int_S^T E^{q+1}(t) dt \leq a_1(S)E(S) + a_2 E^{q+1}(S) + a_3 E^{r+1}(T),$$

$$E'(t) \leq \lambda E(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

then there exist two positive constants and c such that, for all $t \geq 0$

$$E(t) \leq ce^{-\omega t}, \text{ if } r > 0,$$

$$E(t) \leq c(1+t)^{\frac{-1}{r}}, \text{ if } r > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda = 0,$$

$$E(t) \leq c(1+t)^{\frac{-1}{r(r+1)}}, \text{ if } r > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda > 0.$$

Remark 2.0.1 . Avoiding the complexity of the matter , we take $a = b = 1$ in (2.6) – (2.7)

2.0.7 Global existence

In order to prove the existence of solutions of problem (2.1), we introduce the new variables z_1, z_2 as in [12]

$$z_1(x, k_1, t) = u_t(x, t - \tau_2 k_1), x \in \Omega, k_1 \in (0, 1),$$

$$z_2(x, k_2, t) = u_t(x, t - \tau_2 k_2), x \in \Omega, k_2 \in (0, 1),$$

which implies that

$$\tau_2 z_1'(x, k_1, t) + z_{k_1}(x, k_1, t) = 0 \in \Omega \times (0, 1) \times (0, \infty),$$

$$\tau_2 z_2'(x, k_2, t) + z_{k_2}(x, k_2, t) = 0 \in \Omega \times (0, 1) \times (0, \infty),$$

therefore, problem (2.1) is equivalent to

$$(2.9) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_{tt} - \Delta u - \Delta u_{tt} + \int_0^t g_1(t-s) \Delta u(s) ds \\ + \mu_1 u_t(x, t) + \mu_2 z_1(x, 1, t) + f_1(u, v) = 0, \\ v_{tt} - \Delta v - \Delta v_{tt} + \int_0^t g_2(t-s) \Delta u(s) ds \\ + \alpha_1 v_t(x, t) + \alpha_2 z_2(x, 1, t) + f_2(u, v) = 0, \\ \tau_2 z_1'(x, k_1, t) + z_{k_1}(x, k_1, t) = 0 \in \Omega \times (0, 1) \times (0, \infty), \\ \tau_2 z_2'(x, k_2, t) + z_{k_2}(x, k_2, t) = 0 \in \Omega \times (0, 1) \times (0, \infty), \\ z_1(x, 0, t) = u_t(x, t), x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ z_2(x, 0, t) = v_t(x, t), x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ z_1(x, k_1, 0) = \phi_0(x, -\tau_2 k_1), x \in \Omega, \\ z_2(x, k_2, 0) = \phi_1(x, -\tau_2 k_2), x \in \Omega, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x), x \in \Omega, \\ v(x, 0) = v_0(x), v_t(x, 0) = v_1(x), x \in \Omega, \\ u(x, t) = 0, v(x, t) = 0, x \in \partial\Omega, t \geq 0. \end{array} \right.$$

In the following, we will give sufficient conditions for the well-posedness of problem (2.9) by using the Fadeo-Galerkin's method.

Theorem 2.0.2 . Suppose that $\mu_2 < \mu_1$, $\alpha_2 < \alpha_1$, $(A_1) - (A_3)$ holds. Assume that $((u_0, u_1), (v_0, v_1)) \in (H_0^1(\Omega))^2$ and $(\phi_0, \phi_1) \in (L^2(\Omega \times (0, 1)))^2$. Then there exists a unique solution $((u, z_1), (v, z_2))$ of (2.9) satisfying

$$u(t), v(t) \in C([- \tau_2, \infty); H_0^1(\Omega)) \cap C^1([- \tau_2, \infty); L^2(\Omega)),$$

$$u_t(t), v_t(t) \in L^2([- \tau_2, \infty); H_0^1(\Omega)) \cap L^2([- \tau_2, \infty) \times \Omega).$$

Proof We use the standard Faedo-Galerkin's method to construct approximate solution. Let $\{w_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ is a basis of $D(A) = H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$. It is known that $\{w_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ forms an orthonormal basis for $L^2(\Omega)$ as well as for $H_0^1(\Omega)$. Moreover, the linear span of $\{w_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ is dense in $L^q(\Omega)$ for any $1 \leq q < \infty$. W_n is the linear span of $\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$, we define also for $1 \leq j \leq n$, the sequence $\varphi_j(x, k)$ as follows $\varphi_j(x, 0) = w_j(x)$. Then we may extend $\varphi_j(x, 0)$ by $\varphi_j(x, k)$ over $L^2(\Omega \times [0, 1])$ and denote V_n to be the space generated by $\{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n\}$, $n = 1, 2, 3$.

$$u^n(t) = \sum_{j=1}^n u_{k,j}(t)w_j \quad z_1^n(t) = \sum_{j=1}^n z_{k_1,j}(t)\varphi_j(x, k),$$

$$v^n(t) = \sum_{j=1}^n v_{k,j}(t)w_j, \quad z_2^n(t) = \sum_{j=1}^n z_{k_2,j}(t)\varphi_j(x, k),$$

where $((u^n(t), z_1^n(t)), (v^n(t), z_2^n(t)))$ are the solutions of the following approximate problem corresponding to (2.9) then $((u^n(t), z_1^n(t)), (v^n(t), z_2^n(t)))$ verify the following system of ODEs:

$$(2.10) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_{tt} - \Delta u - \Delta u_{tt} + \int_0^t g_1(t-s)\Delta u(s)ds \\ + \mu_1 u_t(x, t) + \mu_2 z_1(x, 1, t) + f_1(u, v) = 0, \\ v_{tt} - \Delta v - \Delta v_{tt} + \int_0^t g_2(t-s)\Delta u(s)ds \\ + \alpha_1 v_t(x, t) + \alpha_2 z_2(x, 1, t) + f_2(u, v) = 0, \\ \tau_2 z_1'(x, k_1, t) + z_{k_1}(x, k_1, t) = 0 \in \Omega \times (0, 1) \times (0, \infty), \\ \tau_2 z_2'(x, k_2, t) + z_{k_2}(x, k_2, t) = 0 \in \Omega \times (0, 1) \times (0, \infty), \\ z_1(x, 0, t) = u_t(x, t), x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ z_2(x, 0, t) = v_t(x, t), x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ z_1(x, k_1, 0) = \phi_0(x, -\tau_2 k_1), x \in \Omega, \\ z_2(x, k_2, 0) = \phi_1(x, -\tau_2 k_2), x \in \Omega, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x), x \in \Omega, \\ v(x, 0) = v_0(x), v_t(x, 0) = v_1(x), x \in \Omega, \\ u(x, t) = 0, v(x, t) = 0, x \in \partial\Omega, t \geq 0. \end{array} \right.$$

$$(2.11) \quad \langle u_{tt}^n(t), w_j \rangle + \langle \nabla u^n(t), \nabla w_j \rangle + \langle \nabla u_{tt}^n(t), \nabla w_j \rangle + \left\langle \int_0^t g_1(t-s)\nabla u^n(t)ds, \nabla w_j \right\rangle \\ + \langle \mu_1 u_t^n(x, t), w_j \rangle + \langle \mu_2 z_1^n(x, 1, t), w_j \rangle + \langle f_1(u^n(t), v^n(t)), w_j \rangle = 0,$$

$$(2.12) \quad \langle v_{tt}^n(t), w_j \rangle + \langle \nabla v^n(t), \nabla w_j \rangle + \langle \nabla v_{tt}^n(t), \nabla w_j \rangle + \left\langle \int_0^t g_2(t-s) \nabla v^n(t) ds, \nabla w_j \right\rangle \\ + \langle \alpha_1 v_t^n(x, t), w_j \rangle + \langle \alpha_2 z_2^n(x, 1, t), w_j \rangle + \langle f_2(u^n(t), v^n(t)), w_j \rangle = 0,$$

for $j = 1, \dots, n$. More specifically

$$(2.13) \quad u^n(0) = \sum_{j=1}^n u_{k,j}(0) w_j, v^n(0) = \sum_{j=1}^n v_{k,j}(0) w_j,$$

$$(2.14) \quad u_t^n(0) = \sum_{j=1}^n u'_{k,j}(0) w_j, v_t^n(0) = \sum_{j=1}^n v'_{k,j}(0) w_j,$$

where

$$u^n(0) = \langle u^0, w_j \rangle, v^n(0) = \langle v^0, w_j \rangle, u_t^n(0) = \langle v^1, w_j \rangle, v_t^n(0) = \langle v^1, w_j \rangle,$$

$j = 1, \dots, n$. Obviously, $u^n(0) \rightarrow u^0$, $v^n(0) \rightarrow v^0$ strongly in $H_0^1(\Omega)$, $u_t^n(0) \rightarrow u^1$, $v_t^n(0) \rightarrow v^1$ strongly in $L^2(\Omega)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

$$(2.15) \quad (\tau_2 z_{1t}^n(x, k_1, t) + z_{1k}^n(x, k_1, t)) \varphi_i = 0,$$

$$(2.16) \quad (\tau_2 z_{2t}^n(x, k_2, t) + z_{2k}^n(x, k_2, t)) \varphi_i = 0,$$

$$(2.17) \quad z_1^n(0) = z_1^n \rightarrow f_0, z_2^n(0) = z_2^n \rightarrow f_1 \text{ in } L^2(\Omega \times (0, 1)).$$

Let ξ_1, ξ_2 be positive constants such that

$$(2.18) \quad \tau_2 \mu_2 < \xi_1 < \tau_2 (2\mu_1 - \mu_2),$$

$$(2.19) \quad \tau_2 \alpha_2 < \xi_1 < \tau (2\alpha_1 - \alpha_2).$$

Step one.

Energy estimates.

Multiplying equation (2.11) by $u'_{k,j}(t)$ and the equation (2.12) by $v'_{k,j}(t)$, and summing with respect to j , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.20) \quad & \frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{1}{2} \|u_t^n\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|v_t^n\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u^n(t)\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla v^n(t)\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u_t^n(t)\|_2^2 \right] \\
& + \frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{1}{2} \|\nabla v_t^n(t)\|_2^2 + \int_{\Omega} F(u^n, v^n) dx \right] + \frac{\mu_1}{2} \|u_t^n\|_2^2 + \frac{\alpha_1}{2} \|v_t^n\|_2^2 \\
& + \mu_2 \int_{\Omega} z_1(x, 1, t) u_t^n(x, t) dx + \alpha_2 \int_{\Omega} z_2(x, 1, t) v_t^n(x, t) dx \\
& - \int_0^t g_1(t-s) \int_{\Omega} \nabla u^n(s) \nabla u_t^n(s) dx ds - \int_0^t g_2(t-s) \int_{\Omega} \nabla v^n(s) \nabla v_t^n(s) dx ds,
\end{aligned}$$

Using a lemma 2.0.3 and integrating (2.20) over $(0, t)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.21) \quad & \frac{1}{2} \|u_t^n\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|v_t^n\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u_t^n\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla v_t^n\|_2^2 + \int_{\Omega} F(u^n, v^n) dx \\
& \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \int_0^t g_1(s) ds \right) \|\nabla u^n(t)\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \int_0^t g_2(s) ds \right) \|\nabla v^n(t)\|_2^2 \\
& + \frac{1}{2} (g_1 \circ \nabla u^n)(t) + \frac{1}{2} (g_2 \circ \nabla v^n)(t) + \mu_1 \int_0^t \|u_t^n(s)\|_2^2 ds + \alpha_1 \int_0^t \|v_t^n(s)\|_2^2 ds \\
& + \mu_2 \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} z_1^n(x, 1, s) u_t^n dx ds + \alpha_2 \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} z_2^n(x, 1, s) v_t^n dx ds \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t g_1(s) \|\nabla u_t^n\|_2^2 ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t g_2(s) \|\nabla v_t^n\|_2^2 ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t (g_1' \circ \nabla u^n)(s) ds \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t (g_2' \circ \nabla v^n)(s) ds,
\end{aligned}$$

we multiply the equation (2.15) by $\frac{\xi_1}{\tau_2} z_{k_1,j}(t)$ and the equation (2.16) by $\frac{\xi_2}{\tau_2} z_{k_2,j}(t)$, summing with respect to j and integrating the result over $\Omega \times (0, 1)$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.22) \quad & \xi_1 \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 (z_1^n)' z_1^n(x, k_1, t) dk_1 dx = \frac{-\xi_1}{2\tau_2} \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial k_1} (z_1^n)^2(x, k_1, t) dk_1 dx, \\
& = \frac{-\xi_1}{2\tau_2} \int_{\Omega} ((z_1^n)^2(x, 1, t) - (z_1^n)^2(x, 0, t)) dx,
\end{aligned}$$

then

$$(2.23) \quad \frac{\xi_1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 (z_1^n)^2(x, k_1, t) dk_1 dx = -\frac{\xi_1}{2\tau_2} \int_{\Omega} (z_1^n)^2(x, k_1, t) dx + \frac{\xi_1}{2\tau} \|u_t^n\|_2^2,$$

in the same manner

$$(2.24) \quad \frac{\xi_2}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 (z_2^n)^2(x, k_2, t) dk_2 dx = -\frac{\xi_2}{2\tau_2} \int_{\Omega} (z_2^n)^2(x, k_2, t) dx + \frac{\xi_2}{2\tau} \|v_t^n\|_2^2.$$

Summing (2.21), (2.23) and (2.24), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.25) \quad & E^n(t) + \left(\mu_1 - \frac{\xi_1}{2\tau_2} \right) \int_0^t \|u_t^n\|_2^2 ds + \left(\alpha_1 - \frac{\xi_2}{2\tau_2} \right) \int_0^t \|v_t^n\|_2^2 ds \\
& + \frac{\xi_1}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} (z_1^n)^2(x, 1, s) dx ds + \frac{\xi_2}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} (z_2^n)^2(x, 1, s) dx ds \\
& + \mu_2 \int_{\Omega} \int_0^t z_2^n(x, 1, s) v_t^n(x, t) dx ds + \alpha_2 \int_{\Omega} \int_0^t z_2^n(x, 1, s) v_t^n(x, t) dx ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Young and Cauchy-Schwartz inequalities, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.26) \quad & E^n(t) + \left(\mu_1 - \frac{\xi_1}{2\tau_2} - \frac{\mu_2}{2} \right) \int_0^t \|u_t^n\|_2^2 ds + \left(\alpha_1 - \frac{\xi_2}{2\tau_2} - \frac{\alpha_2}{2} \right) \int_0^t \|v_t^n\|_2^2 ds \\
& + \left(\frac{\xi_1}{2\tau_2} - \frac{\mu_2}{2} \right) \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} (z_1^n)^2(x, 1, s) dx ds + \left(\frac{\xi_2}{2\tau_2} - \frac{\alpha_2}{2} \right) \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} (z_2^n)^2(x, 1, s) dx ds \\
& = E^n(0).
\end{aligned}$$

Where $E(t)$ is the energy of the solution defined by the following formula

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.27) \quad & E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \|u_t(t)\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|v_t(t)\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u_t(t)\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla v_t(t)\|_2^2 \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \int_0^t g_1(s) ds \right) \|\nabla u(t)\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \int_0^t g_2(s) ds \right) \|\nabla v(t)\|_2^2 \\
& + \frac{\xi_1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 z_1^2(x, k_1, t) dk_1 dx + \frac{\xi_2}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 z_2^2(x, k_2, t) dk_2 dx \\
& + \int_{\Omega} F(u, v) dx + \frac{1}{2} (g_1 \circ \nabla u)(t) + \frac{1}{2} (g_2 \circ \nabla v)(t).
\end{aligned}$$

we shall prove that the problem (2.11) – (2.17) admits a local solution in $[0, t_m)$, $0 < t_m < T$, for an arbitrary $T > 0$. The extension of the solution to the whole interval $[0, T]$ is a consequence of the estimates below.

Step two.

First estimate. We Multiply the equation (2.11) by $w_j = -\Delta u_t^n$, and equation (2.12) by $w_j = -\Delta v_t^n$ and summing with respect to n from 1 to n , respectively, using a lemma 2.0.3, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.28) \quad & \frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u_t^n\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta u_t^n\|_2^2 + \left(1 - \int_0^t g_1(s) ds \right) \|\Delta u^n\|_2^2 + (g_1 \circ \Delta u^n)(t) \right] \\
& + \frac{1}{2} g_1(t) \|\Delta u^n\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{2} (g_1' \circ \Delta u^n)(t) + \frac{\mu_1}{2} \|\nabla u_t^n\|_2^2 \\
& + \mu_2 \int_{\Omega} z_1^n(x, 1, t) \Delta u_t^n(t) dx = \int_{\Omega} f_1(u^n(t), v^n(t)) \Delta u^n(t) dx,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.29) \quad & \frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{1}{2} \|\nabla v_t^n\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta v_t^n\|_2^2 + \left(1 - \int_0^t g_2(s) ds\right) \|\Delta v^n\|_2^2 + (g_2 \circ \Delta v^n)(t) \right] \\
& + \frac{1}{2} g_2(t) \|\Delta v^n\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{2} (g_2' \circ \Delta v^n)(t) + \frac{\alpha_1}{2} \|\nabla v_t^n\|_2^2 \\
& + \alpha_2 \int_{\Omega} z_2^n(x, 1, t) \Delta v_t^n(t) dx = \int_{\Omega} f_2(u^n(t), v^n(t)) \Delta v^n(t) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Young's inequalities, summing (2.28)-(2.29), and integrating over $(0, t)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.30) \quad & \frac{1}{2} [\|\nabla u_t^n\|_2^2 + \|\nabla v_t^n\|_2^2 + \|\Delta u_t^n\|_2^2 + \|\Delta v_t^n\|_2^2 + \left(1 - \int_0^t g_1(s) ds\right) \|\Delta u^n\|_2^2 \\
& + \left(1 - \int_0^t g_2(s) ds\right) \|\Delta v^n\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} ((g_1 \circ \Delta u^n)(t) + \frac{1}{2} (g_2 \circ \Delta v^n)(t) \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t g_1(s) \|\Delta u^n(s)\|_2^2 ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t g_2(s) \|\Delta v^n(s)\|_2^2 ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t (g_1' \circ \Delta u^n)(s) ds \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t (g_2' \circ \Delta v^n)(s) ds + \frac{\mu_1}{2} \int_0^t \|\nabla u_t^n(s)\|_2^2 ds + \frac{\alpha_1}{2} \int_0^t \|\nabla v_t^n(s)\|_2^2 ds \\
& + \mu_2 \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} (z_1^n)^2(x, 1, s) ds dx + \mu_2 \int_0^t \|\Delta u_t^n(s)\|_2^2 ds \\
& + \alpha_2 \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} (z_2^n)^2(x, 1, s) ds dx + \alpha_2 \int_0^t \|\Delta v_t^n(s)\|_2^2 dx ds \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u^{1n}\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla v^{1n}\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta u^{0n}\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta v^{0n}\|_2^2 \\
& + \int_{\Omega} \left(\begin{array}{l} f_1(u^n, v^n) \Delta u^n - f_1(u^0, v^0) \Delta u^0 \\ f_2(u^n, v^n) \Delta v^n - f_2(u^n, v^n) \Delta v^n \end{array} \right) dx \\
& - \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \left(\begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} f_1(u^n, v^n) u_t^n \Delta u^n + \frac{\partial}{\partial v} f_1(u^n, v^n) v_t^n \Delta u^n \\ + \frac{\partial}{\partial u} f_2(u^n, v^n) u_t^n \Delta v^n + \frac{\partial}{\partial v} f_2(u^n, v^n) v_t^n \Delta v^n \end{array} \right) dx ds,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$c_0 = \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u^{1n}\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla v^{1n}\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta u^{0n}\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta v^{0n}\|_2^2,$$

is a positive constant, we just need to estimate the right hand terms of (2.30). Applying Holder's inequality, Sobolev embedding theorem inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.31) \quad \left| \int_{\Omega} f_1(u^n(s), v^n(s)) \Delta u^n(s) dx ds \right| &\leq \int_{\Omega} \left(|u^n|^p + |v^n|^p + |u^n|^{\frac{p-1}{2}} |v^n|^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \right) |\Delta u^n| dx, \\
&\leq C \left(\|u^n\|_{2p}^p + \|v^n\|_{2p}^p \right) \|\Delta u^n\|_2, \\
&+ C \left(\|u^n\|_{\frac{2}{p-1}}^{3(p-1)} \|u^n\|_{\frac{2}{p+1}}^{\frac{3(p+1)}{2}} \right) \|\Delta u^n\|_2, \\
&\leq C \left(\|\nabla u^n\|_2^p + \|\nabla v^n\|_2^p \right) \|\Delta u^n\|_2 \\
&+ C \left(\|\nabla u^n\|_2^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \|\nabla v^n\|_2^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \right) \|\Delta u^n\|_2, \\
&\leq C \left(\|\Delta u^n\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u^n\|_2^{2p} \right) \\
&+ C \left(\|\nabla v^n\|_2^{2p} + \|\nabla u^n\|_2^{p-1} \|\nabla v^n\|_2^{p+1} \right), \\
&\leq C \|\Delta u^n\|_2^2 + c.
\end{aligned}$$

Likewise, we obtain

$$(2.32) \quad \left| \int_{\Omega} f_1(u^n, v^n) \Delta u^n dx \right| \leq C \|\Delta v^n\|_2^2 + c.$$

Now we estimate $I := \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} f_1(u^n, v^n) u_t^n \Delta u^n dx$,

then, by (A_2) and Young's inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.33) \quad |I| &\leq c \int_{\Omega} (|u^n|^{p-1} + |v^n|^{p-1}) |u_t^n| |\Delta u^n| dx, \\
&\leq c \left(\|u_t^n\| + \|u^n\|_{2p}^{p-1} \|u_t^n\|_{2p} + \|v^n\|_{2p}^{p-1} \|u_t^n\|_{2p} \right) \|\Delta u^n\|_2.
\end{aligned}$$

We get

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.34) \quad |I| &\leq c \left(\|\nabla u_t^n\|_2^{p-1} + \|\nabla v_t^n\|_2^{p-1} \right) \|\nabla u_t^n\|_2 \|\Delta u^n\|_2, \\
&\leq c \|\nabla u_t^n\|_2 \|\Delta u^n\|_2, \\
&\leq c \|\Delta u^n\|_2^2 + c \|\nabla u_t^n\|_2^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$(2.35) \quad y^n(t) = \|\nabla u_t^n(t)\|_2^2 + \|\nabla v_t^n(t)\|_2^2 + \|\Delta v^n(t)\|_2^2 + \|\Delta u^n(t)\|_2^2.$$

Then, we infer from (2.31) – (2.34) that

$$(2.36) \quad y^n(t) + \|\nabla u_t^n\|_2^2 \leq C_0 + C \int_0^t (y^n(s)) ds.$$

Using the Gronwall type inequality, we can get

$$(2.37) \quad y^n(t) \leq C.$$

Hence from (2.27) and (2.37), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.38) \quad &\|u_t^n(t)\|_2^2 + \|v_t^n(t)\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u^n(t)\|_2^2 + \|\nabla v^n(t)\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u_t^n(t)\|_2^2 + \|\nabla v_t^n(t)\|_2^2 \\
&+ \int_0^1 \int_{\Omega} z_1^n(x, 1, s) dx ds + \int_0^1 \int_{\Omega} z_2^n(x, 1, s) dx ds + (g_1 \circ \nabla u^n)(t) + (g_2 \circ \nabla v^n)(t) \\
&+ \int_0^1 \int_{\Omega} z_1^n(x, k_1, s) dx ds + \int_0^1 \int_{\Omega} z_2^n(x, k_2, s) dx ds + \int_{\Omega} F(u, v) dx \leq L_1,
\end{aligned}$$

where L_1 is a positive constant depending on the parameter $E(0)$.

Step three.

Second estimate.

In same manner we Multiply the equation (2.11) by $w_j = -\Delta u_{tt}^n$, (2.12) by $w_j = -\Delta v_{tt}^n$ and summing with respect to j from 1 to n , respectively

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.39) \quad & \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u_{tt}^n\|_2^2 + \int_{\Omega} \Delta u_{tt}^n \Delta u^n dx + \|\Delta u_{tt}^n\|_2^2 + \frac{\mu_1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla u_t^n\|_2^2 \\
& = - \int_{\Omega} \Delta u(t) \Delta u_{tt}^n dx + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} g_1(t-s) u^n(\tau) \Delta u_{tt}^n(t) dx d\tau \\
& - \mu_2 \int_{\Omega} z_1^n(x, 1, t) \Delta u_{tt}^n dx + \int_{\Omega} f_1(u^n, v^n) \Delta u_{tt}^n dx,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.40) \quad & \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla v_{tt}^n\|_2^2 + \int_{\Omega} \Delta v_{tt}^n \Delta v^n dx + \|\Delta v_{tt}^n\|_2^2 + \frac{\alpha_1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla v_t^n\|_2^2 \\
& = - \int_{\Omega} \Delta v(t) \Delta v_{tt}^n dx + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} g_2(t-s) v^n(\tau) \Delta v_{tt}^n(t) dx d\tau \\
& - \alpha_2 \int_{\Omega} z_2^n(x, 1, t) \Delta v_{tt}^n dx + \int_{\Omega} f_2(u^n, v^n) \Delta v_{tt}^n dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Summing (2.39)-(2.40) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.41) \quad & \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u_{tt}^n\|_2^2 + \int_{\Omega} \Delta u_{tt}^n \Delta u^n dx + \|\Delta u_{tt}^n\|_2^2 + \frac{\mu_1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla u_t^n\|_2^2 \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla v_{tt}^n\|_2^2 + \int_{\Omega} \Delta v_{tt}^n \Delta v^n dx + \|\Delta v_{tt}^n\|_2^2 + \frac{\alpha_1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla v_t^n\|_2^2 \\
& = - \int_{\Omega} \Delta u(t) \Delta u_{tt}^n dx + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} g_1(t-s) u^n(\tau) \Delta u_{tt}^n(t) dx d\tau \\
& - \int_{\Omega} \Delta v(t) \Delta v_{tt}^n dx + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} g_2(t-s) v^n(\tau) \Delta v_{tt}^n(t) dx d\tau \\
& - \mu_2 \int_{\Omega} z_1^n(x, 1, t) \Delta u_{tt}^n dx - \alpha_2 \int_{\Omega} z_2^n(x, 1, t) \Delta v_{tt}^n dx \\
& + \int_{\Omega} f_1(u^n, v^n) \Delta u_{tt}^n + f_2(u^n, v^n) \Delta v_{tt}^n dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Exploiting Holder, Young's inequalities, and lemma 2.0.3, for $\epsilon > 0$, $c > 0$ from the first estimate we have

$$(2.42) \quad \left| - \int_{\Omega} \Delta u(t) \Delta u_{tt}^n dx \right| \leq \epsilon c \|\Delta u_{tt}^n\|_2^2 + \frac{c}{4\epsilon} \|\Delta u^n\|_2^2,$$

$$(2.43) \quad \left| \int_0^t g_1(t-s) \int_{\Omega} u^n(\tau) \Delta u_{tt}^n(t) dx d\tau \right| \leq \epsilon \|\Delta u_{tt}^n\|_2^2 + \frac{(1-l_1)g_1(0)}{4\epsilon} \int_0^t \|\nabla u^n(s)\|_2^2 ds,$$

$$(2.44) \quad \left| - \int_{\Omega} z_1^n(x, 1, t) \Delta u_{tt}^n dx \right| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{\mu_2} \|\Delta u_{tt}^n\|_2^2 + \frac{\mu_2 c_s^2}{4\epsilon} \int_{\Omega} (z_1^n)^2(x, 1, s) dx,$$

$$(2.45) \quad \left| - \int_{\Omega} \Delta v(t) \Delta v_{tt}^n dx \right| \leq \epsilon c \|\Delta v_{tt}^n\|_2^2 + \frac{c}{4\epsilon} \|\Delta v^n\|_2^2,$$

$$(2.46) \quad \left| \int_0^t g_2(t-s) \int_{\Omega} v^n(\tau) \Delta v_{tt}^n(t) dx d\tau \right| \leq \epsilon \|\Delta v_{tt}^n\|_2^2 + \frac{(1-l_2)g_2(0)}{4\epsilon} \int_0^t \|\nabla v^n(s)\|_2^2 ds,$$

$$(2.47) \quad \left| - \int_{\Omega} z_2^n(x, 1, t) \Delta v_{tt}^n dx \right| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{\alpha_2} \|\Delta v_{tt}^n\|_2^2 + \frac{\alpha_2 c_s^2}{4\epsilon} \int_{\Omega} (z_2^n)^2(x, 1, s) dx,$$

$$(2.48) \quad \left| \int_{\Omega} f_1(u^n(s), v^n(s)) \Delta u_{tt}^n(s) dx ds \right| \leq \int_{\Omega} (|u^n|^p + |v^n|^p) \\ + \left(|u^n|^{\frac{p-1}{2}} |v^n|^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \right) |\Delta u_{tt}^n| dx, \\ \leq C (\|u^n\|_{2p}^p + \|v^n\|_{2p}^p) \|\Delta u_{tt}^n\| \\ + \left(\|u^n\|_{\frac{p-1}{2}}^{3(p-1)} \|u^n\|_{\frac{p+1}{2}}^{\frac{3(p+1)}{2}} \right) \|\Delta u_{tt}^n\|_2, \\ \leq C (\|\nabla u^n\|_2^p + \|\nabla v^n\|_2^p) \|\Delta u_{tt}^n\|_2 \\ + \left(\|\nabla u^n\|_2^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \|\nabla v^n\|_2^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \right) \|\Delta u_{tt}^n\|_2, \\ \leq C (\|\Delta u_{tt}^n\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u^n\|_2^{2p}) \|\Delta u_{tt}^n\|_2 \\ + (\|\nabla v^n\|_2^{2p} + \|\nabla u^n\|_2^{p-1} \|\nabla v^n\|_2^{p+1}) \|\Delta u_{tt}^n\|_2, \\ \leq C \|\Delta u_{tt}^n\|_2^2 + c.$$

Likewise, we obtain

$$(2.49) \quad \left| \int_{\Omega} f_2(u^n, v^n) \Delta u^n dx \right| \leq C \|\Delta v_{tt}^n\|_2^2 + c.$$

Substituting these estimates (2.42)-(2.49) into (2.41), then integrating the obtained inequality over $(0, t)$ and using (2.38), we deduce that

$$(2.50) \quad \int_0^t \|\nabla u_{tt}^n(s)\|_2^2 ds + \left(1 - \epsilon(c + 1 + \frac{1}{\mu_2})\right) \int_0^t \|\Delta u_{tt}^n(s)\|_2^2 ds + \frac{\mu_1}{2} \|u_t^n\|_2^2 \\ + \int_0^t \|\nabla v_{tt}^n(s)\|_2^2 ds + \left(1 - \epsilon(c + 1 + \frac{1}{\alpha_2})\right) \int_0^t \|\Delta v_{tt}^n(s)\|_2^2 ds + \frac{\alpha_1}{2} \|v_t^n\|_2^2 \\ \leq c\epsilon T + \frac{\mu_2 c_s^2}{4\epsilon} L_1 + (1 + (1-l)g_1(0)T)T + c_3 \\ + c\epsilon T + \frac{\alpha_2 c_s^2}{4\epsilon} L_1 + (1 + (1-l)g_2(0)T)T + c_4.$$

Where c_3, c_4 are positive constants depending only on $\|u^1\|_2^2$ and $\|v^1\|_2^2$. Choosing $\epsilon > 0$ small enough in (2.50) we obtain the second estimate

$$(2.51) \quad \int_0^t \|\nabla u_{tt}^n(s)\|_2^2 ds + \int_0^t \|\nabla v_{tt}^n(s)\|_2^2 ds + \int_0^t \|\Delta u_{tt}^n(s)\|_2^2 ds + \int_0^t \|\Delta v_{tt}^n(s)\|_2^2 ds \leq L_2,$$

where L_2 is a positive constant independent of $n \in N$ and $t \in [0, T]$.

We observe that estimates (2.38) and (2.50) imply that there exists a subsequence $(u^n, z_1^n), (v^n, z_2^n)$ such that

$$(2.52) \quad u^n \rightarrow u \text{ weakly star in } L^\infty(0, T; H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)),$$

$$(2.53) \quad v^n \rightarrow v \text{ weakly star in } L^\infty(0, T; H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)),$$

$$(2.54) \quad u_t^n \rightarrow u_t \text{ weakly star in } L^\infty(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega)),$$

$$(2.55) \quad v_t^n \rightarrow v_t \text{ weakly star in } L^\infty(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega)),$$

$$(2.56) \quad u_{tt}^n \rightarrow u_{tt} \text{ weakly star in } L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)),$$

$$(2.57) \quad v_{tt}^n \rightarrow v_{tt} \text{ weakly star in } L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)),$$

$$(2.58) \quad z_1^n \rightarrow z_1 \text{ weakly star in } L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega) \times (0, 1)),$$

$$(2.59) \quad z_2^n \rightarrow z_2 \text{ weakly star in } L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega) \times (0, 1)).$$

$$(2.60) \quad z_1^n(x, 1, t) \rightarrow \psi_1 \text{ weakly star in } L^2(\Omega \times (0, T))$$

$$(2.61) \quad z_2^n(x, 1, t) \rightarrow \psi_2 \text{ weakly star in } L^2(\Omega \times (0, T))$$

Further, by Aubin's lemma [54], it follows from (2.28) and (2.50) that there exists a subsequence $(u^n(t), v^n(t))$ still represented by the same notation, such that

$$(2.62) \quad u^n \rightarrow u \text{ strongly in } L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)),$$

$$(2.63) \quad v^n \rightarrow v \text{ strongly in } L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)),$$

Then

$$(2.64) \quad u^n \rightarrow u \text{ and } v^n \rightarrow v \text{ a.e in } (0, T) \times \Omega,$$

and

$$(2.65) \quad u_t^n \rightarrow u_t \text{ and } v_t^n \rightarrow v_t \text{ a.e in } (0, T) \times \Omega,$$

Analysis of nonlinear term

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f_1(u_i, v_i)\|_{L^2(\Omega \times (0, T))} &= \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (|u_i(s)|^p + |v_i(s)|^p + |u_i(s)|^{\frac{p-1}{2}} |v_i(s)|^{\frac{p+1}{2}}) ds dx, \\
(2.66) \quad &\leq c_s^p \int_0^T \|\nabla u_i(s)\|^p ds + c_s^p \int_0^T \|\nabla v_i(s)\|^p ds, \\
&+ c_s^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \int_0^T \|\nabla u_i(s)\|^{\frac{p-1}{2}} ds + c_s^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \int_0^T \|\nabla v_i(s)\|^{\frac{p+1}{2}} ds, \\
&\leq 2c_s^p T L_1^p + c_s^{\frac{p-1}{2}} T L_1^{\frac{p-1}{2}} T L_1^{\frac{p-1}{2}} + c_s^{\frac{p+1}{2}} T L_1^{\frac{p+1}{2}} T L_1^{\frac{p+1}{2}}, \\
&= C.
\end{aligned}$$

In the same way for $f_2(u_i, v_i)$

$$(2.67) \quad \|f_2(u_i, v_i)\|_{L^2(\Omega \times (0, T))} \leq C.$$

From the (2.66) and (2.67) we deduce that

$$(2.68) \quad \begin{aligned} f_1(u_i, v_i) &\rightarrow f_1(u, v) \text{ weakly in } L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)), \\ f_1(u_i, v_i) &\rightarrow f_1(u, v) \text{ weakly in } L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)), \end{aligned}$$

For suitable functions $u, v \in L^\infty(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega))$, $z_1, z_2 \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega \times (0, 1)))$, $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in L^2(\Omega \times (0, T))$. We have to show that $((u, z_1), (v, z_2))$ is a solution of (2.1).

Using the embedding

$$L^\infty(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega)) \hookrightarrow L^2(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega)),$$

$$H^1((0, T) \times \Omega) \hookrightarrow L^2((0, T) \times \Omega).$$

From (2.54)-(2.55) we have that u_t^n, v_t^n are bounded in

$$L^\infty((0, T); H_0^1(\Omega)) \hookrightarrow L^2((0, T); H_0^1(\Omega)),$$

then u_{tt}^n, v_{tt}^n are bounded in

$$L^\infty((0, T); L^2(\Omega)) \hookrightarrow L^2((0, T); L^2(\Omega)).$$

Consequently, u_t^n, v_t^n are bounded in

$$H^1((\Omega) \times (0, T)),$$

using Aubin-Lions theorem [54], we can extract a subsequence (u^ξ) of (u^n) and (v^ξ) of (v^n) such that

$$(2.69) \quad u_t^\xi \rightarrow u_t \text{ strongly in } L^2(\Omega \times (0, T)),$$

$$(2.70) \quad v_t^\xi \rightarrow v_t \text{ strongly in } L^2(\Omega \times (0, T)),$$

therefore

$$(2.71) \quad u_t^\xi \rightarrow u_t \text{ strongly and a.e. in } (\Omega \times (0, T)),$$

$$(2.72) \quad v_t^\xi \rightarrow v_t \text{ strongly and a.e. in } (\Omega \times (0, T)),$$

similarly

$$(2.73) \quad z_1^\xi \rightarrow z_1 \text{ strongly in } L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega \times (0, 1))),$$

$$(2.74) \quad z_2^\xi \rightarrow z_2 \text{ strongly in } L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega \times (0, 1))),$$

Now, we will pass to the limit in (2.11)-(2.12). Taking $n = \xi, \forall w_j \in W_n, \forall \varphi_j \in V_n$ in (2.11)-(2.12) and fixed $j < \xi$,

$$(2.75) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} u_{tt}^\xi(t)w_j dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla u^\xi(t)\nabla w_j dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{tt}^\xi(t)\nabla w_j dx \\ & + \int_{\Omega} \int_0^t g_1(t-s)\nabla u^\xi(t)ds\nabla w_j dx dt + \int_{\Omega} \mu_1 u_t^\xi(t)w_j dx \\ & + \int_{\Omega} \mu_2 z_1^\xi(x, 1, t)w_j dx = \int_{\Omega} f_1(u^\xi(t)v^\xi(t)w_j dx \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.76) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} v_{tt}^\xi(t)w_j dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla v^\xi(t)\nabla w_j dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla v_{tt}^\xi(t)\nabla w_j dx \\ & + \int_{\Omega} \int_0^t g_2(t-s)\nabla v^\xi(t)ds\nabla w_j dx dt + \int_{\Omega} \alpha_1 v_t^\xi(t)w_j dx \\ & + \int_{\Omega} \alpha_2 z_2^\xi(x, 1, t)w_j dx = \int_{\Omega} f_2(u^\xi(t)v^\xi(t)w_j dx \end{aligned}$$

by using the property of continuous of the operator in the distributions space and due to (2.52)-(2.61) we have

$$(2.77) \quad \int_{\Omega} u_{tt}^\xi(t)w_j dx \rightharpoonup^* \int_{\Omega} u_{tt}(t)w_j dx \text{ in } D'(0, T),$$

$$(2.78) \quad \int_{\Omega} v_{tt}^\xi(t)w_j dx \rightharpoonup^* \int_{\Omega} v_{tt}(t)w_j dx \text{ in } D'(0, T),$$

$$(2.79) \quad \int_{\Omega} \nabla u^\xi(t)\nabla w_j dx \rightharpoonup^* \int_{\Omega} \nabla u(t)\nabla w_j dx \text{ in } L^\infty(0, T),$$

$$(2.80) \quad \int_{\Omega} \nabla v^\xi(t)\nabla w_j dx \rightharpoonup^* \int_{\Omega} \nabla v(t)\nabla w_j dx \text{ in } L^\infty(0, T),$$

$$(2.81) \quad \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{tt}^{\xi}(t) \nabla w_j dx \rightharpoonup^* \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{tt}(t) \nabla w_j dx \text{ in } L^{\infty}(0, T),$$

$$(2.82) \quad \int_{\Omega} \nabla v_{tt}^{\xi}(t) \nabla w_j dx \rightharpoonup^* \int_{\Omega} \nabla v_{tt}(t) \nabla w_j dx \text{ in } L^{\infty}(0, T),$$

$$(2.83) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \int_0^t g_1(t-s) \nabla u^{\xi}(t) ds \nabla w_j dx dt \\ & \rightharpoonup^* \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \int_0^t g_1(t-s) \nabla u(t) ds \nabla w_j dx dt \text{ in } L^{\infty}(0, T), \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.84) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \int_0^t g_2(t-s) \nabla v^{\xi}(t) ds \nabla w_j dx dt \\ & \rightharpoonup^* \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \int_0^t g_2(t-s) \nabla v(t) ds \nabla w_j dx dt \text{ in } L^{\infty}(0, T), \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.85) \quad \int_{\Omega} f_1(u^{\xi}(t)v^{\xi}(t)w_j) dx \rightharpoonup^* \int_{\Omega} f_1(u^{\xi}(t)v^{\xi}(t)w_j) dx \text{ in } L^{\infty}(0, T),$$

$$(2.86) \quad \int_{\Omega} f_2(u^{\xi}(t)v^{\xi}(t)w_j) dx \rightharpoonup^* \int_{\Omega} f_2(u^{\xi}(t)v^{\xi}(t)w_j) dx \text{ in } L^{\infty}(0, T),$$

$$(2.87) \quad \int_{\Omega} \mu_1 u_t^{\xi}(t), w_j dx \rightharpoonup^* \int_{\Omega} \mu_1 u_t(t) w_j dx \text{ in } L^{\infty}(0, T),$$

$$(2.88) \quad \int_{\Omega} \mu_2 z_1^{\xi}(x, 1, t) w_j dx \rightharpoonup^* \int_{\Omega} \mu_2 z_1(x, 1, t) w_j dx \text{ in } L^{\infty}(0, T),$$

$$(2.89) \quad \int_{\Omega} \alpha_1 v_t^{\xi}(t) w_j dx \rightharpoonup^* \int_{\Omega} \alpha_1 v_t(t) w_j dx \text{ in } L^{\infty}(0, T),$$

$$(2.90) \quad \int_{\Omega} \alpha_2 z_2^{\xi}(x, 1, t) w_j dx \rightharpoonup^* \int_{\Omega} \alpha_2 z_2(x, 1, t) w_j dx \text{ in } L^{\infty}(0, T),$$

as $\xi \rightarrow \infty$ the convergence (2.77)-(2.90) permits us to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.91) \quad & \int_{\Omega} u_{tt}^{\xi}(t)w_j dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla u^{\xi}(t)\nabla w_j dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{tt}^{\xi}(t)\nabla w_j dx \\
& + \int_{\Omega} \int_0^t g_1(t-s)\nabla u^{\xi}(t)ds\nabla w_j dx dt + \int_{\Omega} \mu_1 u_t^{\xi}(t)w_j dx \\
& + \int_{\Omega} \mu_2 z_1^{\xi}(x, 1, t)w_j dx = \int_{\Omega} f_1(u^{\xi}(t), v^{\xi}(t))w_j dx, \\
& \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} u_{tt}(t)w_j dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla u(t)\nabla w_j dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{tt}(t)\nabla w_j dx \\
& + \int_{\Omega} \int_0^t g_1(t-s)\nabla u(t)ds\nabla w_j dx dt + \int_{\Omega} \mu_1 u_t(t)w_j dx \\
& + \int_{\Omega} \mu_2 z_1(x, 1, t)w_j dx = \int_{\Omega} f_1(u(t), v(t))w_j dx,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.92) \quad & \int_{\Omega} v_{tt}^{\xi}(t)w_j dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla v^{\xi}(t)\nabla w_j dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla v_{tt}^{\xi}(t)\nabla w_j dx \\
& + \int_{\Omega} \int_0^t g_2(t-s)\nabla v^{\xi}(t)ds\nabla w_j dx dt + \int_{\Omega} \alpha_1 v_t^{\xi}(t)w_j dx \\
& + \int_{\Omega} \alpha_2 z_2^{\xi}(x, 1, t)w_j dx = \int_{\Omega} f_2(u^{\xi}(t), v^{\xi}(t))w_j dx, \\
& \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} v_{tt}(t)w_j dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla v(t)\nabla w_j dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla v_{tt}(t)\nabla w_j dx \\
& + \int_{\Omega} \int_0^t g_2(t-s)\nabla v(t)ds\nabla w_j dx dt + \int_{\Omega} \alpha_1 v_t(t)w_j dx \\
& + \int_{\Omega} \alpha_2 z_2(x, 1, t)w_j dx = \int_{\Omega} f_2(u(t), v(t))
\end{aligned}$$

using (2.15)-(2.16) and exploiting the convergence (2.58), (2.59) we deduce

$$(2.93) \quad \int_0^T \int_0^1 \int_{\Omega} (\tau_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} z_1^{\xi} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} z_1^{\xi}) \varphi_j dx d\rho dt \rightarrow \int_0^T \int_0^1 \int_{\Omega} (\tau_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} z_1 + \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} z_1) \varphi_j dx d\rho dt$$

$$(2.94) \quad \int_0^T \int_0^1 \int_{\Omega} (\tau_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} z_2^{\xi} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} z_2^{\xi}) \varphi_j dx d\rho dt \rightarrow \int_0^T \int_0^1 \int_{\Omega} (\tau_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} z_2 + \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} z_2) \varphi_j dx d\rho dt$$

as $\xi \rightarrow +\infty$. Hence, this completes our proof of existence result of system (2.11)-(2.17). ■

Remark 2.0.2 *By virtue of the theory of ordinary differential equations, the system (2.9) has local solution which is extended to a maximal interval $[0, T_k[$ with $(0 < T_k \leq +\infty)$.*

Now we will prove that the solution obtained above is global and bounded in time, for this purpose, we define

$$(2.95) \quad \begin{aligned} I(t) &= \xi_1 \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 z_1^2(x, k_1, t) dk_1 dx + \xi_2 \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 z_2^2(x, k_2, t) dk_2 dx + (p+1) \int_{\Omega} F(u, v) dx \\ &+ \left(1 - \int_0^t g_1(s) ds\right) \|\nabla u(t)\|_2^2 + \left(1 - \int_0^t g_2(s) ds\right) \|\nabla v(t)\|_2^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.96) \quad \begin{aligned} J(t) &= \frac{\xi_1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 z_1^2(x, k_1, t) dk_1 dx + \frac{\xi_2}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 z_2^2(x, k_2, t) dk_2 dx + \int_{\Omega} F(u, v) dx \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \int_0^t g_1(s) ds\right) \|\nabla u(t)\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \int_0^t g_2(s) ds\right) \|\nabla v(t)\|_2^2, \end{aligned}$$

we observe that

$$(2.97) \quad E(t) = \frac{1}{2} (\|u_t(t)\|_2^2 + \|v_t(t)\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u_t(t)\|_2^2 + \|\nabla v_t(t)\|_2^2) + J(t).$$

Lemma 2.0.6 . *Let $((u, z_1), (v, z_2))$, be the solution of problem (2.9). Assume further that $I(0) > 0$ and*

$$(2.98) \quad \alpha = \rho \left(\frac{2(p+1)}{p-1} E(0) \right)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} < 1.$$

Then $I(t) > 0 \forall t$ moreover the solution of problem (2.9) is global and bounded, where ρ is a positive constant appeared in lemma 2.0.4

Proof Since $I(0) > 0$, then there exists (by continuity of $u(t)$) $T^* < T$ such that

$$(2.99) \quad I(t) \geq 0,$$

for all $t \in [0, T^*]$. From (2.95) (2.96) gives that

$$(2.100) \quad \begin{aligned} J(t) &\geq \frac{p-1}{2(p+1)} \left[l_1 \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + l_2 \|\nabla v\|_2^2 + \zeta_1 \int_0^1 \int_{\Omega} z_1^2(x, k_1, t) dk_1 dx \right] \\ &+ \frac{p-1}{2(p+1)} \left[\zeta_2 \int_0^1 \int_{\Omega} z_2^2(x, k_2, t) dk_2 dx \right] + \frac{1}{p+1} I(t) \\ &\geq \frac{p-1}{2(p+1)} [\|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla v\|_2^2]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus by (2.100), (2.97) we deduce

$$(2.101) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla v\|_2^2 &\leq \frac{2(p+1)}{p-1} J(t) \leq \frac{2(p+1)}{(p-1)} E(t), \\ &\leq \frac{2(p+1)}{(p-1)} E(0), \quad \forall t \in [0, T^*]. \end{aligned}$$

Employing lemma 2.0.4,(A₁), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.102) \quad (p+1) \int_{\Omega} F(u(t_0), v(t_0)) dx &\leq \rho(l_1 \|\nabla u(t_0)\|_2^2 + l_2 \|\nabla v(t_0)\|_2^2)^{\frac{p+1}{2}}, \\
 &\leq \rho \left(\frac{2(p+1)}{p-1} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} (\beta_1 \|\nabla u(t_0)\|_2^2 + \|\nabla v(t_0)\|_2^2), \\
 &= \alpha (\beta_1 \|\nabla u(t_0)\|_2^2 + \|\nabla v(t_0)\|_2^2), \\
 &< (\beta_1 \|\nabla u(t_0)\|_2^2 + \|\nabla v(t_0)\|_2^2).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we conclude from (2.102) that $I(t) > 0$ on $[0, t_0]$ which contradicts thus $I(t) > 0$ on $[0, T]$

which completes the proof. ■

Lemma 2.0.7 . *Let $((u, z_1), (v, z_2))$ be a solution of the problem (2.9). Then the energy functional defined by (2.97) satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.103) \quad E'(t) &= - \left(\mu_1 - \frac{\xi_1}{2\tau_2} - \frac{\mu_2}{2} \right) \|u_t\|_2^2 \\
 &- \left(\alpha_1 - \frac{\xi_2}{2\tau_2} - \frac{\alpha_2}{2} \right) \|v_t\|_2^2 - \left(\frac{\xi_1}{2\tau_2} - \frac{\mu_2}{2} \right) \int_{\Omega} (z_1^n)^2(x, 1, t) dx \\
 &+ \left(\frac{\xi_2}{2\tau_2} - \frac{\alpha_2}{2} \right) \int_{\Omega} (z_2^n)^2(x, 1, t) dx \leq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof after deriving the equation (2.26) we get the desired result. ■

Remark 2.0.3 . *Due to the conditions (2.18),(2.19) we have $\left(\mu_1 - \frac{\xi_1}{2\tau_2} - \frac{\mu_2}{2} \right) > 0$, $\left(\alpha_1 - \frac{\xi_2}{2\tau_2} - \frac{\alpha_2}{2} \right) > 0$, $\left(\frac{\xi_1}{2\tau_2} - \frac{\mu_2}{2} \right) > 0$, $\left(\frac{\xi_2}{2\tau_2} - \frac{\alpha_2}{2} \right) > 0$.*

2.0.8 Asymptotic behavior

In this section we use the multiplier method introduced by A. Guessmia [20], we get the following result

Theorem 2.0.3 . *Suppose that $\mu_2 < \mu_1$, $\alpha_2 < \alpha_1$, (A₁), (2.98) holds. With satisfy $I(0) > 0$ Assume that $((u_0, u_1), (v_0, v_1)) \in (H_0^1(\Omega))^2$ and $(\phi_0, \phi_1) \in (L^2(\Omega \times (0, 1)))^2$. Then the solution of problem (3.1) is global and bounded, Furthermore, we have the following decay property*

$$E(t) \leq cE(0)e^{-\omega t}, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where c, ω , are positive constants, independent of the initial data

Remark 2.0.4 we denote by c_i various constants which may be different at different occurrences.

proof. First, we prove $T = \infty$, it is sufficient to show that $l_1\|\nabla u\|_2^2 + l_2\|\nabla v\|_2^2$ is bounded independently of t . we have from (2.97)

$$\begin{aligned}
E(0) \geq E(t) &= \frac{1}{2}\|u'(t)\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|v'(t)\|_2^2 + J(t), \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2}\|u'(t)\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|v'(t)\|_2^2 \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{p-1}{2(p+1)}\right)(l_1\|\nabla u\|_2^2 + l_2\|\nabla v\|_2^2), \\
&\geq (l_1\|\nabla u\|_2^2 + l_2\|\nabla v\|_2^2).
\end{aligned}$$

We multiply the first equation of (2.9) by $E^q u$, the second equation of (2.9) by $E^q v$, integrating over $[S, T] \times \Omega$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.104) \quad 0 &= \int_S^T E^q \int_{\Omega} [uu'' - |\nabla u|^2 - \Delta u_{tt}u - \Delta v_{tt}v + \mu_1 u'u \\
&\quad + \mu_2 z_1(x, 1, t)u + v''v + \alpha_1 v'v + \alpha_2 z_2(x, 1, t)v + (uf_1(u, v) + vf_2(u, v))] dx dt \\
&\quad - \int_0^t g_1(t-s)\Delta v(s)v(t) ds dx dt - \int_0^t g_2(t-s)\Delta v(s)v(t) ds dx dt
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.105) \quad 0 &= \left[E^q \int_{\Omega} uu' dx \right]_S^T - \int_S^T (qE'E^{q-1}) \int_{\Omega} uu' dx dt - 2 \int_S^T E^q \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx dt \\
&\quad + \int_S^T E^q \int_{\Omega} (u'^2 + |\nabla u|^2) dx dt + \left[E^q \int_{\Omega} vv' dx \right]_S^T - \int_S^T (qE'E^{q-1}) \int_{\Omega} vv' dx dt \\
&\quad + 2 \int_S^T E^q \int_{\Omega} v'^2 dx dt + \int_S^T E^q \int_{\Omega} (v'^2 + |\nabla v|^2) dx dt + \int_S^T E^q u' \Delta u_t ds \\
&\quad + \mu_1 \int_S^T E^q \int_{\Omega} uu' dx dt + \mu_2 \int_S^T E^q \int_{\Omega} uz_1(x, 1, t) dx dt \\
&\quad + \mu_3 \int_S^T E^q \int_{\Omega} vv' dx dt + \mu_4 \int_S^T E^q \int_{\Omega} vz_2(x, 1, t) dx dt \\
&\quad - \int_S^T E^q \int_{\Omega} (p+1)F(u, v) dx dt - \int_S^T E'E^{q-1}u\Delta u_t dt \\
&\quad - \int_S^T E^q \int_{\Omega} \int_0^t g_1(t-s)\Delta v(s)v(t) ds dx dt \\
&\quad - \int_S^T E^q \int_{\Omega} \int_0^t g_2(t-s)\Delta v(s)v(t) ds dx dt + [E^q u \Delta u_t]_S^T \\
&\quad + [E^q v \Delta v_t]_S^T - \int_S^T E'E^{q-1}v\Delta v_t dt + \int_S^T E^q v' \Delta v_t dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we multiply the third equation in (2.9) by $E^q e^{-2\tau k_1} z_1 E^q e^{-2\tau k_2} z_2$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.106) \quad 0 &= \int_S^T E^q \int_\Omega \int_0^1 e^{-2\tau k_1} z_1 (\tau z_1' + z_{k_1}) dx dt, \\
&= \left[E^q \int_\Omega \int_0^1 \tau \int_0^1 e^{-2\tau k_1} z_1^2 dx dk_1 \right]_S^T - \tau \int_S^T (qE' E^{q-1}) \int_\Omega \int_0^1 e^{-2\tau k_1} z_1^2 dx dk_1 dt \\
&+ \int_S^T E^q \int_\Omega \int_0^1 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial k_1} (e^{-2\tau k_1}) + 2\tau e^{-2\tau k_1} z_1^2 \right) dx dk_1 dt, \\
&= \left[E^q \int_\Omega \int_0^1 \tau e^{-2\tau k_1} z_1^2 dx dk_1 \right]_S^T - \tau \int_S^T (qE' E^{q-1}) \int_\Omega \int_0^1 e^{-2\tau k_1} z_1^2 dx dk_1 dt \\
&+ \int_S^T E^q \int_\Omega (e^{-2\tau} z_1^2(x, 1, t) - z_1^2(x, 0, t)) dx dt + 2\tau \int_S^T E^q \int_\Omega \int_0^1 e^{-2\tau k_1} z_1^2 dx dk_1 dt.
\end{aligned}$$

In the same manner for the fourth equation in (2.9)

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.107) \quad &\int_S^T E^q \int_\Omega \int_0^1 e^{-2\tau k_2} z_2 (\tau z_2' + z_{k_2}) dx dt \\
&= \left[E^q \int_\Omega \int_0^1 \tau e^{-2\tau k_2} z_2^2 dx dk_2 \right]_S^T - \tau \int_S^T (qE' E^{q-1}) \int_\Omega \int_0^1 e^{-2\tau k_2} z_2^2 dx dk_2 dt \\
&+ \int_S^T E^q \int_\Omega (e^{-2\tau} z_2^2(x, 1, t) - z_2^2(x, 0, t)) dx dt + 2\tau \int_S^T E^q \int_\Omega \int_0^1 e^{-2\tau k_2} z_2^2 dx dk_2 dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking their sum, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.108) \quad &A \int_S^T E^{q+1} dt \leq - \left[E^q \int_\Omega uu' dx \right]_S^T + \int_S^T (qE' E^{q-1}) \int_\Omega uu' dx dt \\
&+ 2 \int_S^T E^q \int_\Omega u'^2 dx dt - \mu_1 \int_S^T E^q \int_\Omega uu' dx dt - \mu_2 \int_S^T E^q \int_\Omega uz_1(x, 1, t) dx dt \\
&+ p \int_S^T E^q \int_\Omega F(u, v) dx dt - \left[E^q \int_\Omega \int_0^1 \tau e^{-2\tau k_1} z_1^2 dx dk_1 \right]_S^T \\
&\tau \int_S^T (qE' E^{q-1}) \int_\Omega \int_0^1 e^{-2\tau k_2} z_2^2 dx dk_1 dt + \int_S^T E^q \int_\Omega (e^{-2\tau} z_1^2(x, 1, t) - z_1^2(x, 0, t)) dx dt \\
&- \left[E^q \int_\Omega vv' dx \right]_S^T + \int_S^T (qE' E^{q-1}) \int_\Omega vv' dx dt + 2 \int_S^T E^q \int_\Omega v'^2 dx dt \\
&- \mu_3 \int_S^T E^q \int_\Omega vv' dx dt - \mu_4 \int_S^T E^q \int_\Omega uz_2(x, 1, t) dx dt \\
&- \left[E^q \int_\Omega \int_0^1 \tau e^{-2\tau k_2} z_2^2 dx dk_2 \right]_S^T - \tau \int_S^T (qE' E^{q-1}) \int_\Omega \int_0^1 e^{-2\tau k_2} z_2^2 dx dk_2 dt \\
&+ \int_S^T E^q \int_\Omega (e^{-2\tau} z_2^2(x, 1, t) - z_2^2(x, 0, t)) dx dt \\
&+ \int_S^T E^q \int_\Omega \int_0^t g_1(t-s) \Delta v(s) v(t) ds dx dt + \int_S^T E^q \int_\Omega \int_0^t g_2(t-s) \Delta v(s) v(t) ds dx dt
\end{aligned}$$

Where $A = 2 \min\{1, \frac{2\tau e^{-2\tau}}{\zeta_1}, \frac{2\tau e^{-2\tau}}{\zeta_2}\}$. Using the Cauchy-Schwartz and poincaré inequalities and the energy identity, we get

$$(2.109) \quad \left| E^q \int_{\Omega} uu' dx \right| \leq \epsilon_1 c_* \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{4\epsilon_1} \|u'\|_2^2, \\ \leq cE(t)^{q+1},$$

$$(2.110) \quad \left| qE'E^{q-1} \int_{\Omega} uu' dx \right| \\ \leq cE^q(t)|E'(t)| \\ \leq cE^q(t) \left| - \left(\mu_1 - \frac{\xi_1}{2\tau_2} - \frac{\mu_2}{2} \right) \|u'\|_2^2 - \left(\alpha_1 - \frac{\xi_2}{2\tau_2} - \frac{\alpha_2}{2} \right) \|v'\|_2^2 \right. \\ \left. - \left(\frac{\xi_1}{2\tau_2} - \frac{\mu_2}{2} \right) \int_{\Omega} z_1^2(x, 1, t) dx - \left(\frac{\xi_2}{2\tau_2} - \frac{\alpha_2}{2} \right) \int_{\Omega} z_2^2(x, 1, t) dx \right| \\ \leq E^q(t) \left[\left(\mu_1 - \frac{\xi_1}{2\tau_2} - \frac{\mu_2}{2} \right) \|u'\|_2^2 + \left(\alpha_1 - \frac{\xi_2}{2\tau_2} - \frac{\alpha_2}{2} \right) \|v'\|_2^2 \right] \\ + E^q(t) \left[\left(\frac{\xi_1}{2\tau_2} - \frac{\mu_2}{2} \right) \int_{\Omega} z_1^2(x, 1, t) dx + \left(\frac{\xi_2}{2\tau_2} - \frac{\alpha_2}{2} \right) \int_{\Omega} z_2^2(x, 1, t) dx \right] \\ \leq E^q(t)(-E'(t)),$$

$$(2.111) \quad \left| qE'E^{q-1} \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 e^{-2\tau_2 k_1} z_1^2 dx dk_1 \right| \\ \leq cE^q(t)|E'(t)| \\ \leq cE^q(t) \left| - \left(\mu_1 - \frac{\xi_1}{2\tau_2} - \frac{\mu_2}{2} \right) \|u'\|_2^2 - \left(\alpha_1 - \frac{\xi_2}{2\tau_2} - \frac{\alpha_2}{2} \right) \|v'\|_2^2 \right. \\ \left. - \left(\frac{\xi_1}{2\tau_2} - \frac{\mu_2}{2} \right) \int_{\Omega} z_1^2(x, 1, t) dx - \left(\frac{\xi_2}{2\tau_2} - \frac{\alpha_2}{2} \right) \int_{\Omega} z_2^2(x, 1, t) dx \right| \\ \leq E^q(t) \left[\left(\mu_1 - \frac{\xi_1}{2\tau_2} - \frac{\mu_2}{2} \right) \|u'\|_2^2 + \left(\alpha_1 - \frac{\xi_2}{2\tau_2} - \frac{\alpha_2}{2} \right) \|v'\|_2^2 \right] \\ + E^q(t) \left[\left(\frac{\xi_1}{2\tau_2} - \frac{\mu_2}{2} \right) \int_{\Omega} z_1^2(x, 1, t) dx + \left(\frac{\xi_2}{2\tau_2} - \frac{\alpha_2}{2} \right) \int_{\Omega} z_2^2(x, 1, t) dx \right] \\ \leq E^q(t)(-E'(t)),$$

$$(2.112) \quad \int_S^T E^q \int_{\Omega} uz_1(x, 1, t) dx dt \leq \int_S^T E^q \left(\epsilon_1 c_*^2 \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{4\epsilon_1} \|z_1(x, 1, t)\|_2^2 \right) dt \\ \leq c \int_S^T E^q(-E' + cE(t)) dt,$$

$$(2.113) \quad \int_S^T E^q \int_{\Omega} u'^2 dx dt \leq \int_S^T E^q(-E') dt \\ \leq cE^{q+1}(S),$$

$$(2.114) \quad \left[E^q \int_{\Omega} u' u dx \right]_s^T = E^q(S) \int_{\Omega} u'(S) u(S) dx \\ - E^q(T) \int_{\Omega} u'(T) u(T) dx \\ \leq CE^{q+1}(S),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.115) \quad - \left[E^q \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 \tau e^{-2\tau k_1} z_1^2 dx dk_1 \right]_S^T &\leq E^q(S) \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 e^{-2\tau k_1} |z_1(x, k_1, S)|^2 dx dk_1 \\
&- E^q(T) \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 e^{-2\tau k_1} |z_1(x, k_1, T)|^2 dx dk_1 \\
&\leq cE^{q+1}(S),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.116) \quad \int_S^T (qE'E^{q-1}) \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 e^{-2\tau k_1} |z_1|^2 dx dk_1 dt &\leq c \int_S^T qE'E^q dt \\
&\leq cE^{q+1}(S),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.117) \quad \int_S^T E^q \int_{\Omega} e^{-2\tau} |z_1(x, 1, t)|^2 dx dt &\leq c \int_S^T E^q(-E') dt \\
&\leq cE^{q+1}(S),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.118) \quad \int_S^T E^q \int_{\Omega} e^{-2\tau} |z_1(x, 0, t)|^2 dx dt &= \int_S^T \int_{\Omega} E^q e^{-2\tau} |u'(x, t)|^2 dx dt \\
&\leq c \int_S^T E^q(-E') dt \\
&\leq cE^{q+1}(S),
\end{aligned}$$

using the lemma 2.0.4, to get

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.119) \quad \left| p \int_{\Omega} F(u, v) dx \right| &\leq p\rho(l_1 \|\nabla u(t)\|_2^2 + l_2 \|\nabla v(t)\|_2^2)^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \\
&\leq p\rho \left(\frac{2(p+1)}{p-1} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} (l_1 \|\nabla u(t)\|_2^2 + l_2 \|\nabla v(t)\|_2^2) \\
&\leq c\epsilon_2 E(t),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Omega} \int_0^t g_1(t-s) \nabla u(t) (\nabla u(s) - \nabla u(t)) ds dx \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left[\int_0^t g_1(t-s) (\|\nabla u(s)\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u(t)\|_2^2) ds - \int_0^t g_1(t-s) (\|\nabla u(t) - \nabla u(s)\|_2^2) ds \right] \\
&- \int_{\Omega} \int_0^t g_1(s) |\nabla u(t)|^2 ds dx, \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_0^t g_1(s) |\nabla u(s)|^2 ds dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t g_1(t-s) ds \|\nabla u(s)\|_2^2 ds - \frac{1}{2} (g_1 \circ \nabla u)(t).
\end{aligned}$$

(2.120)

This yields

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.121) \quad & - \int_{\Omega} \int_0^t g_1(t-s) \Delta u(s) u(t) ds dx = \int_{\Omega} \int_0^t g_1(t-s) \nabla u(s) \nabla u(t) ds dx \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \left[\int_0^t g_1(t-s) \|\nabla u(t)\|_2^2 ds + \int_0^t g_1(t-s) \|\nabla u(s)\|_2^2 ds - (g_1 \circ \nabla u)(t) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.122) \quad & - \int_{\Omega} \int_0^t g_2(t-s) \Delta v(s) v(t) ds dx = \int_{\Omega} \int_0^t g_2(t-s) \nabla v(s) \nabla v(t) ds dx \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \left[\int_0^t g_2(t-s) \|\nabla v(t)\|_2^2 ds + \int_0^t g_2(t-s) \|\nabla v(s)\|_2^2 ds - (g_2 \circ \nabla v)(t) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Employing Young's inequality for convolution $\|\varphi * \phi\| \leq \|\varphi\| \|\phi\|$, and using the potential well method's we easily find

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.123) \quad & \int_0^t \int_S^T g_1(t-s) \|\nabla u(s)\|_2^2 ds dt, \leq \int_0^{\infty} g_1(t) dt \int_S^T \|\nabla u(t)\|_2^2 dt, \\
& \leq (1-l_1) \int_S^T \|\nabla u(t)\|_2^2 dt, \\
& \leq (1-l_1) \int_S^T E(t) dt,
\end{aligned}$$

in the same manner

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.124) \quad & \int_0^t \int_S^T g_2(s) \|\nabla u(t)\|_2^2 ds dt \leq \int_0^{\infty} \int_S^T g_2(s) ds \|\nabla u(t)\|_2^2 dt \\
& \leq (1-l_2) \int_S^T E(t) dt,
\end{aligned}$$

exploiting (2.123) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.125) \quad & \int_S^T (g_1 \circ \nabla u)(t) dt = \int_0^t \int_S^T g_1(t-s) \|\nabla u(s) - \nabla u(t)\| ds dt, \\
& \leq \int_0^t g_1(t) dt \int_S^T \|\nabla u(t)\| dt, \\
& \leq \int_0^{\infty} g_1(t) dt \int_S^T l_1 \|\nabla u(t)\| dt, \\
& \leq (1-l_1) \int_S^T E(t) dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Likewise we obtain

$$(2.126) \quad \int_S^T (g_2 \circ \nabla u)(t) dt \leq (1-l_2) \int_S^T E(t) dt$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.127) \quad & \int_S^T \left| - \int_{\Omega} \int_0^t g_1(t-s) \Delta v(s) v(t) dt ds dx \right| \\
&= \left| \frac{1}{2} \int_S^T \left[\int_0^t g_1(t-s) \|\nabla v(s)\|_2^2 ds - (g_1 \circ \nabla v)(t) \right] \right| dt \\
&+ \int_S^T \left| \frac{1}{2} \left[\int_0^t g_1(t) \|\nabla v(t)\|_2^2 ds \right] \right| dt \\
&\leq \int_S^T \left| \epsilon \frac{1}{2} \left[\int_0^t g_1(t) \|\nabla v(t)\|_2^2 ds \right] \right| dt + \epsilon \frac{1}{2} \int_S^T |(g_1 \circ \nabla v)(t)| dt \\
&+ \epsilon \int_S^T \left| \frac{1}{2} \left[\int_0^t g_1(t) \|\nabla v(t)\|_2^2 ds \right] \right| dt \\
&\leq \epsilon \int_S^T \left(\frac{1}{2} - l_1 \right) E(t) dt,
\end{aligned}$$

for some ϵ sufficiently small we take $\epsilon(\frac{1}{2} - l_1) > 0$
in the same way it gives

$$(2.128) \quad \int_S^T \left| - \int_{\Omega} \int_0^t g_2(t-s) \Delta v(s) v(t) ds dx \right| dt \leq \epsilon \left(\frac{1}{2} - l_1 \right) \int_S^T E(t) dt,$$

then

$$(2.129) \quad \int_S^T E^q \left| - \int_{\Omega} \int_0^t g_1(t-s) \Delta v(s) v(t) ds dx dt \right| \leq \epsilon \left(\frac{1}{2} - l_1 \right) \int_S^T E^{q+1}(t) dt,$$

$$(2.130) \quad \int_S^T E^q \left| - \int_{\Omega} \int_0^t g_2(t-s) \Delta v(s) v(t) ds dx dt \right| \leq \epsilon \left(\frac{1}{2} - l_2 \right) \int_S^T E^{q+1}(t) dt,$$

for the second and third equation we use the same technique.

Combining all above inequalities, and choosing ϵ_2 small enough, we deduce from (2.109)-(2.131) that

$$(2.131) \quad \int_S^T E^{q+1} dt \leq c(E^{q+1}(S) + E^{q+1}(T)).$$

Where c is a positive constant independent of $E(0)$. From the last inequality, and the conditions of lemma 1.3.6 are satisfied, then

$$(2.132) \quad E(t) \leq cE(0)e^{-\omega t} \quad t \geq 0.$$

■

Chapter 3

Asymptotic behavior for a weak viscoelastic wave equations with a dynamic boundary and time varying delay term

3.1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider the weak viscoelastic wave equations with dynamic boundary conditions related to the Kelvin Voigt damping and delay term acting on the boundary in a bounded domain. Under appropriate conditions on μ_1 and μ_2 , we prove the asymptotic behavior by making use an appropriate Lyapunov functional.

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} \begin{cases} u_{tt} - \Delta u - \delta \Delta u_t - \alpha(t) \int_0^t g(t-s) \Delta u(s) ds = |u|^{p-2} u, & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, +\infty), \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \Gamma_0 \times (0, +\infty), \end{cases} \\ \begin{cases} u_{tt} = -a \left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(x, t) + \delta \frac{\partial u_t}{\partial \nu}(x, t) + \alpha(t) \int_0^t g(t-s) \Delta u(s) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(x, s) ds \right] \\ -a [\mu_1 u_t(x, t) + \mu_2 u_t(x, t - \tau(t))], & \text{on } \Gamma_1 \times (0, +\infty), \end{cases} \\ \begin{cases} u(x, 0) = u_0(x), u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x), & x \in \Omega, \\ u_t(x, t - \tau(t)) = f_0(x, t - \tau(t)), & \text{on } \Gamma_1 \times (0, +\infty). \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

where $u = u(x, t)$, $t \geq 0$, $x \in \Omega$, Δ denotes the Laplacian operator with respect to the x variable, Ω is a regular and bounded domain of R^N , ($N \geq 1$), $\partial\Omega = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_0$, $\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_0 = \emptyset$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}$ denotes the unit outer normal derivative, μ_1 and μ_2 are positive constants. Moreover, $\tau(t) > 0$ represents the time varying delay term and u_0 , u_1 , f_0 are given functions belonging to suitable spaces that will be precised later. This type of problems arises (for example) in modeling of longitudinal vibrations in a homogeneous bar on which there are viscous effects. The term Δu_t , indicates that the stress is proportional not only to the strain, but also to

the strain rate. See [28].

This type of problem without delay (i.e $\mu_i = 0$), has been considered by many authors during the past decades and many results have been obtained (see [28], [30], [32], [33], [46]).

The main difficulty of the problem considered is related to the non ordinary boundary conditions defined on Γ_1 . Very little attention has been paid to this type of boundary conditions. We mention a few particular results in the one dimensional without delay term for a linear damping ($m=1$) and $g = 0$ ([36-48], [33]). From the mathematical point of view, these problems do not neglect acceleration terms on the boundary. Such types of boundary conditions are usually called dynamic boundary conditions. They are not only important from the theoretical point of view but also arise in several physical applications. For instance in one space dimension, problem (3.1) can modelize the dynamic evolution of a viscoelastic rod that is fixed at one end and has a tip mass attached to its free end. The dynamic boundary conditions represent the Newton's law for the attached mass, (see [30, 28, 32] for more details). Which arise when we consider the transverse motion of a flexible membrane whose boundary may be affected by the vibrations only in a region. Also some of them as in problem (3.1) appear when we assume that is an exterior domain of R^3 in which homogeneous fluid is at rest except for sound waves. Each point of the boundary is subjected to small normal displacements into the obstacle (see [28] for more details). Among the early results dealing with the dynamic boundary conditions are those of Grobbelaar-Van Dalsen [34, 35] in which the author has made contributions to this field and in [39] the authors have studied the following problem :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} u_{tt} - \Delta u + \delta \Delta u_t = |u|^{p-1}u, & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, +\infty), \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \Gamma_0 \times (0, +\infty), \\ \\ u_{tt} = -a \left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(x, t) + \delta \frac{\partial u_t}{\partial \nu}(x, t) + \alpha |u_t|^{m-1}u_t(x, t) \right], & \text{on } \Gamma_1 \times (0, +\infty), \\ \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x), & x \in \Omega, \end{array} \right.$$

and they have obtained several results concerning local existence which extended to the global existence by using stable sets, the authors have obtained also the energy decay and the blow up of the solutions for initial energy positive.

In absence of delay ($\mu_2 = 0$), the problem of existence and energy decay have been extensively studied by several authors (see [28], [30], [32], [34]) and many energy estimates have been derived for arbitrary growing feedbacks (polynomial, exponential or logarithmic decay).

very recently the authors in [55] studied the following problem:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} u_{tt} - \Delta u + b(x) + f(u) = 0, & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, +\infty), \\ u(x, t) = 0, & \text{on } \Gamma_0 \times (0, +\infty), \\ \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} + g(u_t(x, t)) = 0, & \text{on } \Gamma_1 \times (0, +\infty), \\ \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x), & x \in \Omega, \end{array} \right.$$

they proved the existence, uniqueness and uniform stability of strong and weak solutions of the nonlinear wave equation in bounded domains with nonlinear damped boundary conditions with restrictions on function $f(u)$; $g(u_t)$ and $b(x)$. They proved the existence by means of the Galerkin method and obtain the asymptotic behavior by using perturbed energy method and combining some ideas of Kmornik and Zuazua (see [80]).

It is widely known that delay effects, which arise in many practical problems, source of some instabilities, in this way Datko and Nicaise [72,73] showed that a small delay in a boundary control turns a well-behave hyperbolic system into a wild one which in turn, becomes a source of instability, where they proved that the energy is exponentially stable under the condition

$$(3.2) \quad \mu_2 < \mu_1.$$

Recently, inspired by the works of Al and Nicaise [37], Sthéphan Gherbi and B. Said-Houari [39] considered the following problem in bounded domain:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} u_{tt} - \Delta u - \alpha \Delta u_t = 0, & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, +\infty), \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \Gamma_0 \times (0, +\infty), \\ u_{tt} = -a \left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(x, t) + \alpha \frac{\partial u_t}{\partial \nu}(x, t) + \mu_1 u_t(x, t) + \mu_2 u_t(x, t - \tau) \right], & \text{on } \Gamma_1 \times (0, +\infty), \\ \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x), & x \in \Omega, \\ u_t(x, t - \tau) = f_0(x, t - \tau), & \text{on } \Gamma_1 \times (0, +\infty), \end{array} \right.$$

and obtained several results concerning global existence and exponential decay rates for various signs of μ_1, μ_2 .

The case of time varying delay in the wave equation has been studied recently by Nicaise, Valein and Fridman, ([37] in one-space dimension and in the linear case in problem (3.1) and proved an exponential stability result under the condition

$$\mu_2 < \sqrt{1 - d} \mu_1,$$

where the constant d satisfies

$$\tau'(t) \leq d < 1, \quad \forall t > 0.$$

In ([12]) Nicaise, Pignotti and Valein extended the above result to higher-space dimension and established an exponential decay.

Very recently Z-Y Zhang, J- Huang, Z-H. Liu, and M. Sun [54], have studied a more general model than the above one

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} u_{tt} - \Delta u + \int_0^t h(t-s)ds + a u_t(x, t - \tau(t)) = 0, & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, +\infty), \\ u(x, t) = 0, & \text{on } \Gamma_0 \times (0, +\infty), \\ \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} + g(u_t(x, t)) = 0, & \text{on } \Gamma_1 \times (0, +\infty), \\ \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x), & x \in \Omega, \\ u_t(x, t - \tau(t)) = f_0(x, t - \tau(t)), & \text{on } \Gamma_1 \times (0, +\infty). \end{array} \right.$$

Since it contains nonlinear term in the boundary. They investigated a nonlinear viscoelastic equation with interior time-varying delay and nonlinear dissipative boundary feedback. Under suitable assumptions on the relaxation function and time-varying delay effect together with nonlinear dissipative boundary feedback, they proved the global existence of weak solutions and asymptotic behavior of the energy by using the Faedo-Galerkin method and the perturbed energy method.

Motivated by the previous works, it is interesting to investigate the rate of decay of solutions by using an appropriate Lyapunov functional. Precisely, we show that the decay rate of energy function is exponential depending on both functions $\sigma(t)$ and $\alpha(t)$ that will be precised later.

3.2 Preliminary Results

In this section, we present some material for the proof of our result. For the relaxation function g , α and σ we assume

(A₀) $g, \alpha : R_+ \rightarrow R_+$ are nonincreasing differentiable functions satisfying

$$(3.3) \quad g(0) > 0, \quad l_0 = \int_0^\infty g(s)ds < \infty, \quad \alpha(t) > 0, \quad 1 - \alpha(t) \int_0^t g(s)ds = l > 0 \text{ for } t > 0,$$

there exists a nonincreasing differentiable function $\sigma : R^+ \rightarrow R^+$ satisfying

$$g'(t) \leq -\sigma(t)g(t), \quad \sigma(t) > 0, \quad \text{for } t > 0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-\alpha'(t)}{\sigma(t)\alpha(t)} = 0.$$

(A₁) τ is a function such that

$$(3.4) \quad \tau \in W^{2,\infty}([0, T]), \quad \forall T > 0,$$

$$(3.5) \quad 0 < \tau_0 \leq \tau(t) \leq \tau_1, \quad \forall t > 0,$$

$$(3.6) \quad \tau'(t) \leq d < 1, \quad \forall t > 0,$$

where τ_0 and τ_1 are two positive constants.

(A₂)

$$(3.7) \quad \mu_2 < \sqrt{1-d}\mu_1.$$

We choose ξ such that

$$(3.8) \quad \frac{\mu_2}{\sqrt{1-d}} < \xi < 2\mu_1 - \frac{\mu_2}{\sqrt{1-d}}.$$

We denote

$$V = \{v \in H_0^1(\Omega) : v = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_0\} = H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega),$$

we denote $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the scalar product in $L^2(\Omega)$ i.e. $\langle u, v \rangle = \int_\Omega u(x, t)v(x, t)dx$. Also we mean by $\|\cdot\|_q$ the $L^q(\Omega)$ norm for $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, and by $\|\cdot\|_{q, \Gamma_1}$ the $L^q(\Gamma_1)$ norm.

Let $T > 0$ be a real number and X a Banach space endowed with norm $\|\cdot\|_X$. $L^p(0, T; X)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$ denotes the space of functions f which are L^p over $(0, T)$ with values in X , which are measurable and $f \in L^p(0, T; X)$. This space is a Banach space endowed with the norm

$$\|f\|_{L^p(0, T; X)} = \left(\int_0^T \|f\|_X^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

$L^\infty(0, T; X)$ denotes the space of functions $f :]0, T[\rightarrow X$ which are measurable and $f \in L^\infty(0, T)$. This space is a Banach space endowed with the norm :

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty(0, T; X)} = \text{ess sup}_{0 < t < T} \|f\|_X.$$

We recall that if X and Y are two Banach spaces such that $X \hookrightarrow Y$ (continuous embedding), then

$$L^p(0, T; X) \hookrightarrow L^p(0, T; Y), \quad 1 \leq p \leq \infty.$$

We will also use the embedding

$$H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^p(\Omega), \quad 2 \leq p \leq \bar{p} \quad \text{where} \quad \bar{p} = \begin{cases} \frac{2N}{N-2} & \text{if } N \geq 3, \\ +\infty & \text{if } N = 1, 2, \end{cases}$$

and also

$$H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Gamma_1), \quad 2 \leq q \leq \bar{q} \quad \text{where} \quad \bar{q} = \begin{cases} \frac{2(N-1)}{N-2} & \text{if } N \geq 3, \\ +\infty & \text{if } N = 1, 2. \end{cases}$$

We denote $V = H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega) \cap L^2(\Gamma_1)$.

Now we give some estimates related to the convolution operator. By direct calculations, as in [18-19] we find

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma(t)(g * u, u_t) &= -\frac{\sigma(t)}{2} g(t) \|u(t)\|_2^2 - \frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{\sigma(t)}{2} (g \circ u)(t) - \frac{\sigma(t)}{2} \left(\int_0^\infty g(s) ds \right) \|u(t)\|_2^2 \right] \\ &+ \frac{\sigma(t)}{2} (g' \circ u)(t) + \frac{\sigma'(t)}{2} (g \circ u)(t) - \frac{\sigma'(t)}{2} \int_0^\infty g(s) ds \|u(t)\|_2^2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(3.10) \quad (g * u)(t) = \int_0^\infty g(t-s)u(s)ds, \quad g \circ u = \int_0^\infty g(t-s)u(s)ds \|u(t) - u(s)\|_2^2 ds,$$

and

$$(3.11) \quad (g * u, u) \leq 2 \left(\int_0^t g(s) ds \right) \|u(t)\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{4} (g \circ u)(t).$$

Let us consider the new variable z as in [12] ,

$$z(x, k, t) = u_t(x, t - \tau(t)k), \quad x \in \Gamma_1, k \in (0, 1),$$

which implies that

$$\tau(t)z_t(x, k, t) + (1 - \tau'(t)k)z_k(x, k, t) = 0, \quad \text{in } \Gamma_1 \times (0, 1) \times (0, \infty).$$

Therefore, problem (3.1) is equivalent to:

$$(3.12) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_{tt} - \Delta u - \delta \Delta u_t + \alpha(t) \int_0^t g(t-s) \Delta u(s) ds = |u|^{p-2}u, \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ u_{tt} = -a \left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(x, t) + \delta \frac{\partial u_t}{\partial \nu}(x, t) + \alpha(t) \int_0^t g(t-s) \Delta u(s) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(x, s) ds \right] \\ + [\mu_1 u_t(x, t) + \mu_2 z_k(x, 1, t)], \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1 \times (0, +\infty), \\ \tau(t)z_t(x, k, t) + z_k(x, k, t) = 0, \quad \text{in } \Gamma_1 \times (0, 1) \times (0, \infty), \\ z(x, k, 0) = f_0(x, -\tau k), \quad x \in \Gamma_1, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \\ u(x, t) = 0, \quad x \in \Gamma_0, \quad t \geq 0. \end{array} \right.$$

Remark. For seeking of simplicity, we take $a = 1$ in (3.12) .

Now inspired by [43,44], we define the modified energy functional related with problem (3.12) by

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} E(t) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \alpha(t) \int_0^t g(s) ds \right) \|\nabla u(t)\|_2^2 + \frac{\xi(t)\tau(t)}{2} \int_{\Gamma_1} \int_0^1 z^2(\gamma, k, s) dk d\gamma \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \|u_t(t)\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|u_t(t)\|_{2,\Gamma_1}^2 - \frac{1}{p} \|u(t)\|_p^p + \alpha(t)(g \circ \nabla u)(t). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.2.1 . *Let $2 \leq p \leq \bar{q}$ and (u, z) be a solution of the problem (3.12). Then the energy functional defined by (3.12) satisfies*

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} E'(t) &\leq - \left(\frac{\xi(1 - \tau'(t))}{2} - \frac{\mu_2 \sqrt{1-d}}{2} \right) \int_{\Gamma_1} z^2(\gamma, 1, t) d\gamma - \delta \|\nabla u_t(t)\|_2^2 \\ &\quad - \left(\mu_1 - \frac{\xi}{2} - \frac{\mu_2}{2\sqrt{1-d}} \right) \|u_t(t)\|_{2,\Gamma_1}^2 + \frac{\alpha(t)}{2} (g' \circ \nabla u)(t) \\ &\quad - \frac{\alpha'(t)}{2} \int_0^t g(s) ds \|\nabla u(t)\|_2^2 - \frac{\alpha(t)}{2} g(t) \|\nabla u(t)\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof By multiplying the first and second equation in (3.12) by $u_t(t)$, and integrating the first equation over Ω and the second equation over Γ_1 , using the Green's formula, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.15) \quad & \frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{1}{2} \|u_t(t)\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|u_t(t)\|_{2,\Gamma_1}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u(t)\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{p} \|u(t)\|_p^p \right] \\
& + \mu_1 \int_{\Gamma_1} \|u_t(t)\|_{2,\Gamma_1}^2 d\gamma + \int_{\Gamma_1} \mu_2 z(\gamma, 1, t) u_t(t) d\gamma \\
& + \alpha(t) (g' \circ \nabla u)(t) - \alpha'(t) \left(\int_0^t g(s) ds \right) \|\nabla u(t)\|_2^2 \\
& - \frac{\alpha(t)}{2} g(t) \|\nabla u(t)\|_2^2 + \frac{\alpha'(t)}{2} (g \circ \nabla u)(t) + \delta \|\nabla u_t(t)\|_2^2 = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

We multiply the third equation in (3.12) by $\xi(t)z$ and integrate over $\Gamma_1 \times (0, 1)$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.16) \quad & \xi(t)\tau(t) \int_{\Gamma_1} \int_0^1 z_t z(\gamma, k, t) dk d\gamma \\
& = -\frac{\xi(t)}{2} \int_{\Gamma_1} \int_0^1 (1 - \tau'(t)k) \frac{\partial}{\partial k} z^2(\gamma, k, t) dk d\gamma.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.17) \quad & \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\xi(t)\tau(t)}{2} \int_{\Gamma_1} \int_0^1 z^2(\gamma, k, t) dk d\gamma \right) \\
& = -\frac{\xi(t)}{2} \int_{\Gamma_1} \int_0^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial k} ((1 - \tau'(t)k) z^2(\gamma, k, t)) dk d\gamma \\
& + \frac{\xi'(t)\tau(t)}{2} \int_{\Gamma_1} \int_0^1 z^2(\gamma, k, t) dk d\gamma \\
& = \frac{\xi(t)}{2} \int_{\Gamma_1} (z^2(\gamma, 0, t) - z^2(\gamma, 1, t)) d\gamma + \frac{\xi(t)\tau'(t)}{2} \int_{\Gamma_1} z^2(\gamma, 1, t) d\gamma \\
& + \frac{\xi'(t)\tau(t)}{2} \int_{\Gamma_1} \int_0^1 z^2(\gamma, k, t) dk d\gamma \\
& \leq \frac{\xi(t)}{2} \int_{\Gamma_1} (z^2(\gamma, 0, t) - z^2(\gamma, 1, t)) d\gamma + \frac{\xi(t)\tau'(t)}{2} \int_{\Gamma_1} z^2(\gamma, 1, t) d\gamma.
\end{aligned}$$

From (3.15), (3.17) and Young's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.18) \quad E'(t) & \leq -\left(\mu_1 - \frac{\xi(t)}{2} \right) \|u_t(t)\|_{2,\Gamma_1}^2 - \left(\frac{\xi(t)(1 - \tau'(t))}{2} \right) \int_{\Gamma_1} z^2(\gamma, k, t) d\gamma \\
& - \mu_2 \int_{\Gamma_1} z(\gamma, 1, t) u_t(\gamma, t) d\gamma + \frac{\alpha(t)}{2} (g' \circ \nabla u)(t) - \delta \|\nabla u_t(t)\|_2^2 \\
& - \frac{\alpha'(t)}{2} \int_0^t g(s) ds \|\nabla u(t)\|_2^2 - \frac{\alpha(t)}{2} g(t) \|\nabla u(t)\|_2^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Due to Young's inequality, we have

$$(3.19) \quad \mu_2 \int_{\Gamma_1} z(\gamma, 1, t) u_t(\gamma, t) d\gamma \leq \frac{\mu_2}{2\sqrt{1-d}} \|u_t(t)\|_{2,\Gamma_1}^2 + \frac{\mu_2\sqrt{1-d}}{2} \int_{\Gamma_1} z^2(\gamma, 1, t) d\gamma.$$

Inserting (3.19) into (3.18), we obtain

$$(3.20) \quad \begin{aligned} E'(t) &\leq - \left(\frac{\xi(1-\tau'(t))}{2} - \frac{\mu_2\sqrt{1-d}}{2} \right) \int_{\Gamma_1} z^2(\gamma, 1, t) d\gamma - \delta \|\nabla u_t(t)\|_2^2 \\ &- \left(\mu_1 - \frac{\xi}{2} - \frac{\mu_2}{2\sqrt{1-d}} \right) \|u_t(t)\|_{2,\Gamma_1}^2 + \frac{\alpha(t)}{2} (g' \circ \nabla u)(t) \\ &- \frac{\alpha(t)}{2} \int_0^t g(s) ds \|\nabla u(t)\|_2^2 - \frac{\alpha(t)}{2} g(t) \|\nabla u(t)\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. ■

Remark. Since $-\frac{\alpha'(t)}{2} \int_0^\infty g(s) ds \|\nabla u(t)\|_2^2 > 0$, $E(t)$ may not be non-increasing.

Remark. The following result to problem (3.12) can be established by combining arguments of ([40],[52]).

Theorem 3.2.1 *Let $2 \leq p \leq \bar{q}$ and then given $u_0 \in H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega)$, $u_1 \in L^2(\Omega)$, $f_0 \in L^2(\Gamma_1 \times (0, 1))$. Suppose that $(A_0) - (A_2)$ hold. Then the problem (3.12) admits a unique weak solution satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned} u &\in L^\infty((0, T); H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega)), \quad u_t \in L^\infty((0, T); H_{\Gamma_0}^1(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty((0, T); L^2(\Gamma_1)), \\ u_{tt} &\in L^\infty((0, T); L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty((0, T); L^2(\Gamma_1)). \end{aligned}$$

3.3 Asymptotic Behavior

In this section, we establish the asymptotic behavior for the solutions. We define the following perturbed function:

$$(3.21) \quad L(t) = ME(t) + \epsilon\alpha(t)\psi(t) + \epsilon\alpha(t)I(t) + \epsilon\frac{\delta\alpha(t)}{2}\|\nabla u\|_2^2,$$

where

$$(3.22) \quad \psi(t) = \int_{\Omega} uu_t dx + \int_{\Gamma_1} uu_t d\gamma,$$

and

$$(3.23) \quad I(t) = \xi(t) \int_{\Gamma_1} \int_0^1 e^{-k\tau(t)} z^2(\gamma, k, t) dk d\gamma.$$

We need also the following lemma

Lemma 3.3.1 *Let (u, z) be a solution of problem (3.12), then there exists two positive constants λ_1, λ_2 such that*

$$(3.24) \quad \lambda_1 E(t) \leq L(t) \leq \lambda_2 E(t), \quad t \geq 0,$$

for M sufficiently large .

Proof Thank's to the Cauchy Schwarz and Young's inequalities, and using the fact that $\|u\|_{2,\Gamma_1} \leq B\|\nabla u\|_2$, we have

$$(3.25) \quad |\psi(t)| \leq \frac{1}{\omega}\|u_t\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{4\omega}\|u_t\|_{2,\Gamma}^2 + \omega\|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \omega B^2\|\nabla u\|_2^2,$$

it follows from (3.23) that $\forall c > 0$

$$(3.26) \quad \begin{aligned} |I(t)| &= \left| \xi(t) \int_{\Gamma_1} \int_0^1 e^{-k\tau(t)} z^2(\gamma, k, s) dk d\gamma \right| \\ &\leq c\xi(t) \int_{\Gamma_1} \int_0^1 z^2(\gamma, k, s) dk d\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, combining (3.25),(3.26), and using the fact that $\alpha(t) < \alpha(0)$. This yields

$$(3.27) \quad \begin{aligned} |L(t) - ME(t)| &= \epsilon\alpha(t)\psi(t) + \xi(t) \int_{\Gamma_1} \int_0^1 e^{-k\tau(t)} z^2(\gamma, k, t) dk d\gamma \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{\omega}\|u_t\|_2^2 + \frac{\epsilon}{4\omega}\|u_t\|_{2,\Gamma_1}^2 + (\epsilon\omega + \epsilon B^2)\|\nabla u\|_2^2 \\ &\quad + c\xi(t) \int_{\Gamma_1} \int_0^1 z^2(\gamma, k, t) dk d\gamma + \epsilon \frac{\delta\alpha(t)}{2} \|\nabla u\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Where $c_1 = \frac{\epsilon}{\omega}$, $c_2 = \frac{\epsilon}{4\omega}$, $c_3 = (\epsilon\omega + \epsilon B^2)$, $c_4 = c$, then we can write

$$(3.28) \quad |L(t) - ME(t)| \leq c_5 E(t),$$

where $c_5 = \max(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4)$. Thus, from the definition of $E(t)$ and selecting M sufficiently large,

$$(3.29) \quad \lambda_1 E(t) \leq L(t) \leq \lambda_2 E(t).$$

Where $\lambda_1 = (M - \epsilon c_5)$, $\lambda_2 = (M + \epsilon c_5)$. This completes the proof. ■

Lemma 3.3.2 *The functional defined in (3.23) satisfies*

$$\frac{d}{dt} I(t) \leq \frac{\xi(t)}{2\tau_0} \|u_t\|_{2,\Gamma_1}^2 - \xi(t) \left(\frac{1-d}{2\tau_1} \right) \int_{\Gamma_1} \int_0^1 z^2(\gamma, 1, t) d\gamma - \frac{\tau'(t)\eta_1}{2\tau_1} \int_{\Gamma_1} \int_0^1 z^2(\gamma, k, t) dk d\gamma.$$

Where $\eta_1, \eta_2, \tau_0, \tau_1$ and d are a positive constants and $\xi(t)$ are positive and bounded functions such that $\xi_0 = \sup_{t \geq 0} \xi(t)$, $\xi_1 = \inf_{t \geq 0} \xi(t)$,

Proof Taking derivative of (3.23) produces

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}I(t) &= \frac{d}{dt} \left(\xi(t)e^{-k\tau(t)} \int_{\Gamma_1} \int_0^1 z^2(\gamma, k, t) dk d\gamma \right) \\
&= \left[\xi'(t)e^{-\tau(t)k} \int_{\Gamma_1} \int_0^1 z^2(\gamma, k, t) dk d\gamma - \xi(t)ke^{-\tau(t)k}\tau'(t) \int_{\Gamma_1} \int_0^1 z^2(\gamma, k, t) dk d\gamma \right] \\
&+ \frac{1}{\tau(t)}e^{-\tau(t)k}\tau(t) \int_{\Gamma_1} \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} z^2(\gamma, k, t) dk d\gamma \\
&= \left[\xi'(t)e^{-\tau(t)k} \int_{\Gamma_1} \int_0^1 z^2(\gamma, k, t) dk d\gamma - \xi(t)ke^{-\tau(t)k}\tau'(t) \int_{\Gamma_1} \int_0^1 z^2(\gamma, k, t) dk d\gamma \right] \\
&+ \frac{1}{\tau(t)}e^{-\tau(t)k} \int_{\Gamma_1} \int_0^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial k} (1 - \tau'(t)k) z^2(\gamma, k, t) dk d\gamma \\
&\leq \left[\xi'(t)e^{-\tau(t)k} \int_{\Gamma_1} \int_0^1 z^2(\gamma, k, t) dk d\gamma - \xi(t)ke^{-\tau(t)k}\tau'(t) \int_{\Gamma_1} \int_0^1 z^2(\gamma, k, t) dk d\gamma \right] \\
&+ \frac{1}{\tau(t)} \left[\xi(t) \int_{\Gamma_1} [z^2(\gamma, 0, t) d\gamma - z^2(\gamma, 1, t) d\gamma] + \xi(t)\tau'(t) \int_{\Gamma_1} z^2(\gamma, 1, t) d\gamma \right] \\
&\leq \frac{\xi(t)}{2\tau_0} \|u_t\|_{2,\Gamma_1}^2 - \xi(t) \left(\frac{1-d}{2\tau_1} \right) \int_{\Gamma_1} z^2(\gamma, 1, t) d\gamma - \tau'(t)\eta_1 \int_{\Gamma_1} \int_0^1 z^2(\gamma, k, t) dk d\gamma.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

■

Lemma 3.3.3 . *The functional $\psi(t)$ defined in (3.22) satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}\psi(t) &\leq \|u_t\|_2^2 + \|u_t\|_{2,\Gamma_1}^2 - (1 - 2n - \eta_1)\|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \|u\|_p^p \\
&+ \frac{\alpha(t)}{4}(g \circ \nabla u)(t) + \frac{c}{4\eta} \int_{\Gamma_1} |u_t(\gamma, t)|^2 d\gamma \\
&+ \frac{c}{4\eta} \int_{\Gamma_1} |z(\gamma, 1, t)|^2 d\gamma,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

where $n = \left(1 - \frac{2\alpha(t)}{\lambda} \int_0^t g(s) ds\right) > 0$, $\eta_1 = 2\epsilon\eta c_s^2 B^2 > 0$ and $(1 - 2n - \eta_1) > 0$.

Proof Taking derivative of ψ and using the problem (3.12) , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}\psi(t) &\leq \|u_t\|_2^2 + \|u_t\|_{2,\Gamma_1}^2 - \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \|u\|_p^p + \alpha(t)(g * \nabla u \cdot \nabla u) \\
&- \mu_1 \int_{\Gamma_1} u_t u d\gamma - \mu_2 \int_{\Gamma_1} z(\gamma, 1, t) u d\gamma.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.32}$$

Young's inequality produces $\forall \epsilon > 0$ and put $|\sigma(t)| \leq c$

$$\left| \int_{\Gamma_1} u_t(\gamma, t) u(\gamma, t) d\gamma \right| \leq \eta c_s^2 B^2 \epsilon \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \frac{c}{4\eta} \int_{\Gamma_1} |u_t|^2 d\gamma \tag{3.33}$$

$$(3.34) \quad \left| \int_{\Gamma_1} z(\gamma, 1, t) u(\gamma, t) d\gamma \right| \leq \eta c_s^2 B^2 \epsilon \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \frac{c}{4\eta} \int_{\Gamma_1} |z(\gamma, 1, t)|^2 d\gamma,$$

$$(3.35) \quad \alpha(t)(g * \nabla u \cdot \nabla u) \leq \frac{2\alpha(t)}{\lambda} \int_0^t g(s) ds \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \frac{\alpha(t)}{4} (g \circ \nabla u)(t),$$

inserting (3.33)-(3.35) in (3.32) gives

$$(3.36) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \psi(t) \leq & \|u_t\|_2^2 + \|u_t\|_{2,\Gamma_1}^2 - \left[1 - \frac{2\alpha(t)}{\lambda} \int_0^t g(s) ds - 2\epsilon \eta c_s^2 B^2 \right] \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \|u\|_p^p \\ & + \frac{\alpha(t)}{4} (g \circ \nabla u)(t) + \frac{c}{4\eta} \int_{\Gamma_1} |u_t(\gamma, t)|^2 d\gamma + \frac{c}{4\eta} \int_{\Gamma_1} |z(\gamma, 1, t)|^2 d\gamma, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$(3.37) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \psi(t) \leq & \|u_t\|_2^2 + \|u_t\|_{2,\Gamma_1}^2 - (1 - 2n - \eta_1) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \|u\|_p^p \\ & + \frac{\alpha(t)}{4} (g \circ \nabla u)(t) + \frac{c}{4\eta} \int_{\Gamma_1} |u_t(\gamma, t)|^2 d\gamma \\ & + \frac{c}{4\eta} \int_{\Gamma_1} |z(\gamma, 1, t)|^2 d\gamma, \end{aligned}$$

where $n = \left(1 - \frac{2\alpha(t)}{\lambda} \int_0^t g(s) ds \right) > 0$, $\eta_1 = 2\epsilon \eta c_s^2 B^2 > 0$ and $(1 - 2n - \eta_1) > 0$, which completes the proof. ■

Lemma 3.3.4 *Let $L(t)$ the functional defined in (3.21), then $L(t)$ satisfies*

$$(3.38) \quad \frac{d}{dt} L(t) \leq -\alpha(t) C_1 E(t) + C_2 \alpha(t) (g \circ \nabla u)(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Proof We take the derivative of (3.21), we get

$$(3.39) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} L(t) = & ME'(t) + \epsilon \alpha(t) \psi'(t) + \epsilon \alpha'(t) \psi(t) + \epsilon \alpha'(t) I(t) + \epsilon \alpha(t) I'(t) \\ & + \epsilon \frac{\delta \alpha'(t)}{2} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \epsilon \delta \alpha(t) \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla u_t dx, \end{aligned}$$

making use of the inequalities

$$(3.40) \quad \alpha'(t) \left| \int_{\Omega} uu_t dx \right| \leq \alpha'(t) \frac{c_s^2}{\alpha_1} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \alpha'(t) \alpha_1^2 \|u_t\|_2^2,$$

and

$$(3.41) \quad \alpha'(t) \left| \int_{\Gamma_1} uu_t d\gamma \right| \leq \alpha'(t) \frac{c_s^2 B^2}{\alpha_1} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \alpha'(t) \alpha_1^2 \|u_t\|_{2,\Gamma_1}^2,$$

using lemma 3.1.1 , and (3.12) so $L'(t)$ gives the form:

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.42) \quad L'(t) &= -Ma_1 \int_{\Gamma_1} z^2(\gamma, 1, t) d\gamma - Ma_2 \|u_t\|_{2,\Gamma_1}^2 + \frac{M\alpha(t)}{2} (g' \circ \nabla u)(t) \\
&- \frac{M\alpha'(t)}{2} \int_0^t g(s) ds \|\nabla u\|_2^2 - \frac{M\alpha(t)}{2} g(t) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 - M\delta \|\nabla u_t\|_2^2 \\
&+ \epsilon\alpha(t) \|u_t\|_2^2 + \epsilon\alpha(t) \|u_t\|_{2,\Gamma_1}^2 - \epsilon\alpha(t) (1 - 2n - \eta_1) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \\
&+ \epsilon\alpha(t) \|u\|_p^p + \epsilon \frac{\alpha(t)^2}{4} (g \circ \nabla u)(t) + \epsilon \frac{\alpha(t)}{4\eta} \|u_t\|_{2,\Gamma_1}^2 \\
&+ \epsilon \frac{\alpha(t)}{4\eta} \|z(\gamma, 1, t)\|_{2,\Gamma_1}^2 + \epsilon \frac{\alpha'(t)c_s^2}{\alpha_1} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \epsilon\alpha'(t)\alpha_1^2 \|u_t\|_2^2 \\
&+ \epsilon \frac{\alpha'(t)c_s^2 B^2}{\alpha_1} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \epsilon\alpha'(t)\alpha_1^2 \|u_t\|_{2,\Gamma_1}^2 + \epsilon \frac{\alpha(t)\xi(t)}{2\tau_0} \|u_t\|_{2,\Gamma_1}^2 \\
&+ \epsilon\alpha'(t)\xi(t) \int_{\Gamma_1} \int_0^1 e^{-k\tau(t)} z^2(\gamma, k, t) dk d\gamma + \epsilon \frac{\delta\alpha'(t)}{2} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \\
&- \epsilon\tau(t)\xi(t)\alpha(t) \frac{\tau'(t)\eta_1}{2\tau_1\xi_0} \int_{\Gamma_1} \int_0^1 z^2(\gamma, k, t) dk d\gamma \\
&- \epsilon\alpha(t)\xi(t) \left(\frac{1-d}{2\tau_1} \right) \int_{\Gamma_1} z^2(\gamma, 1, t) d\gamma,
\end{aligned}$$

using the fact that $\alpha(t) < \alpha(0)$, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.43) \quad L'(t) &= -\alpha(t)\epsilon \left((1 - 2n - \eta_1) - (c_s^2(1 + B^2) - \frac{\delta}{2}) \frac{\alpha'(t)}{\alpha(t)} \right) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \\
&+ \epsilon\alpha(t) \left(1 + \alpha_1^2 \frac{\alpha'(t)}{\alpha(t)} + \frac{1}{4\eta} + \frac{\xi(t)}{2\tau_0} \right) \|u_t\|_{2,\Gamma_1}^2 + \epsilon\alpha(t) \left(1 + \alpha_1^2 \frac{\alpha'(t)}{\alpha(t)} \right) \|u_t\|_2^2 \\
&+ \epsilon\alpha(t) \|u\|_p^p - \delta M \|\nabla u_t\|_2^2 + \epsilon \frac{\alpha(t)^2}{4} (g \circ \nabla u)(t) + \epsilon \frac{\alpha(t)}{4\eta} \|z(\gamma, 1, t)\|_{2,\Gamma_1}^2 \\
&- \alpha(t) \left(\frac{Ma_2\sigma(t)}{\alpha(0)} - \epsilon \frac{\xi(1-d)}{2\tau_1\alpha(0)} \right) \int_{\Gamma_1} z^2(\gamma, 1, t) d\gamma \\
&- \alpha(t) \left(\frac{Ma_1\sigma(t)}{\alpha(0)} - \epsilon \frac{\xi\alpha_2}{\tau_0} \right) \|u_t\|_{2,\Gamma_1}^2 \\
&- \epsilon\tau(t)\xi(t)\alpha(t) \frac{\tau'(t)\eta_1}{2\tau_1\xi_0} \int_{\Gamma_1} \int_0^1 z^2(\gamma, k, t) dk d\gamma.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, using the definition of the energy (3.13), for any positive constant M, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.44) \quad L'(t) &= -\alpha(t)\epsilon \left((1 - 2n - \eta_1) - (c_s^2(1 + B^2) - \frac{\delta}{2}) \frac{\alpha'(t)}{\alpha(t)} \right) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \\
&- \epsilon\alpha(t) \left(\frac{M}{2} - 1 \right) \|u\|_p^p - \epsilon\alpha(t) \left(\frac{M}{2} - \alpha_1^2 \left(1 + \alpha_1^2 \frac{\alpha'(t)}{\alpha(t)} \right) \right) \|u_t\|_2^2 \\
&- \epsilon\alpha(t) \left(\frac{M}{2} - \left(1 + \alpha_1^2 \frac{\alpha'(t)}{\alpha(t)} + \frac{1}{4\eta} + \frac{\xi(t)}{2\tau_0} \right) \right) \|u_t\|_{2,\Gamma_1}^2 + \frac{\alpha(t)M}{2} \|u_t\|_2^2 \\
&+ \epsilon \frac{\alpha(t)M}{2} \|u_t\|_{2,\Gamma_1}^2 - \epsilon \frac{M\alpha(t)^2}{4} (g \circ \nabla u)(t) + \epsilon \frac{M\alpha(t)^2}{2} (g \circ \nabla u)(t) \\
&- M\delta \|\nabla u_t\|_2^2 + \epsilon \frac{\alpha(t)}{4\eta} \|u_t\|_{2,\Gamma_1}^2 + \epsilon \frac{\alpha(t)^2}{4\eta} \|z(\gamma, 1, t)\|_{2,\Gamma_1}^2 \\
&- \alpha(t) \left(\frac{Ma_2\sigma(t)}{\alpha(0)} - \epsilon \frac{\xi\alpha_2}{\tau_0} \right) \|u_t\|_{2,\Gamma_1}^2 \\
&- \alpha(t) \left(\frac{Ma_1\sigma(t)}{\alpha(0)} - \epsilon \frac{\xi(1-d)}{2\tau_1\alpha(0)} \right) \int_{\Gamma_1} z^2(\gamma, 1, t) d\gamma \\
&- \epsilon\alpha(t)\tau(t)\xi(t) \frac{\tau'(t)\eta_1}{2\tau_1\xi_0} \int_{\Gamma_1} \int_0^1 z^2(\gamma, k, t) dk d\gamma.
\end{aligned}$$

First, we fix $n - \eta_1 > 0$ such that $1 - 2n - \eta_1 > 0$ and then take $M > 0$ such that $(\frac{M}{2} - 1) > 0$, since $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha'(t)}{\alpha(t)} = 0$, we can choose $t_0 > 0$ sufficiently large so that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\frac{M}{2} - \alpha_1^2 \left(1 + \frac{\alpha'(t)}{\alpha(t)} \right) \right) > 0, \quad \left((1 - 2n - \eta_1) - (c_s^2(1 + B^2) - \frac{\delta}{2}) \frac{\alpha'(t)}{\alpha(t)} \right) > 0, \quad \left(\frac{Ma_2\sigma(t)}{\alpha(0)} - \epsilon \frac{\xi\alpha_2}{\tau_0} \right) > 0, \\
&0. \quad \frac{\tau'(t)\eta_1}{2\tau_1\xi_0} > 0, \quad \left(\frac{Ma_1\sigma(t)}{\alpha(0)} - \epsilon \frac{\xi(1-d)}{2\tau_1\alpha(0)} \right) > 0, \quad \left(\frac{M}{2} - \left(1 + \alpha_1^2 \frac{\alpha'(t)}{\alpha(t)} + \frac{1}{4\eta} + \frac{\xi(t)}{2\tau_0} \right) \right) > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

By using the poincaré and trace inequalities

$$\|u_t\|_2^2 \leq C \|\nabla u_t\|_2^2,$$

and

$$\|u_t\|_{2,\Gamma_1}^2 \leq C \|\nabla u_t\|_2^2.$$

Then (3.44) takes the form:

$$(3.45) \quad \frac{d}{dt} L(t) \leq -M\alpha(t)c\epsilon E(t) - (M\delta - \epsilon M\alpha(0)C) \|\nabla u_t\|_2^2 + \epsilon \frac{\alpha(0)M}{2} \alpha(t) (g \circ \nabla u)(t),$$

then, choosing ϵ small enough such that $(M\delta - \epsilon M\alpha(0)C) > 0$ we obtain

$$(3.46) \quad \frac{d}{dt} L(t) \leq -M\alpha(t)c\epsilon E(t) + \epsilon \frac{\alpha(0)M}{2} \alpha(t) (g \circ \nabla u)(t),$$

setting $\theta = \frac{M\epsilon}{\lambda_2}$, $C_1 = c\theta$, $C_2 = \epsilon \frac{\alpha(0)M}{2}$ and

$$(3.47) \quad \frac{d}{dt} L(t) \leq -\alpha(t)C_1 E(t) + C_2 \alpha(t) (g \circ \nabla u)(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

The proof is completed. ■

Theorem 3.3.1 *There exist two positive constants C_0 , θ and t_1 such that*

$$(3.48) \quad E(t) \leq C_0 e^{-\theta \int_{t_1}^t \alpha(s)\sigma(s)ds}$$

Proof Multiplying (3.47) by $\sigma(t)$ and using the lemma 3.1.1. We get

$$(3.49) \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma(t) \frac{d}{dt} L(t) &\leq -C_1 \alpha(t) \sigma(t) E(t) + C_2 \alpha(t) \sigma(t) (g \circ \nabla u)(t) \\ &\leq -C_1 \alpha(t) \sigma(t) E(t) - C_2 \alpha(t) \sigma(t) (g' \circ \nabla u)(t) \\ &\leq -C_1 \alpha(t) \sigma(t) E(t) + C_2 \left(-2 \frac{d}{dt} E(t) - \alpha'(t) \int_0^t g(s) ds \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since σ is nonincreasing, from the definition of $E(t)$ and assumption (A_0) , we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} (\sigma(t)L(t) + 2C_2 E(t)) \leq -\alpha(t)\sigma(t) \left(C_1 + \frac{2C_2 l_0 \alpha'(t)}{\lambda \alpha(t)\sigma(t)} \right) E(t) \text{ for } t > t_0,$$

as we have $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2C_2 l_0 \alpha'(t)}{\lambda \alpha(t)\sigma(t)} = 0$, we can choose $t_1 > t_0$ such that $C_3 = C_1 + \frac{2C_2 l_0 \alpha'(t)}{\lambda \alpha(t)\sigma(t)} > 0$ for $t > t_1$.

Now let $\chi(t) = \sigma(t)L(t) + 2C_2 E(t)$. Then we can verify that

$$(3.50) \quad \theta_1 E(t) \leq \chi(t) \leq \theta_2 E(t).$$

Where θ_1, θ_2 are two positive constants, thus we arrive at

$$\frac{d}{dt} \chi(t) \leq -C_4 \alpha(t) \sigma(t) \chi(t) \text{ for } t > t_1.$$

Integrating the previous differential inequality between t_1 and t gives the following estimate for the function χ

$$\chi(t) \leq \chi(t_1) e^{-C_4 \int_{t_1}^t \alpha(s)\sigma(s)ds}, \quad \forall t \geq t_1.$$

Consequently, by using (3.50), we conclude

$$E(t) \leq \hat{C} e^{-C_4 \int_{t_1}^t \alpha(s)\sigma(s)ds}, \quad \forall t \geq t_1.$$

This completes the proof. ■

Remark 3.3.1 *We illustrate the energy decay rate given by Theorem 2 through the following examples which are introduced in [43,44].*

1. If $g(t) = ae^{-b(1+t)^\nu}$, $\alpha(t) = \frac{1}{1+t}$ for $a, b > 0$ and $0 < \nu \leq 1$, then $\sigma(t) = b\nu(1+t)^{\nu-1}$ satisfies (A_0) . Thus (3.48) gives the estimate

$$E(t) \leq C_0 e^{-\theta(1+t)^{\nu-1}}.$$

2. If $g(t) = ae^{-b \ln^\nu(1+t)}$, $\alpha(t) = \frac{1}{\ln(1+t)}$ for $a, b > 0$ and $1 < \nu$, then $\sigma(t) = \frac{b\nu \ln^{\nu-1}(1+t)}{(1+t)}$ satisfies (A_0) . Thus (3.48) gives the estimate

$$E(t) \leq C_0 e^{-\theta \ln^\nu(1+t)}.$$

3. If $g(t) = e^{-at}$, $\alpha(t) = \frac{b}{(1+t)}$ for $a, b > 0$ then $\sigma(t) \equiv a$ satisfies (A_0) . Thus (48) gives the estimate

$$E(t) \leq C_0 (1+t)^{-\theta ab}.$$

4. If $g(t) = e^{-at}$, $\alpha(t) \equiv b$. Note that in these case (3.48) reduces to one of [13].

Chapter 4

Global existence and energy decay of solutions to a bresse system with delay terms and infinite memories

4.1 Introduction

In this chapter We consider the Bresse system in bounded domain with delay terms in the internal feedbacks and infinite memories acting in the three equations of the system. First, we prove the global existence of its solutions in Sobolev spaces by means of semigroup theory. Furthermore, the asymptotic stability is given by using an appropriate Lyapunov functional.

$$(4.1) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \rho_1 \varphi_{tt} - k_1(\varphi_x + \psi + l\omega)_x - lk_3(\omega_x - l\varphi) + \mu_1 \varphi_t + \mu_2 \varphi_t(x, t - \tau_1) \\ \quad + \int_0^\infty g_1(s) \varphi_{xx}(x, t - s) ds = 0, \\ \\ \rho_2 \psi_{tt} - k_2 \psi_{xx} + k_1(\varphi_x + \psi + l\omega) + \tilde{\mu}_1 \psi_t + \tilde{\mu}_2 \psi_t(x, t - \tau_2) \\ \quad + \int_0^\infty g_2(s) \psi_{xx}(x, t - s) ds = 0, \\ \\ \rho_1 \omega_{tt} - k_3(\omega_x - l\varphi)_x + lk_1(\varphi_x + \psi + l\omega) + \tilde{\mu}_1 \omega_t + \tilde{\mu}_2 \omega_t(x, t - \tau_3) \\ \quad + \int_0^\infty g_3(s) \omega_{xx}(x, t - s) ds = 0, \end{array} \right.$$

where $(x, t) \in (0, L) \times (0, +\infty)$, $\tau_i > 0$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) is a time delay, $\mu_1, \mu_2, \tilde{\mu}_1, \tilde{\mu}_2, \tilde{\mu}_1, \tilde{\mu}_2$ are positive real numbers. This system is subject to the Dirichlet boundary conditions

$$\varphi(0, t) = \varphi(L, t) = \psi(0, t) = \psi(L, t) = \omega(0, t) = \omega(L, t) = 0, \quad t > 0$$

and to the initial conditions

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \varphi(x, -t) = \varphi_0(x), \quad \varphi_t(x, 0) = \varphi_1(x), \quad \psi(x, -t) = \psi_0(x), \quad x \in (0, L) \\ \psi_t(x, 0) = \psi_1(x), \quad \omega(x, -t) = \omega_0(x), \quad \omega_t(x, 0) = \omega_1(x), \quad x \in (0, L) \\ \varphi_t(x, t - \tau_1) = \tilde{f}_0(x, t - \tau_1), \quad \text{in } (0, L) \times [0, \tau_1] \\ \psi_t(x, t - \tau_2) = \tilde{f}_0(x, t - \tau_2), \quad \text{in } (0, L) \times [0, \tau_2] \\ \omega_t(x, t - \tau_3) = \tilde{f}_0(x, t - \tau_3), \quad \text{in } (0, L) \times [0, \tau_3]. \end{array} \right.$$

The initial data $(\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \psi_0, \psi_1, \omega_0, \omega_1, f_0, \tilde{f}_0, \tilde{f}_0)$ belong to a suitable Sobolev space. By ω, ψ and φ we are denoting the longitudinal, vertical and shear angle displacements. The original Bresse system is given by the following equations (see [63]) :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \rho_1 \varphi_{tt} = Q_x + lN + F_1, \\ \rho_2 \psi_{tt} = M_x - Q + F_2, \\ \rho_1 \omega_{tt} = N_x - lQ + F_3, \end{array} \right.$$

where we use N, Q and M to denote the axial force, the shear force and the bending moment respectively. These forces are stress-strain relations for elastic behavior and given by

$$N = Eh(\omega_x - l\varphi), \quad Q = Gh(\varphi_x + \psi + l\omega), \quad \text{and } M = EI\psi_x,$$

where G, E, I and h are positive constants. Finally, by the terms F_i we are denoting external forces.

The Bresse system without delay (i.e $\mu_2 = \tilde{\mu}_2 = \tilde{\tilde{\mu}}_2 = 0$), is more general than the well-known Timoshenko system where the longitudinal displacement ω is not considered $l = 0$. There are a number of publications concerning the stabilization of Timoshenko system with different kinds of damping (see [64], [65], [66] and [67]). Raposo et al. [68] proved the exponential decay of the solution for the following linear system of Timoshenko-type beam equations with linear frictional dissipative terms:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \rho_1 \varphi_{tt} - Gh(\varphi_x + \psi + l\omega)_x - lEh(\omega_x - l\varphi) + \mu_1 \varphi_t = 0 \\ \rho_2 \psi_{tt} - EI\psi_{xx} + Gh(\varphi_x + \psi + l\omega) + \tilde{\mu}_1 \psi_t = 0. \end{array} \right.$$

Messaoudi and Mustafa [65] (see also [67]) considered the stabilization for the following Timoshenko system with nonlinear internal feedbacks:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \rho_1 \varphi_{tt} - Gh(\varphi_x + \psi + l\omega)_x - lEh(\omega_x - l\varphi) + g_1(\psi_t) = 0 \\ \rho_2 \psi_{tt} - EI\psi_{xx} + Gh(\varphi_x + \psi + l\omega) + g_2(\psi_t) = 0. \end{array} \right.$$

Recently, Park and Kang [67] considered the stabilization of the Timoshenko system with weakly nonlinear internal feedbacks.

In [69], Liu and Rao considered a thermoelastic Bresse system that consists of three wave equations and two heat equations coupled in certain way. The two wave equations for the longitudinal displacement and the shear angle displacement are effectively globally damped by

the dissipation from the two heat equations. The wave equation about the vertical displacement is subject to a weak thermal damping and indirectly damped through the coupling. They establish exponential energy decay rate when the vertical and the longitudinal waves have the same speed of propagation. Otherwise, a polynomial-type decay is established.

Time delay is the property of a physical system by which the response to an applied force is delayed in its effect (see [70]). Whenever material, information or energy is physically transmitted from one place to another, there is a delay associated with the transmission. In recent years, the PDEs with time delay effects have become an active area of research and arise in many practical problems (see for example [71], [72]). The presence of delay may be a source of instability. For example, it was proved in [73] that an arbitrarily small delay may destabilize a system which is uniformly asymptotically stable in the absence of delay. To stabilize a hyperbolic system involving input delay terms, additional control terms will be necessary (see [74] and [75]). For instance, in [74] the authors studied the wave equation with a linear internal damping term with constant delay and determined suitable relations between μ_1 and μ_2 , for which the stability or alternatively instability takes place. More precisely, they showed that the energy is exponentially stable if $\mu_2 < \mu_1$ and they found a sequence of delays for which the solution will be unstable if $\mu_2 \geq \mu_1$. The main approach used in [74], is an observability inequality obtained with a Carleman estimate. The same results were showed if both the damping and the delay acting in the boundary domain. We also recall the result by Xu, Yung and Li [75], where the authors proved the same result as in [74] for the one space dimension by adopting the spectral analysis approach.

Motivated by the previous works it is interesting to give more general decay result to (1.1), by combining the idea of ([79],[80]). Our purpose in this paper is to give a global solvability in Sobolev spaces and energy decay estimates of the solutions to the problem (1.1) for linear damping, delay terms, and infinite memories. To obtain global solutions to the problem (1.1), we use the argument combining the semigroup theory (see [74] and [76]) with the energy estimate method. To prove decay estimates, we use a Lyapunov functional methods.

4.2 Preliminary Results

First assume the following hypotheses:

(H1)

$$(4.2) \quad |\mu_2| < \mu_1, \quad |\tilde{\mu}_2| < \tilde{\mu}_1, \quad |\tilde{\mu}_2| < \tilde{\mu}_1.$$

(H2) $g_i : R_+ \rightarrow R_+$ are differentiable non-increasing function and integrable on R_+ such that there exists a non-increasing differentiable function $\zeta : R^+ \rightarrow R^+$ satisfying

$$g_i'(t) \leq -\zeta(t)g_i(t),$$

and there exists a positive constant k_0 satisfying, for any $(\varphi, \psi, \omega) \in (H_0^1(]0, L[))^3$,

$$\begin{aligned} k_0 \int_0^L (\varphi_x^2 + \psi_x^2 + \omega_x^2) dx &\leq \int_0^L (k_2 \psi_x^2 + k_1 (\varphi_x + \psi + l\omega)^2 + k_3 (\omega_x - l\omega)^2) dx \\ &\quad - \int_0^L \left(\int_0^{+\infty} g_1(s) ds \right) \varphi_x^2 dx + \int_0^L \left(\int_0^{+\infty} g_2(s) ds \right) \psi_x^2 dx \\ &\quad + \int_0^L \left(\int_0^{+\infty} g_3(s) ds \omega_x^2 \right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

By contradiction arguments, it is easy to see that there exists a positive constant \tilde{k}_0 such that, for $(\varphi, \psi, \omega) \in (H_0^1(]0, L[))^3$,

$$(4.3) \quad \tilde{k}_0 \int_0^L (\varphi_x^2 + \psi_x^2 + \omega_x^2) dx \leq \int_0^L (k_2 \psi_x^2 + k_1 (\varphi_x + \psi + l\omega)^2 + k_3 (\omega_x - l\omega)^2) dx.$$

The above inequality will be proved later in lemma 4.3.1. Moreover if

$$(4.4) \quad g_i^0 := \int_0^{+\infty} g_i(s) ds < \tilde{k}_0, i = 1, 2, 3,$$

then (4.3) is satisfied with

$$k_0 = \tilde{k}_0 - \max \{g_1^0, g_2^0, g_3^0\}.$$

On the other hand, thanks to Poincaré's inequality, there exists a positive constant \tilde{k}_0 such that, for $(\varphi, \psi, \omega) \in (H_0^1(]0, L[))^3$,

$$(4.5) \quad \int_0^L (k_2 \psi_x^2 + k_1 (\varphi_x + \psi + l\omega)^2 + k_3 (\omega_x - l\omega)^2) dx \leq \tilde{k}_0 \int_0^L (\varphi_x^2 + \psi_x^2 + \omega_x^2) dx.$$

4.3 Well-posedness

In order to prove the well-posedness result, we have to make the following operations: We introduce, as in [74], the new variables

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} z_1(x, \rho, t) &= \phi_t(x, t - \tau_1 \rho), & x \in (0, L), \quad \rho \in (0, 1), \quad t > 0, \\ z_2(x, \rho, t) &= \psi_t(x, t - \tau_2 \rho), & x \in (0, L), \quad \rho \in (0, 1), \quad t > 0, \\ z_3(x, \rho, t) &= \omega_t(x, t - \tau_3 \rho), & x \in (0, L), \quad \rho \in (0, 1), \quad t > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Also as in [79], the new variables

$$\begin{cases} \eta_1(x, t, s) = \varphi(x, t) - \varphi(x, t - s) & \text{in }]0, L[\times R_+ \times R_+, \\ \eta_2(x, t, s) = \psi(x, t) - \psi(x, t - s) & \text{in }]0, L[\times R_+ \times R_+, \\ \eta_3(x, t, s) = \omega(x, t) - \omega(x, t - s) & \text{in }]0, L[\times R_+ \times R_+. \end{cases}$$

These functionals satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \eta_1 + \partial_s \eta_1 - \varphi_t = 0 & \text{in }]0, L[\times R_+ \times R_+, \\ \partial_t \eta_2 + \partial_s \eta_2 - \psi_t = 0 & \text{in }]0, L[\times R_+ \times R_+, \\ \partial_t \eta_3 + \partial_s \eta_3 - \omega_t = 0 & \text{in }]0, L[\times R_+ \times R_+, \\ \eta_i(0, t, s) = \eta_i(L, t, s) = 0, & \text{in } R_+ \times R_+, \\ \eta_i(x, t, 0) = 0, & \text{in }]0, L[\times R_+, i = 1, 2, 3. \end{cases}$$

In order to convert our problem to a system of first-order ordinary differential equations, we note the following:

$$(4.7) \quad \eta_i^0(x, s) = \eta_i(x, 0, s), \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Then, we have

$$(4.8) \quad \tau_i z_{it}(x, \rho, t) + z_{i\rho}(x, \rho, t) = 0, \quad \text{in } (0, L) \times (0, 1) \times (0, +\infty) \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Therefore, problem (4.1) takes the form:

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{cases} \rho_1 \varphi_{tt}(x, t) - k_1(\varphi_x + \psi + l\omega)_x(x, t) - lk_3(\omega_x - l\varphi)(x, t) + \mu_1 \varphi_t(x, t) \\ + \mu_2 z_1(x, 1, t) + \int_0^\infty g_1(s) \partial_{xx} \eta_1 ds = 0, \\ \tau_1 z_{1t}(x, \rho, t) + z_{1\rho}(x, \rho, t) = 0, \\ \rho_2 \psi_{tt}(x, t) - k_2 \psi_{xx}(x, t) + k_1(\varphi_x + \psi + l\omega)(x, t) + \tilde{\mu}_1 \psi_t(x, t) \\ + \tilde{\mu}_2 z_2(x, 1, t) + \int_0^\infty g_2(s) \partial_{xx} \eta_2 ds = 0, \\ \tau_2 z_{2t}(x, \rho, t) + z_{2\rho}(x, \rho, t) = 0, \\ \rho_1 \omega_{tt}(x, t) - k_3(\omega_x - l\varphi)_x(x, t) + lk_1(\varphi_x + \psi + l\omega)(x, t) + \tilde{\mu}_1 \omega_t(x, t) \\ + \tilde{\mu}_2 z_3(x, 1, t) + \int_0^\infty g_3(s) \partial_{xx} \eta_3 ds = 0, \\ \tau_3 z_{3t}(x, \rho, t) + z_{3\rho}(x, \rho, t) = 0. \end{cases}$$

The above system subjected to the following initial and boundary conditions

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{cases} \varphi(0, t) = \varphi(L, t) = \psi(0, t) = \psi(L, t) = \omega(0, t) = \omega(L, t), & t > 0, \\ z_1(x, 0, t) = \varphi_t(x, t), z_2(x, 0, t) = \psi_t(x, t), & x \in (0, L), t > 0, \\ z_3(x, 0, t) = \omega_t(x, t), x \in (0, L), & x \in (0, L), t > 0, \\ \varphi(x, 0) = \varphi_0, \varphi_t(x, 0) = \varphi_1, \psi(x, 0) = \psi_0, \psi_t(x, 0) = \psi_1 \\ \omega(x, 0) = \omega_0 \omega_t(x, 0) = \omega_1, & x \in (0, L), \\ z_1(x, 1, t) = f_1(x, t - \tau_1), & \text{in } (0, L) \times (0, \tau_1) \\ z_2(x, 1, t) = f_2(x, t - \tau_2), & \text{in } (0, L) \times (0, \tau_2), \\ z_3(x, 1, t) = f_3(x, t - \tau_3) & \text{in } (0, L) \times (0, \tau_3) \\ \eta_1(x, t, s) = \eta_1(L, t, s) = 0, & x \in (0, L), t > 0, \text{ in } R_+ \times R_+, \\ \eta_2(x, t, s) = \eta_2(L, t, s) = 0, & x \in (0, L), t > 0, \text{ in } R_+ \times R_+, \\ \eta_3(x, t, s) = \eta_3(L, t, s) = 0, & x \in (0, L), t > 0, \text{ in } R_+ \times R_+, \\ \eta_1(x, t, 0) = 0, & x \in (0, L), t > 0, \text{ in } R_+ \times R_+, \\ \eta_2(x, t, 0) = 0, & x \in (0, L), t > 0, \text{ in } R_+ \times R_+, \\ \eta_3(x, t, 0) = 0, & x \in (0, L), t > 0, \text{ in } R_+ \times R_+. \end{cases}$$

Let ξ_1, ξ_2 and ξ_3 be positive constants such that

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{cases} \tau_1 |\mu_2| < \xi_1 < \tau_1 (2\mu_1 - |\mu_2|), \\ \tau_2 |\tilde{\mu}_2| < \xi_2 < \tau_2 (2\tilde{\mu}_1 - |\tilde{\mu}_2|), \\ \tau_3 |\tilde{\mu}_2| < \xi_3 < \tau_3 (2\tilde{\mu}_1 - |\tilde{\mu}_2|), \end{cases}$$

thanks to hypothesis (H1). We define the energy associated to the solution of the problem (4.9)-(4.10) by the following formula:

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{aligned} E(t) &= \frac{\rho_1}{2} \|\varphi_t\|_2^2 + \frac{\rho_2}{2} \|\psi_t\|_2^2 + \frac{\rho_1}{2} \|\omega_t\|_2^2 + \frac{k_3}{2} \|\psi_x\|_2^2 \\ &+ \frac{k_3}{2} \|\varphi_x + \psi + l\omega\|_2^2 + \frac{k_3}{2} \|\omega_x - l\varphi\|_2^2 + \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\xi_i}{2} \int_0^1 \|z_i(x, \rho, t)\|_2^2 d\rho \\ &- \int_0^L (g_1^0 \varphi_x^2 + g_2^0 \psi_x^2 + g_3^0 \omega_x^2) dx + \|\eta_1\|_{H_1^*}^2 + \|\eta_2\|_{H_2^*}^2 + \|\eta_3\|_{H_3^*}^2 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$H_i^* = \left\{ v : R_+ \rightarrow H_0^1(]0, L[), \int_0^L \int_0^\infty g_i(s) v_x^2(s) ds dx < +\infty \right\}.$$

We have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.3.1 *Assume that the hypotheses (H1) – (H2) hold.*

Let $(\varphi_0, \varphi_1, f_1(\cdot, -\tau_1), \psi_0, \psi_1, f_2(\cdot, -\tau_2), \omega_0, \omega_1, f_3(\cdot, -\tau_3), \eta_0^1, \eta_0^2, \eta_0^3) \in (H_0^1(0, L) \times L^2(0, L) \times L^2((0, L) \times (0, 1)))^3$. Then problem (4.9) – (4.10) admits a unique solution

$$\begin{cases} \varphi \in C([0, +\infty); H_0^1(0, L)) \cap C^1([0, +\infty); L^2(0, L)), \\ \psi \in C([0, +\infty); H_0^1(0, L)) \cap C^1([0, +\infty); L^2(0, L)), \\ \omega \in C([0, +\infty); H_0^1(0, L)) \cap C^1([0, +\infty); L^2(0, L)), \\ z_1, z_2, z_3 \in C([0, +\infty); L^2((0, L) \times (0, 1))), \\ \eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3 \in C([0, +\infty); H_0^1(0, L)) \cap C^1([0, +\infty); L^2(0, L)). \end{cases}$$

We finish this section by giving an explicit upper bound for the derivative of the energy.

Lemma 4.3.1 *Let $(\varphi, \psi, \omega, z_1, z_2, z_3, \eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3)$ be a solution of the problem (4.9)-(4.10). Then, the energy functional defined by (4.12) satisfies*

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{aligned} E'(t) &\leq - \left(\mu_1 - \frac{\xi_1}{2\tau_1} - \frac{|\mu_2|}{2} \right) \|\varphi_t\|_2^2 - \left(\tilde{\mu}_1 - \frac{\xi_2}{2\tau_2} - \frac{|\tilde{\mu}_2|}{2} \right) \|\psi_t\|_2^2 \\ &- \left(\tilde{\mu}_1 - \frac{\xi_3}{2\tau_3} - \frac{|\tilde{\mu}_2|}{2} \right) \|\omega_t\|_2^2 - \left(\frac{\xi_1}{2\tau_1} - \frac{|\mu_2|}{2} \right) \|z_1(x, 1, t)\|_2^2 \\ &- \left(\frac{\xi_2}{2\tau_2} - \frac{|\tilde{\mu}_2|}{2} \right) \|z_2(x, 1, t)\|_2^2 - \left(\frac{\xi_3}{2\tau_3} - \frac{|\tilde{\mu}_2|}{2} \right) \|z_3(x, 1, t)\|_2^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L \int_0^\infty g_1'(s) (\partial_x \eta_1)^2 ds dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L \int_0^\infty g_2'(s) (\partial_x \eta_2)^2 ds dx \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L \int_0^\infty g_3'(s) (\partial_x \eta_3)^2 ds dx. \end{aligned}$$

Proof Multiplying the first equation in (4.9) by φ_t , the third equation by ψ_t , the five equation by ω_t , integrating over $(0, L)$ and using integration by parts, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2}\rho_1 \frac{d}{dt} \|\varphi_t\|_2^2 - k_1 \int_0^L (\varphi_x + \psi + l\omega)_x \varphi_t dx - lk_3 \int_0^L (\omega_x - l\varphi) \varphi_t dx + \mu_1 \|\varphi_t\|_2^2 \\
& + \mu_2 \int_0^L z_1(x, 1, t) \varphi_t dx + \int_0^\infty g_1(s) \partial_{xx} \eta_1 \varphi_t ds dx = 0 \\
& \frac{1}{2}\rho_2 \frac{d}{dt} \|\psi_t\|_2^2 + \frac{k_2}{2} \|\psi_x\|_2^2 + k_1 \int_0^L (\varphi_x + \psi + l\omega) \psi_t dx + \tilde{\mu}_1 \|\psi_t\|_2^2 \\
& + \tilde{\mu}_2 \int_0^L z_2(x, 1, t) \psi_t dx + \int_0^\infty g_2(s) \partial_{xx} \eta_2 \psi_t ds dx = 0 \\
& \frac{1}{2}\rho_1 \frac{d}{dt} \|\omega_t\|_2^2 - k_3 \int_0^L (\omega_x - l\varphi)_x \omega_t dx + lk_1 \int_0^L (\varphi_x + \psi + l\omega) \omega_t dx + \tilde{\mu}_1 \|\omega_t\|_2^2 \\
& + \tilde{\mu}_2 \int_0^L z_3(x, 1, t) \omega_t dx + \int_0^\infty g_3(s) \partial_{xx} \eta_3 \omega_t ds dx = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\rho_1}{2} \|\varphi_t\|_2^2 + \frac{\rho_2}{2} \|\psi_t\|_2^2 + \frac{\rho_1}{2} \|\omega_t\|_2^2 + \frac{k_1}{2} \|\psi_x\|_2^2 + \frac{k_2}{2} \|\varphi_x + \psi + l\omega\|_2^2 + \frac{k_3}{2} \|\omega_x - l\varphi\|_2^2 \right) \\
& + \mu_1 \|\varphi_t\|_2^2 + \tilde{\mu}_1 \|\psi_t\|_2^2 + \tilde{\mu}_1 \|\omega_t\|_2^2 + \tilde{\mu}_2 \int_0^L z_1(x, 1, t) \psi_t dx + \mu_2 \int_0^L z_2(x, 1, t) \varphi_t dx \\
& + \tilde{\mu}_2 \int_0^L z_3(x, 1, t) \omega_t dx + \int_0^\infty g_1(s) (\partial_x \eta_1)^2 ds + \int_0^\infty g_2(s) (\partial_x \eta_2)^2 ds \\
& + \int_0^\infty g_3(s) (\partial_x \eta_3)^2 ds + \int_0^\infty g_1(s) \partial_s (\partial_x \eta_1)^2 ds + \int_0^\infty g_2(s) \partial_s (\partial_x \eta_2)^2 ds \\
& + \int_0^\infty g_3(s) \partial_s (\partial_x \eta_3)^2 ds = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

Multiplying the second equation in (4.9) by $\xi_i z_i$ and integrating over $(0, L) \times (0, 1)$, to obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.15) \quad \frac{\xi_i}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^L \int_0^1 z_i^2(x, \rho, t) d\rho dx &= -\frac{\xi_i}{\tau_1} \int_0^L \int_0^1 z_i z_{i\rho} d\rho dx \\
&= \frac{\xi_i}{2\tau_i} \int_0^L (z_i^2(x, 0, t) - z_i^2(x, 1, t)) dx \\
&= \frac{\xi_i}{2\tau_i} [\|z_i^2(x, 0, t)\|_2^2 - \|z_i^2(x, 1, t)\|_2^2],
\end{aligned}$$

where $z_1(x, 0, t) = \varphi_t(x, t)$, $z_2(x, 0, t) = \psi_t(x, t)$ and $z_3(x, 0, t) = \omega_t(x, t)$. From (4.14),

(4.15), integrating by parts, and using Young inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.16) \quad E'(t) &= - \left(\mu_1 - \frac{\xi_1}{2\tau_1} \right) \|\varphi_t\|_2^2 - \left(\tilde{\mu}_1 - \frac{\xi_2}{2\tau_2} \right) \|\psi_t\|_2^2 - \left(\tilde{\mu}_1 - \frac{\xi_3}{2\tau_3} \right) \|\omega_t\|_2^2 \\
&\quad - \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\xi_i}{2\tau_i} \|z_i(x, 1, t)\|_2^2 - \mu_2 \int_0^L z_1(x, 1, t) \varphi_t dx - \tilde{\mu}_2 \int_0^L z_1(x, 1, t) \psi_t dx \\
&\quad - \tilde{\mu}_2 \int_0^L z_3(x, 1, t) \omega_t dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L \int_0^\infty g'_1(s) (\partial_x \eta_1)^2 ds dx \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L \int_0^\infty g'_2(s) (\partial_x \eta_2)^2 ds dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L \int_0^\infty g'_3(s) (\partial_x \eta_3)^2 ds dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Due to Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.17) \quad \int_0^L z_1(x, 1, t) \varphi_t(x, t) dx &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\varphi_t(x, t)\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|z_1(x, 1, t)\|_2^2 \\
\int_0^L z_2(x, 1, t) \varphi_t(x, t) dx &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\psi_t(x, t)\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|z_2(x, 1, t)\|_2^2 \\
\int_0^L z_3(x, 1, t) \omega_t(x, t) dx &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\omega_t(x, t)\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|z_3(x, 1, t)\|_2^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Inserting (4.17) into (4.16), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
E'(t) &\leq - \left(\mu_1 - \frac{\xi_1}{2\tau_1} - \frac{|\mu_2|}{2} \right) \|\varphi_t\|_2^2 - \left(\tilde{\mu}_1 - \frac{\xi_2}{2\tau_2} - \frac{|\tilde{\mu}_2|}{2} \right) \|\psi_t\|_2^2 \\
&\quad - \left(\tilde{\mu}_1 - \frac{\xi_3}{2\tau_3} - \frac{|\tilde{\mu}_2|}{2} \right) \|\omega_t\|_2^2 - \left(\frac{\xi_1}{2\tau_1} - \frac{|\mu_2|}{2} \right) \|z_1(x, 1, t)\|_2^2 \\
&\quad - \left(\frac{\xi_2}{2\tau_2} - \frac{|\tilde{\mu}_2|}{2} \right) \|z_2(x, 1, t)\|_2^2 - \left(\frac{\xi_3}{2\tau_3} - \frac{|\tilde{\mu}_2|}{2} \right) \|z_3(x, 1, t)\|_2^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L \int_0^\infty g'_1(s) (\partial_x \eta_1)^2 ds dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L \int_0^\infty g'_2(s) (\partial_x \eta_2)^2 ds dx \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L \int_0^\infty g'_3(s) (\partial_x \eta_3)^2 ds dx.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. ■

Now, we will give well-posedness results for problem (4.9)-(4.10) by using semigroup theory. Let us introduce the semigroup representation of the Bresse system (4.9)-(4.10). Let $U = (\varphi, \psi, \omega, \varphi_t, \psi_t, \omega_t, z_1, z_2, z_3, \eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3)^T$ and rewrite (4.9)-(4.10) as

$$(4.18) \quad \begin{cases} U' = AU, \\ U(x, 0) = U^0(x). \end{cases}$$

$$U^0(x) = (\varphi_0, \psi_0, \omega_0, \varphi_1, \psi_1, \omega_1, f_1(\cdot, -\tau_1), f_2(\cdot, -\tau_2), f_3(\cdot, -\tau_3), \eta_1^0, \eta_2^0, \eta_3^0),$$

where the operator A is defined by

$$A \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \psi \\ \omega \\ \varphi_t \\ \psi_t \\ \omega_t \\ z_1 \\ z_2 \\ z_3 \\ \eta_1 \\ \eta_2 \\ \eta_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_t \\ \psi_t \\ \omega_t \\ \frac{1}{\rho_1}(k_1 - \int_0^\infty g_1(s)ds)\varphi_{xx} - \frac{l^2 k_3}{\rho_1}\varphi + \frac{k_1}{\rho_1}\psi_x + \frac{l}{\rho_1}(k_1 + k_3)\omega_x \\ + \frac{1}{\rho_1} \int_0^\infty g_1(s)\partial_{xx}\eta_1 ds \\ - \frac{\mu_1}{\rho_1}\varphi_t - \frac{\mu_2}{\rho_1}z_1(\cdot, 1) \\ \frac{-k_1}{\rho_2}\varphi_x + \frac{1}{\rho_2}(k_2 - \int_0^\infty g_2(s)ds)\psi_{xx} - \frac{k_1}{\rho_2}\psi - \frac{-lk_1}{\rho_2}\omega \\ + \frac{1}{\rho_2} \int_0^\infty g_2(s)ds)\partial_{xx}\eta_2 ds \\ - \frac{\tilde{\mu}_1}{\rho_1}\psi_t - \frac{\tilde{\mu}_2}{\rho_1}z_2(\cdot, 1) \\ \frac{-l}{\rho_1}(k_1 + k_3)\varphi_x - \frac{lk_1}{\rho_1}\psi \\ + \frac{1}{\rho_1}(k_3 - \int_0^\infty g_3(s)ds)\omega_{xx} - \frac{l^2 k_1}{\rho_1}\omega + \frac{1}{\rho_1} \int_0^\infty g_3(s)\partial_{xx}\eta_3 ds \\ - \frac{\tilde{\mu}_1}{\rho_1}\omega_t - \frac{\tilde{\mu}_2}{\rho_1}z_3(\cdot, 1) \\ \frac{-1}{\tau}z_{1\rho} \\ \frac{-1}{\tau}z_{2\rho} \\ \frac{-1}{\tau}z_{3\rho} \\ \varphi_t - \partial_s\eta_1 \\ \psi_t - \partial_s\eta_2 \\ \omega_t - \partial_s\eta_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

with domain

$$H = \{(H^2(]0, L[) \cap (H_0^1(]0, L[)))^3 \times (H_0^1(]0, L[))^3 \times (L^2(0, L; H^1(0, 1)))^3 \times H_1^* \times H_2^* \times H_3^*\}. \quad (4.19)$$

The domain D(A) of A is defined by

$$D(A) = \{U \in H; AU \in H, \eta_i(x, t, 0) = 0, i = 1, 2, 3\}. \quad (4.20)$$

Now, under hypothesis (H1), the sets H_i^* and H are Hilbert spaces equipped, respectively, with the inner products that generate the norms

$$\begin{aligned} \|\eta_i\|_{H_i^*}^2 &= \int_0^L \int_0^{+\infty} g_i(s)(\partial_x \eta_i)^2 ds dx, \\ \|U\|_H^2 &= \int_0^L (\rho_1 \varphi_t^2 + \rho_2 \psi_t^2 + \rho_1 \omega_t^2 + k_2 \psi_x^2 + k_1 (\varphi_x + \psi + l\omega)^2 + k_3 (\omega_x - l\varphi)^2) dx \\ &+ \int_0^L \sum_{i=1}^3 \xi_i \int_0^1 z_i^2 d\rho - \int_0^L (g_1^0 \varphi_x^2 + g_2^0 \psi_x^2 + g_3^0 \omega_x^2) dx + \|\eta_1\|_{H_1^*}^2 + \|\eta_2\|_{H_2^*}^2 + \|\eta_3\|_{H_3^*}^2. \end{aligned}$$

We show that the operator A generates a C_0 - semigroup in H. In this step, we prove that the operator A is dissipative. Let $U = (\varphi, \psi, \omega, u, v, \tilde{\omega}, z_1, z_2, z_3, \eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3)^T$. Using (4.12) and the fact that

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \|U\|_H^2, \quad (4.21)$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle AU, U \rangle_H &= -\mu_1 \int_0^L u^2 dx - \tilde{\mu}_1 \int_0^L v^2 dx - \tilde{\mu}_1 \int_0^L \tilde{\omega}^2 dx \\
&\quad - \mu_2 \int_0^L z_1(x, 1)u dx - \tilde{\mu}_2 \int_0^L z_2(x, 1)v dx - \tilde{\mu}_2 \int_0^L z_3(x, 1)\tilde{\omega} dx \\
(4.22) \quad &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\xi_i}{\tau_i} \int_0^L \int_0^1 z_i(x, \rho)z_{i\rho}(x, \rho) d\rho dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L g_1(s) \int_0^\infty \partial_s(\partial_x \eta_1)^2 ds dx \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L g_2(s) \int_0^\infty \partial_s(\partial_x \eta_2)^2 ds dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L g_3(s) \int_0^\infty \partial_s(\partial_x \eta_3)^2 ds dx, \\
&\leq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which, by using the integration by parts and the boundary conditions in (4.10), yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle AU, U \rangle_H &= -\mu_1 \int_0^L u^2 dx - \tilde{\mu}_1 \int_0^L v^2 dx - \tilde{\mu}_1 \int_0^L \tilde{\omega}^2 dx \\
&\quad - \mu_2 \int_0^L z_1(x, 1)u dx - \tilde{\mu}_2 \int_0^L z_2(x, 1)v dx - \tilde{\mu}_2 \int_0^L z_3(x, 1)\tilde{\omega} dx \\
(4.23) \quad &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\xi_i}{\tau_i} \int_0^L \int_0^1 z_i(x, \rho)z_{i\rho}(x, \rho) d\rho dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L \int_0^\infty (g'_1(s)\partial_x \eta_1)^2 ds dx \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L \int_0^\infty (g'_2(s)\partial_x \eta_2)^2 ds dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L \int_0^\infty (g'_3(s)\partial_x \eta_3)^2 ds dx,
\end{aligned}$$

and then, because, for any $i = 1, 2, 3$, the kernel g_i is non-increasing,

$$(4.24) \quad \langle AU, U \rangle \leq 0.$$

Consequently, the operator A is dissipative. Now, we will prove that the operator $\lambda I - A$ is surjective for $\lambda > 0$. For this purpose, let

$(f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4, f_5, f_6, f_7, f_8, f_9, f_{10}, f_{11}, f_{12})^T \in H$, we seek

$U = (v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6, z_1, z_2, z_3, v_7, v_8, v_9)^T \in D(A)$ solution of the following system of equations

$$(4.25) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \lambda v_4 + \frac{\mu_1}{\rho_1} v_4 + \frac{\mu_2}{\rho_1} z_1(\cdot, 1) + \frac{1}{\rho_1} (k_1 - g_0^1) \partial_{xx} v_1 - \frac{l}{\rho_1} (k_1 \partial_x v_3 - lk_3 v_1) \\ - \frac{l}{\rho_1} \{k_1 \partial_x v_3 + k_2 \partial_x v_3 - g_0^1 v_7\} = f_4, \\ \\ - \frac{1}{\rho_2} \left\{ (k_2 - g_0^2) \partial_{xx} v_2 - k_1 \partial_x v_1 + \frac{g_0^3 \rho_2}{\rho_1} \partial_{xx} v_9 \right\} + \frac{lk_1}{\rho_2} v_3 + \lambda v_5 \\ + \frac{\mu_1}{\rho_1} v_5 + \frac{\mu_2}{\rho_1} z_2(\cdot, 1) = f_6, \\ \\ \frac{1}{\rho_1} \left\{ (k_1 + k_2) \partial_x v_1 - (k_3 - g_0^3) \partial_{xx} v_3 - g_0^3 \partial_{xx} v_9 \right\} + \frac{lk_1}{\rho_1} v_2 + \frac{l^2 k_1}{l_1} v_3 \\ + \frac{\mu_1}{\rho_1} v_6 + \lambda v_8 + \frac{\mu_2}{\rho_1} z_3(\cdot, 1) = f_5, \\ \\ \lambda z_1 + \frac{1}{\tau_1} z_{1\rho} = f_7, \\ \lambda z_2 + \frac{1}{\tau_2} z_{2\rho} = f_8, \\ \lambda z_3 + \frac{1}{\tau_3} z_{3\rho} = f_9, \\ \lambda v_1 - v_5 = f_1, \\ \lambda v_2 - v_6 = f_2, \\ \lambda v_3 - v_4 = f_3, \\ \\ -v_4 + \lambda v_7 + \partial_s v_7 = f_{10}, \\ -v_5 + \lambda v_8 + \partial_s v_8 = f_{11}, \\ -v_6 + \lambda v_9 + \partial_s v_9 = f_{12}. \end{array} \right.$$

Suppose that we have found v_1, v_2 and v_3 . Therefore, the seventh, the eighth and the ninth equation in (4.25) give

$$(4.26) \quad \begin{cases} v_5 = \lambda v_1 - f_1, \\ v_6 = \lambda v_2 - f_2, \\ v_4 = \lambda v_3 - f_3. \end{cases}$$

Then it is clear that $v_1 \in H_0^1(0, L)$, $v_2 \in H_0^1(0, L)$ and $v_3 \in H_0^1(0, L)$. Furthermore, by (4.26) we can find $z_i (i = 1, 2, 3)$ as

$$(4.27) \quad z_1(x, 0) = v_5(x), z_2(x, 0) = v_6(x), z_3(x, 0) = v_7(x), \quad \text{for } x \in (0, L).$$

Following the same approach as in [74], we obtain, by using equations for z_i in (4.27),

$$(4.28) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} z_1(x, \rho) = v_5(x) e^{-\lambda \tau_1 \rho} + \tau_1 e^{-\lambda \tau_1 \rho} \int_0^\rho f_7(x, s) e^{\lambda \tau_1 s} ds, \\ z_2(x, \rho) = v_6(x) e^{-\lambda \tau_2 \rho} + \tau_2 e^{-\lambda \tau_2 \rho} \int_0^\rho f_8(x, s) e^{\lambda \tau_2 s} ds, \\ z_3(x, \rho) = v_7(x) e^{-\lambda \tau_3 \rho} + \tau_3 e^{-\lambda \tau_3 \rho} \int_0^\rho f_9(x, s) e^{\lambda \tau_3 s} ds, \end{array} \right.$$

From (4.28), we obtain

$$(4.29) \quad \begin{cases} z_1(x, \rho) = \lambda v_1(x) e^{-\lambda \tau_1 \rho} - f_1 e^{-\lambda \tau_1 \rho} + \tau_1 e^{-\lambda \tau_1 \rho} \int_0^\rho f_7(x, s) e^{\lambda \tau_1 s} ds, \\ z_2(x, \rho) = \lambda v_2(x) e^{-\lambda \tau_2 \rho} - f_2 e^{-\lambda \tau_2 \rho} + \tau_2 e^{-\lambda \tau_2 \rho} \int_0^\rho f_8(x, s) e^{\lambda \tau_2 s} ds, \\ z_3(x, \rho) = \lambda v_3(x) e^{-\lambda \tau_3 \rho} - f_3 e^{-\lambda \tau_3 \rho} + \tau_3 e^{-\lambda \tau_3 \rho} \int_0^\rho f_9(x, s) e^{\lambda \tau_3 s} ds, \end{cases}$$

$$(4.30) \quad \begin{cases} v_7 = \left(\int_0^s (v_4 + f_{10}) e^\tau d\tau \right) e^{-s}, \\ v_8 = \left(\int_0^s (v_5 + f_{11}) e^\tau d\tau \right) e^{-s}, \\ v_9 = \left(\int_0^s (v_6 + f_{12}) e^\tau d\tau \right) e^{-s}. \end{cases}$$

By using (4.25) and (4.30) the functions v_1, v_2 and v_3 satisfying the following system

$$(4.31) \quad \begin{cases} \lambda^2 v_3 + \frac{1}{\rho_1} \left(k_1 + \frac{\mu_1 \lambda}{\rho_1} v_3 - g_0^1 \right) \partial_{xx} v_1 - \frac{l}{\rho_1} (k_1 \partial_x v_3 - l k_3 v_1) - \frac{1}{\rho_1} (k_1 \partial_x v_3 + k_2 \partial_x v_3) \\ + \frac{\mu_2}{\rho_1} z_1(\cdot, 1) = \left(\frac{\mu_1 \lambda}{\rho_1} + 1 \right) f_3 + \left(\int_0^s (\lambda v_3 - f_3 + f_{10}) e^\tau d\tau \right) e^{-s}, \\ \lambda^2 \left(\frac{l k_1}{\rho_1} + \frac{\widetilde{\mu}_1 \lambda}{\rho_2} \right) v_2 + \frac{l^2 k_1}{\rho_1} v_3 + \frac{1}{\rho_1} \left(k_1 + k_2 \partial_x v_1 - \frac{1}{\rho_1} (k_3 - g_0^3) \right) \partial_x v_3 \\ - \frac{\lambda g_0^3}{\rho_1} \left(\int_0^s (\lambda v_1 - f_1 + f_{12}) e^\tau d\tau \right) e^{-s} + \lambda \left(\int_0^s (\lambda v_2 - f_2 + f_{11}) e^\tau d\tau \right) e^{-s} \lambda \\ + \frac{\widetilde{\mu}_2}{\rho_1} z_3(\cdot, 1) = f_5 + \frac{\widetilde{\mu}_1}{\rho_1} f_2, \\ \left(\lambda^2 + \frac{\widetilde{\mu}_1 \lambda}{\rho_1} \right) v_1 + \frac{l k_1}{\rho_1} v_3 + \frac{k_1}{\rho_1} \partial_x v_1 + \frac{l k_1}{\rho_2} v_3 - \frac{1}{\rho_1} (k_2 - g_0^2) \partial_{xx} v_2 + \frac{\widetilde{\mu}_2}{\rho_1} z_2(\cdot, 1) \\ - \frac{g_0^3}{\rho_1} \partial_{xx} \left(\int_0^s (\lambda v_2 - f_2 + f_{12}) e^\tau d\tau \right) e^{-s} = \left(\lambda + \frac{\widetilde{\mu}_1}{\rho_1} \right) f_1 + f_6. \end{cases}$$

Solving system (4.31) is equivalent to finding $(v_1, v_2, v_3) \in (H^2 \cap H_0^1(0, L))^3$ such that

$$(4.32) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \int_0^L \left\{ \lambda^2 v_3 + \frac{1}{\rho_1} \left(k_1 + \frac{\mu_1 \lambda}{\rho_1} v_3 - g_0^1 \right) \partial_{xx} v_1 \right\} \phi_1 dx \\ - \int_0^L \left\{ \frac{l}{\rho_1} (k_1 \partial_x v_3 - l k_3 v_1) - \frac{l}{\rho_1} (k_1 \partial_x v_3 + k_2 \partial_x v_3) + \frac{\mu_2}{\rho_1} z_1(\cdot, 1) \right\} \phi_1 dx \\ = \int_0^L \left\{ \left(\frac{\mu_1 \lambda}{\rho_1} + 1 \right) f_3 + \left(\int_0^s (\lambda v_3 - f_3 + f_{10}) e^\tau d\tau \right) e^{-s} \right\} \phi_1 dx, \\ \\ \int_0^L \left\{ \lambda^2 \left(\frac{l k_1}{\rho_1} + \frac{\tilde{\mu} \lambda}{\rho_2} \right) v_2 + \frac{1}{\rho_1} \left(k_1 + k_2 \partial_x v_1 - \frac{1}{\rho_1} (k_3 - g_0^3) \right) \partial_x v_3 \right\} \phi_2 dx \\ + \int_0^L \left\{ \frac{l^2 k_1}{\rho_1} v_3 + \frac{\tilde{\mu}_2}{\rho_1} z_3(\cdot, 1) \right\} \phi_2 dx \\ = \int_0^L \left\{ \frac{\lambda g_0^3}{\rho_1} \left(\int_0^s (\lambda v_1 - f_1 + f_{12}) e^\tau d\tau \right) e^{-s} + f_5 + \frac{\tilde{\mu}_1}{\rho_1} f_2 \right\} \phi_2 dx \\ + \int_0^L \left\{ \lambda \left(\int_0^s (\lambda v_2 - f_2 + f_{11}) e^\tau d\tau \right) e^{-s} \lambda \right\} \phi_2 dx, \\ \\ \int_0^L \left\{ \left(\lambda^2 + \frac{\tilde{\mu}_1 \lambda}{\rho_1} \right) v_1 + \frac{l k_1}{\rho_1} v_3 + \frac{k_1}{\rho_1} \partial_x v_1 - \frac{1}{\rho_1} (k_2 - g_0^2) \partial_{xx} v_2 \right\} \phi_3 dx \\ + \int_0^L \left\{ \frac{\tilde{\mu}_2}{\rho_1} z_2(\cdot, 1) + \frac{l k_1}{\rho_2} v_3 \right\} \phi_3 dx \\ = \int_0^L \left\{ \frac{g_0^3}{\rho_1} \partial_{xx} \left(\int_0^s (\lambda v_2 - f_2 + f_{12}) e^\tau d\tau \right) e^{-s} + \left(\lambda + \frac{\tilde{\mu}_1}{\rho_1} \right) f_1 + f_6 \right\} \phi_3 dx. \end{array} \right.$$

Consequently, problem (4.32) is equivalent to the problem

$$(4.33) \quad a((v_1, v_2, v_3), (\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3)) = L(\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3)$$

where the bilinear form $a : [H_0^1(0, L) \times H_0^1(0, L) \times H_0^1(0, L)]^2 \rightarrow R$ and the linear form $L : H_0^1(0, L) \times H_0^1(0, L) \times H_0^1(0, L) \rightarrow R$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
& a((v_1, v_2, v_3, \phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3)) \\
&= \int_0^L \left\{ \lambda^2 v_3 + \frac{1}{\rho_1} \left(k_1 + \frac{\mu_1 \lambda}{\rho_1} v_3 - g_0^1 \right) \partial_{xx} v_1 \right\} \phi_1 dx \\
&- \int_0^L \left\{ \frac{l}{\rho_1} (k_1 \partial_x v_3 - lk_3 v_1) \right\} \phi_1 dx \\
&- \int_0^L \left\{ \frac{l}{\rho_1} (k_1 \partial_x v_3 + k_2 \partial_x v_3) + \frac{\mu_2}{\rho_1} z_1(\cdot, 1) \right\} \phi_1 dx \\
(4.34) \quad &+ \int_0^L \left\{ \lambda^2 \left(\frac{lk_1}{\rho_1} + \frac{\tilde{\mu} \lambda}{\rho_2} \right) v_2 + \frac{l^2 k_1}{\rho_1} v_3 \right\} \phi_2 dx \\
&+ \int_0^L \left\{ \frac{1}{\rho_1} \left(k_1 + k_2 \partial_x v_1 - \frac{1}{\rho_1} (k_3 - g_0^3) \right) \partial_x v_3 + \frac{\tilde{\mu}_2}{\rho_1} z_3(\cdot, 1) \right\} \phi_2 dx \\
&+ \int_0^L \left\{ \left(\lambda^2 + \frac{\tilde{\mu}_1 \lambda}{\rho_1} \right) v_1 + \frac{lk_1}{\rho_1} v_3 + \frac{k_1}{\rho_1} \partial_x v_1 + \frac{lk_1}{\rho_2} v_3 \right\} \phi_3 dx \\
&- \int_0^L \left\{ \frac{1}{\rho_1} (k_2 - g_0^2) \partial_{xx} v_2 + \frac{\tilde{\mu}_2}{\rho_1} z_2(\cdot, 1) \right\} \phi_3 dx,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& L(\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3) \\
&= \int_0^L \left\{ \left(\frac{\mu_1 \lambda}{\rho_1} + 1 \right) f_3 + \left(\int_0^s (\lambda v_3 - f_3 + f_{10}) e^\tau d\tau \right) e^{-s} \right\} \phi_1 dx \\
&+ \int_0^L \left\{ \frac{\lambda g_0^3}{\rho_1} \left(\int_0^s (\lambda v_1 - f_1 + f_{12}) e^\tau d\tau \right) e^{-s} + f_5 + \frac{\tilde{\mu}_1}{\rho_1} f_2 \right\} \phi_2 dx \\
(4.35) \quad &+ \int_0^L \left\{ \lambda \left(\int_0^s (\lambda v_2 - f_2 + f_{11}) e^\tau d\tau \right) e^{-s} \lambda \right\} \phi_2 dx \\
&+ \int_0^L \left\{ \frac{g_0^3}{\rho_1} \partial_{xx} \left(\int_0^s (\lambda v_2 - f_2 + f_{12}) e^\tau d\tau \right) e^{-s} \right\} \phi_3 dx, \\
&+ \int_0^L \left\{ \left(\lambda + \frac{\tilde{\mu}_1}{\rho_1} \right) f_1 + f_6 \right\} \phi_3 dx.
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify that a is continuous and coercive, and L is continuous. So applying the Lax-Milgram theorem, we deduce that for all $(\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3) \in H_0^1(0, L) \times H_0^1(0, L) \times H_0^1(0, L)$ problem (4.9)-(4.10) admits a unique solution $(v_1, v_2, v_3) \in H_0^1(0, L) \times H_0^1(0, L) \times H_0^1(0, L)$. Applying the classical elliptic regularity, it follows from (4.33) that $(v_1, v_1, v_3) \in H^2(0, L) \times H^2(0, L) \times H^2(0, L)$. Therefore, the operator $\lambda I - A$ is surjective for any $\lambda > 0$. Consequently, the existence result of Theorem 3.1 follows from the Lumer-Phillips and Hille-Yosida theorems.

4.4 Asymptotic Stability

In this section we prove the asymptotic stability result by constructing a suitable Lyapunov functional. Now, let us introduce the following functional

$$(4.36) \quad I_1(t) = -\rho_1 \int_0^L \varphi_t \int_0^{+\infty} g_1(s) \eta_1 ds dx,$$

$$(4.37) \quad I_2(t) = -\rho_2 \int_0^L \psi_t \int_0^{+\infty} g_2(s) \eta_2 ds dx,$$

$$(4.38) \quad I_3(t) = -\rho_1 \int_0^L \omega_t \int_0^{+\infty} g_3(s) \eta_3 ds dx,$$

$$(4.39) \quad I_4(t) = \int_0^L (\rho_1 \varphi \varphi_t + \rho_2 \psi \psi_t + \rho_1 \omega \omega_t) dx,$$

$$(4.40) \quad I_5(t) = \int_0^L \int_0^1 \sum_{i=1}^3 e^{-2\tau_i \rho} z_i^2(x, t, \rho) d\rho dx,$$

$$(4.41) \quad I_0(t) = I_1(t) + I_2(t) + I_3(t).$$

Then the following result holds.

Lemma 4.4.1 *There exists a positive constant C such that the following inequality holds for every $(\varphi, \psi, \omega) \in (H_0^1(0, L))^3$*

$$(4.42) \quad \int_0^L (|\varphi_x|^2 + |\psi_x|^2 + |\omega_x|^2) dx \leq C \int_0^L (k_2 |\psi_x|^2 + k_1 |\varphi_x + \psi + l\omega|^2) dx + k_3 |\omega_x - l\varphi|^2 dx$$

Proof We will argue by contradiction. Indeed, let us suppose that is not true. So, we can find a sequence $\{(\varphi_\nu, \psi_\nu, \omega_\nu)\}_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $(H_0^1(0, L))^3$ satisfying

$$(4.43) \quad \int_0^L (k_2 |\psi_{\nu x}|^2 + k_1 |\varphi_{\nu x} + \psi + l\omega_\nu|^2 + k_3 |\omega_{\nu x} - l\varphi_\nu|^2) dx \leq \frac{1}{\nu}$$

and

$$(4.44) \quad \int_0^L (|\varphi_{\nu x}|^2 + |\psi_{\nu x}|^2 + |\omega_{\nu x}|^2) dx = 1.$$

From (4.43), the sequence $\{(\varphi_\nu, \psi_\nu, \omega_\nu)\}_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $(H_0^1(0, L))^3$. Since the embedding $H_0^1(0, L) \hookrightarrow L^2(0, L)$ is compact, then the sequence $\{(\varphi_\nu, \psi_\nu, \omega_\nu)\}_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$ converge strongly in $(L^2(0, L))^3$.

From (4.43)

$$(4.45) \quad \psi_{\nu x} \rightarrow 0 \text{ strongly in } L^2(0, L).$$

Using Poincaré's inequality we can conclude that

$$(4.46) \quad \psi_\nu \rightarrow 0 \text{ strongly in } L^2(0, L).$$

Now, setting $\varphi_\nu \rightarrow \varphi$ and $\omega_\nu \rightarrow \omega$ strongly in $L^2(0, L)$.

From (4.44), we have

$$(4.47) \quad \varphi_{\nu x} + \psi_\nu + l\omega_\nu \rightarrow 0 \text{ strongly in } L^2(0, L).$$

Then

$$(4.48) \quad \varphi_{\nu x} + \psi_\nu + l\omega_\nu = \varphi_{\nu x} + \psi_\nu + l(\omega_\nu - \omega) + l\omega \rightarrow 0 \text{ strongly in } L^2(0, L).$$

which implies that

$$(4.49) \quad \varphi_{\nu x} \rightarrow -l\omega \text{ strongly in } L^2(0, L).$$

Then, $\{\varphi_\nu\}_n$ is a Cauchy sequence in $H^1(0, L)$. Therefore $\{\varphi_\nu\}_n$ converge to a function φ_1 in $H^1(0, L)$. Consequently $\{\varphi_\nu\}_n$ converge to φ_1 in $L^2(0, L)$. Thus by the uniqueness of the limit $\varphi_1 = \varphi$. Moreover $\varphi \in H_0^1(0, L)$.

From (4.49) we deduce that

$$(4.50) \quad \varphi_x + l\omega = 0 \text{ a.e } x \in (0, L).$$

Similarly, we have

$$(4.51) \quad \omega_x - l\varphi = 0 \text{ a.e } x \in (0, L),$$

and $\omega \in H_0^1(0, L)$. The limits (4.50) and (4.51) provides us $\varphi = \omega = 0$, contradicting (4.43).

The proof is hence complete \blacksquare

Lemma 4.4.2 *the functional defined in (4.41) satisfies for any $\delta > 0$*

$$(4.52) \quad \begin{aligned} I_0'(t) &\leq -\rho_1(g_1^0 - \delta(1 + \mu_2)) \int_0^L \varphi_t^2 dx + \tilde{\mu}_1 \delta \int_0^L \psi_t^2 dx \\ &+ \tilde{\mu}_1 \delta \int_0^L \omega_t^2 dx + c_\delta \int_0^L \{\psi_x + (\varphi_x + \psi + l\omega)^2 + (\omega_x - l\varphi)^2\} dx \\ &+ c_\delta \int_0^L \int_0^\infty g_1(s) (\partial_x \eta_1)^2 ds dx - c_\delta \int_0^L \int_0^\infty g_1'(s) (\partial_x \eta_1)^2 ds dx \\ &+ c_\delta \int_0^L \int_0^\infty g_2(s) (\partial_x \eta_2)^2 ds dx - c_\delta \int_0^L \int_0^\infty g_2'(s) (\partial_x \eta_2)^2 ds dx \\ &+ c_\delta \int_0^L \int_0^\infty g_3(s) (\partial_x \eta_3)^2 ds dx - c_\delta \int_0^L \int_0^\infty g_3'(s) (\partial_x \eta_3)^2 ds dx \\ &+ c_\delta \int_0^L \{z_1^2(x, 1, t) + z_2^2(x, 1, t) + z_3^2(x, 1, t)\} dx \end{aligned}$$

Proof Differentiating (4.41) with respect to t and using the third equation in (4.9)-(4.10), integrating by parts and using the fact that

$$(4.53) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^\infty g_1(s) \eta_1 ds &= \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^\infty g_1(t-s) (\varphi(t) - \varphi(s)) ds \\ &= \int_0^\infty g_1'(t-s) (\varphi(t) - \varphi(s)) ds + \left(\int_0^\infty g_1(t-s) ds \right) \varphi_t \\ &= \int_0^\infty g_1'(s) \eta_1 ds + g_1^0 \varphi_t, \end{aligned}$$

in the same way for

$$(4.54) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^\infty g_2(s)\eta_2 ds = \int_0^\infty g_2'(s)\eta_2 ds + g_2^0 \psi_t,$$

and

$$(4.55) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^\infty g_1(s)\eta_3 ds = \int_0^\infty g_1'(s)\eta_3 ds + g_1^0 \omega_t.$$

we conclude that

$$(4.56) \quad \begin{aligned} I_0'(t) &= -\rho_1 g_1^0 \int_0^L \varphi_t^2 dx - \rho_1 \int_0^L \varphi_t \int_0^\infty g_1'(s)\eta_1 ds dx \\ &+ k_1 \int_0^L (\varphi_x + \psi + l\omega) \int_0^\infty g_1(s)\partial_x \eta_1 ds dx \\ &- k_3 \int_0^L (\omega_x - l\varphi) \int_0^\infty g_1(s)\eta_1 ds dx \\ &- \int_0^L \varphi_x \left(\int_0^\infty g_1(s)\partial_x \eta_1 ds \right) \\ &+ \int_0^L \left(\int_0^\infty g_1(s)\partial_x \eta_1 ds \right)^2 dx + \mu_1 \int_0^L \varphi_t(x, t) \int_0^\infty g_1(s)\eta_1 ds dx \\ &+ \mu_2 \int_0^L z_1(x, 1, t) \int_0^\infty g_1(s)\eta_1 ds dx + \tilde{\mu}_1 \int_0^L \varphi_t(x, t) \int_0^\infty g_2(s)\eta_2 ds dx \\ &+ \tilde{\mu}_2 \int_0^L z_2(x, 1, t) \int_0^\infty g_2(s)\eta_2 ds dx + \tilde{\tilde{\mu}}_1 \int_0^L \varphi_t(x, t) \int_0^\infty g_3(s)\eta_3 ds dx \\ &+ \tilde{\tilde{\mu}}_2 \int_0^L z_3(x, 1, t) \int_0^\infty g_3(s)\eta_3 ds dx. \end{aligned}$$

Using Young's, Poincaré's and Holder's inequalities for the last six terms of the above equality, using the second and third equations of (4.9), we find

$$(4.57) \quad \begin{aligned} I_0'(t) &\leq -\rho_1 (g_1^0 - \delta(1 + \mu_2)) \int_0^L \varphi_t^2 dx + \tilde{\mu}_1 \delta \int_0^L \psi_t^2 dx \\ &+ \tilde{\tilde{\mu}}_1 \delta \int_0^L \omega_t^2 dx + c_\delta \int_0^L \{ \psi_x + (\varphi_x + \psi + l\omega)^2 + (\omega_x - l\varphi)^2 \} dx \\ &+ c_\delta \int_0^L \int_0^\infty g_1(s)(\partial_x \eta_1)^2 ds dx - c_\delta \int_0^L \int_0^\infty g_1'(s)(\partial_x \eta_1)^2 ds dx \\ &+ c_\delta \int_0^L \int_0^\infty g_2(s)(\partial_x \eta_2)^2 ds dx - c_\delta \int_0^L \int_0^\infty g_2'(s)(\partial_x \eta_2)^2 ds dx \\ &+ c_\delta \int_0^L \int_0^\infty g_3(s)(\partial_x \eta_3)^2 ds dx - c_\delta \int_0^L \int_0^\infty g_3'(s)(\partial_x \eta_3)^2 ds dx \\ &+ c_\delta \int_0^L \{ z_1^2(x, 1, t) + z_2^2(x, 1, t) + z_3^2(x, 1, t) \} dx \end{aligned}$$

The proof is hence complete. ■

Lemma 4.4.3 *The functional defined in (4.39) satisfies for any $\epsilon > 0$*

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.58) \quad I'_4(t) &\leq \int_0^L \{(\rho_1 + \epsilon)\varphi_t^2 + (\rho_2 + \epsilon)\psi_t^2 + (\rho_1 + \epsilon)\omega_t^2\} dx \\
&\quad - c_1 \int_0^L \{\psi_x^2 + (\varphi_x + \psi + l\omega)^2 + (\omega_x - l\varphi)^2\} dx \\
&\quad + c_\epsilon \int_0^L \int_0^\infty \{g_1(s)(\partial_x \eta_1)^2 + g_2(s)(\partial_x \eta_2)^2 + g_3(s)(\partial_x \eta_3)^2\} dx \\
&\quad + c_\epsilon \int_0^L \{z_1^2(x, 1, t) + z_2^2(x, 1, t) + z_3^2(x, 1, t)\} dx
\end{aligned}$$

Proof Differentiating $I_4(t)$ with respect to t , we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.59) \quad I'_4(t) &= \int_0^L (\rho_1 \varphi_t^2 + \rho_2 \psi_t^2 + \rho_1 \omega_t^2) dx - k_1 \int_0^L (\varphi_x + \psi + l\omega)^2 dx \\
&\quad + g_1^0 \int_0^L \varphi_x^2 dx - (k_2 - g_2^0) \int_0^L \psi_x^2 dx + g_3^0 \int_0^L \omega_x^2 dx \\
&\quad - \int_0^L \varphi_x \int_0^{+\infty} g_1(s) \partial_x \eta_1 ds dx - \int_0^L \psi_x \int_0^{+\infty} g_2(s) \partial_x \eta_2 ds dx \\
&\quad - \int_0^L \omega_x \int_0^{+\infty} g_3(s) \partial_x \eta_3 ds dx - \mu_1 \int_0^L \varphi_t \varphi dx - \tilde{\mu}_1 \int_0^L \psi_t \psi dx \\
&\quad - \tilde{\mu}_1 \int_0^L \omega_t \omega dx - \mu_2 \int_0^L z_1(x, 1, t) \varphi dx - \tilde{\mu}_2 \int_0^L z_2(x, 1, t) \psi dx \\
&\quad - \tilde{\mu}_2 \int_0^L z_3(x, 1, t) \omega dx - k_3 \int_0^L (\omega_x - l\varphi)^2 dx
\end{aligned}$$

Using Young's and Poincaré's inequalities, we get for any $\epsilon > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.60) \quad & - \int_0^L \varphi_x \int_0^\infty g_1(s) \partial_x \eta_1 ds dx - \int_0^L \psi_x \int_0^\infty g_2(s) \partial_x \eta_2 ds dx \\
& - \int_0^L \omega_x \int_0^\infty g_3(s) \partial_x \eta_3 ds dx \\
& \leq \epsilon \int_0^L (\varphi_x^2 + \psi_x^2 + \omega_x^2) dx + c \int_0^L \int_0^\infty \sum_{i=1}^3 (g_i(s) (\partial_x \eta_i)^2) ds dx,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.61) \quad & - \mu_1 \int_0^L \varphi_t \varphi dx - \tilde{\mu}_1 \int_0^L \psi_t \psi dx - \tilde{\mu}_1 \int_0^L \omega_t \omega dx \\
& \leq \epsilon \int_0^L (\varphi_t^2 + \psi_t^2 + \omega_t^2) dx + c_\epsilon \int_0^L (\varphi_x^2 + \psi_x^2 + \omega_x^2) dx,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.62) \quad & - \mu_2 \int_0^L z_1(x, 1, t) \varphi dx - \tilde{\mu}_2 \int_0^L z_2(x, 1, t) \psi dx - \tilde{\mu}_2 \int_0^L z_3(x, 1, t) \omega dx \\
& \leq \int_0^L c_\epsilon \{z_1^2(x, 1, t) + z_2^2(x, 1, t) + z_3^2(x, 1, t)\} dx + \epsilon \int_0^L (\varphi_x^2 + \psi_x^2 + \omega_x^2) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Inserting (4.60)-(4.62) into (4.59), we find

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.63) \quad I'_4(t) &\leq \int_0^L \{(\rho_1 + \epsilon)\varphi_t^2 + (\rho_2 + \epsilon)\psi_t^2 + (\rho_1 + \epsilon)\omega_t^2\} dx \\
&\quad - (k_0 - 2\epsilon) \int_0^L (\varphi_x^2 + \psi_x^2 + \omega_x^2) dx \\
&\quad + c_\epsilon \int_0^L \int_0^\infty \{g_1(s)(\partial_x \eta_1)^2 + g_2(s)(\partial_x \eta_2)^2 + g_3(s)(\partial_x \eta_3)^2\} dx \\
&\quad + c_\epsilon \int_0^L \{z_1^2(x, 1, t) + z_2^2(x, 1, t) + z_3^2(x, 1, t)\} dx.
\end{aligned}$$

■

Lemma 4.4.4 *Then the functional defined in (4.40) satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.64) \quad \frac{d}{dt} I_5(t) &\leq -2I_6(t) - \frac{e^{-2\tau_1}}{\tau_1} \int_0^1 z_1^2(x, 1, t) dx + \frac{1}{\tau_1} \int_0^1 \varphi_t^2(x, t) dx \\
&\quad - 2I_7(t) - \frac{e^{-2\tau_2}}{\tau_2} \int_0^1 z_2^2(x, 1, t) dx + \frac{1}{\tau_2} \int_0^1 \psi_t^2(x, t) dx \\
&\quad - 2I_8(t) - \frac{e^{-2\tau_3}}{\tau_3} \int_0^1 z_3^2(x, 1, t) dx + \frac{1}{\tau_3} \int_0^1 \omega_t^2(x, t) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof Differentiating (4.40) with respect to t and using the third equation in (4.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} I_5(t) &= \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 e^{-2\tau_1 \rho} z_1^2(x, \rho, t) d\rho + \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 e^{-2\tau_2 \rho} z_2^2(x, \rho, t) d\rho \\
&\quad + \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 e^{-2\tau_3 \rho} z_3^2(x, \rho, t) d\rho dx \\
&= -\frac{2}{\tau_1} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 e^{-2\tau_1 \rho} z_{1t}(x, \rho, t) z_1(x, \rho, t) d\rho dx \\
&\quad - \frac{2}{\tau_2} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 e^{-2\tau_2 \rho} z_{2t}(x, \rho, t) z_2(x, \rho, t) d\rho dx \\
&\quad - \frac{2}{\tau_3} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 e^{-2\tau_3 \rho} z_{3t}(x, \rho, t) z_3(x, \rho, t) d\rho dx \\
&= -\frac{1}{\tau_1} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 e^{-2\tau_1 \rho} \frac{d}{d\rho} (z_1(x, \rho, t))^2 d\rho dx \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{\tau_2} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 e^{-2\tau_2 \rho} \frac{d}{d\rho} (z_2(x, \rho, t))^2 d\rho dx \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{\tau_3} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 e^{-2\tau_3 \rho} \frac{d}{d\rho} (z_3(x, \rho, t))^2 d\rho dx \\
&= -\frac{1}{\tau_1} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left[\frac{d}{d\rho} \left(e^{-2\tau_1 \rho} z_1^2(x, \rho, t) \right) + 2\tau_1 e^{-2\tau_1 \rho} z_1^2(x, \rho, t) \right] d\rho dx \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{\tau_2} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left[\frac{d}{d\rho} \left(e^{-2\tau_2 \rho} z_2^2(x, \rho, t) \right) + 2\tau_2 e^{-2\tau_2 \rho} z_2^2(x, \rho, t) \right] d\rho dx \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{\tau_3} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left[\frac{d}{d\rho} \left(e^{-2\tau_3 \rho} z_3^2(x, \rho, t) \right) + 2\tau_3 e^{-2\tau_3 \rho} z_3^2(x, \rho, t) \right] d\rho dx \\
&= -\frac{1}{\tau_1} \int_0^1 \left[e^{-2\tau_1} z_1^2(x, 1, t) - \varphi_t^2(x, t) \right] dx - 2 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 e^{-2\tau_1 \rho} z_1^2(x, \rho, t) d\rho dx \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{\tau_2} \int_0^1 \left[e^{-2\tau_2} z_2^2(x, 1, t) - \psi_t^2(x, t) \right] dx - 2 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 e^{-2\tau_2 \rho} z_2^2(x, \rho, t) d\rho dx \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{\tau_3} \int_0^1 \left[e^{-2\tau_3} z_3^2(x, 1, t) - \omega_t^2(x, t) \right] dx - 2 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 e^{-2\tau_3 \rho} z_3^2(x, \rho, t) d\rho dx \\
&\leq -2 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 e^{-2\tau_1 \rho} z_1^2(x, \rho, t) d\rho dx - \frac{1}{\tau_1} \int_0^1 e^{-2\tau_1} z_1^2(x, 1, t) dx \\
&\quad - 2 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 e^{-2\tau_2 \rho} z_2^2(x, \rho, t) d\rho dx - \frac{1}{\tau_2} \int_0^1 e^{-2\tau_2} z_2^2(x, 1, t) dx \\
&\quad - 2 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 e^{-2\tau_3 \rho} z_3^2(x, \rho, t) d\rho dx - \frac{1}{\tau_3} \int_0^1 e^{-2\tau_3} z_3^2(x, 1, t) dx \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\tau_1} \int_0^1 \varphi_t^2(x, t) dx + \frac{1}{\tau_2} \int_0^1 \psi_t^2(x, t) dx + \frac{1}{\tau_3} \int_0^1 \omega_t^2(x, t) dx \\
&\leq -2I_6(t) - \frac{e^{-2\tau_1}}{\tau_1} \int_0^1 z_1^2(x, 1, t) dx + \frac{1}{\tau_1} \int_0^1 \varphi_t^2(x, t) dx \\
&\quad - 2I_7(t) - \frac{e^{-2\tau_2}}{\tau_2} \int_0^1 z_2^2(x, 1, t) dx + \frac{1}{\tau_2} \int_0^1 \psi_t^2(x, t) dx \\
&\quad - 2I_8(t) - \frac{e^{-2\tau_3}}{\tau_3} \int_0^1 z_3^2(x, 1, t) dx + \frac{1}{\tau_3} \int_0^1 \omega_t^2(x, t) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Such that

$$(4.65) \quad I_6(t) = \int_0^L \int_0^1 e^{-2\tau_1\rho} z_1^2(x, \rho, t) dx d\rho,$$

$$(4.66) \quad I_7(t) = \int_0^L \int_0^1 e^{-2\tau_2\rho} z_2^2(x, \rho, t) dx d\rho,$$

$$(4.67) \quad I_8(t) = \int_0^L \int_0^1 e^{-2\tau_3\rho} z_3^2(x, \rho, t) dx d\rho.$$

This ends the proof of Lemma 4.3 .4 ■

Now, let $N_1, N_2 > 0$ and

$$(4.68) \quad L(t) = N_1 E(t) + N_2(I_1 + I_2 + I_3) + I_4 + I_5,$$

where E is the energy functional associated to (4.9) and defined in (4.12). Note that E is non-increasing according to (4.16),

$$\begin{aligned} E'(t) &\leq - \left(\mu_1 - \frac{\xi_1}{2\tau_1} - \frac{|\mu_2|}{2} \right) \|\varphi_t\|_2^2 - \left(\tilde{\mu}_1 - \frac{\xi_2}{2\tau_2} - \frac{|\tilde{\mu}_2|}{2} \right) \|\psi_t\|_2^2 \\ &\quad - \left(\tilde{\mu}_1 - \frac{\xi_3}{2\tau_3} - \frac{|\tilde{\mu}_2|}{2} \right) \|\omega_t\|_2^2 - \left(\frac{\xi_1}{2\tau_1} - \frac{|\mu_2|}{2} \right) \|z_1(x, 1, t)\|_2^2 \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{\xi_2}{2\tau_2} - \frac{|\tilde{\mu}_2|}{2} \right) \|z_2(x, 1, t)\|_2^2 - \left(\frac{\xi_3}{2\tau_3} - \frac{|\tilde{\mu}_2|}{2} \right) \|z_3(x, 1, t)\|_2^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L \int_0^\infty g'_1(s) (\partial_x \eta_1)^2 ds dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L \int_0^\infty g'_2(s) (\partial_x \eta_2)^2 ds dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L \int_0^\infty g'_3(s) (\partial_x \eta_3)^2 ds dx. \\ &\leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Using (4.52), (4.58) and (4.64) with (4.16), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.69) \quad L'(t) &\leq -N_2(c_1 - c_\delta) \int_0^L \{ \psi_x + (\varphi_x + \psi + l\omega)^2 + (\omega_x - l\varphi)^2 \} dx \\
&\quad - \left\{ N_2\rho_1(g_1^0 - \delta(1 + \mu_1)) - N_2c - \frac{1}{\tau_1} + N_1 \left(\mu_1 - \frac{\xi_1}{2\tau_1} - \frac{|\mu_1|}{2} \right) \right\} \int_0^L \varphi_t dx \\
&\quad - \left\{ N_1 \left(\tilde{\mu}_1 - \frac{\xi_2}{2\tau_2} - \frac{|\tilde{\mu}_2|}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{\tau_2} - N_2\tilde{\mu}_2 \right\} \int_0^L \psi_t dx \\
&\quad - \left\{ N_1 \left(\frac{\xi_1}{2\tau_1} - \frac{|\mu_2|}{2} \right) - (c_\delta + c_\epsilon)N_2 + 2\frac{e^{-2\tau_1}}{\tau_1} \right\} \|z_1(x, 1, t)\|_2^2 \\
&\quad - \left\{ N_1 \left(\frac{\xi_2}{2\tau_2} - \frac{|\tilde{\mu}_2|}{2} \right) - (c_\delta + c_\epsilon)N_2 + 2\frac{e^{-2\tau_2}}{\tau_1} \right\} \|z_2(x, 1, t)\|_2^2 \\
&\quad - \left\{ N_1 \left(\frac{\xi_3}{2\tau_3} - \frac{|\tilde{\mu}_2|}{2} \right) - (c_\delta + c_\epsilon)N_2 + 2\frac{e^{-2\tau_3}}{\tau_1} \right\} \|z_3(x, 1, t)\|_2^2 \\
&\quad + N_2(c_\epsilon + c_\delta) \int_0^L \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_0^\infty g_i(s)(\partial_x \eta_i)^2 ds dx \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{N_1}{2} - c_\delta N_2 \right) \int_0^L \int_0^\infty \sum_{i=1}^3 (g'_i(s) \partial_x \eta_i)^2 ds dx \\
&\quad - 2 \int_0^L \int_0^1 \sum_{i=1}^3 e^{-2\tau_i \rho} z_i^2(x, \rho, t) d\rho dx.
\end{aligned}$$

We choose N_1 large enough so that

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_1 &= N_2(c_1 - c_\delta) \left\{ N_2\rho_1(g_1^0 - \delta(1 + \mu_1)) - N_2c - \frac{1}{\tau_1} + N_1 \left(\mu_1 - \frac{\xi_1}{2\tau_1} - \frac{|\mu_1|}{2} \right) \right\} > 0, \\
\beta_2 &= \left\{ N_1 \left(\tilde{\mu}_1 - \frac{\xi_2}{2\tau_2} - \frac{|\tilde{\mu}_2|}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{\tau_2} - N_2\tilde{\mu}_2 \right\} > 0, \\
\beta_3 &= \left\{ N_1 \left(\tilde{\mu}_1 - \frac{\xi_2}{2\tau_2} - \frac{|\tilde{\mu}_2|}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{\tau_2} - N_2\tilde{\mu}_2 \right\} > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

such that $\min\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3\} > 0$. (Note that $g_i^0 > 0$ because g_i is continuous non-negative and $g_i(0) > 0$) and we find, for some positive constants c_4 ,

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.70) \quad L'(t) &\leq -c_4 E(t) + N_2(c_\epsilon + c_\delta) \int_0^L \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_0^\infty g_i(s)(\partial_x \eta_i)^2 ds dx \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{N_1}{2} - c_\delta N_2 \right) \int_0^L \int_0^\infty \sum_{i=1}^3 (g'_i(s) \partial_x \eta_i)^2 ds dx
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by (4.70) and definition of $E(t)$ and I_i , there exists a positive constant N_4 (not depending on N_1) such that

$$(4.71) \quad (N_1 - N_4)E(t) \leq L(t) \leq ((N_1 + N_4)E(t)).$$

Thus, choosing $N_1 > N_3$ and using the fact that $g'_i \leq 0$

$$(4.72) \quad L'(t) \leq -c_4E(t) + N_2(c_\epsilon + c_\delta) \int_0^L \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_0^\infty g_i(s)(\partial_x \eta_i)^2 ds dx.$$

Lemma 4.4.5 [79] *For any $i = 1, 2, 3$, there exist positive α_i , the following inequalities hold:*

$$(4.73) \quad \int_0^L \int_0^\infty g_i(s)(\partial_x \eta_i)^2 \leq -\alpha_i E'(t) \text{ if (H2) holds}$$

$$(4.74) \quad \zeta(t)L'(t) \leq -\eta_1\zeta(t)E(t) - 2\eta_2E'(t), \quad \forall t \geq t_0.$$

Define $\chi(t) = \zeta(t)L(t) + 2\eta_2E(t)$, which is equivalent to $E(t)$ and $\zeta'(t) \leq 0 \forall t \geq 0$, we obtain

$$(4.75) \quad \begin{aligned} \chi'(t) &\leq \zeta'(t)L(t) - \eta_1\zeta(t)E(t) \\ &\leq -\alpha\zeta(t)E(t), \quad \forall t \geq t_0. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating the last inequality over (t_0, t) , we conclude that

$$(4.76) \quad \chi(t) \leq \chi(0)e^{-\alpha \int_{t_0}^t \zeta(s) ds}.$$

Then, the equivalent relation between $\chi(t)$ and $E(t)$ yields

$$(4.77) \quad E(t) \leq Ke^{-\alpha \int_{t_0}^t \zeta(s) ds}.$$

This completes the proof.

Chapter 5

A general decay result in a quasilinear parabolic system with viscoelastic term

5.1 Introduction

In this chapter, we consider

$$(5.1) \quad A(t)|u_t|^{m-2}u_t - Lu + \int_0^t g(t-s)Lu(s)ds = 0,$$

in a bounded domain Ω , $A(t)$ is a bounded and positive definite matrix, $\Omega \in R^n (n \geq 1)$, initial data (u_0, u_1) are given functions belonging to suitable spaces and g a continuously differentiable decaying function .

And $Lu = -div(M\nabla u) = -\sum_{i,j=1}^N \left(a_{i,j}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right)$. The matrix $M = (a_{i,j}(x))$, where $a_{i,j} \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$, is symmetric and there exists a constant $a_0 > 0$ such that for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ and $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_N) \in R^N$, we have $\sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{i,j}(x)\zeta_j\zeta_i \geq a_0|\zeta|^2$ This system is subjected to the following boundary conditions

$$(5.2) \quad u(x, t) = 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega, \quad t \geq 0,$$

and initial conditions

$$(5.3) \quad u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x), \quad x \in \Omega.$$

To motivate our work, let us recall some results regarding quasilinear parabolic system. This type of equation arises from a variety of mathematical models in engineering and physical sciences. For example, in the study of a heat conduction in materials with memory, the classical Fourier's law is replaced by the following form (cf.[84]):

$$(5.4) \quad q = -d\nabla u - \int_{-\infty}^t k(x, t)u(x, s)ds,$$

where u is the temperature, d is the diffusion coefficient and the integral term represents the memory effect in the material. The study of this type of equations has drawn a considerable attention and many results have been obtained see ([84], [85], [88], [89]). First from a mathematical point of view one would expect that the integral term should be dominated by the leading term in the equation, for example, Messaoudi and Tellab [86] studied the following system

$$(5.5) \quad A(t)|u_t|^{m-2}u_t - \Delta u + \int_0^t g(t-s)\Delta u(s)ds = 0,$$

with the same conditions in (5.2)-(5.3) and they obtained energy decay result although the memory term makes more complex. Berrimi and Messaoudi. [89] showed that if A satisfies $((A(t)v, v) \geq c_0|v|^2 \quad \forall t \in R^+, v \in R^n)$, then the solutions with small initial energy decay exponentially for $m = 2$ and polynomially if $m > 2$.

Very recently for a framework of blow-up in finite time Gongwei Liu and Hua Chen [85] studied the following system

$$(5.6) \quad A(t)|u_t|^{m-2}u_t - \Delta u + \int_0^t g(t-s)\Delta u(s)ds = |u|^{p-2}u,$$

with the same conditions in (5.2)-(5.3) and they have obtained blow-up result for both initial energy positive and negative under suitable conditions on g and p .

Motivated by the previous works, in this chapter we investigate problem (5.1) in which we generalize the results obtained in [86], supposing new conditions with which the stability is assured, by using the lemma of Martinez.

Our work is organized as follows. In section 2, we present the preliminaries and some lemma. In section 3 decay property is derived. Our results improves the one in Messaoudi and Tellab [86]

5.2 Preliminary Results

In this section, we present some material in the proof of our main result. For the relaxation function g we assume

(A₀) $g : R^+ \rightarrow R^+$ is a bounded C^1 function satisfying

$$g(0) > 0, \quad 1 - \int_0^\infty g(s)ds = l < 1.$$

(A₁) There exists a nonincreasing differentiable function $\xi : R^+ \rightarrow R^+$ satisfying

$$g'(t) \leq -\xi(t)g(t), \quad t \geq 0; \quad \int_0^{+\infty} \xi(s)ds = +\infty.$$

(A₂) We also assume that

$$2 \leq m \leq \frac{2n}{n-2} \quad \text{if } n \geq 3; \quad m \geq 2, \quad \text{if } n = 1, 2.$$

(A₃) The matrix $M = (a_{i,j}(x))$, where $a_{i,j} \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$, is symmetric and there exists a constant $a_0 > 0$ such that for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ and $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_N) \in R^N$, we have $\sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{i,j}(x) \zeta_j \zeta_i \geq a_0 |\zeta|^2$ where $Lu = -\text{div}(M\nabla u) = -\sum_{i,j=1}^N \left(a_{i,j}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right)$

$$a(u(t), v(t)) = \sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{i,j}(x) \frac{\partial u(t)}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial v(t)}{\partial x_i} dx = \int_{\Omega} M \nabla u(t) \cdot \nabla v(t) dx,$$

$$a_1 = \max \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \|a_{i,j}\|_{\infty}^2 \right).$$

Where $m \geq 2$ and Ω is a bounded open subset of $R^n (n \geq 1)$. The values of u are taken in R^n and $A \in C(R^+)$ is a bounded square matrix satisfying

$$(5.7) \quad c_0 |v|^2 \leq (A(t)v, v) \leq c_1 |v|^2, \quad \forall t \in R^+, \quad v \in R^n,$$

Remark 5.2.1 *The same as in [85] There are many functions satisfying (A₁) and (A₂). Examples of such functions are*

$$g_1(t) = ae^{-b(t+1)^\alpha}, \quad 0 < \alpha \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad g_2(t) = a(1+t)^\epsilon, \quad \epsilon < -1.$$

We will also be using the embedding

$$H_0^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^p(\Omega), \quad H_0^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^m(\Omega),$$

and poincaré's inequality. The same embedding constant c_s will be used later.

Lemma 5.2.1 ([85]). *Let $E : R_+ \rightarrow R_+$ be a nonincreasing function and $\psi : R_+ \rightarrow R_+$, be a C^2 increasing function, $\psi(0) = 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \psi(t) = +\infty$. Assume that there exists $c > 0$ for which*

$$(5.8) \quad \int_S^T E(t) \psi'(t) dt \leq cE(S), \quad \forall S \geq 0,$$

then

$$(5.9) \quad E(t) \leq \alpha E(0) e^{-\left(\int_0^t \xi(s) ds\right)}, \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

where α, ω are positive constants.

Lemma 5.2.2 (Sobolev-Poincaré inequality). *Let $2 \leq m \leq \frac{2n}{n-2}$. The inequality*

$$\|u\|_m \leq c_s \|\nabla u\|_2 \quad \text{for } u \in H_0^1(\Omega),$$

holds with some positive constant c_s .

Lemma 5.2.3 [85]. For $u(\cdot, t) \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, we have

$$(5.10) \quad \int_{\Omega} \left(\int_0^t g(t-s)(u(t) - u(s)) ds \right)^2 dx \leq (1-l)c_s^2(gou)(t),$$

where c_s^2 is the poincaré constant and l is given in (A_1) ,
and

$$(gou)(t) = \int_0^t g(t-s) \int_{\Omega} a(u(t) - u(s), u(t) - u(s)) dx ds.$$

5.3 Asymptotic behavior

In this section, we consider the energy decay of solutions associated to the system (5.1)–(5.3). Similarly as in [92] we give a definition of a weak solution of the system (5.1) – (5.3).

Definition 5.3.1 A weak solution of (5.1) – (5.3) is a function $u \in C([0, T]; [H_0^1(\Omega)]^n) \cap C^1((0, T); [L^m(\Omega)]^n)$, which satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} A(s)|u_s(s)|^{m-2}u_s(x, s)\phi(x, s)dsdx + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} Lu(x, s)\phi(x, s)dsdx \\ & + \int_0^s \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} g(t-\nu)\phi(x, s)Lu(x, \nu)d\nu dx ds = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$ and $\phi \in C([0, T]; [H_0^1(\Omega)]^n)$.

Now we define the "modified" energy equation related with problem (5.1) – (5.3) by

$$(5.11) \quad E(t) = \frac{1}{2}(gou)(t) + \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \int_0^t g(s)ds \right) a(u(t), u(t)).$$

Lemma 5.3.1 Let u be the solution of (5.1) – (5.3) then, the energy equation satisfies

$$(5.12) \quad E'(t) \leq \frac{1}{2}(g'ou)(t) - \frac{1}{2}g(t)a(u(t), u(t)) - \int_{\Omega} A(t)|u_t(t)|^m dx.$$

Proof By multiplying (1) by $u_t(t)$, and integrating over Ω we get

$$(5.13) \quad \int_{\Omega} A(t)|u_t(t)|^m dx - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} a(u(t), u(t)) + \int_{\Omega} \int_0^t g(t-s)M \nabla u(s) \nabla u_t(t) ds dx = 0.$$

Note that

$$(5.14) \quad a(u(t), u_t(t)) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} a(u(t), u(t)),$$

we can easily obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t g(t-s) \int_{\Omega} M \nabla u(s) \nabla u_t(t) dx ds \\
= & \sum_{i,j=1}^N \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} g(t-s) a_{i,j}(x) \frac{\partial u(s)}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial u_t(t)}{\partial x_i} dx ds \\
= & \sum_{i,j=1}^N \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} g(t-s) a_{i,j}(x) \frac{\partial u(t)}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u_t(t)}{\partial x_i} dx ds \\
& - \sum_{i,j=1}^N \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} g(t-s) a_{i,j}(x) \left(\frac{\partial u(t)}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial u_t(s)}{\partial x_j} \right) \frac{\partial u_t(t)}{\partial x_i} dx ds \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t g(t-s) \left(\frac{d}{dt} a(u(t), u(t)) ds \right) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t g(t-s) \left(\frac{d}{dt} a(u(t) - u(s), u(t) - u(s)) ds \right) \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_0^t g(t-s) a(u(t), u(t)) ds \right) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_0^t g(t-s) a(u(t) - u(s), u(t) - u(s)) ds \right) \\
& - \frac{1}{2} g(t) a(u(t), u(t)) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t g'(t-s) a(u(t) - u(s), u(t) - u(s)) ds \\
= & -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (g \circ u)(t) + \frac{1}{2} (g' \circ u)(t) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left[a(u(t), u(t)) \int_0^t g(s) ds \right] - \frac{1}{2} g(t) a(u(t), u(t)),
\end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

where

$$(g \circ u)(t) = \int_0^t g(t-s) a(u(t) - u(s), u(t) - u(s)) ds, \tag{5.16}$$

from (5.13), (5.14) and (5.15) we obtain

$$E'(t) \leq -\frac{1}{2} (g' \circ u)(t) - \frac{1}{2} g(t) a(u(t), u(t)) - \int_{\Omega} A(t) |u_t(t)|^m dx. \tag{5.17}$$

■

Theorem 5.3.1 . Let $(u_0, u_1) \in (H_0^1(\Omega))^2$ be given. Suppose that $(A_0) - (A_3)$, (5.7), hold. Then there exist two positive constants w and K , depending on the initial data and c_0 for which the solution of (5.1) - (5.3) satisfies

$$E(t) \leq K e^{-w \int_0^t \xi(s) ds}$$

Proof From now and on, we denote by c_i various positive constants which may be different at different occurrences. We multiply the equation (5.1) by $\xi(t)u$, integrate over $\Omega \times (S, T)$, and use the boundary conditions to get

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.18) \quad & \int_a^T \int_{\Omega} \xi(t) A(t) |u_t(t)|^{m-2} u_t(t) u(t) dx dt - \int_S^T \xi(t) a(u(t), u(t)) dt dx \\
& + \int_S^T \xi(t) \cdot \int_{\Omega} M \nabla u(t) \int_0^t g(t-s) \nabla u(s) ds dx = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

We then estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int_{\Omega} \xi(t) \cdot M \nabla u(t) \int_0^t g(t-s) \nabla u(s) ds dx \\
= & \int_{\Omega} \xi(t) \cdot M \int_0^t g(t-s) (\nabla u(t) - \nabla u(s)) \nabla u(t) ds dx - \int_0^t g(s) ds \cdot \xi(t) a(u(t), u(t)),
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.19}$$

by substituting (5.19) in (5.18) and adding the following term in (5.18)

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_S^T \xi(t) (gou)(t) - \frac{1}{2} \int_S^T \xi(t) (gou)(t),
\tag{5.20}$$

(5.18) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_S^T \xi(t) E(t) dt = & - \int_S^T \int_{\Omega} \xi(t) A(t) |u_t(t)|^{m-2} u_t(t) u(t) dx dt + \int_S^T \xi(t) (gou)(t) dt \\
& - \int_S^T \int_{\Omega} \xi(t) M \cdot \int_0^t g(t-s) (\nabla u(t) - \nabla u(s)) \cdot \nabla u(t) ds dx dt.
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.21}$$

By using equation of lemma 2.3 and the equation (5.7) ,(5.12) the boundedness of A(t), and condition A_3 , we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} A(t) |u_t(t)|^{m-2} u_t(t) u(t) dx & \leq \beta \int_{\Omega} |u(t)|^m dx + c_{\beta} \int_{\Omega} |u_t(t)|^m dx \\
& \leq \beta c_s^m \|\nabla u(t)\|^m + c_{\beta} \int_{\Omega} |u_t(t)|^m dx \\
& \leq \beta c_s^m \left(\frac{2E(0)}{l} \right)^{\frac{m-2}{2}} E(t) - \left(\frac{c_{\beta}}{c_0} \right) E'(t), \quad \forall \beta > 0,
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.22}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_s^T \int_{\Omega} A(t) |u_t(t)|^{m-2} u_t(t) u(t) dx ds & \leq \left(\beta c_s^m \left(\frac{2E(0)}{l} \right)^{\frac{m-2}{2}} \right) \int_s^T E(t) \xi(t) dt \\
& - \left(\frac{c_{\beta}}{c_0} \right) \int_s^T E'(t) \xi(t) dt, \quad \forall \beta > 0,
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.23}$$

we have also

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} \int_0^t M g(t-s) (\nabla u(t) - \nabla u(s)) \nabla u(t) ds dx \\
&= \sum_{i,j=1}^N \int_0^t g(t-s) \int_{\Omega} a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u(t)}{\partial x_j} \left(\frac{\partial u(t)}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial u(s)}{\partial x_i} \right) dx ds \\
&\leq \mu \sum_{i,j=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \left(\int_0^t a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u(t)}{\partial x_j} ds \right)^2 dx \\
(5.24) \quad &+ \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{i,j=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \left(\int_0^t g(t-s) \left(\frac{\partial u(s)}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial u(t)}{\partial x_i} \right) ds \right)^2 dx \\
&\leq \frac{\mu}{a_0} \left(\max_{i,j=1}^N \sum \|a_{ij}\|_{\infty}^2 \right) a(u(t), u(t)) \\
&+ \frac{N}{4a_0\mu} (1-l)(g \circ u)(t) \\
&\leq \frac{\mu}{a_0} \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^N \|a_{ij}\|_{\infty}^2 \right) E(t) + \frac{N}{4a_0\mu} (1-l)(g \circ u)(t),
\end{aligned}$$

and using the fact that

$$(5.25) \quad |\xi(t)(g \circ u)(t)| = \xi(t)(g \circ u)(t) \leq \beta(g \circ u)(t) \leq \beta(-E'(t)),$$

we obtain

$$(5.26) \quad \int_s^T \xi(t)(g \circ u)(t) dt \leq \int_s^T \beta(-E'(t)) dt.$$

By combining (5.19) – (5.25) we easily deduce the following

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\{ 1 - \beta c_s^m \left(\frac{2E(0)}{l} \right)^{\frac{m-2}{2}} - \left[(\alpha + 1) \left(\max_{i \leq i \leq N} \sum_{i,j=1}^N \|a_{ij}\|_{\infty}^2 \right) + \frac{N}{4a_0\mu} (1-l) \right] \right\} \int_S^T \xi(t) E(t) dt \\
&\leq \left(\frac{c_{\beta}}{c_0} \xi(0) + e^{\beta} \right) E(S). \\
(5.27)
\end{aligned}$$

Where $\xi_0 = \sup_{t \geq 0} \xi(t)$,

after some manipulation we get

$$(5.28) \quad \int_S^T \xi(t) E(t) dt \leq C E(S), \quad \forall S \geq 0.$$

Such that

$$C = \frac{\left(\frac{c_{\beta}}{c_0} \xi(0) + e^{\beta} \right)}{\left\{ 1 - \beta c_s^m \left(\frac{2E(0)}{l} \right)^{\frac{m-2}{2}} - \left[(\alpha + 1) \left(\max_{i \leq i \leq N} \sum_{i,j=1}^N \|a_{ij}\|_{\infty}^2 \right) + \frac{N}{4a_0\mu} (1-l) \right] \right\}}.$$

Choosing β , δ_2 , ϵ small enough and by hypothesis we have $l < 1$. By letting T go to infinity, one can easily see that (A_1) satisfied with $\psi(t) = \int_0^t \xi(s) ds$. Which completes the proof.

■

Bibliography

- [1] V. GEORGIEV, D. TODOROVA, *Existence of solutions of the wave equations with nonlinear damping and source terms*, J. Differential Equations 109 (1994) 295-308.
- [2] WENJUN LIU, *Global and asymptotic behavior for a coupled quasilinear system with a viscoelastic term*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 5 (2011) 138-146.
- [3] WENJUN LIU, *Uniform decay of solutions for a quasilinear system of viscoelastic equations*, Nonlinear Analysis (2009) 2257-2267.
- [4] K. AGRE, M.A. RAMMAHA, *Systems of nonlinear wave equations with damping and source terms*, Differential Integral Equations 19 (11) (2006) 1235-1270
- [5] K. ONO, *Global existence, decay, and blow up of solutions for some mildly degenerate nonlinear Kirchhoff string*, J. Differential Equations 137 (1997) 273-301.
- [6] B.SAID-HOUARI, *Global nonexistence of a positive initial energy solutions of a system of nonlinear wave equation with dumping and source terms*, J. Differential Integral Equations 23 (2010)79-92.
- [7] F.LIANG, H, J.GAO, *Exponential energy decay and blow-up of solution for a system of nonlinear viscoelastic wave equations with strong dumping*, Bound, Value probl.(2011)22.
- [8] X.S, HAN,M.X.WANG, *Global existence and blow-up of solutions for a system of nonlinear viscoelastic wave equation with dumping and source*, Nonlinear Anal, TMA7,(2009)5427-5450.
- [9] S.A.MESSAOUDI-TATAR, *Global existence and uniform stability of solutions for a quasilinear viscolastic problem*,Math. Methos App.Sci 30(2007)665-680.
- [10] MOHAMMAD.A,RAMMAHA,S.SAKATUSATHIAN, *Global existence and blow-up of solution to systems of nonlinear wave equation with degenerate damping and source terms*, Nonlinear Analysis (2010) 2658-2683.
- [11] S.T. WU, *On decay and blow up of solutions for a system of nonlinear wave equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 394 (2012) 360-377
- [12] S. NICAISE, C. PIGNOTTI, *Stabilization of the wave equation with boundary or internal distributed delay*, Differential Integral Equations 21(2008)935-958.

- [13] H.A. LEVINE, *Some additional remarks on the nonexistence of global solutions to nonlinear wave equations*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 5 (1974) 138-146.
- [14] H.A. LEVINE, S. RO PARK, *Global existence and global nonexistence of solutions of the Cauchy problems for a nonlinearly damped wave equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 228 (1998) 181-205.
- [15] S.A.MESSAOUDI, B. SAID-HOUARI, *Global nonexistence of positive initial-energy solutions of a system of nonlinear viscoelastic wave equations with damping and source terms*, Nonlinear Analysis (2009)5427-5450.
- [16] X. H, M.W , *global existence and blow up of solutions for a system of nonlinear viscoelastic wave equations with damping and source* , Nonlinear Analysis 71(2009)5427-5450
- [17] M. NAKAO , *Existence and Decay of Global Solutions of Some Nonlinear Degenerate Parabolic Equation* ,J. Math. Anal. Appl. 109, 118-129 (1985).
- [18] M. NAKAO, Y. AND OHARA , *Gradient Estimates of Periodic Solutions for Some Quasilinear Parabolic Equations* , J. Math. Anal. Appl. 204, 868-883 (1996).
- [19] M. GOBBINO , *Quasilinear Degenerate Parabolic Equations of Kirchhof Type* , Mathematical Methods in Applied Sciences, 22 (1999), 375-388.
- [20] A. GUESMIA, , CR, MATH. ACAD, SCI. PARIS , *Nouvelles inegalites integrales et applications a la stabilisation des systmes distribues non dissipatifs*,Math. Methos App.Sci 336(2003)801-804.
- [21] S. BERRIMI S. A. MESSAOUDI , *A decay result for a quasilinear parabolic system* , Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, 53 (2005), 43-50.
- [22] R. DATKO, J. LAGNESE, M. P. POLIS , *An example on the effect of time delays in boundary feedback stabilization of wave equations* , SIAMJ.Control Optim.24(1986)152-156.
- [23] K. AMMARI, SERGE NICAISE AND CRISTINA PIGNOTTI , *Feedback boundary stabilization of wave equations with interior delay* ,Systems and Control Letters 59(2010)623-628
- [24] S.T. WU , *Exponential energy decay of solutions for an integro-differential equation with strong damping*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 364 (2010), no. 2, 609-617.
- [25] S.T. WU , *Asymptotic behavior for a viscolastic wave equation with a delay tarm* , J. Taiwanese J. Math. 364 (2013), no. 2, 765-784.
- [26] M.I. MUSTAFA, *Well posedness and asymptotic behavior of a coupled system of nonlinear viscoelastic equations*, Nonlinear Anal: Real World app 13(2012), no. 7, 452-463.

- [27] K. T. ANDREWS, K. L. KUTTLER, AND M. SHILLOR, *Second order evolution equations with dynamic boundary conditions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl, 197(3):781-795, 1996.
- [28] J. T. BEALE, *Spectral properties of an acoustic boundary condition*, Indiana Univ. Math. J, 25(9):895-917, 1976.
- [29] P. PUCCI AND J. SERRIN , *Asymptotic stability for nonlinear parabolic systems* ,,Energy Methods in Continuum Mechanics, Kluwer Acad. Publ. Dordrecht, (1996).
- [30] B. M. BUDAK, A. A. SAMARSKII, AND A. N. TIKHONOV, *A collection of problems on mathematical physics*, Translated by A. R. M. Robson. The Macmillan Co., New York, 1964.
- [31] R. W. CAROLL AND R. E. SHOWALTER, *Singular and Degenerate Cauchy Problems*, Academic Press, New York, 1976.
- [32] F. CONRAD AND O. MORGUL, *stabilization of a flexible beam with a tip mass*, SIAM J. Control Optim., 36(6):1962-1986, 1998.
- [33] M. GROBBELAAR-VAN DALSEN, *On fractional powers of a closed pair of operators and a damped wave equation with dynamic boundary conditions*, Appl. Anal., 53(1-2):41-54, 1994.
- [34] M. GROBBELAAR-VAN DALSEN. *On the initial-boundary-value problem for the extensible beam with attached load*, Math. Methods Appl. Sci., 19(12):943-957, 1996.
- [35] M. GROBBELAAR-VAN DALSEN, *On the solvability of the boundary-value problem for the elastic beam with attached load*. Math. Models Meth. Appl. Sci. (M3AS), 4(1):89-105, 1994.
- [36] S. NICAISE AND C. PIGNOTTI, *Stability and instability results of the wave equation with a delay term in the boundary or internal feedbacks*, SIAM J. Control Optim., 45(5) (2006), 1561-1585.
- [37] S. NICAISE, J. VALEIN AND E. FRIDMAN, *Stabilization of the heat and the wave equations with boundary time-varying delays*, DCDS-S, 2(3) (2009), 559-581.
- [38] S. NICAISE, C. PIGNOTTI AND J. VALEIN, *Exponential stability of the wave equation with boundary time-varying delay*, DCDS-S, 4(3) (2011), 693-722.
- [39] S. GERBI AND B. SAID-HOUARI, *Asymptotic stability and blow up for a semi-linear damped wave equation with dynamic boundary conditions*, nonlinear analysis 74(2011)7137-7150.
- [40] S. GERBI AND B. SAID-HOUARI, *Local existence and exponential growth for a semilinear damped wave equation with dynamic boundary conditions*, Advances in Differential Equations, 13(11-12):1051-1074, 2008.

- [41] S. GERBI AND B. SAID-HOUARI, *Existence and exponential stability of a damped wave equation with dynamic boundary conditions and a delay term*, arxiv, 1-15,(2012).
- [42] A.BENAISSA, *Global existence and energy decay of solutions for a nondissipative wave equation with a time-varying delay term*, Springer International Publishing Switzerland . 38 (2013) 1-26.
- [43] S.A.MESSAOUDI, *General decay of solutions of a weak viscoelastic equation*, Arab.J.Sci.Eng., 36(3):1569-1579, 2011.
- [44] S.H.PARK, *Decay rate estimates for a weak viscoelastic beam equation with time-varying delay*, A. Math. Letters. 31(3):46-51, 2014.
- [45] M. M. CAVALCANTI, V. N. DOMINGOS CAVALCANTI AND J. FERREIRA, *Existence and uniform decay of nonlinear viscoelastic equation with strong damping*, Mathematical Methods in Applied Sciences, 24 (2001), 1043-1053.
- [46] M. M. CAVALCANTI, V. N. DOMINGOS CAVALCANTI AND J. A. SORIANO, *Exponential decay for the solution of semilinear viscoelastic wave equation with localized damping*, Electronic J. Diff. Eqns, 44 (2002), 1-14.
- [47] R. DATKO, *Not all feedback stabilized hyperbolic systems are robust with respect to small time delays in their feedbacks*, SIAM J. Control Optim., 26 (1988), 697-713.
- [48] M. PELLICER, *Large time dynamics of a nonlinear spring-mass-damper model*. Nonlin. Anal., 69(1):3110-3127, 2008.
- [49] M. PELLICER AND J. SOLA-MORALES, *Analysis of a viscoelastic spring-mass model*. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 294(2):687-698, 2004.
- [50] I. H. SUH AND Z. BIEN, *Use of time delay action in the controller design*, IEEE Trans. Automat. Control, 25 (1980), 600-603.
- [51] SHUN-TANG WU, *General decay of solutions for a viscoelastic equation with nonlinear damping and source terms*, Acta Mathematica Scientia, 31(B)(4) (2011), 1436-1448.
- [52] SHUN-TANG WU, *Asymptotic behavior for a viscolastic wave equation with a delay tarm*, J. Taiwanese J. Math. 364 (2013), no. 2, 765-784.
- [53] J. L. LIONS AND E. MAGENES, *Problmes aux limites non homognes et applications*, Vol. 1, 2. Dunod, Paris, 1968.
- [54] J.-L. LIONS, *Quelques mthodes de rsolution des problmes aux limites non linaires*, Dunod, 1969.
- [55] H. ZHANG AND Q, *Hu. Energy decay for a nonlinear viscoelastic rod equations with dynamic boundary conditions*, Math. Methods Appl. Sci., 30(3):249-256, 2007.

- [56] H. LEVINE , *Some nonexistence and instability theorems for solutions of formally parabolic equations* ,Archiv Rat. Mech. Anal, 51 (1973), 371-386.
- [57] P. PUCCI AND J. SERRIN , *Asymptotic stability for nonlinear parabolic systems* ,,Energy Methods in Continuum Mechanics, Kluwer Acad. Publ. Dordrecht, (1996).
- [58] A.M AND A.BENAISSA, *Uniform decay for a quasilinear parabolic equation with a strong damping and source term* , Mathematical Methods in Applied Sciences, 22 (2010), 365-385.
- [59] S. GERBI,B. SAID-HOUARI, *Existence and exponential stability of a damped wave equation with dynamic boundary conditions and a delay term* , arxiv, 1-15,(2012).
- [60] A.BENAISSA, *Global existence and energy decay of solutions for a nondissipative wave equation with a time-varying delay term*, Springer International Publishing Switzerland . 38 (2013) 1-26.
- [61] M. Eller, J. E. Lagnese & S. Nicaise, *Decay rates for solutions of a Maxwell system with nonlinear boundary damping*, Computational. Appl. Math., **21** (2002), 135-165.
- [62] S. DING, J. HUNG, F. XIA, *Global existence of strong solutions for incompressible hydrodynamic flow of liquid crystals with vacuum*, Filomat . 27:7 (2013)1247-1257.
- [63] J. A. C. Bresse, *Cours de Méchanique Appliquée*, Mallet Bachelier, Paris, 1859.
- [64] J. U. Kim, Y. Renardy, *Boundary control of the Timoshenko beam*, *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, **25** (1987), 1417-1429.
- [65] S. A. Messaoudi & M. I. Mustapha, *On the internal and boundary stabilization of Timoshenko beams*, *Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl.*, **15** (2008), 655-671.
- [66] S. A. Messaoudi & M. I. Mustapha, *On the stabilization of the Timochenko system by a weak nonlinear dissipation*, *Math. Meth. Appl. Sci.*, **32** (2009), 454-469.
- [67] J. H. Park & J. R. Kang, *Energy decay of solutions for Timoshenko beam with a weak non-linear dissipation*, *IMA J. Appl. Math.* **76** (2011), 340-350.
- [68] C.A. Raposo, J. Ferreira, J. Santos & N. N. O. Castro, *Exponential stability for the Timoshenko system with two weak dampings*, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **18** (2005)-5, 535-541.
- [69] Z. Liu & B. Rao, *Energy decay rate of the thermoelastic Bresse system*, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* **60** (2009), 54-69.
- [70] F.G. Shinskey, *Process Control Systems*, McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1967.
- [71] C. Abdallah, P. Dorato, J. Benitez-Read & R. Byrne, *Delayed Positive Feedback Can Stabilize Oscillatory System*, ACC, San Francisco, (1993), 3106-3107.

- [72] I.H. Suh & Z. Bien, Use of time delay action in the controller design, *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control* **25** (1980), 600-603.
- [73] R. Datko, J. Lagnese & M.P. Polis, An example on the effect of time delays in boundary feedback stabilization of wave equations, *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, **24** (1986), 152-156.
- [74] S. Nicaise, & C. Pignotti, Stability and instability results of the wave equation with a delay term in the boundary or internal feedbacks, *SIAM J. Control Optim.* **45** (2006)-5, 1561-1585.
- [75] C.Q. Xu, S.P. Yung & L.K. Li, Stabilization of the wave system with input delay in the boundary control, *ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var.* **12** (2006), 770-785.
- [76] H. Brézis, *Opérateurs Maximaux Monotones et semi-groupes de contractions dans les espaces de Hilbert*, Notas de Matemática (50), Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro and University of Rochester, North-Holland, Amsterdam, (1973).
- [77] A. Haraux, Two remarks on dissipative hyperbolic problems, *Research Notes in Mathematics*, **122**. Pitman: Boston, MA, 1985, 161-179.
- [78] V. Komornik, Exact Controllability and Stabilization. The Multiplier Method, Masson-John Wiley, Paris, 1994.
- [79] A.Guesmia, M. Kafini Bresse system with infinite memories, *Mathematical Methods in Applied Sciences* , 2014.
- [80] M. Miloudi, M. Mokhtari, A. Benaissa Global existence and energy decay of solutions to a bresse system with delay ,*Comment.Math.Univ.Carolin.* 56(2), (2015), 169 -186.
- [81] W. RUDIN , *Real and complex analysis*, second edition, McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, 1974
- [82] J.-L. LIONS, *Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non linéaires*, Dunod, 1969.
- [83] A. PAZY, *Semigroups of linear operators and applications to Partial Differential equation*, Dunod, 1969.Springer Verlag New Yourk v 44 1983
- [84] H. LEVINE , *Some nonexistence and instability theorems for solutions of formally parabolic equations* ,*Archiv Rat. Mech. Anal*, 51 (1973), 371-386.
- [85] G. LIU AND H. CHEN, *Global and blow up of solutions for a quasilinear parabolic system with viscoelastic and source terms*, *Mathematical Methods in Applied Sciences*, 51 (2013), 370-379.
- [86] S.A. MESSAOUDI AND B. TELLAB , *A general decay result in a quasilinear parabolic system with viscoelastic term*, *Applied mathematics Letters*, 25(2012), 443-447.

- [87] S.A. MESSAOUDI, *A note on blow up of solutions of a quasilinear heat equation with vanishing initial energy*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. (2012) 243-247.
- [88] S.A.MESSAOUDI, N.-E. TATAR, *Uniform stabilization of solutions of a nonlinear system of viscoelastic equations*, Appl. Anal. 87 (2008) 247-263.
- [89] S. BERRIMI, S.A. MESSAOUDI *A decay result for a quasilinear parabolic system* Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. 53 (2005) 43-50.
- [90] J.A. NOHEL , *Nonlinear Volterra equations for the heat flow in materials with memory in: Integral and Functional Differential Equations*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Marcel Dekker (1981), no. 3-4, 223-234.
- [91] G. DA PRATO, M. IANNELLI, *Existence and regularity for a class of integro-differential equations of parabolic type*, J. Math. Anal. Appl (1985),36-55.
- [92] H.M. YIN, *On parabolic Volterra equations in several space dimensions*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. (1991),1723-1737.
- [93] Y. BOUKHATEM, B. BENABDERRAHMANE, *Existence and decay of solutions for a viscoelastic wave equation with acoustic boundary conditions* .Nonlinear Analysis 97 (2014) 191-209.
- [94] M. FERHAT, A. HAKEM, *Global existence and asymptotic behavior for a coupled system of viscoelastic wave equations with a delay term* ,J. Partial.Diff. Equa 4 (2014) 01-28..
- [95] M. FERHAT, A. HAKEM, *Global existence and energy decay result for a weak viscoelastic wave equations with a dynamic boundary and nonlinear delay term*,J.M.Computing (2014)
- [96] M. FERHAT, A. HAKEM ,*On convexity for energy decay rates of a viscoelastic wave equation with a dynamic boundary and nonlinear delay term* facta mathematica universitad (2014)
- [97] M. FERHAT, A. HAKEM ,*Global existence and asymptotic behavior for a bresse system with delay and infinite memories* , Asian Journal (2014)
- [98] M. FERHAT, A. HAKEM, *A general decay result for a quasilinear parabolic system* Applied note in Mathematics (2015)

Abstract.

The first goal of this thesis is to prove directly the exponential decay rate of the perturbed energy of a coupled hyperbolic equation with dissipative terms and nonlinear ones, furthermore, we use the so-called Faedo-Galerkin methods to prove the existence of the solutions. Our second goal is to study the asymptotic behavior for a weak viscoelastic wave equations with a dynamic boundary and time varying delay, and by applying the energy methods we obtain the exponential form of the energy when t goes to infinity. Our third aim is focused on studying the well-known Bresse system and under suitable conditions on some parameters on the equations, we obtain the stability by exploiting the perturbed Lyapunov functionals, also we study the existence by semigroup formulation. Our goal in the last chapter is based on studying a parabolic system with the elliptic operator, by applying the multiplier methods of Martinez which leads to prove the stability.

Key words: Faedo-Galerkin method, energy method, energy decay.

Résumé.

Le premier but de cette thèse est de prouver directement le taux de la décroissance de l'énergie de l'énergie perturbée d'un système hyperbolique couplé avec des termes de dissipations et de sources non linéaires, de plus on utilise la méthode de Faedo-Galerkin pour démontrer l'existence des solutions, notre deuxième but est d'étudier le comportement asymptotique pour un système des ondes avec un dynamique sur la frontière et terme de retard variable, en appliquant la méthode de l'énergie on obtient la forme d'intégrale de l'énergie quand t tend vers l'infini, notre troisième but est abordé le système de Bresse, sous certaines conditions sur les paramètres de l'équation, on obtient la stabilité en exploitant la méthode de Lyapunov, on étudie aussi l'existence par la méthode de semi groupe. Notre but dans le dernier chapitre est basé sur l'étude d'un système parabolique avec un opérateur elliptique, on applique la méthode de multiplicateur de Martinez qui mène à démontrer la stabilité.

Mots clés: Méthode de Faedo-Galerkin, méthode de l'énergie, décroissance de l'énergie

ملخص

في هذه الرسالة نهدف بدراسة بعض سلوكيات لبعض النماذج الرياضية هدفنا الأول هو البرهنة المباشرة لتناقص الطاقة لمعادلات هيبربوليسية مزدوجة مع حدود غير خطية و حدود تستتية، نستعمل تقنية فادو غلركين لإثبات وجود الحلول، هدفنا الثاني هو دراسة السلوك لمعادلة الموجة مع وجود تحركات على الحافة في ظل وجود حد التأخر المتغير بتطبيق تقنية الطاقة تحصل على الشكل التكاملي للطاقة عندما يؤل الوقت إلى ما لانهاية، هدفنا الثالث وهو النظرق لمعادلات برس بوضع بعض الشروط على ثوابت المعادلات نتحصل على الاستقرار بتطبيق تقنية لياونوف و ندرس أيضا وجود الحلول بتطبيق تقنية سومي غروب، هدفنا الأخير هو دراسة معادلة براونوية مع معامل النيتيكي بتطبيق طريقة الضرب لمارتينيز نتحصل على الاستقرار

كلمات مفتاحية: تقنية فادو غلركين، تقنية الطاقة، تناقص الطاقة